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Motivating Application: Earth Science Enterprise Mission

System Description
• End-to-end systems-tasks/work-flows represented as operational string of components
• Classes of operational strings with respect to importance
  • Mission Critical, Mission Support, & Best Effort
• Operational strings simultaneously share resources
• Strings are dynamically added/removed from the system based on mission & mode

System requirements
1. Automatically & accurately adapt to dynamic changes in mission requirements & environmental conditions
2. Handle failures arising from system failures
SA-POP Research and Development Challenges

Research Challenges
1. Efficiently handle uncertainty in planning
2. Incorporate resource-aware scheduling with planning

Development Challenges
1. Take advantage of functionally interchangeable components to efficiently meet resource constraints
2. Plan with multiple interacting goals, but produce distinct operational strings

SA-POP is available at:
http://www.dre.vanderbilt.edu/~jkinnebrew/SA-POP/index.html
Task is an abstraction of functionality
• Multiple (parameterized) components may have the same function but different resource usage

Task Network specifies probabilistic effects and requirements for tasks
• Condition nodes specify data flow and system/environmental conditions
• Task nodes have links to/from condition nodes specifying effects/preconditions
• Links incorporate probabilistic information about domains

Task Map allows conversion between tasks and components
• Maps tasks (functionality abstraction) to parameterized components (implementation)
• Associates expected or worst case resource usage with each implementation

Operational String specifies a component-based application to achieve a goal
• Set of tasks along with ordering and timing constraints
• Data connections between tasks
• Implementation (parameterized component) suggested for each task
SA-POP: Expected Utility Calculation using Spreading Activation

Precondition Link Weights

Forward propagation of probabilities

Backward propagation of utilities

\[ w_{ij} = \frac{P(a_j^* | c_i = true) - P(a_j^* | c_i = false)}{P(a_j^* | c_i = true) + P(a_j^* | c_i = false)} \]

\[ a_j^* \rightarrow \text{Action } a_j \text{ was successful} \]

\[ w_{jk} = P(c_k = true | a_j^*) \text{ or } -P(c_k = false | a_j^*) \]

\[ a_j^* \rightarrow a_j \text{ was executed} \]
SA-POP: Operational String Generation

Four hierarchical decision points in each interleaved planning+scheduling step:

**Partial Order Planning:**

1. **Goal/subgoal choice:** choose an open condition, which is goal or subgoal unsatisfied in the current plan.
2. **Task choice:** choose a task that can achieve current open condition.

**Resource Constrained Scheduling:**

3. **Task instantiation:** choose an implementation for this task from the Task Map.
4. **Scheduling decision(s):** adjust task start/end time windows and/or add ordering constraints between tasks to avoid potential resource violations.

*Continue recursively*
RACE Research & Development Challenges

Research Challenges
1. Efficiently allocate computing & network resources to application components
2. Avoid over-utilization of system resources – ensure system stability
3. Maintain QoS even in the presence of failure
4. Ensure end-to-end QoS requirements are met – even under high load conditions

Development Challenges
1. Need multiple resource management algorithms depending on application characteristics & current system condition (resource availability)
2. Single resource management mechanism customized for a specific mission goal or set of mission goals might be effective for that specific scenario
3. However, can not be reused for other scenario ➔ Reinvent the wheel for every scenario
RACE Functional Architecture

- Dynamic resource management framework atop CORBA Component Model (CCM) middleware (CIAO/DAnCE)
- Allocates components to available resources
- Configure components to satisfy QoS requirements based on dynamic mission goals
- Perform run-time adaptation
  - Coarse-grained mechanisms
    - React to new missions, drastic changes in mission goals, or unexpected circumstances such as loss of resources
    - e.g., component re-allocation or migration
  - Fine-grained mechanisms
    - Compensate for drift & smaller variations in resource usage
    - e.g., adjustment of application parameters, such as QoS settings
RACE Software Component Architecture

Input Adapter

• A generic interface that translates application components descriptors to internal data structure

Plan Analyzer

• Examines input descriptors & select appropriate allocation algorithms based on application characteristics
• Add appropriate application QoS & resource monitors

Planner Manager

• Maintains a registry of installed planners (algorithm implementations) along with their over head & currently executing sequences of planners that are generated by the Plan Analyzer
CIAO/DAnCE Middleware

- A CCM middleware framework atop of which components of the operational strings are deployed

Target Manager

- Runtime resource utilization monitor that tracks the utilization of system resources, such as onboard CPU, memory, and network bandwidth utilization.
RACE: Addressing Dynamic Resource Management Challenges

Need for a control framework

- Allocation algorithms allocate resource to components based on current system condition & estimated resource requirements
- No accurate *apriori* knowledge of input workload & how the execution time depend on input workload
- Dynamic changes in system operating modes

Control objectives:

- Ensure end-to-end QoS requirements are met at all times
- Ensure resource utilization is below the set-point – ensure system stability

RACE is available with the *Component-Integrated ACE ORB (CIAO)*

(http://deuce.doc.wustl.edu/Download.html)
Experimentation Results – Hardware / Software Testbed

• Experiments were performed on the ISISLab testbed at Vanderbilt University (www.dre.vanderbilt.edu/ISISlab)

• Hardware Configuration
  • 6 nodes with 2.8 GHz Intel Xeon dual processor, 1 GB physical memory, 1Ghz Ethernet network interface, and 40 GB hard drive

• Software Configuration
  • Redhat Fedora Core Release 4 operating
  • ACE+TAO+CIAO Middleware

• Two operational strings - one mission-critical, one best effort - with 6 components each were deployed on 6 nodes
Experimentation Results – Performance Analysis

• An end-to-end deadline of 500 ms was specified for the mission-critical operational string
• Mission critical string was deployed at time $T = 0$ s, and best-effort was deployed at time $T = 1800$ sec
• Until $T = 1800$ sec, end-to-end execution time of mission critical string is lower than its deadline
• At $T = 1800$ sec, end-to-end execution time of mission critical string is way above its deadline
• This is due to excessive resources consumption by best-effort string
• RACE reacts to increase in execution time by perform adaptive system control modifications by modifying operating system priority, scheduler class and/or tearing down lower priority operational string(s)

RACE ensures end-to-end deadline of mission critical string is met even under fluctuations in resource availability/demand
Lessons Learned from SA-POP and RACE Integration

Flexible

- Pluggable resource allocation and control algorithms in RACE
- SA-POP task network and task map tailored to domain
- Unlimited combinations of goals, goal priorities, and timing requirements in SA-POP
- Shared task map allows substitution of functionally equivalent task implementations by RACE

Scalable

- Separation of concerns between SA-POP and RACE limits search spaces in each
- SA-POP handles cascading planning choices in operational string generation
- SA-POP only considers resource allocation feasibility with course-grained (system-wide) resource constraints
- RACE handles resource allocation optimization with fine-grained (individual processing node) resource constraints and dynamic control for fixed operational strings
Lessons Learned from SA-POP and RACE Integration

Dynamic

- SA-POP task network with spreading activation provides expected utility information for generating robust applications in uncertain environments.
- SA-POP replanning achieved efficiently with incrementally updated task network and plan repair as necessary.
- RACE control algorithms alleviate need for replanning in many cases.
- RACE provides reallocation and redeployment of revised operational strings when replanning is necessary.