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The Sisyphus Syndrome

“There is no question that if Web services are to take off as smoothly as vendors hope, a significant chunk of the more than 20 million programmers in the world will have to write to UDDI, WSDL, XML and SOAP.”

This Won’t Scale

- Technologies for Web services are in flux
  - Several different ways of stacking them
- XML evolved to XML Schema: What’s next?
- SOAP and WSDL are new and evolving
- Will port 80 be what it was intended to be when it is multiplexing myriad Web service messages?
- These technologies are bound to change
- Having Web service developers program directly to them invites rapid obsolescence
  - And is too labor intensive
The Forest for the Trees

- The information, and the services that use and create the information, are central
- Exposing them over the Internet is the breakthrough concept
- XML, SOAP, WSDL, UDDI, etc., are important, but must be kept in perspective
  - The process of producing individual Web services must be as independent of these technologies as possible
1st Generation Web Service Integration

- Projecting Java, CORBA, or COM objects and components as Web services
  - Tools generate the WSDL, SOAP, XML etc.
    - and generate the 3GL code that binds them to middle/back tiers
  - Meets criteria for independence

- But Web services will be coarser grained than many of the already-existing objects and components
  - Web services as compositions of more primitive functionality

- Thus, Web services will have to be designed
2nd Generation Web Service Integration

- Web service design, driven by
  - business requirements
  - need to minimize network traffic
- Design vocabulary should provide information and service abstractions independent of XML, WSDL, SOAP, UDDI
- Trend toward tools that can automate production of XML, WSDL, SOAP, UDDI, and 3GL implementation code from the design input
  - But must support fine tuning by engineers familiar with these technologies
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Adapted from Mike Rosen’s “Architecting Web Services” webcast

Total Business Integration™
Maximizing Reuse Over Different Technology Stacks
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Raising the Level of Abstraction

- Part of general trend
- Already well-established for front and back ends
  - WYSIWYG GUI modeling and data modeling
  - Hand coding no longer predominates
  - But tuning allowed
- Early Web applications wired Web front end directly to back end
  - Some companies avoided building intermediate tiers
  - Web services and B2B require intermediate tiers to expose coarse grained business services
  - Abstraction to allow reuse of the coarse grained business services via various technologies
Unified Modeling Language™ (UML™)

- Standard, managed by the OMG
- Suitable for expressing information and services
- Widely accepted
- Basis for OMG’s Model-Driven Architecture (MDA™)
Informal UML Models

- Informal modeling
- Used to sketch out basic concepts
- Advantage over typical box and line diagrams because shapes and line types have specific meanings
- Important way to use UML, but can’t drive code generators and dynamic execution engines
  - Analogously, informal text can’t be compiled and executed like 3GL text
Formal UML Models

- Precise
  - Precision and detail are *not* the same!
- Computationally complete
  - Missing properties and unresolved references not acceptable
  - 3GL analogy…
    - an incomplete expression such as “a +” does not compile
    - An undeclared identifier does not compile
Business Information Model
Imprecise and Incomplete

<<BusinessEntity>>
Account
id : String
balance : Float

1..n

<<BusinessEntity>>
SavingsAccount
interestRate : Float

<<BusinessEntity>>
CheckingAccount
minBalance : Float

<<BusinessEntity>>
PreferredChecking

<<BusinessEntity>>
Customer
socialSecurityNum : String
name : String
address : String
Business Information Model
Precise and Complete

context Account inv:
--The first character of the id must be the same as the first character of the customer name
id->substring(1,1) = customer.name ->substring(1,1)

context PreferredChecking inv:
--Cannot go below the minBalance
balance >= minBalance
Business Information Model
Precise and Complete

Disjoint means no instance can be an instance of both subclasses.

context Account inv:
--The first character of the id must be the same as the first character of the customer name
id->substring(1,1) = customer.name -> substring(1,1)

Invariant rules expressed in UML’s Object Constraint Language (OCL)

context PreferredChecking inv:
--Cannot go below the minBalance
balance >= minBalance

Composition of Account by Customer formally captures an important business rule: An account cannot be transferred from one customer to another.
Business Service Model
Design by Contract™

