

Producing Web Services using UML and MDA



Enterprise
Collaboration
Architecture

EDOC

*A tutorial on applying Model Driven Architecture
to web services using the OMG Enterprise
Collaboration Architecture with UML*

Introductions



DataAccessTechnologies

Where Business Meets Technology

Cory Casanave

cory-c@enterprise-component.com

Primary author of “CCA” in EDOC

What is the Enterprise Collaboration Architecture?

- ECA is a “profile of UML”, a way to use UML for a specific purpose - it is an OMG standard
 - That purpose is *modeling enterprise systems*.
- You can also think of this as a “modeling framework” for enterprise computing
- ECA is part of the “Model Driven Architecture” (MDA) initiative of the OMG
 - Using precise modeling techniques as part of the development lifecycle to speed development and provide technology independence
- ECA has been adopted by the OMG as part of the EDOC RFP.

Collaboration is Key

- Collaboration is a key differentiation and key cost center (Healthcare Example)
 - Customer Collaboration
 - Claim processing
 - Disputes
 - Physician Collaboration
 - Payer Collaboration
 - Hospital Collaboration
 - Broker Collaboration
 - Government Collaboration
 - Employee Collaboration
 - Others...



The Agile Enterprise



Has a competitive
advantage in its capability
to embrace collaboration
and change

Integrating Enterprises, People & Systems - Worldwide

■ Business Requirements

■ Virtual Enterprises

■ Enterprise Integration (EAI)


■ Supply-chain automation (B2B)

■ Customer Integration (B2B)

■ Web deployment (B2C)

■ Internet Marketplace (B2C)

■ Collaboration and Integration



The dynamic reality



- The information system must facilitate;
 - Rapid realization of business goals
 - Integration of independent processes and systems
 - Multiple and Changing
 - | *business requirements*
 - | *business processes*
 - | *technologies*
 - | *standards*
 - | *enterprise boundaries*
 - | *partners*


Technology Stew



- Web services
- .NET
- C'
- XML
- EAI
- Active Web pages
- EJB
- Java Beans
- Java
- Corba
- MQ-Series
- C++
- SQL
- Cobol
- IMS
- CICS
- ...

Technology is transient, but we must embrace and adapt to it to provide meet current requirements

MDA Solution for Web Services



XML Components



ECA and Web services together provide
An XML component architecture
Independent of protocol and platform


Problem Space



- Integration Nightmare
- Infrastructure, Version & Vendor lock-in
- Complex, divergent and manual development and deployment processes

- *Typical solutions require buy-in (Lock-in) to expensive, pervasive and proprietary infrastructure*

Solution Triad



Development Process

Tooling & Infrastructure

Standards


The new center




- The strategic core of you systems must be the business its self
- Only technology independent business focused models will survive the transience of technology and lock-in
- These models can become *part of your source code*, driving enterprise applications
- Enabler: Model Driven Architecture (MDA) with EDOC-ECA

Extreme Modeling

Automated Model Driven Architecture



Automated Model Driven Architecture



Enterprise Architecture



How to slice and dice

Loose Coupling



- Loose coupling is the ability for independent parts of systems to be built and evolve independently
- Tightly coupled systems
 - Prevent change (the next legacy system)
 - Cause lock-in
 - Become unmanageable
 - Prevent reuse
- Quality architecture is essential for loose coupling


Architecture Goals



- Create a system from loosely coupled “enterprise components” that can evolve independently
- Provide well defined interfaces and interaction points between these enterprise components
- Make each enterprise component a reusable asset that can serve many business processes
- Build the information system as a community of interacting enterprise components
- Utilize open standards such as XML, EJB and Corba to integrate the enterprise components

Enterprise Components


- Enterprise Components must be independent
- While being able to interoperate with each other
- Making the information system a lattice of cooperating components



“Wrapping” Legacy Applications and Data


- Enterprise Components are defined in terms of their external contract; implementation may use existing applications
 - Can “call” existing application
 - Can read and write legacy DBMS
 - Can use “screen scraper” (Last resort)
- Legacy applications can appear as enterprise components but may not be implemented as components

Legacy "Wrapping"




Wrapping allows existing programs and data to work with and work as enterprise components


