Distributed Real-time & Embedded (DRE) Systems

- Network-centric and large-scale “systems of systems”
  - e.g., industrial automation, emergency response
- Multiple end-to-end application flows that require various QoS properties
  - e.g., CPU, memory, network QoS
- Integrated solutions with network QoS mechanisms
  - e.g., Differentiated services (DiffServ), Integrated services (IntServ), Multi-protocol Layer Switching (MPLS)

DRE systems developed via robust and reliable system composition and integration of services and applications
Case Study: Modern Office Environment

- Office traffic operates over IP networks & Fast ethnernets
- Multiple application flows:
  - Email
  - Videoconferencing
  - Sensory (e.g., fire alarms)
- Differing network QoS requirements
  - Fire alarm – highest priority
  - Surveillance – multimedia
  - Temperature sensing – best effort
- QoS provisioned using DiffServ

Network QoS Provisioning Steps

1. Specify network QoS requirements for each application flow
2. Allocate network-level resources and DiffServ Code Points (DSCP) for every application flow joining two end points
3. Mark outgoing packet with the right DSCP values
Challenge 1: QoS Requirements Specification

- Specify required levels of service
  - High priority or High Reliability
  - 10 Mbps forward and reverse bandwidth
- Reusable software controllers deploy the same application code
  - Fire sensor deployed in the parking lot as well as server room
  - Different network QoS requirements based on importance levels
- Problem
  - manual or programmatic specification of network QoS requirements
    - tedious
    - error-prone
    - non-scalable
Challenge 2: Network Resource Allocation

- Allocate and configure network resources and devices
  - availability of resources
  - per-hop-behavior configurations at routers
- Extensible network resource allocation
  - Requirements vary depending on the deployed contexts
  - Mechanisms vary depending on deployed contexts
- Problem
  - application code modification to work with network QoS mechanisms
    - No awareness of usability context
    - tied down to a particular network QoS mechanism
    - On-demand use of network QoS mechanisms
Challenge 3: Runtime Network QoS Settings

- Application remote communications
  - using chosen network QoS settings (e.g., DSCP markings)
  - network layer differentiation
  - written code to instruct the middleware to add network QoS settings on behalf of the applications

- DRE application development process
  - no awareness to the deployed context
  - focus on business logic rather than write code to provision QoS

- Problem
  - manual or programmatic specification of network QoS settings
  - tedious
  - error-prone
  - non-scalable
NetQoPE Multistage Architecture

- NetQoPE provides a three-stage solution for the three challenges described
  - Stage 1
    - Capabilities for intuitive and scalable network QoS specification
  - Stage 2:
    - Capabilities for resource allocation and configuration
  - Stage 3:
    - Capabilities for runtime support for QoS settings enforcement
Stage 1: Model Driven Engineering

Office Scenario

• same application – different network QoS class and requirements
• same network QoS class – different requirements
• multiple network QoS class specifications

• Model Driven Engineering solution
  • Component QoS Modeling Language (CQML)
  • Provides intuitive abstractions to specify QoS
  • Scalable solutions
  • Developed in GME

• Network QoS modeling allows modeling QoS per application flow
  • Classification into high priority (HP), high reliability (HR), multimedia (MM) and best effort (BE) classes
  • Enables bandwidth reservation in both directions
  • Client propagated or server declared models
Stage 2: Resource Allocator Engine (1/3)

- Resource allocation framework – RACE network resource allocator
  - pluggable network resource allocators
  - specific solution – DiffServ Bandwidth Broker from Telcordia Technologies
- Deployment descriptors from CQML
  - end-to-end application flows requiring QoS requirements
  - allocation done only when necessary
  - context-specific allocations
Stage 2: Resource Allocator Engine (2/3)

- Two-phase resource allocation and configuration
- Admission control capabilities
  - allocation of resources one-flow at a time
  - preferences given for flows with more importance
  - provide opportunity to change implementations or change deployment
    - resources not available
    - degraded QoS planning at design time rather than at runtime
Stage 2: Resource Allocator Engine (3/3)

- Network device configuration
  - Flow provisioner – hides network device API differences and complexities
  - per-hop behavior at routers
  - allocation of DiffServ codepoints to be used by application remote communications
  - deployment descriptors used for deploying applications with needed network QoS
Stage 3: Runtime Policy Framework (1/3)

