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What means *Hard Real-Time Computing*?

A real-time computer system must produce results before *deadlines* that are dictated by the environment.

If the result has no utility after the deadline has passed, the deadline is called *firm* otherwise it is *soft*.

**If a catastrophe could result if a firm deadline is missed, the deadline is called *hard*.**

A real-time computer system that has to meet at least one hard deadline is called a *hard real-time system*.

Hard- and soft real-time system design are fundamentally different.

**Examples for hard real time systems:** Engine Control, X-by-Wire, Nuclear Power, Flight Control, Medical Systems
# Hard Real Time versus Soft Real Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Hard Real Time</th>
<th>Soft Real Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Response time</td>
<td>hard</td>
<td>soft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacing</td>
<td>environment</td>
<td>computer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak-Load Perform.</td>
<td>predictable</td>
<td>degraded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error Detection</td>
<td>system</td>
<td>user</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>critical</td>
<td>non-critical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redundancy</td>
<td>active</td>
<td>standby</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time Granularity</td>
<td>millisecond</td>
<td>second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Files</td>
<td>small/medium</td>
<td>large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Integrity</td>
<td>short term</td>
<td>long term</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Example of a Hard Real-Time System
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CC: Communication Controller
What is a “Component”?  

In our context, a component is complete computer system that is time aware and forms an independent Fault Containment Region (FCR). It consists of:

- The hardware
- The system and application software
- The internal state

The component interacts with its environment by the exchange of messages via interfaces.

What is a software component? Does it have state? Does it form an FCR?
Messages must be correct, both in the domains of time and value.
The 10^-9 Challenge in Hard Real-Time Systems

♦ The system as a whole must be more reliable than any one of its components: e.g., System Dependability 1 FIT--Component dependability 1000 FIT (1 FIT: 1 failure in 10^9 hours)

♦ Architecture must support fault-tolerance to mask component failures

♦ System as a whole is not testable to the required level of dependability.

♦ The safety argument is based on a combination of experimental evidence about the expected failure modes and failures rates of fault-containment regions (FCR) and a formal dependability model that depicts the system structure from the point of view of dependability.
Make Certain that Components Fail Independently

A component forms a Fault-Containment Region (FCR).

Any dependence of FCR failures must be reflected in the dependability model--a challenging task!

Independence is a system property. Independence of FCRs can be compromised by

- Shared physical resources (hardware, power supply, time-base, etc.)
- External faults (EMI, heat, shock, spatial proximity)
- Design
- Flow of erroneous messages
- Composite Interfaces
Some Important Concepts in Relation to Time

We assume a (dense) Newtonian time in the environment.

**Instant**: cut of the timeline

**Duration**: interval on the timeline

**Event**: occurrence at an instant--has no duration

---

**Omniscient Observer**: has a reference clock that is in perfect Synchrony with Atomic Time

**Absolute Timestamp**: Timestamp generated by the reference clock
RT Entities, RT Images and RT Objects

Operator

Distributed Computer

Control Object

- RT Entity
- RT Image
- RT Object

A: Measured Value of Flow
B: Setpoint for Flow
C: Intended Valve Position
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Real Time (RT) Entity

A Real-Time (RT) Entity is a state variable of interest for the given purpose that changes its state as a function of real-time. We distinguish between:

♦ Continuous RT Entities
♦ Discrete RT Entities

Examples of RT Entities:

♦ Flow in a Pipe (Continuous)
♦ Position of a Switch (Discrete)
♦ Setpoint selected by an Operator
♦ Intended Position of an Actuator
Observation

Information about the state of a RT-entity at a particular point in time is captured in an observation.

An observation is an atomic triple

\[
\text{Observation} = \langle \text{Name}, \text{Time}, \text{Value} \rangle
\]

consisting of:

♦ The name of the RT-entity
♦ The point in real-time when the observation has been made
♦ The values of the RT-entity

Observations are transported in messages.
State and Event Observation

An observation is a *state observation*, if the value of the observation contains the full or partial state of the RT-entity. The time of a state observation denotes the point in time when the RT-entity was sampled.