Technology Independence




Typical Requirement



Multi-tier implementation



Multi-tier implementation



Collaborations and Roles



Conceptual Foundation

Portions copied with permission of Trygve
Reenskaug - Taskon

OORAM

(<http://www.ifi.uio.no/~trygver>)

History




OORAM
Object Oriented
Role Analysis

UML
Collaborations


Enterprise
Collaboration
Architecture




The Connected Enterprise Content and Communication



Multiple roles in a collaboration




Travel Expense Example




Diagram

Travel Expense Model





Objects --> ClassifierRoles


Collaboration Diagram



Synthesis - Components play several roles




Roles to Systems



Drilling down – inside a role

- The open domain should make no assumptions about the “inside” of a role.
- Inside one role you *frequently* find more collaborating “parts” of the enterprise - the same model *may* be used
- Until you get to system inside a managed domain
 - Shared resources (DBMS)
 - Common Management
 - Frequently a legacy system



Discussion Point



- What are other ways of representing business semantics?
- What role do these play?
 - Business Processes
 - Information Models (DBMS)
 - Events
 - Workflow
 - Internet
 - Ontologies
- What is used internally?

Standards for Global Internet Computing

XML

WSDL

SOAP

XML-Schema



EDOC

.NET BPML

XLANG



XML Standards



- XML Schema & DTD

- Description and packaging of data


- WSDL

- Specification a services, operations and flows available via that service

- Soap

- Basic messaging and packaging
- Extensions for Soap-RPC with WSDL
- May be extended to support collaborative messaging

ECA as the normal form



Summary of points thus far



- We must enable the emerging Internet Computing Model
 - Loosely coupled roles exchanging documents based on a contract of collaboration
- Web need interoperability at two levels
 - Messaging for the data
 - Metadata for the contract of collaboration, stored in repositories
- This model of collaborating roles is recursive, extending into the enterprise, into managed domains and into applications
 - Inside the enterprise we want to include resources entities, business events and business processes
- Supporting the open domain has some required parts and can be augmented with a “treasure chest” of tools and infrastructure
- Between EDOC & ebXML we are covering B2B and intra enterprise

EDOC Component Collaboration Architecture



The model of
collaborative
work


The Marketplace Example



Mechanics Are Us
Buyer



Physical
Delivery






Acme Industries
Seller



GetItThere Freight
Shipper

The Seller's Detail




Parts of a CCA Specification




- Structure of process components and protocols
 - Process components, ports, protocols and documents
 - Class Diagram or CCA Notation
- Composition of process components
 - How components are used to specify components
 - Collaboration diagram or CCA Notation
- Choreography
 - Ordering of flows and protocols in and between process components
 - Activity Diagram

The Community Process


- Identify a “community process”, the roles and interactions in a collaboration



Protocols



Composition



ECA Entity Profile




The model of things

Data Inside a “shared domain”

Adding Entities

- Entities are added to manage entity data
- Entity Roles are managers that provides a view of the same identity in another context
- The Entities have ports for managing and accessing the entities
- Non-entities which are owned by (aggregate into) an entity are managed by the entity




ECA Business Events




The model of when...

Loosely coupled integration within the enterprise and with “aligned” business partners

Event Based Business Processes



Event Example



Events or Services




- Services - conversation between known parties
 - Good supply chain model
- Events - Actions based on notification of events
 - Parties do not know about each other!
 - More loosely coupled
 - Works best inside a managed domain (enterprise)

EDOC CCA Example




Buy-Sell Process

Community Process (CCA)




CCA Notation

Community Process




UML Collaboration Diagram

Protocol (CCA)




Protocol



UML Class Diagram

Protocol Choreography



EDOC Exercise - Community




■ Part one

- Pick a collaboration from the first exercise
- Model it with EDOC notation as a community process


■ Identify an interaction

- Specify the documents of the interaction
 - What are some of the major elements?
- Specify any choreography

Validation Component (CCA)





Validation Component




UML Class Diagram

Choreography




Composition (CCA)



Composition (UML Collaboration)

Seller Composition



ECA Methodology



A simple methodology for
creating collaborative
business processes

Basic Steps



- Identify roles and organize roles into collaborations
- Define collaboration documents
- Create basic business transactions
- Organize into protocols and events
- Use protocols to define ports on roles
- Drill-down into role detail
- Implement roles
- Configure implementations for deployment with technology specifics
- Deploy

Identifying roles and collaborations


The screenshot displays the Component-X Studio interface. The title bar reads "Component-X Studio - Methodology:FirstCollaboration". The menu bar includes "File", "Edit", "New", "Project", "Debug", "Options", "Window", and "Help". A toolbar with various icons is located below the menu bar. A status bar at the bottom left shows "map execution complete".

