

Date: May 314, 2025



RAAML

OMG RISK ANALYSIS
AND ASSESSMENT
MODELING LANGUAGE

Risk Analysis and Assessment Modeling Language (RAAML) Libraries and Profiles

Version 1.2 Beta

OMG Document Number: ptc/26-064-2802

Standard document URL: <https://www.omg.org/spec/RAAML/>

Commented [KP1]: RAAML12-26

Field Code Changed

Copyright © 2021-2026, Dassault Systemes
Copyright © 2021-2026, Ford Motor Company
Copyright © 2021-2026, Gesellschaft für Systems Engineering
Copyright © 2022-2026, Object Management Group, Inc.
Copyright © 2024-2026, The Aerospace Corporation
Copyright © 2026, MITRE
Copyright © 2026, Boeing
Copyright © 2026, EDM Association dba EDM Council, Inc.

USE OF SPECIFICATION - TERMS, CONDITIONS & NOTICES

The material in this document details an Object Management Group specification in accordance with the terms, conditions and notices set forth below. This document does not represent a commitment to implement any portion of this specification in any company's products. The information contained in this document is subject to change without notice.

LICENSES

The companies listed above have granted to the Object Management Group, Inc. (OMG) a nonexclusive, royalty-free, paid up, worldwide license to copy and distribute this document and to modify this document and distribute copies of the modified version. Each of the copyright holders listed above has agreed that no person shall be deemed to have infringed the copyright in the included material of any such copyright holder by reason of having used the specification set forth herein or having conformed any computer software to the specification.

Subject to all of the terms and conditions below, the owners of the copyright in this specification hereby grant you a fully-paid up, non-exclusive, nontransferable, perpetual, worldwide license (without the right to sublicense), to use this specification to create and distribute software and special purpose specifications that are based upon this specification, and to use, copy, and distribute this specification as provided under the Copyright Act; provided that: (1) both the copyright notice identified above and this permission notice appear on any copies of this specification; (2) the use of the specifications is for informational purposes and will not be copied or posted on any network computer or broadcast in any media and will not be otherwise resold or transferred for commercial purposes; and (3) no modifications are made to this specification. This limited permission automatically terminates without notice if you breach any of these terms or conditions. Upon termination, you will destroy immediately any copies of the specifications in your possession or control.

PATENTS

The attention of adopters is directed to the possibility that compliance with or adoption of OMG specifications may require use of an invention covered by patent rights. OMG shall not be responsible for identifying patents for which a license may be required by any OMG specification, or for conducting legal inquiries into the legal validity or scope of those patents that are brought to its attention. OMG specifications are prospective and advisory only. Prospective users are responsible for protecting themselves against liability for infringement of patents.

GENERAL USE RESTRICTIONS

Any unauthorized use of this specification may violate copyright laws, trademark laws, and communications regulations and statutes. This document contains information which is protected by copyright. All Rights Reserved. No part of this work covered by copyright herein may be reproduced or used in any form or by any means--graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, taping, or information storage and retrieval systems--without permission of the copyright owner.

DISCLAIMER OF WARRANTY

WHILE THIS PUBLICATION IS BELIEVED TO BE ACCURATE, IT IS PROVIDED "AS IS" AND MAY CONTAIN ERRORS OR MISPRINTS. THE OBJECT MANAGEMENT GROUP AND THE COMPANIES LISTED ABOVE MAKE NO WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, WITH REGARD TO THIS PUBLICATION, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY OF TITLE OR OWNERSHIP, IMPLIED WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY OR WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE. IN NO EVENT SHALL THE OBJECT MANAGEMENT GROUP OR ANY OF THE COMPANIES LISTED ABOVE BE LIABLE FOR ERRORS CONTAINED HEREIN OR FOR DIRECT, INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, RELIANCE OR COVER DAMAGES, INCLUDING LOSS OF PROFITS, REVENUE, DATA OR USE, INCURRED BY ANY USER OR ANY THIRD PARTY IN CONNECTION WITH THE FURNISHING, PERFORMANCE, OR USE OF THIS MATERIAL, EVEN IF ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES.

The entire risk as to the quality and performance of software developed using this specification is borne by you. This disclaimer of warranty constitutes an essential part of the license granted to you to use this specification.

RESTRICTED RIGHTS LEGEND

Use, duplication or disclosure by the U.S. Government is subject to the restrictions set forth in subparagraph (c) (1) (ii) of The Rights in Technical Data and Computer Software Clause at DFARS 252.227-7013 or in subparagraph (c)(1) and (2) of the Commercial Computer Software - Restricted Rights clauses at 48 C.F.R. 52.227-19 or as specified in 48 C.F.R. 227-7202-2 of the DoD F.A.R. Supplement and its successors, or as specified in 48 C.F.R. 12.212 of the Federal Acquisition Regulations and its successors, as applicable. The specification copyright owners are as indicated above and may be contacted through the Object Management Group, 9C Medway Road, PMB 274, Milford, MA 01757, U.S.A.

