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Introduction

- UML 2 is most important release since the original UML 1.1
- Most significant areas of change for users are
  - Classes with structure
  - Sequence diagrams
  - Activity diagrams
- Classes with structure go beyond useful aids to understanding
- *When properly applied, their value spans much of model-driven architecture*
- We’ll see how…
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Challenges: Scalable Architectures and Environments

- Application must evolve without loss of architecture
  - Understandable, maintainable code
  - Reusable, reconfigurable code
- Environment must support team development
  - Avoidance of development conflicts/bottlenecks
  - Minimal build times
- These issues apply to all but the smallest development teams
- How to achieve scalable, maintainable architectures?
Representing Architecture As Structure Models

- Solution: decouple the architecture from the class building blocks
- “Architecture” is who speaks to whom and what they say
- Defined using UML 2.0 “classes with structure”
  - Based on “role modelling” of ROOM, OORAM, UML-RT

/ buyer : Person
/ seller : Person
Structure Modelling Is Not Class Modelling

- Transaction example:
  - Two Persons
  - Each Person has an Account
  - Who owns which Account?

```
/ buyer : Person

/ seller : Person

/ buyerAccount : Account

/ sellerAccount : Account
```
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Structure Modelling Is Not Class Modelling

- **Transaction example:**
  - Two Persons
  - Each Person has an Account
  - Who owns which Account?

```
/ buyer : Person

/ seller : Person

/ buyerAccount : Account

/ sellerAccount : Account
```
Structure Modelling Is Not Class Modelling

- Transaction example:
  - Two Persons
  - Each Person has an Account
  - Who owns which Account?

Class models show “for all” properties
Structure models show architectural relationships
Structure Modelling Is Not Object Modelling

- Object models show completely reified objects
  - Angus and Bruce are not reusable
- Object models are examples, not architectures

```
/ Angus        : Person
    / AngusAccount : Account

/ Bruce       : Person
    / BruceAccount : Account

/ Elspeth     : Person
    / ElspethAccount : Account

/ Fiona       : Person
    / FionaAccount : Account

/ TheMarket   : Market
```

/ TheMarket   : Market
Full Reuse Requires Two-Way Encapsulation

- All objects provide one-way encapsulation
  - An object doesn’t know who called it
- Full architectural independence requires two-way encapsulation
  - An object doesn’t know whom it’s calling
- Encapsulation is provided by UML 2.0 ports
  - Receive messages in through a port
  - Send messages out through a port
- Port connection is the responsibility of the architectural context
UML 2.0 Ports
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Ports: Two-Way Encapsulation

- Traditional objects give one-way encapsulation...

![Diagram showing two-way encapsulation](image)
Ports: Two-Way Encapsulation

- Traditional objects give one-way encapsulation...

- Ports fully isolate a structured object’s implementation from its environment in both directions
Connecting Ports

- Ports can be joined by connectors to create peer collaborations composed of structured classes

- Connectors model communication channels
- A connector is constrained by port protocols (interfaces)
- Static typing rules apply (compatible protocols)
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Structured Classes: Internal Structure

- Structured classes may have an internal structure of (structured class) parts and connectors
- Structures compose hierarchically
- **The architecture is visible**
Structured Class Reuse: “Software Lego® Blocks”
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Full Code Generation

- Generated code includes:

  Behaviour

0% 100% % Generated Code
Full Code Generation

- Generated code includes:

100% Generated Code
Full Code Generation

- Generated code includes:

Full benefits of model-driven architecture require structural code generation from the model

- Faster development
- Higher quality
Code Generation from Structure Diagrams

Object Construction

Fax = new FaxCall();

FaxCall::FaxCall() {
    Sender = new Fax();
    Receiver = new Fax();
}

Fax::Fax() {
    remote = new Port();
    c = new Port();
}

Object Wiring

FaxCall::Sender::Fax::remote – FaxCall::receiveCtrl
FaxCall::Sender::Fax::c – FaxCall::sendCtrl
FaxCall::receiver::Fax::c – FaxCall::receiverCtrl

Object Destruction...
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Sequence Diagrams: Test Specification

- Specification of communication behaviour
  - And a specification is a test case...
- Model-driven testing automates the testing process

Create a buyer
Create a seller
Start execution
Trace this...
...and this...
...and this...
...and this.