The main workspace is divided into two panes. The left pane, titled "Project - Methodology:FirstCollaboration", shows a project tree with the following structure:



- MyCompany
 - B2BSales
 - BuySell
 - dat

The right pane, titled "MyCompany/B2BSales/CommunityProcess", displays a UML diagram. The diagram shows a container labeled "BuySell" containing three roles: "Buyer", "Seller", and "Shipper". Each role is represented by a box with a person icon and the text "Adapter: HTTP". The diagram is based on "Base:CommunityProcess".


Identify Documents





Distinguish protocols and events




Create Business Transactions




Organize into protocols



Add ports to complete community process



Drill-down




Add implementation



- As component compositions
- In a programming language
- By using an external service

Add technology specifics for deployment



WSEC

A horizontal brushstroke in a vibrant yellow color, with a textured, painterly appearance, extending across the width of the slide below the 'WSEC' text.

Web Services for Enterprise
Collaboration

Initial Proposal

Main Parts




- Distributed Component Profile
 - How to define & structure distributed components
- Aspects
 - How to augment a model for a technology
- Aspects defined for WSDL/Schema
 - The augmentations required for Web Services
- Mapping
 - Transformations between ECA and WSDL/Schema

Distributed Components




- Define “role” as the abstract contract
- Define “Engine” as exposing a set of DCs
- Define “Endpoint” as consuming a set of DCs
- Define “Proxy” as the use of an external role


Engine exposing a DC




Defining an external component resource



Using a proxy



Aspects




Mapping of a WSDL Engine



Aspects
WSDL
WSDL-SOAP

```
- <definitions xmlns="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/wsdl"
  xmlns:soap="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/wsdl/soap"
  xmlns:mime="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/wsdl/mime"
  xmlns:http="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/wsdl/http"
  ENC="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/encoding/"
  xmlns:xs2000="http://www.w3.org/1999/XMLSchema"
  xmlns:xs2001="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
  targetNamespace="urn:SellerServer" xmlns:tns="urn:SellerServer"
  xmlns:CoreTypes="urn:CoreTypes" xmlns:Ordering="urn:Ordering">
- <!--
definitions obtained from component /BuySell/Deployment/SellerServer
```

Mapping of a DC



Aspects
WSDL
WSDL-SOAP

- <service name="MySeller">

- <!--

implemented service role
/BuySell/Deployment/SellerServer/MySeller -->

<documentation><p> </p></documentation>

= <port name="BuySellProtocol"
binding="tns:BuySellProtocol">

- <!--


original service port was
/BuySell/Deployment/SellerServer/MySeller/BuySellProtoc
ol (extending Component
</BuySell/SellerImplementation/MySeller/BuySellProtoc
ol>) -->

<soap: address
location="http://localhost:8080/cx/app/BuyS
ell/Deployment/SellerServer/MySeller/BuyS
ellProtocol" />


</port>

</service>

Mapping of a protocol binding



Mapping of a protocol



Aspects
WSDL
WSDL-SOAP

- <portType name="BuySellProtocol">

- <!--

original cx operation =
/BuySell/Community/BuySellProtocol/Order -->

= <operation name="Order">

- <!--

original cx flow port =
/BuySell/Community/BuySellProtocol/Order/Order -->

<input name="Order" message="tns:Order" />


<output name="OrderConfirmation"
message="tns:OrderConfirmation" />

<fault name="OrderDenied"
message="tns:OrderDenied" />

</operation>

</portType>

Mapping of message types




Aspects
WSDL
WSDL-SOAP

-

```
- <message name="Order">
  <part name="Order" type="Ordering:Order" />
<message name="OrderConfirmation">
  <part name="OrderConfirmation"
type="Ordering:OrderConfirmation" />
</message>  </message>
- <message name="OrderDenied">
  <part name="OrderDenied"
type="Ordering:OrderDenied" />
</message>
```

