



Performance Critical Middleware

A Middleware-agnostic Unified Component Model

Nawel Hamouche, PhD PrismTech France

Olivier Hachet Thales

Introduction



- Increasing interest in CBSE for distributed realtime and embedded (DRTE) systems
- Few domain specific component models have been emerging in the past few years, e.g. Autosar, Orocos, etc
- The OMG LwCCM standard is a domainindependent component model targeting DRTE systems
- No single component technology has been widely adopted



DRTE Requirements on component technologies



- Portability
 - ▶ Platform, Network and middleware independence
- Resource-constrained
 - Small and lightweight
- Component Modeling
 - Standard modeling language (Ex. UML)
 - modeling tools support
- Computational Model
 - Execution threads management support
- Real-time features
 - Analyzability
 - Real-time services (Ex: Timers, Schedulers, ...)





- Lightweight CCM is a profile for the CORBA Component Model targeting resource constrained systems
- An open, distributed, platform and programming-language independent component model
- Multiple existing implementations
- Specifies all the development cycle from design to deployment



LwCCM facing DRTE Systems Requirements

Requirement		⊗
Portability	- programming-language, platform and network independent	- Strong dependency to CORBA
Resource-Constrained	- Reduced set of functionality wrt. CCM	Not light enoughAdditional CORBA footprint even when not used
Component-Modeling	- UML profile for CCM	- Lack of mature supporting MDE tools
Computational Model	Single and multi threaded components supportReactive execution model	- No support for RT models e.g. Periodic, Sporadic
Real-time features	may be extended by real-time services thanks to Qos4CCMMay rely on RT-CORBA	No native support to RT componentsNo standard RT services



LwCCM for DRTE Systems



LwCCM remains the most appropriate foundation for DRTE systems, But, it needs to evolve into a new, simpler and middleware-independent standard that better address the business and technical needs of DRTEs



Towards a Unified Component Model (UCM)



UCM key requirements



- Middleware-independent
- Simple and modular
- Extensible and Customizable
- Model-Driven Engineering enabled



Middleware independence



Container level

- Removing CORBA dependency
- No built-in support to any presumed middleware
- Deliver middleware flexibility through the connectors

Component level

- CORBA-independent language mapping
- Middleware-independent connectors API (ex: Event API, Request/Reply API, Data-centric API, etc)
 - Middleware-dependent connector implementation (ex: CORBA, DDS, etc)

Deployment level

- Middleware-independent deployment interfaces of the components
- The component model should be independent from the deployment tool middleware



Middleware independence – how?



- Removing CORBA types from components.idl
 - Cookie valuetype, CORBA::OctetSeq, CORBA::Object
- An UCM component may have only facets, receptacles and extended ports
 - Facets and Receptacles ports express provided and used <u>local</u> <u>interfaces</u>
 - Extended ports are the <u>only means</u> to express middlewaremediated communications
- Removing events ports
 - Providing <u>Event-oriented extended port and connector</u> definition
- Remote interfaces with the deployment tool
 - ► How to make CCMHome, Navigation interfaces and attributes remotely accessible by the deployment tool?



- Reduce dramatically the complexity of current CIF and container programming model
- Enhance extensibility and modularity to reduce footprint
- Define standard profiles to modularize the component model implementation



Simple and Modular – how?



- Only keyless homes supported
- No supported interfaces
- Removing CORBA will simplify the component implementation framework and the container programming model
 - Removing all interfaces/operations related to CORBA references and servants managements



- Open and extensible enough to support the variety of services, middleware and communication paradigms used in DRTE systems
- Ability to integrate new technical services
 - Extensible set of non functional services
- Ability to integrate new connectors and middlewares
- Ability to integrate different execution/computation models for the component, Periodic, Aperiodic, Sporadic, ...)
- Ability to evolve to a domain-specific model by customization



- Extensibility support with the Generic interaction Support and QoS4CCM
- Leveraging Qos4CCM specification to support container extensibility
 - An interception framework for interception-based services
 - ▶ A registration framework for "pure" services
- Connectors integration already stated by DDS4CCM
- How supporting different execution models?



- Standard meta-models for components specification, implementation, packaging, assembly, configuration and deployment
- Promotes MDE tools support
- Tools and vendor independent Models
- Mature and productive modeling tools is key for UCM



- LwCCM Specifies all the development cycle from design to deployment
- Deriving a middleware-independent UCM meta-model from the CCM meta-model
- Using the Deployment&Configuration specification for components deployment



Concluding Remarks



- DRTE systems still needs component technologies
- Openness, extensibility, modeling, platform, network and middleware independence are key features for the next successful component technology for DRTE systems
- The UCM standard is already on the road to meet these challenges



Thank You!

Any Questions?