TRADEMARKS

CORBA®, CORBA logos®, FIBO®, Financial Industry Business Ontology®, FINANCIAL INSTRUMENT GLOBAL IDENTIFIER®, IIOP®, IMM®, Model Driven Architecture®, MDA®, Object Management Group®, OMG®, OMG Logo®, SoaML®, SOAML®, SysML®, UAF®, Unified Modeling Language®, UML®, UML Cube Logo®, VSIPL®, and XMI® are registered trademarks of the Object Management Group, Inc.

For a complete list of trademarks, see: http://www.omg.org/legal/tm_list.htm. All other products or company names mentioned are used for identification purposes only, and may be trademarks of their respective owners.

COMPLIANCE

The copyright holders listed above acknowledge that the Object Management Group (acting itself or through its designees) is and shall at all times be the sole entity that may authorize developers, suppliers and sellers of computer software to use certification marks, trademarks or other special designations to indicate compliance with these materials.

Software developed under the terms of this license may claim compliance or conformance with this specification if and only if the software compliance is of a nature fully matching the applicable compliance points as stated in the specification. Software developed only partially matching the applicable compliance points may claim only that the software was based on this specification, but may not claim compliance or conformance with this specification. In the event that testing suites are implemented or approved by Object Management Group, Inc., software developed using this specification may claim compliance or conformance with the specification only if the software satisfactorily completes the testing suites.

Table of Contents

PREFACE	1
1. SCOPE.....	4
1.1 INTRODUCTION	4
1.2 RAAML BACKGROUND.....	4
1.3 INTENDED USAGE.....	5
1.4 RELATED DOCUMENTS.....	5
2. CONFORMANCE	7
3. REFERENCES.....	8
3.1 NORMATIVE REFERENCES	8
3.2 OMG DOCUMENTS (NORMATIVE REFERENCES).....	8
3.3 OTHER NORMATIVE REFERENCES.....	8
3.4 INFORMATIVE REFERENCES.....	8
4. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	9
5. TERMS AND DEFINITIONS.....	10
6. ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS.....	11
7. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (NON-NORMATIVE)	12
7.1 LANGUAGE ARCHITECTURE	12
7.2 PHILOSOPHY	12
7.3 PRINCIPLES OF CREATING, EDITING, AND DISPLAYING OF COMPOSITE SITUATIONS IN DIAGRAMMATIC AND TABULAR VIEWS	12
7.3.1 Diagrammatic Situation Specification	13
7.3.2 Tabular Situation Specification	15
8. DIAGRAM LEGEND (NON-NORMATIVE).....	18
9. RISK ANALYSIS AND ASSESSMENT MODELING LANGUAGE (RAAML) LIBRARY AND PROFILE 20	
9.1 CORE.....	20
9.1.1 Core::Core Library	21
9.1.2 Core::Core Profile	23
9.2 GENERAL	25
9.2.1 General::General Concepts Library	26
9.2.2 General::General Concepts Profile	37
9.3 GENERAL SECURITY	43 44
9.3.1 General Security::General Security Concepts Library	43 44
9.3.2 General Security::General Security Concepts Profile	45 46
9.4 METHODS::FMEA	48 49
9.4.1 Methods::FMEA::FMEALibrary.....	48 49
9.4.2 Methods::FMEA::FMEAProfile.....	53
9.5 METHODS::FTA.....	54
9.5.1 Methods::FTA::FTALibrary	54
9.5.2 Methods::FTA::FTAProfile	66
9.6 METHODS::STPA	79
9.6.1 Methods::STPA::STPA Library	79
9.6.2 Methods::STPA::STPA Profile	92 91
9.7 GSN.....	96 95
9.7.1 GSN::GSN Profile.....	96 95