Mapping of data types



- `<xs2001:complexType name="Order">`

- `<xs2001:sequence>`

`<xs2001:element minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="1" name="CompanyID" type="CoreTypes:CompanyID" />`

`<xs2001:element minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="1" name="OrderID" type="Ordering:OrderID" />`

`<xs2001:element minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded" name="Item" type="Ordering:Item" />`

`</xs2001:sequence>`


`</xs2001:complexType>`

Ways to map



- Generate code and other artifacts
 - Usually required to adapt to legacy
 - Emulates the manual process
- Assemble and configure existing generic and specific components
 - Both efficient and dynamic
- Interpret or otherwise “animate” the high-level model
 - High level & dynamic but may have performance issues
- Simulate
 - Evaluate technical and business impact through simulation

Example Generated Artifacts



Artifact generation involves multiple tools

- EJB Container provider; Deployment tools; Packers;
- java development tools (IDE); persistence provider; ...

Typical 10-20 per PIM Classifier

0-20% manual override


Vision



Building and adapting
systems for collaboration,
reuse and change

Loosely coupled enterprise architecture

- Independent enterprise components
- Representing business concepts
- Link via open standards




Business Component Marketplace




- The business component marketplace is projected to be a 10b market in 5 years
- Consider the value of XML components that wrap popular legacy
- New application functionality built from components
- Components for integration and transformation
- XML and web services makes an excellent basis for such components
- Technology components, such as for repositories and DBMS
- Marketplace may be inside the enterprise or commercial

OMG Model Driven Architecture (MDA)


- High level – platform independent models
- Technology Models
- Mapping
 - Custom
 - Standard
- Standard Models produce technology specific standards artifacts



Automated MDA



Technology Independence



High level tooling & infrastructure



- MUST BE SIMPLE!

- We must be able to create better applications faster
- We must separate the technology and business concerns, enable the user

- Tooling + Infrastructure


- Executable models are source code
- Tooling must be technology aware
- Infrastructure must support tooling, not manual techniques

- Model based component architectures


High level tooling & infrastructure

■ MUST BE SIMPLE!

- We must be able to create better applications faster
- We must separate the technology and business



Iterative Development



MDA Solution Factory




- Put together the
 - Best practices
 - Expertise
 - Enterprise Architecture
 - Infrastructure
 - Automated tooling
- To produce and integrate robust business collaborations quickly & reliably

Net effect



- Using these open standards and automated techniques we can;
 - Achieve the strategic advantage of an open and flexible enterprise
 - Produce and/or integrate these systems FASTER and CHEAPER than could be done with legacy techniques
 - Provide a lasting asset that will outlive the technology of the day

Advanced EDOC



Business Process Profile



how things are coordinated

Business Processes



- Specialize CCA
- Activity-centric view of a Process
- Express
 - Complex temporal and data dependencies between business activities
 - Iteration of activities
 - Alternative required Inputs and Outputs of activities
 - Roles related to performers, artifacts and responsible parties for activities


Relationships Profile



Useful associations and dependencies

Relationships Profile

- Enables non-binary aggregations
- Defines useful association and dependency stereotypes



Patterns Profile



reusing
parameterised
designs

Patterns Profile

- Profiles UML Parameterized Collaborations
- Based on Business Function Object Patterns (BFOP)
 - Multi-Layer
 - Based on Catalysis Approach
- Adds stereotypes for
 - Named Patterns
 - Inheritance
 - Composition
 - Pattern Binding with renaming

Platform Specific Modelling



- EJB, FCM, MOF
- Technology mappings from EDOC to Distributed Component and Message Flow Platform Specific Models
 - EDOC to J2EE/EJB mapping
 - EDOC to CORBA/CCM mapping
 - EDOC Business Process to FCM mapping
 - EDOC Business Process to CORBA mapping

Discussion



- How much are we using these kinds of concepts today?
- What would be the advantages to going in this direction?
- What are the barriers;
 - Technical?
 - Political?
- What would be a good first step?

Contact



Cory Casanave

Data Access Technologies

www.enterprise-component.com

cory-c@enterprise-component.com

(305) 234-7077