Did we see what we specified?
Model-Driven Testing

Model → Build Test Suite → Execute / Results

Model → Design Spec

Design Spec → Test Suite Spec

Test Suite Spec → Test Results

Test Results → Error Details

IBM Software Group  |  Rational software
Test Early, Test Continuously

- Universal truth: early error detection is good
  - Decreases cost
  - Improves quality
  - Decreases schedule risk
- Early testing implies partial testing
  - Bottom-up: testing of low-level components without the context that uses them
  - Top-down: testing of high-level components without the services they use
- How do we test when there are missing parts?
Driving A System From The Environment

- One option: drive system “from the edge”
  - System under test (SUT) is a completely implemented unit
  - External messages drive system
  - SUT can send messages to external system

- Good for testing a complete low-level component
- Not good for testing incomplete high-level or peer structures
Drivers and Stubs

- Common concepts in testing environments
- Driver: stimulates System Under Test (SUT)
- Stub: used by SUT; enough functionality to simulate missing parts
- Based on a procedural, hierarchical view of a system
Drivers and Stubs

- Common concepts in testing environments
- Driver: stimulates System Under Test (SUT)
- Stub: used by SUT; enough functionality to simulate missing parts
- Based on a procedural, hierarchical view of a system
- Less useful in peer structures
- What are drivers and stubs in a system of communicating objects?
Classes Can Be Partially Implemented

- Not all instances of a class should be stubbed simultaneously
Classes Can Be Partially Implemented

- Not all instances of a class should be stubbed simultaneously

```text
(control)::(buy)/
otherPerson.offer().send();

(otherPerson)::(offer)/
otherPerson.accept().send();

(otherPerson)::(pay)/
otherPerson.giveGoods().send();
```

```
Ready

Selling

Buying
```
Classes Can Be Partially Implemented

- Not all instances of a class should be stubbed simultaneously
Classes Can Be Partially Implemented

- Not all instances of a class should be stubbed simultaneously

Different roles may be implemented in different phases

Seller behaviour
Parts Can Be Driver/Stubs

- In a system of peer objects, any object can
  - Be a driver: stimulate its peers
  - Be a stub: receive messages/calls from its peers and respond according to a specification
- The notions of “driver” and “stub” are merged
- Any object can be manually stubbed
  - Implement minimal behaviour
- Why can’t we just generate stubs from the sequence diagram?

Because we don’t have the knowledge to automate structure creation
Driver/Stub Automation

- A sequence diagram contains all information necessary to automate stub behaviour implementation
  - Received messages
  - Sent messages
  - Ordering
- The internal implementation can be trivial
  - Handles one (or a set) of test cases only
  - Not a good starting point for production design!
Stubbing In Hierarchies

- Systems can be very complicated
- Objects at many different levels may be unavailable
- All objects must be stubbable
- UML 2 internal structure diagrams make this possible
- Model-driven testing scales with UML 2 to provide stub generation
Stubbing In Hierarchies

- Systems can be very complicated
- Objects at many different levels may be unavailable
- All objects must be stubbable
- UML 2 internal structure diagrams make this possible
- Model-driven testing scale with UML 2 to provide stub generation
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Active Object Conceptual Threads

- Some systems have complex concurrency needs
- Solution: *Active object* pattern—object with conceptual thread
  - Light weight, suitable for core application logic
  - Run-to-completion semantics
The Thread Assignment Problem

- Active object conceptual threads must be mapped to operating system threads...how?

- All on one OS thread?
  - Can’t handle blocking behaviour

- Every object on its own OS thread?
  - Way too expensive! Slow, lots of memory

- All objects on a single thread, except for those on their own OS threads?
  - Better, but doesn’t handle cooperating objects

- *Natural concurrency patterns are structurally nested*
  - Most objects run or block with their parents

- This perfectly fits structural modelling
Thread Assignment Example

- Sender is on its own thread
- Fax and receiver are on same thread
  - Concurrency protection provided by active objects
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Conclusion

- UML 2.0 will include structuring concepts
  - Classes with structure, parts, ports, connectors
- This enables many types of automation
  - Scalable Modelling
  - Code Generation
  - Model-Driven Testing
  - Thread Assignment
  - And more…
- Generating structural code gives benefits in unexpected areas
- **Fully applying UML 2 enables a new level of model-driven architecture**