9.8	METHODS::ISO 26262	104104
9.8.1	Methods::ISO 26262::ISO 26262 Library.....	104104
9.8.2	Methods::ISO 26262::ISO 26262 Profile.....	118148
9.9	METHODS::FHA	127127
9.9.1	Methods::FHA::FHA Library.....	127127
9.9.2	Methods::FHA::FHA Profile.....	137137
9.10	METHODS::RBD	137137
9.10.1	Methods::RBD::RBD Library.....	138138
9.10.2	Methods::RBD::RBD Library::ConstraintBlocks	149149
9.10.3	Methods::RBD::RBD Profile.....	173172
10.	VIEWS.....	178177
10.1	CORE.....	178177
10.1.1	Core::Core Library	178177
10.1.2	Core::Core Profile	178177
10.2	GENERAL	179178
10.2.1	General::General Concepts Library.....	179178
10.2.2	General::General Concepts Profile.....	180179
10.3	GENERAL SECURITY	181180
10.3.1	General Security::General Security Concepts Library	181180
10.3.2	General Security::General Security Concepts Profile	182181
10.4	METHODS::FMEA	183182
10.4.1	Methods::FMEA::FMEA Library	183182
10.4.2	Methods::FMEA::FMEA Profile	185183
10.5	METHODS::FTA.....	185183
10.5.1	Methods::FTA::FTALibrary.....	185183
10.5.2	Methods::FTA::FTAProfile	187185
10.6	METHODS::STPA	188187
10.6.1	Methods::STPA::STPA Library.....	188187
10.6.2	Methods::STPA::STPA Profile.....	191190
10.7	GSN.....	193191
10.7.1	GSN::GSN Profile	193191
10.8	METHODS::ISO 26262	194193
10.8.1	Methods::ISO 26262::ISO 26262 Library	194193
10.8.2	Methods::ISO 26262::ISO 26262 Profile	195194
10.9	METHODS::FHA	197196
10.9.1	Methods::FHA::FHA Library	197196
10.9.2	Methods::FHA::FHA Profile	198197
10.10	METHODS::RBD	199198
10.10.1	Methods::RBD::RBD Library.....	199198
10.10.2	Methods::RBD::RBD Library::ConstraintBlocks	203202
10.10.3	Methods::RBD::RBD Profile.....	205204

Table of Figures

Figure 7.1 – Fundamental situation modeling principles.....	13
Figure 7.2 – Typical Automotive Situation definition in the ISO26262 Library.....	14
Figure 7.3 – User Model Defining Operational Situation “Highway Driving Straight at Speed”	15
Figure 7.4 – HazardousEvent Definition in the ISO26262 Library	16
Figure 8.1 – Legend of color codes	18
Figure 8.2 – An example of using a legend.....	18
Figure 9.1 – Core concepts domain model.....	20
Figure 9.2 – AnySituation.....	22
Figure 9.3 – Causality.....	22
Figure 9.4 – Situation	23
Figure 9.5 – RelevantTo	23
Figure 9.6 – ControllingMeasure	24
Figure 9.7 – Violates	25
Figure 9.8 – IDCCarrier	25
Figure 9.9 – AbstractEvent	26
Figure 9.10 – AbstractCause	27
Figure 9.11 – Cause.....	27
Figure 9.12 – DysfunctionalEvent.....	28
Figure 9.13 – AbstractFailureMode.....	28
Figure 9.14 – FailureMode	29
Figure 9.15 – AbstractEffect.....	30
Figure 9.16 – Effect.....	30
Figure 9.17 – Activation.....	31
Figure 9.18 – ErrorPropagation.....	32
Figure 9.19 – ErrorRealization.....	32
Figure 9.20 – HarmPotential	33
Figure 9.21 – Hazard	33
Figure 9.22 – Scenario.....	34
Figure 9.23 – AbstractRisk	34
Figure 9.24 – UndesiredState.....	35
Figure 9.25 – Limitation	35
Figure 9.26 – Loss.....	36
Figure 9.27 – Factor	36
Figure 9.28 – FailureMode	37
Figure 9.29 – Error.....	37
Figure 9.30 – Fault	38
Figure 9.31 – Detection.....	38
Figure 9.32 – Prevention.....	39
Figure 9.33 – Mitigation.....	39
Figure 9.34 – Recommendation	40
Figure 9.35 – FailureState.....	40
Figure 9.36 – Hazard	41
Figure 9.37 – Item	41
Figure 9.38 – PresentIn.....	42
Figure 9.39 – SingleElementItem.....	42
Figure 9.40 – ElementGroupBasedItem.....	43
Figure 9.40 - Assumption	4344
Figure 9.42 - Threat.....	44
Figure 9.43 - Weakness	4445
Figure 9.44 - Vulnerability	45
Figure 9.45 - Threat.....	4546
Figure 9.46 - Impacts.....	46

