1. Introduction

The Business Process Management Initiative (BPMI) has developed a standard Business Process Modeling Notation (BPMN). The primary goal of BPMN is to provide a notation that is readily understandable by all business users, from the business analysts that create the initial drafts of the processes, to the technical developers responsible for implementing the technology that will perform those processes, and finally, to the business people who will manage and monitor those processes. Thus, BPMN creates a standardized bridge for the gap between the business process design and process implementation.

Another goal, but no less important, is to ensure that XML languages designed for the execution of business processes, such as BPEL4WS (Business Process Execution Language for Web Services), can be visualized with a common notation.

This specification defines the notation and semantics of a Business Process Diagram (BPD) and represents the amalgamation of best practices within the business modeling community. The intent of BPMN is to standardize a business process modeling notation in the face of many different modeling notations and viewpoints. In doing so, BPMN will provide a simple means of communicating process information to other business users, process implementers, customers, and suppliers. The membership of the BPMI Notation Working Group has brought forth expertise and experience with the many existing notations and has sought consolidate the best ideas from these divergent notations into a single standard notation. Examples of other notations or methodologies that were reviewed are UML Activity Diagram, UML EDOC Business Processes, IDEF, ebXML BPSS, Activity-Decision Flow (ADF) Diagram, RosettaNet, LOVeM, and Event-Process Chains (EPCs).

The BPMN specification defines a mapping from BPMN to BPEL4WS and is comprised of the following topics:

BPMN Overview provides an introduction to BPMN, its requirements, and discusses the range of modeling purposes that BPMN can convey.

Business Process Diagrams provides a summary of the BPMN graphical elements and their relationships.

Business Process Diagram Graphical Objects details the graphical representation and the semantics of the behavior of BPMN Diagram elements.

Connecting Objects defines the graphical objects used to connect two objects together (i.e., the connecting lines of the Diagram) and how flow progresses through a Process (i.e., through a straight sequence or through the creation of parallel or alternative paths).

BPMN by Example provides a walkthrough of a sample Process using BPMN.

Mapping to BPEL4WS provides the formal mechanism for converting a BPMN Diagram to a BPEL4WS document.

References provides a list of normative and non-normative references.

Open Issues provides a list of issues that will affect the future of the BPMN specification.

Appendix A: E-Mail Voting Process BPEL4WS provides a full sample of BPEL4WS code based on the example business process described in the “BPMN by Example” section.

Appendix B: BPMN Element Attributes and Types provides the complete set of BPMN Element attributes and the definition of types that support the attributes.
Appendix C: Glossary presents an alphabetical index of terms that are relevant to practitioners of BPMN.

1.1 Conventions

The section introduces the conventions used in this document. This includes (text) notational conventions and notations for schema components. Also included are designated namespace definitions.

1.1.1 Typographical and Linguistic Conventions and Style

This specification incorporates the following conventions:

- The keywords “MUST,” “MUST NOT,” “REQUIRED,” “SHALL,” “SHALL NOT,” “SHOULD,” “SHOULD NOT,” “RECOMMENDED,” “MAY,” and “OPTIONAL” in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC-2119.

- A term is a word or phrase that has a special meaning. When a term is defined, the term name is highlighted in **bold** typeface.

- A reference to another definition, section, or specification is highlighted with [underlined](#) typeface and provides a link to the relevant location in this specification.

- A reference to an element, attribute, or BPMN construct is highlighted with a capitalized word (e.g., Sub-Process).

- A reference to a BPEL4WS element, attribute, or construct is highlighted with an italic lower-case word, usually preceded by the word “BPEL4WS” (e.g., BPEL4WS pick).

- Non-normative examples are set of in boxes and accompanied by a brief explanation.

- XML and pseudo text is highlighted with [mono-spaced](#) typeface. Different font colors may be used to highlight the different components of the XML code.

- The cardinality of any content part is specified using the following operators:
  - (none) — exactly once
  - ? — 0 or 1
  - * — 0 or more
  - + — 1 or more
  - Properties separated by | and grouped within ( and ) — alternative values
  - : <value> — default value
1.2 Dependency on Other Specifications

The BPMN specification supports for the following specifications is a normative part of the BPMN specification: BPEL4WS.

The following abbreviations may be used throughout this document:

This abbreviation Refers to

**BPEL4WS** Business Process Execution Language for Web Services (see BPEL4WS). This abbreviation refers specifically to version 1.1 of the specification, but is intended to support future versions of the BPEL4WS specification.