An observation is an *event observation*, if the value of the observation contains the difference between the “old state” (the last observed state) and the “new state”. The time of the event information denotes the point in time of the *Left-event* of the “new state”.

![Diagram showing state and event observations](attachment:diagram.png)
Example of State and Event Observation

State observation (blue):
<Name of RT entity, Time of observation, full value>
*The flow is at 5 l/sec a 10:45 a.m.*

Event Observation (red):
<Name of Event, Time of event occurrence, state difference>
*The flow changed by 1 l/sec at 10:45 a.m.*
A RT-Image is a picture of a RT Entity. A RT image is *accurate* at a given point in time, if it is an accurate representation, both in the domains of value and time, of the corresponding RT Entity.

How long is the observation:

“The traffic light is green”

temporally accurate?

Temporal parameters are associated with real-time data.
If a RT-image is updated by observations, then there will always be a delay between the state of the RT entity and that of the RT image.
The consequences of a significant jitter:

- Measurement error increases
- Probability of Orphans
- Action Delay increases
- Clock Synchronization difficult
- Sporadic Failures in time-critical scenarios
Most of the time, the system will operate correctly.
Elementary vs. Composite Interface

Consider a **unidirectional data flow** between two subsystems (e.g., data flow from sensor node to processing node).

We distinguish between:

- **Elementary Interface:**
  - Sender
  - Receiver

- **Composite Interface:**
  - A composite Interface introduces a control dependency between the Sender and Receiver and thus compromises their independence.

  - Example: state message in a DPRAM
  - Queue of event messages

A composite Interface introduces a control dependency between the Sender and Receiver and thus compromises their independence.
Elementary vs. Composite Interface

Consider a **unidirectional data flow** between two subsystems (e.g., data flow from sensor node to processing node).

We distinguish between:

**Elementary Interface:**
- Sender
- Receiver

**Composite Interface:**
- A composite Interface introduces a control dependency between the Sender and Receiver and thus compromises their independence.

Example:
- State message in a DPRAM
- Queue of event messages

A composite Interface introduces a control dependency between the Sender and Receiver and thus compromises their independence.
State Message versus Event Message

♦ **State Message**: A periodic time-triggered message that contains *state observations* (synchronous).
Message handling: update in place and non-consuming read.
Periodic state messages can be implemented as an *elementary* interface (*no dependence* of sender on receivers) with error detection at the receiver.

♦ **Event Message**: An event-triggered message that contains *event observations* (asynchronous).
Message handling: exactly-once semantics, realized by message queues. Requires a *composite* interface (*dependence* of sender on receivers) for error detection at the sender.

(Compare “sampled message” and “queued message” in ARINC)
Examples of ET and TT Messages

ET Message:
Client Server Request
Interrupt
Alarm Message
Diagnostic Message

TT Message:
Data Sampling
Control Loop
Periodic Display Refresh
Multimedia

Flexibility, Openness. Best Effort Performance
Temporal Predictability, Minimal Jitter
Unidirectional Information Transfer

Event-Message- Event Triggered:

State Message- Time Triggered:
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Information Type</th>
<th>Event Message:</th>
<th>State Message:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temporal Pattern</td>
<td>Event Information</td>
<td>State Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semantics</td>
<td>Sporadic</td>
<td>Periodic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sender Access</td>
<td>Exactly Once</td>
<td>At least Once</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiver Access</td>
<td>Queue--Add Mess.</td>
<td>Read from DPRAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synchronization</td>
<td>Dequeue--Consume</td>
<td>Overwrite DPRAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min. Temp. Distance</td>
<td>Tight</td>
<td>Loose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow Control</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error Detection</td>
<td>Explicit (PAR Prot.)</td>
<td>Implicit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of Message</td>
<td>At Sender</td>
<td>At Receiver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Pattern</td>
<td>Loss of State Syncr.</td>
<td>Loss of Period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multicast Topology</td>
<td>Bidirectional (Queue)</td>
<td>Unidirectional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jitter</td>
<td>Difficult, Composite</td>
<td>Easy, Elementary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Significant</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What Simplifies Open Interconnect?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Information Type</th>
<th>Event Message:</th>
<th>State Message:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temporal Pattern</td>
<td>Event Information</td>
<td>State Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semantics</td>
<td>Sporadic</td>
<td>Periodic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sender Access</td>
<td>Exactly Once</td>
<td>At least Once</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiver Access</td>
<td>Queue--Add Mess.</td>
<td>Read from DPRAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synchronization</td>
<td>Dequeue--Consume</td>
<td>Overwrite DPRAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min. Temp. Distance</td>
<td>Tight</td>
<td>Loose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow Control</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error Detection</td>
<td>Explicit (PAR Prot.)</td>
<td>Implicit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of Message</td>
<td>At Sender</td>
<td>At Receiver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Pattern</td>
<td>Loss of State Synchr.</td>
<td>Loss of Period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multicast Topology</td>
<td>Bidirectional (Queue)</td>
<td>Unidirectional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jitter</td>
<td>Difficult</td>
<td>Easy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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What Simplifies Fault Tolerance (TMR)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Information Type</th>
<th>Event Message:</th>
<th>State Message:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temporal Pattern</td>
<td>Event Information</td>
<td>State Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semantics</td>
<td>Sporadic</td>
<td>Periodic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sender Access</td>
<td>Exactly Once</td>
<td>At least Once</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiver Access</td>
<td>Queue--Add Mess.</td>
<td>Read from DPRAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synchronization</td>
<td>Dequeue--Consume</td>
<td>Overwrite DPRAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min. Temp. Distance</td>
<td>Tight</td>
<td>Loose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow Control</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error Detection</td>
<td>Explicit (PAR Prot.)</td>
<td>Implicit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of Message</td>
<td>At Sender</td>
<td>At Receiver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Pattern</td>
<td>Loss of State Synchr.</td>
<td>Loss of Period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multicast Topology</td>
<td>Bidirectional (Queue)</td>
<td>Unidirectional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jitter</td>
<td>Difficult</td>
<td>Easy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Significant</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Preliminary Conclusion

♦ In hard real-time systems, we need **both services**
  • Event Message Transport
  • State Message Transport
♦ Time-critical information should be transported in State Messages
♦ Event Messages provide open and flexible mechanisms for the transport of *non-time critical* information
♦ The Architecture must support analytical reasoning about its safety, since ultradependability is not testable.
The TTA is Waist-line Architecture

Basic Services:
- TT Transport
- Clock Sync
- Fault Isolation
- Diagnosis

Higher-level services:
- Application Diagnosis
- Virtual Networks
- ET Transport
- FTU Layer
- Gateway

Application Software using basic and higher level services

New high-level services to ease application development

Formally analyzed and validated basic services are available and stable

Implementation of basic services is hidden from the application

Extend the range of Implementation choices
The TTA distinguishes between four basic services and an open-ended set of high-level services. The basic services are:

1. Time Triggered Transport of Messages
2. Fault-Tolerant clock synchronization
3. Strong Fault-Isolation Services
4. Diagnostic service

The high level services depend on the basic services, while the basic services do not depend on the high-level services!
Basic Service 1: Message Transport by TTP/C

- TTP (Time-Triggered Protocol) generates a fault-tolerant global time-base.
- Media access is controlled by TDMA, based on this time. ET messages are piggy-packed on the basic TT messages.
- Information identified by the common knowledge of the send/receive times.
- Two independent intelligent star couplers provide fault isolation in the temporal domain.
- Membership service to detect crash/omission (CO) failures. Also used to detect violations of the fault hypothesis.
If the occurrence of events is restricted to some active intervals with duration $\pi$ with an interval of silence of duration $\Delta$ between any two active intervals, then we call the timebase $\pi/\Delta$-sparse, or sparse for short.