Figure 9.47 - Asset	4747
Figure 9.48 - Valuates	47
Figure 9.49 - SecurityActor	4748
Figure 9.50 - PresentedBy	48
Figure 9.51 - AbstractFMEAItem	49
Figure 9.52 - FMEAItem	50
Figure 9.53 - LossOfFunction	51
Figure 9.54 - DegradationOfFunction	5152
Figure 9.55 - IntermittentFunction	52
Figure 9.56 - PartialFunction	52
Figure 9.57 - UnintendedFunction	5353
Figure 9.58 - ExceedingFunction	53
Figure 9.59 - DelayedFunction	53
Figure 9.60 - FMEAItem	54
Figure 9.61 - FTAELEMENT	55
Figure 9.62 - FTATree	55
Figure 9.63 - Event	56
Figure 9.64 - BasicEvent	56
Figure 9.65 - IntermediateEvent	57
Figure 9.66 - TopEvent	57
Figure 9.67 - ConditionalEvent	58
Figure 9.68 - DormantEvent	58
Figure 9.69 - UndevelopedEvent	58
Figure 9.70 - HouseEvent	59
Figure 9.71 - ZeroEvent	59
Figure 9.72 - Gate	60
Figure 9.73 - AND	60
Figure 9.74 - OR	61
Figure 9.75 - NOT	61
Figure 9.76 - XOR	62
Figure 9.77 - SEQ	62
Figure 9.78 - INHIBIT	63
Figure 9.79 - MAJORITY_VOTE	63
Figure 9.80 - Tree	67
Figure 9.81 - Gate	67
Figure 9.82 - Event	67
Figure 9.83 - DormantEvent	68
Figure 9.84 - BasicEvent	69
Figure 9.85 - ConditionalEvent	70
Figure 9.86 - ZeroEvent	70
Figure 9.87 - HouseEvent	71
Figure 9.88 - AND	72
Figure 9.89 - OR	73
Figure 9.90 - SEQ	73
Figure 9.91 - XOR	74
Figure 9.92 - INHIBIT	75
Figure 9.93 - MAJORITY_VOTE	76
Figure 9.94 - NOT	76
Figure 9.95 - IntermediateEvent	77
Figure 9.96 - TopEvent	78
Figure 9.97 - TransferIn	78
Figure 9.98 - TransferOut	79
Figure 9.99 - OutOfSequence	80
Figure 9.100 - Late	80
Figure 9.101 - Early	80
Figure 9.102 - TooLong	81

Figure 9.103 – TooShort.....	81
Figure 9.104 – Provided.....	81
Figure 9.105 – NotProvided.....	82
Figure 9.106 – LossScenario.....	83 83
Figure 9.107 – ControlFlaw.....	84 84
Figure 9.108 – InadequateControllerDecisions.....	85 85
Figure 9.109 – InadequateControlExecution.....	86 86
Figure 9.110 – InadequateProcessBehavior.....	86 86
Figure 9.111 – InadequateFeedbackAndInputs.....	87 87
Figure 9.112 – UndesiredControlAction.....	88 88
Figure 9.113 – HamRealization.....	89 89
Figure 9.114 – ControlFlawFactor.....	90 90
Figure 9.115 – ControlFlawConsequence.....	91 91
Figure 9.116 – UndesiredControlActionHamPotential.....	92 92
Figure 9.117 – ControlAction.....	92 92
Figure 9.118 – Feedback.....	93 93
Figure 9.119 – UndesiredControlAction.....	93 93
Figure 9.120 – UnsafeControlAction.....	94 94
Figure 9.121 – ControlledProcess.....	94 94
Figure 9.122 – Actuator.....	94 94
Figure 9.123 – Sensor.....	95 95
Figure 9.124 – Controller.....	95 95
Figure 9.125 – ControlStructure.....	95 95
Figure 9.126 – LossScenario.....	96 96
Figure 9.127 – Standard UML notation for stereotyped elements (from UML 2.5.1, Figure 12.25).....	96 96
Figure 9.128 - Strategy notation.....	97 97
Figure 9.129 - Combined notation.....	97 97
Figure 9.130 – GSNNode.....	98 97
Figure 9.131 – GSNArgumentNode.....	98 98
Figure 9.132 – Solution.....	98 98
Figure 9.133 – Goal.....	99 99
Figure 9.134 – Strategy.....	99 99
Figure 9.135 – ContextualInformation.....	100 99
Figure 9.136 – ContextStatement.....	100 100
Figure 9.137 – Assumption.....	100 100
Figure 9.138 – Justification.....	101 101
Figure 9.139 – InContextOf.....	102 101
Figure 9.140 – SupportedBy.....	103 102
Figure 9.141 – TrafficAndPeople.....	104 104
Figure 9.142 – VehicleUsage.....	105 105
Figure 9.143 – RoadCondition.....	105 105
Figure 9.144 – Location.....	105 105
Figure 9.145 – EnvironmentalCondition.....	106 106
Figure 9.146 – OperationalCondition.....	106 106
Figure 9.147 – AbstractOperationalSituation.....	107 107
Figure 9.148 – TypicalAutomotiveSituation.....	107 107
Figure 9.149 – Exposure.....	108 108
Figure 9.150 – Severity.....	108 108
Figure 9.151 – ASIL.....	109 109
Figure 9.152 – Controllability.....	109 109
Figure 9.153 – Less.....	110 110
Figure 9.154 – More.....	110 110
Figure 9.155 – No.....	110 110
Figure 9.156 – Intermittent.....	111 111
Figure 9.157 – Unintended.....	111 111