- Network settings configurator -- DAnCE
  - auto-configure applications with the network QoS settings specified in the deployment descriptors
  - specification of middleware policies to configure underlying middleware to add network QoS settings
    - e.g., forward and reverse (request/reply) DSCP markings to IP packets
Stage 3: Runtime Policy Framework (2/3)

- Enforcement of network QoS settings – CIAO middleware
  - appropriate network QoS settings provisioned to applications using object references
  - application transparent
    - no extra code written
    - object references encoded with policies to add DSCP markings
  - container programming model
Stage 3: Runtime Policy Framework (3/3)

- Client propagated network policy model
  - clients dictate the forward and reverse DSCP markings to be used
  - reverse DSCP marking to be used added as a service context in the request

- Server declared network policy model
  - servers dictate both the forward and reverse DSCP markings to be used
  - handshake between client and server
Evaluating NetQoPE

• Experimental Setup
  • ISISlab setup blade servers running Fedora core
  • DiffServ QoS over IP Networks
  • Telcordia Bandwidth Broker
  • CIAO Applications deployed over different blades
  • Linux router software

• Evaluation objectives
  • Overhead of the runtime middleware adding network QoS settings when applications make remote communications
  • QoS customization capabilities for different applications
  • admission control capabilities
• Rationale – NetQoPE’s Overhead measurements
  • CQML, RACE network resource allocator, and network configurator used before runtime
  • no runtime overhead
  • runtime overhead added by the CIAO middleware to add DSCP markings in both the network policy models
Results 1: Measuring Runtime Overhead (2/3)

- Experiment methodology – measure average invocation latency
  - client and server with no network QoS
  - client and server with network QoS
    - client propagated network policy model
    - server declared network policy model
Similar average latencies for all the three different kinds of communications. Addition of DSCP markings did not take substantially long time.
Results 2: QoS Customization Capabilities (1/4)

- Rationale – evaluate NetQoPE’s QoS customization capabilities
  - provisioning different network QoS requirements
  - same application code – different QoS requirements
  - same network QoS class – different bandwidth requirements
Results 2: QoS Customization Capabilities (2/4)

- Methodology – measure average invocation latency
  - high priority network QoS class
  - high reliability network QoS class
  - multimedia network QoS class
  - best effort network QoS class
  - different QoS requirement contexts
Results 2: QoS Customization Capabilities (3/4)

• Methodology
  • all links 100 Mbps
  • background network loads
  • sufficient link capacity in each of the links for each of the network QoS classes
  • resources allocated and application communications with DSCP markings
Results 2: QoS Customization Capabilities (4/4)

Different network QoS classes provisioned in the presence of background network loads

Same client application code – different network QoS contexts

Same destination application code – different network QoS contexts
Results 3: Resource Allocation Capabilities (1/5)

- Rationale – evaluate NetQoPE’s resource allocation capabilities
  - two-phase resource allocation process
  - non-availability of network resources provides opportunities to change deployment contexts
  - degraded QoS decisions before applications are running
Results 3: Resource Allocation Capabilities (2/5)

• Methodology – how many pairs can be deployed?
  • multiple pairs of application components between hosts B and D using high reliability (HR) network QoS class
  • each pair requests 6 Mbps forward and reverse bandwidth
  • total link capacity for HR class – 30 Mbps
  • background traffic in other classes saturated
Results 3: Resource Allocation Capabilities (3/5)

- Methodology – how many pairs can be deployed?
  - deploying up to 4 pairs, admission control capabilities were used
  - more than 4 pairs, admission control capabilities were not used
    - first phase of the two phase not used
    - just router configuration was done, and DSCP markings to be used provided
Results 3: Resource Allocation Capabilities (4/5)

- Average invocation latency
  - average latencies similar for all the application communications when admission control is used
  - average latencies vary a lot when admission control was not used.
  - application logic totally decoupled from working with network QoS mechanisms
Results 3: Resource Allocation Capabilities (5/5)

- No admission control
  - even deserving applications suffer
  - require runtime application adaptations
  - deployment/design time admission control would have prevented more than 5 applications
    - degraded QoS in the case of more than 5 applications
Concluding Remarks

- Different stages required to address the variabilities in the problem and solution space
  - NetQoPE provides a combination of design/deployment/runtime solutions to provide network QoS provisioning for applications
  - application-transparent solutions providing opportunities to support many QoS deployment contexts

NetQoPE can be downloaded from: www.dre.vanderbilt.edu