**WSDL** Web Service Description Language (see WSDL). This abbreviation refers specifically to the W3C Technical Note, 15 March 2001, but is intended to support future versions of the WSDL specification.

1.3 Conformance

A BPMN implementation is responsible to perform one or more duties, as outlined below, based on the information contained in this specification.

There are four main aspects of conformance to the BPMN Specification:

- *The visual appearance of the BPMN graphical elements.* A key element of BPMN is the choice of shapes and icons used for the graphical elements identified in this specification. The intent is to create a standard visual language that all process modelers will recognize and understand, regardless of the source of the Diagram. Any tool that is used to create BPMN Diagrams MUST conform to the shapes and markers as defined in this specification. Note that there is flexibility in the size, color, line style, and text positions of the defined graphical elements. Extensions to a BPD are allowed as follows:
  - Extensions can be made to the Diagram elements by way of new markers or indicators associated with the current graphical elements. These markers or indicators could be used to highlight a specific attribute of an activity or to create a new type of Event, for example. In addition, Extensions could also include coloring an object or changing a line style of an object, with the condition that change MAY NOT conflict with any current BPMN defined line style.
  - Extensions MAY NOT change the basic shape of the defined graphical elements and markers (e.g., changing a square into a triangle, or changing rounded corners into squared corners, etc.).
  - Any number of Artifacts, consisting of a variety of shapes, can be added to a Diagram, with the condition that the Artifact shape MAY NOT conflict with any current object shape or defined marker.

- *The semantics of the BPMN elements.* This specification also defines how the graphical elements will interact with each other, including conditional interactions based on attributes that create behavioral variations of the elements. A conformant tool MUST adhere to these semantic definitions.
  - Throughout the document, specific BPMN semantic definitions will be identified through a special diamond-shaped bulleted paragraph, as shown in the following example:
A Task MAY be a target for a Sequence Flow; it can have multiple incoming Flows. Incoming Flow MAY be from an alternative path and/or a parallel path.

- **The mapping of a BPMN Diagram to BPEL4WS.** This draft of the specification will not have completed the mapping. When such a mapping has been completed, a conformant tool MUST adhere to the mapping rules defined in the section entitled “Mapping to BPEL4WS” on page 149. This conformance only applies to tools that generate BPEL4WS from BPMN Diagrams.

- **The exchange of BPMN Diagrams between conformant tools.** This draft of the specification will not contain a standard mechanism for Diagram exchange. The nature of this mechanism has not been defined yet. It could involve the development of a BPMN XML schema that is layered upon the BPEL4WS XML schema or it could involve the use of standard Diagram interchange formats, such a XMI. When an exchange mechanism has been defined, a conformant tool MUST be able to import and export BPMN Diagrams in the specified format.

A conformant implementation is not required to process any non-normative extension elements or attributes, or any BPMN document that contains them.
2. BPMN Overview

There has been much activity in the past two or three years in developing web service-based XML execution languages for Business Process Management (BPM) systems. Languages such as BPEL4WS provide a formal mechanism for the definition of business processes. The key element of such languages is that they are optimized for the operation and inter-operation of BPM Systems. The optimization of these languages for software operations renders them less suited for direct use by humans to design, manage, and monitor business processes. BPEL4WS has both graph and block structures and utilizes the principles of formal mathematical models, such as pi-calculus\(^1\). This technical underpinning provides the foundation for business process execution to handle the complex nature of both internal and B2B interactions and take advantage of the benefits of Web services. Given the nature of BPEL4WS, a complex business process could be organized in a potentially complex, disjointed, and unintuitive format that is handled very well by a software system (or a computer programmer), but would be hard to understand by the business analysts and managers tasked to develop, manage, and monitor the process. Thus, there is a human level of “inter-operability” or “portability” that is not addressed by these web service-based XML execution languages.

Business people are very comfortable with visualizing business processes in a flow-chart format. There are thousands of business analysts studying the way companies work and defining business processes with simple flow charts. This creates a technical gap between the format of the initial design of business processes and the format of the languages, such as BPEL4WS, that will execute these business processes. This gap needs to be bridged with a formal mechanism that maps the appropriate visualization of the business processes (a notation) to the appropriate execution format (a BPM execution language) for these business processes.

Inter-operation of business processes at the human level, rather than the software engine level, can be solved with standardization of the Business Process Modeling Notation (BPMN). BPMN provides a Business Process Diagram (BPD), which is a Diagram designed for use by the people who design and manage business processes. BPMN also provides a formal mapping to an execution language of BPM Systems (BPEL4WS). Thus, BPMN would provide a standard visualization mechanism for business processes defined in an execution optimized business process language.