Figure 9.158 – Early	112+12
Figure 9.159 – Late	112+12
Figure 9.160 – Inverted.....	112+12
Figure 9.161 – HazardousEvent	113+13
Figure 9.162 – AnyMalfunction.....	114+14
Figure 9.163 – AutomotiveEffect.....	114+14
Figure 9.164 – ISO26262SafetyRequirementTemplate.....	115+15
Figure 9.165 – AccidentScenario	115+15
Figure 9.166 – AnyTrafficAndPeople	116+16
Figure 9.167 – AnyVehicleUse	116+16
Figure 9.168 – AnyRoadCondition	117+17
Figure 9.169 – AnyLocation	117+17
Figure 9.170 – AnyEnvironmentalCondition	117+17
Figure 9.171 – SystemLevelEffect	118+18
Figure 9.172 – VehicleLevelEffect	118+18
Figure 9.173 – OperationalSituation.....	119+19
Figure 9.174 – MalfunctioningBehavior.....	119+19
Figure 9.175 – IndependenceRequirement.....	120+20
Figure 9.176 – ASILDecompose.....	120+20
Figure 9.177 – SafeState.....	121+21
Figure 9.178 – UserInfoRequirement	121+21
Figure 9.179 – RecoveryRequirement	121+21
Figure 9.180 – OperatingMode	122+22
Figure 9.181 – FunctionalSafetyRequirement	122+22
Figure 9.182 – SoftwareSafetyRequirement	123+23
Figure 9.183 – HardwareSafetyRequirement	123+23
Figure 9.184 – TechnicalSafetyRequirement	124+24
Figure 9.185 – SafetyGoal	124+24
Figure 9.186 – DependabilityRequirement	124+24
Figure 9.187 – Verified.....	125+25
Figure 9.188 – Confirmed.....	125+25
Figure 9.189 – HazardAndRiskAssessment.....	126+26
Figure 9.190 – LessonLearned	126+26
Figure 9.191 – ASILAssignment.....	126+26
Figure 9.192 – ASILOverrideRationale.....	127+27
Figure 9.193 – ExternalEvent	128+28
Figure 9.194 – DevelopmentAssuranceLevel.....	128+28
Figure 9.195 – OperationalCondition.....	129+29
Figure 9.196 – FunctionalFailure.....	130+30
Figure 9.197 – EnvironmentalCondition	131+31
Figure 9.198 – FDAL.....	131+31
Figure 9.199 – FailureEffectAssessment	132+32
Figure 9.200 – FlightPhase.....	133+33
Figure 9.201 – FailureCondition.....	134+34
Figure 9.202 – IDAL.....	135+35
Figure 9.203 – OperationalEvent	135+35
Figure 9.204 – FunctionalFailure	137+37
Figure 9.205 – AbstractReliabilitySituation	138+38
Figure 9.206 – Restorable.....	139+39
Figure 9.207 – ComponentReliabilitySituation	140+40
Figure 9.208 – RestorableComponentReliabilitySituation	141+41
Figure 9.209 – NonRestorableComponentReliabilitySituation.....	142+42
Figure 9.210 – SystemReliabilitySituation	143+43
Figure 9.211 – RestorableSystemReliabilitySituation.....	144+44
Figure 9.212 – InSeries	145+45
Figure 9.213 – RestorableInSeries	145+45

Figure 9.214 - InParallel	146146
Figure 9.215 - RestorableInParallel	146146
Figure 9.216 - HomogeneousKofN	147147
Figure 9.217 - RestorableHomogeneousKofN	148148
Figure 9.218 - HeterogeneousKofN	148148
Figure 9.219 - RestorableHeterogeneousKofN	149149
Figure 10.1 - Core Library	178177
Figure 10.2 - CoreProfile	178177
Figure 10.3 - General Concepts Library	179178
Figure 10.4 - General Concepts Profile	180179
Figure 10.5 - General Security Concepts Library	182181
Figure 10.6 - General Security Concepts Profile	183181
Figure 10.7 - FMEA Library	184182
Figure 10.8 - FMEA Profile	185183
Figure 10.9 - Events	185184
Figure 10.10 - FTA Library	186185
Figure 10.11 - FTA Profile	187186
Figure 10.12 - STPA Library	190189
Figure 10.13 - STPA Profile	192191
Figure 10.14 - GSN Profile	193192
Figure 10.15 - ISO 26262 Library	194193
Figure 10.16 - All-Encompassing Operational Situations	195194
Figure 10.17 - RequirementManagement	196195
Figure 10.18 - ISO 26262 Profile	197196
Figure 10.19 - FHA Library	198197
Figure 10.20 - FHA Profile	199198
Figure 10.21 - RBD Library	199198
Figure 10.22 - AbstractReliabilitySituation	200199
Figure 10.23 - ComponentReliabilitySituation	200199
Figure 10.24 - InSeries	200199
Figure 10.25 - RestorableInSeries	201200
Figure 10.26 - InParallel	201200
Figure 10.27 - RestorableInParallel	201200
Figure 10.28 - HomogeneousKofN	201201
Figure 10.29 - RestorableHomogeneousKofN	202201
Figure 10.30 - HeterogeneousKofN	202201
Figure 10.31 - RestorableHeterogeneousKofN	203202
Figure 10.32 - Distributions	204203
Figure 10.33 - ReliabilityParameterDistribution	205204
Figure 10.34 - RBD Profile	205204
Figure 10.35 - NonRestorableComponentReliabilitySituation	206205
Figure 10.36 - RestorableComponentReliabilitySituation	206205