<<BusinessService>>
FundsXFer

XferFromChecking(in fromAcct : CheckingAccount, in toAcct : SavingsAccount, amount : Float, out fromAcctBal : Float, out toAcctBal : Float)

context FundsXFer (XferFromChecking)
-- Pre and post conditions

pre:
   {fromAcct.balance >= amount}
   {fromAccount.customer = toAccount.customer}

post:
   {fromAcct.balance = fromAcct.balance@pre - amount}
   {toAcct.balance = toAcct.balance@pre + amount}
   {fromAcctBal = fromAcct.balance}
   {toAcctBal = toAcct.balance}
Mapping the Business Information Model to XML

XML DTD (or Schema)

```
...<!ELEMENT Bank.Customer.SocialSecurityNum (#PCDATA | XML.reference)*>
<!ELEMENT Bank.Customer.name (#PCDATA | XML.reference)* >
<!ELEMENT Bank.Customer.Address (#PCDATA | XML.reference)* >
...
```
Mapping the Business Service Model to WSDL

The message payload format is based on a UML-XML mapping applied to the business information model.
Fine Tuning XML Generation Using XMI Parameters

context Account inv:
--The id must begin with "A" and be seven characters long
id->substring(1,1) = "A" and id->size( ) = 7

context PreferredChecking inv:
--Cannot go below the minBalance
balance >= minBalance

context SavingsAccount
interestRate : Float

context CheckingAccount
minBalance : Float

context Customer
socialSecurityNum : String
name : String
address : String

PrefferedChecking
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Total Business Integration™
UML Profiles

- In order to define a profile...
  - Select a subset of UML
  - Use UML’s built in extension mechanisms to extend the subset
    - Stereotypes, e.g. «BusinessEntity>>
    - Tagged Values, e.g. {href = true}

- Two uses of profiles
  - To model a particular domain, e.g. business information, business services, business collaborations, realtime systems, telecomm, etc.
  - To parameterize mappings to specific technologies
ebXML from Information model to XML representation

Adapted from Klaus-Dieter Naujok’s “ebXML and Web Services” webcast
The Frontier...
Automating Business Processes and Choreographies

- Here again, there is a mix of technologies
  - ebXML Business Process Specification Schema (BPSS)
  - RosettaNet PIP
  - WSFL, WSEL (IBM)
  - XLANG (Microsoft)

- Here again, the business processes and choreographies are central

- UML Activity and Interaction Models can provide the necessary abstractions
  - With mappings to the various technologies
UML Interaction Model

From UN/CEFACT Modeling Methodology (UMM)
MDA: The Big Picture

- Raising the level of abstraction on a broader scale
- Efforts underway to define UML profiles for
  - Realtime
  - Telecom
  - Component Composition and Assembly
- Meta Object Facility (MOF™)
  - Subset of UML
    - With semantics nailed down precisely
    - For modeling metadata
  - Mappings to XML (XMI), Java (JMI), and CORBA
- Like Web Services Integration, MDA can be overhyped
  - Transition will unfold gradually
  - But will be profound
MOF Overview

= MOF CORBA Interfaces
= MOF Java Interfaces (JMI)
= MOF XML (XMI) Import / Export

Work in progress: MOF-WSDL mapping
To Learn More

- **UML 1.4**

- **MOF 1.4**
  - [http://cgi.omg.org/cgi-bin/doc?ptc/01-08-22](http://cgi.omg.org/cgi-bin/doc?ptc/01-08-22)

- **XMI 2.0**

- **JMI Public Review Release**
  - [http://jcp.org/aboutJava/communityprocess/review/jsr040/index.html](http://jcp.org/aboutJava/communityprocess/review/jsr040/index.html)

- **UML Profile for Schedulability, Performance, and Time (a.k.a. UML Profile for Realtime)**
Trademarks

- Design by Contract is a trademark of Interactive Software Engineering
- Model-Driven Architecture, MDA, Unified Modeling Language, UML, XMI, and MOF are trademarks of the Object Management Group (OMG)
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