BPMN will provide businesses with the capability of understanding their internal business procedures in a graphical notation and will give organizations the ability to communicate these procedures in a standard manner. Currently, there are scores of process modeling tools and methodologies. Given that individuals will move from one company to another and that companies will merge and diverge, it is likely that business analysts are required to understand multiple representations of business processes—potentially different representations of the same process as it moves through its lifecycle of development, implementation, execution, monitoring, and analysis. Therefore, a standard graphical notation will facilitate the understanding of the performance collaborations and business transactions within and between the organizations. This will ensure that businesses will understand themselves and participants in their business and will enable organizations to adjust to new internal and B2B business circumstances quickly. To do this, BPMN will follow the tradition of flowcharting.

notations for readability; yet still provide the mapping to the executable constructs. BPMI is using the experience of the business process notations that have preceded BPMN to create the next generation notation that combines readability, flexibility, and expandability.

BPMN will also advance the capabilities of traditional business process notations by inherently handling B2B business process concepts, such as public and private processes and choreographies, as well as advanced modeling concepts, such as exception handling and transaction compensation.

2.1 BPMN Scope

BPMN will be constrained to support only the concepts of modeling that are applicable to business processes. This means that other types of modeling done by organizations for business purposes will be out of scope for BPMN. For example, the modeling of the following will not be a part of BPMN:

- Organizational structures
- Functional breakdowns
- Data models

In addition, while BPMN will show the flow of data (messages), and the association of data artifacts to activities, it is not a data flow Diagram.

2.1.1 Uses of BPMN

Business process modeling is used to communicate a wide variety of information to a wide variety of audiences. BPMN is designed to cover this wide range of usage and allows modeling of end-to-end business processes to allow the viewer of the Diagram to be able to easily differentiate between sections of a BPMN Diagram.

There are three basic types of sub-models within an end-to-end BPMN model:

- Private (internal) business processes
- Abstract (public) processes
- Collaboration (global) Processes

Note: The terminology used to describe the different types of processes has not been standardized. Definitions of these terms are in flux. There is work being done in the World Wide Web Consortium (W3C) and in the Organization for the Advancement of Structured Information Standards (OASIS) that will hopefully consolidate these terms.

Some BPMN specification terms regarding the use of swimlanes (e.g., Pools and Lanes) are used in the descriptions below. Refer to the section entitled “Pools and Lanes” on page 102 for more details on how these elements are used in a BPD.

Private (Internal) Business Processes

Private business processes are those internal to a specific organization and are the types of processes that have been generally called workflow or BPM processes (see Figure 1). A single private business process will map to a single BPEL4WS document.
If swimlanes are used then a private business process will be contained within a single Pool. The Sequence Flow of the Process is therefore contained within the Pool and cannot cross the boundaries of the Pool. Message Flow can cross the Pool boundary to show the interactions that exist between separate private business processes. Thus, a single BPMN Diagram may show multiple private business processes, each mapping to a separate BPEL4WS process.

![Diagram](image1.png)

**Figure 1 Example of Private Business Process**

**Abstract (Public) Processes**

This represents the interactions between a private business process and another process or participant (see Figure 2). Only those activities that are used to communicate outside the private business process are included in the abstract process. All other “internal” activities of the private business process are not shown in the abstract process. Thus, the abstract process shows to the outside world the sequence of messages that are required to interact with that business process. A single abstract process may be mapped to a single BPEL4WS abstract process (however, this mapping will not be done in this version of the specification).

Abstract processes are contained within a Pool and can be modeled separately or within a larger BPMN Diagram to show the Message Flow between the abstract process activities and other entities. If the abstract process is in the same Diagram as its corresponding private business process, then the activities that are common to both processes can be associated.