TABLE OF TABLES

Table 1.1 – Table of Related Documents.....	5
Table 5.1 – Description of terms and definitions used in this specification.....	10
Table 6.1 – Description of acronyms used in this specification	11
Table 7.1 – Table for Specifying Operational Situations with Situation “Highway Driving Straight at Speed” Defined.....	15
Table 7.2 – Hazardous Event Table with Grouped Columns.....	17
Table 9.1 – Mapping of core concepts to the SysML/UML language	21

Preface

OMG

Founded in 1989, the Object Management Group, Inc. (OMG) is an open membership, not-for-profit computer industry standards consortium that produces and maintains computer industry specifications for interoperable, portable and reusable enterprise applications in distributed, heterogeneous environments. Membership includes Information Technology vendors, end users, government agencies and academia. OMG member companies write, adopt, and maintain its specifications following a mature, open process. OMG's specifications implement the Model Driven Architecture® (MDA®), maximizing ROI through a full-lifecycle approach to enterprise integration that covers multiple operating systems, programming languages, middleware and networking infrastructures, and software development environments. OMG's specifications include: UML® (Unified Modeling Language™); CORBA® (Common Object Request Broker Architecture); CWM™ (Common Warehouse Metamodel); and industry-specific standards for dozens of vertical markets. More information on the OMG is available at <https://www.omg.org/>.

OMG Specifications

As noted, OMG specifications address middleware, modeling, and vertical domain frameworks. All OMG Specifications are available from this URL: <https://www.omg.org/spec>.

Specifications are organized by the following categories:

Domain Categories

Platform Categories

Other Categories

All of OMG's formal specifications may be downloaded without charge from our website. (Products implementing OMG specifications are available from individual suppliers.) Copies of specifications, available in PDF format, may be obtained from the Specifications Catalog cited above or by contacting the Object Management Group, Inc. at:

OMG Headquarters
92 Medway Road, PMB 274
Milford, MA 01757 USA
Tel: +1- 781-444-0404
Fax: +1-781-444-0320
Email: pubs@omg.org

Certain OMG specifications are also available as ISO standards. Please consult <https://www.iso.org>

Typographical Conventions

The type styles shown below are used in this document to distinguish programming statements from ordinary English. However, these conventions are not used in tables or section headings where no distinction is necessary.

Times/Times New Roman - 10 pt.: Standard body text

Helvetica/Arial - 10 pt. Bold: OMG Interface Definition Language (OMG IDL) and syntax elements.

Courier - 10 pt. Bold: Programming language elements.

Helvetica/Arial - 10 pt: Exceptions

Note - Terms that appear in italics are defined in the glossary. Italic text also represents the name of a document, specification, or other publication.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

Issues

All OMG specifications are subject to continuous review and improvement. As part of this process, we encourage readers to report any ambiguities, inconsistencies, or inaccuracies they may find by completing the Issue Reporting Form listed on the main web page <https://www.omg.org>, under Documents, Report a Bug/Issue (<https://issues.omg.org/issues/create-new-issue>).

1. Scope

1.1 Introduction

There are two parts to this specification, one being normative and another informative. The normative part is:

- The Risk Analysis and Assessment Modeling Language (RAAML) Library and Profile (this document) defines concepts and relationships for capturing safety and reliability aspects of a system in the library and profile form.

The informative part is:

- The RAAML Example Model, Annex A (see document ad/2020-11-01), which illustrates practical usages of RAAML.

1.2 RAAML Background

Model-Based Systems Engineering (MBSE) is gaining popularity in organizations creating complex systems where it is crucial to collaborate in a multi-disciplinary environment. SysML, being one of the key MBSE components, has a good foundation for capturing requirements, architecture, constraints, views, and viewpoints. However, SysML does not provide the constructs to capture safety, reliability and security information in the system model. A group of industry experts at the OMG has been working since 2016 to define a new specification providing the necessary capabilities.

The need for a standardized UML profile/library for addressing safety, reliability and security aspects emerged long ago. Working group members have seen multiple commercial-grade model-based safety, reliability and security solution implementations being developed during the recent years and successfully used in practice. While the various safety, reliability and security implementations may fit the needs for a specific purpose, there are many instances where information needs to be traced and shared across multiple organizations. These inconsistent model-based solutions prohibit direct model sharing between organizations and across the various tools. One of the key goals for the working group is to reconcile these different approaches to alleviate the industry from repeatedly formulating safety, reliability and security constructs in their tools. The specification provides the modeling capabilities for tool vendors to build safety, reliability and security modeling tools that provide traditional representations (e.g., trees, tables, etc.) while using a modern model-based approach.