Events are only allowed to occur at subintervals of the timeline.
Basic Service 3: Fault Isolation

In the Time-Triggered Architecture Fault-Containment Regions (FCRs) communicate by the exchange of messages:

♦ In a properly configured system, any FCR (node) can fail in an without disrupting the operation of the nodes that have not been directly affected by the fault.

♦ Error Detection in the **Time Domain** is in the responsibility of the architecture. It is performed by independent replicated guardians which are part of the architecture.

♦ Error Detection in the **Value Domain** is in the responsibility of the fault-tolerance layer or of the application (e.g., by TMR), supported by **post condition checks** at the guardians.

♦ TTP/C contains also a clique avoidance service, based on a membership service to detect a violation from the fault hypothesis.
Basic Service 4: Diagnosis

The TTP/C membership service checks continuously, which node is alive and which node has failed. It monitors the correctness of the distributed computing base.

♦ The periodic TT message of each node is interpreted as a life sign of the sender.

♦ In order to distinguish between a sender fault and a receiver fault, the view of a third node is considered to be the judge (single fault assumption)

♦ Delay of the membership service < 2 TDMA rounds.
HL Service: ET Transport

Layered: ET service is implemented on top of a TT protocol
Single time triggered access media access protocol.

Maintains Temporal Composability

The CAN Protocol and the TCP/IP Protocol have been implemented on top of basic TTP/C in order to be able to use legacy software and to support the integration of CORBA.
HL Service: CORBA Integration

Object Request Broker (ORB)--GIOP communication

Time-Triggered Architecture

Corba Facilities:
- Time
- Internationalization
- Domain Specific, e.g., Banking, Health Care

Corba Services:
- Naming
- Transaction
- Security
- Persistent State
- Event Notification, and more
Constraints on a Proposed Solution in CORBA

- Interoperability with traditional ORBs
- Provide Hard Real-Time capabilities by supporting State Message Transport in addition to Event Message Transport
- Provide Composability
- Support of Fault Tolerance
- Support Analytical Reasoning about Dependability at the level of the base Architecture
- Should be viable on embedded systems (small footprint)
Proposed Solution

- No changes required at ORB
- Application dependent Extensible Transport Plugin (could be generated by a tool)
- Additional Overhead in the Extensible Transport Plugin (can be neglected if CPU power is significantly greater than network performance)
- For a prototype we use the Open Communication Interface (OCI) as Extensible Transport
Proposed Mechanisms

♦ Communication Infrastructure provides both ET Message Channel and TT Message Channel

♦ IIOP works over ET Message Channel without any modification.

♦ Extensible Transport Plugin on Client’s Side decides if information is available locally or must be requested from the remote CORBA object.
Flow of State and Event Information in CORBA

Client

ORB

OCI

TTP

RT Data

GIOP

Event Information

State Information

Servant

ORB

OCI

GIOP

TTP

RT Data

CORBA

RT Data

Node n-1

Node n

Node n+1
Delay and Jitter of a TT Message

At present TT implementations up to 25 Mbit/second are available:

This implementations achieves:

♦ TDMA round (8 nodes) about 1 msec
♦ Transport delay about 125 µsec
♦ Jitter about 1 µsec

Delay and Jitter at the application level depend on the internal structure of the node local operating system and middleware.
Conclusion

The proposed integration of CORBA in the TTA (time-triggered architecture) as developed within the HRTC project provides:

♦ An architecture which meets the safety requirements of ultradependable hard real-time application.

♦ The seamless integration of this architecture into the open information infrastructure by providing full compatibility with existing CORBA standards.

♦ A new mechanism for the transport of time-critical information within dedicated CORBA subsystems,