![Diagram](image2.png)

**Figure 2 Example of an Abstract Business Process**

**Collaboration (Global) Processes**

A collaboration process depicts the interactions between two or more business entities. These interactions are defined as a sequence of activities that represent the message exchange patterns between the entities involved. A single collaboration process may be mapped to various collaboration languages, such as ebXML BPSS, RosettaNet, or the resultant specification from the W3C Choreography Working Group (however, these mappings are considered as future directions for BPMN).
The collaboration process can be shown as two or more abstract processes communicating with each other (see Figure 3). The activities for the collaboration participants are

![Business Process Diagram with Two Points of View](image)

**Types of BPD Diagrams**

Within and between these three BPMN sub-models, many types of Diagrams can be created. The following are the types of business processes that can be modeled with BPMN (those with asterisks may not map to an executable language):

- High-level private process activities (not functional breakdown)*
- Detailed private business process
  - As-is or old business process*
  - To-be or new business process
- Detailed private business process with interactions to one or more external entities (or “Black Box” processes)
- Two or more detailed private business processes interacting
- Detailed private business process relationship to Abstract Process
- Detailed private business process relationship to Collaboration Process
- Two or more Abstract Processes*
- Abstract Process relationship to Collaboration Process*
- Collaboration Process only (e.g., ebXML BPSS or RosettaNet)*
- Two or more detailed private business processes interacting through their Abstract Processes
- Two or more detailed private business processes interacting through a Collaboration
Process

- Two or more detailed private business processes interacting through their Abstract Processes and a Collaboration Process

BPMN is designed to allow all the above types of Diagrams. However, it should be cautioned that if too many types of sub-models are combined, such as three or more private processes with message flow between each of them, then the Diagram may become too hard for someone to understand. Thus, we recommend that the modeler pick a focused purpose for the BPD, such as a private process, or a collaboration process.

**BPMN mappings**

Since BPMN covers such a wide range of usage, it will map to more than one lower-level specification language:

- BPEL4WS are the primary languages that BPMN will map to, but they only cover a single executable private business process. If a BPMN Diagram depicts more than one internal business process, then there will a separate mapping for each on the internal business processes.
- The abstract sections of a BPMN Diagram will be mapped to Web service interfaces specifications, such as the abstract processes of BPEL4WS.
- The Collaboration model sections of a BPMN will be mapped Collaboration models such as ebXML BPSS, RosettaNet, and the W3C Choreography Working Group Specification (when it is completed).

This specification will only cover the mappings to BPEL4WS. Mappings to other specifications will have to be a separate effort, or perhaps a future direction of BPMN (beyond Version 1.0 of the BPMN specification). It is hard to predict which mappings will be applied to BPMN at this point, since process language specifications is a volatile area of work, with many new offerings and mergings.

A BPD is not designed to graphically convey all the information required to execute a business process. Thus, the graphic elements of BPMN will be supported by attributes that will supply the additional information required to enable a mapping to BPEL4WS.

### 2.1.2 Diagram Point of View

Since a BPMN Diagram may depict the Processes of different Participants, each Participant may view the Diagram differently. That is, the Participants have different points of view regarding how the Processes will behave. Some of the activities will be internal to the Participant (meaning performed by or under control of the Participant) and other activities will be external to the Participant. Each Participant will have a different perspective as to which are internal and external. At runtime, the difference between internal and external activities is important in how a Participant can view the status of the activities or trouble-shoot any problems. However, the Diagram itself remains the same. Figure 3, above, displays a Business Process that has two points of view. One point of view is of a Patient, the other is of the Doctor’s office. The Diagram shows the activities of both participants in the Process, but when the Process is actually being performed, each Participant will really have control over their own activities.
Although the Diagram point of view is important for a viewer of the Diagram to understand how the behavior of the Process will relate to that viewer, BPMN will not currently specify any graphical mechanisms to highlight the point of view. It is open to the modeler or modeling tool vendor to provide any visual cues to emphasize this characteristic of a Diagram.

2.1.3 Extensibility of BPMN and Vertical Domains

BPMN is intended to be extensible by modelers and modeling tools. This extensibility allows modelers to add non-standard elements or artifacts to satisfy a specific need, such as the unique requirements of a vertical domain. While extensible, BPMN Diagrams should still have the basic look-and-feel so that a Diagram by any modeler should be easily understood by any viewer of the Diagram. Thus the footprint of the basic flow elements (Events, activities, and Gateways) should not be altered. Nor should any new flow elements be added to a BPD, since there is no specification as to how Sequence and Message Flow will connect to any new flow object. In addition, mappings to execution languages may be affected if new flow elements are added. To satisfy additional modeling concepts that are not part of the basic set of flow elements, BPMN provides the concept of Artifacts that can be linked to the existing flow objects through Associations. Thus, Artifacts do not affect the basic Sequence or Message Flow, nor do they affect mappings to execution languages.

The graphical elements of BPMN are designed to be open to allow specialized markers to convey specialized information. For example, the three types of Events all have open centers for the markers that BPMN standardizes as well as user-defined markers.