This RAAML 1.1 specification defines extensions to SysML needed to support safety, reliability and security analysis. It describes:

- the core concepts and shows how the simple concepts are powerful enough to unite all safety, reliability and security information across a variety of analysis methods
- the approach to automating several safety and reliability analyses, which is built on leveraging existing SysML functionalities to ensure that the profile and library is usable with existing tooling
- specific safety and reliability analysis methods and application domains that are supported
 - Failure Mode and Effect Analysis (FMEA)
 - Fault Tree Analysis (FTA)
 - Systems Theoretic Process Analysis (STPA)
 - Goal Structuring Notation (GSN)
 - ISO 26262 Road Vehicles - Functional Safety
 - Reliability Block Diagrams (RBD)
 - Functional Hazard Assessment (FHA)
- extension mechanisms that are typically needed by the industry to apply the specification in practice

Commented [DD2]: RAAML12-2

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

1.3 Intended Usage

The RAAML specification provides the foundation for conducting various safety and quality engineering activities including safety and reliability analysis methods. Besides the method support, linkages to the SysML model-of-interest are provided, enabling integration with and traceability to the analyses. The specification can be used for modeling safety, reliability and security aspects directly in the model or as a standard language to import and export from external safety and reliability tools.

The organization of RAAML facilitates tailoring the methodologies to specific engineering domains and industries to support the various assessment and certification agencies.

1.4 Related Documents

The specification is delivered as a set of related documents. The primary normative document is this document, while a set of additional machine-readable documents is provided to specify the UML profiles and model libraries, specified by this standard.

For each safety/reliability domain, supported by this standard (FMEA, FTA, ISO-26262, STPA ~~and~~ RBD and FHA) | there is a pair of profile and library.

In addition to that there is a pair of profile and library for the concepts used in multiple domains – General and General Security; and a pair of profile and library for the very core concepts that might be useful for the implementers of other standards in the safety/reliability/security domain.

GSN stands separately, as it is an add-on, which can be used with any of the aforementioned domains for additional substantiation of the safety models. It consists of just the profile; no library is necessary. The GSN profile only covers the GSN version 2 standard core notation.

Non-normative examples document is also provided, illustrating how to apply RAAML for capturing safety and reliability data.

Table 1.1 – Table of Related Documents

Document Number	Description	File Name	Nor-mative	Machine Readable
ptc/26-04-05	Core portion of the RAAML.	CoreRAAML.xmi	Y	Y
ptc/26-04-06	Library portion of the RAAML.	CoreRAAMLlib.xmi	Y	Y
ptc/26-04-07	General portion, shared across domains of the RAAML.	GeneralRAAML.xmi	Y	Y
ptc/26-04-08	General Library portion, shared across domains of the RAAML.	GeneralRAAMLlib.xmi	Y	Y
ptc/26-05-01	Goal Structuring Notation profile.	GSN.xmi	Y	Y
ptc/26-05-02	FMEA portion of the RAAML.	FMEA.xmi	Y	Y
ptc/26-05-03	FMEA Library portion of the RAAML.	FMEALib.xmi	Y	Y
ptc/26-05-04	FTA (Fault Tree Analysis) portion of the RAAML.	FTA.xmi	Y	Y
ptc/26-065-2505	FTA (Fault Tree Analysis) Library portion of the RAAML.	FTALib.xmi	Y	Y
ptc/26-05-06	ISO26262 Functional Safety Standard portion of the RAAML	ISO26262.xmi	Y	Y
ptc/26-05-07	ISO26262 Functional Safety Standard Library portion of the RAAML	ISO26262Lib.xmi	Y	Y
ptc/26-065-2608	STPA (Systems Theoretic Process Analysis) portion of the RAAML	STPA.xmi	Y	Y
ptc/26-05-09	STPA (Systems Theoretic Process Analysis) Library portion of the RAAML	STPALib.xmi	Y	Y

Commented [KP4]: RAAML12-28

Commented [KP5]: RAAML12-28

ptc/26-05-10	Security profile portion of the RAAML	GeneralRAAMLSecurity.xmi	Y	Y
ptc/26-05-11	Security library portion of the RAAML	GeneralRAAMLSecurityLib.xmi	Y	Y
ptc/26-05-12	RBD (Reliability Block Diagram) profile portion of the RAAML	RBD.xmi	Y	Y
ptc/26-065-3143	RBD (Reliability Block Diagram) library portion of the RAAML	RBDLib.xmi	Y	Y
ptc/26-064-2303	FHA (Functional Hazard Assessment) profile portion of RAAML	FHA.xmi	Y	Y
ptc/26-064-2404	FHA (Functional Hazard Assessment) library portion of RAAML	FHALib.xmi	Y	Y
ptc/26-05-14	MagicDraw model from which all XMIs and images were produced	RAAML_81.mdzip	N	Y
ptc/26-05-15	Risk Analysis and Assessment Modeling Language (RAAML) Examples	OMG RAAML Examples 1.2.docx	N	N

Commented [KP6]: RAAML12-26

Commented [DD8]: RAAML12-2

Commented [KP7]: RAAML12-28

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

2. Conformance

RAAML specifies two types of conformance.

- Type 1 Conformance: RAAML model interchange conformance. A tool demonstrating model interchange conformance can import and export conformant XMI for all valid RAAML models.
- Type 2 Conformance: RAAML View specification conformance. A tool demonstrating view specification conformance shall implement the views specified in RAAML specification.

A tool vendor may choose to implement one method supported by the specification (FMEA, FTA, STPA, GSN, ISO 26262, ~~or RBD or FHA~~) and claim conformance to it.

Commented [DD9]: [RAAML12-2](#)

3. References

3.1 Normative References

The following normative documents contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this specification. For dated references, subsequent amendments to, or revisions of, any of these publications do not apply.

3.2 OMG Documents (Normative References)

- Unified Modeling Language (UML), 2.5.1, December 2017, <https://www.omg.org/spec/UML>
- Object Constraint Language (OCL), 2.4, February 2014, <https://www.omg.org/spec/OCL>
- System Modeling Language (SysML), 1.6, December 2019, <https://www.omg.org/spec/SysML>
- XMI Metadata Interchange (XMI), 2.5.1, June 2015, <https://www.omg.org/spec/XMI>

Field Code Changed

3.3 Other Normative References

- IEC 60812 for FMEA, <https://webstore.iec.ch/publication/26359> [accessed on October 28, 2020]
- IEC 61025 for FTA, <https://webstore.iec.ch/publication/4311> [accessed on October 28, 2020]
- IEC 61508:2010 for Functional safety of electrical/electronic/programmable electronic safety-related systems, <https://webstore.iec.ch/publication/22273> [accessed on October 28, 2020]
- International Standardization Organization. ISO PAS 21448:2019(en) Road vehicles – Safety of the intended functionality, <https://www.iso.org/standard/70939.html> [accessed on October 28, 2020]
- International Standardization Organization. ISO 26262-1:2018 Road vehicles Functional safety - Part 1, Part 3. <https://www.iso.org/standard/68383.html> [accessed on June 19, 2023]
- N. Leveson and J. Thomas, STPA Handbook, Boston, MA: MIT, March 2018, https://psas.scripts.mit.edu/home/get_file.php?name=STPA_handbook.pdf [accessed on October 28, 2020]
- GSN specification 2, document number SCSC-141B <https://scsc.uk/gsn?page=gsn%20standard> [accessed on September 29, 2021]
- GSN metamodel mapping to SACM, https://scsc.uk/file/gc/GSN_metamodelV2-2-1210.pdf [accessed on October 7, 2021]
- IEC 61078:2016, Reliability Block Diagrams, <https://webstore.iec.ch/publication/25647> [accessed on October 21, 2023]
- ARP4761A, Guidelines for Conducting the Safety Assessment Process on Civil Aircraft, Systems, and Equipment, <https://www.sae.org/standards/content/arp4761a/> [accessed on October 28, 2024]

Commented [KP10]: RAAML12-1

3.4 Informative References

- ISO/IEC 15288:2015, Systems Engineering - Systems Life Cycle Processes, https://www.iso.org/iso/home/store/catalogue_tc/catalogue_detail.htm?csnumber=63711 [accessed on October 28, 2020]
- International Council On Systems Engineering (INCOSE), Systems Engineering Handbook V4, 2015, <https://www.incose.org/products-and-publications/se-handbook> [accessed on October 28, 2020]
- National Institute of Standards and Technology, “NIST/Sematech Engineering Statistics Handbook”, <https://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/> [accessed on October 21, 2023]

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

4. Acknowledgements

The following companies and organizations submitted or supported parts of the original version of this standard:

Industry

- Dassault Systemes (submitter)
- Ford Motor Company (submitter)
- The Aerospace Corporation (submitter)
- MITRE (submitter)
- Boeing (submitter)

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Government

- NASA/Jet Propulsion Laboratory
- Commissariat à l'énergie atomique
- German Aerospace Center
- National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST)

~~Vendors~~

- ~~• No Magic owned by Dassault Systemes~~

Academia

- Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Liaisons

- Gesellschaft für Systems Engineering (submitter)
- MACE
- Assystem

The following persons were members of the team that designed and wrote this International Standard: Achim Weiss, Andreas Knapp, Andrius Armonas, Annelisa Sturgeon, Axel Berres, Christian Lalitsch-Schneider, Christoph Barchanski, Christopher Davey, Damun Mollahassani, Dave Banham, David Duran, Edith Holland, Geoffrey Biggs, George Walley, Hartmut Hintze, Ilse Adamek, Jean-Francois Castet, Jianlin Shi, John Thomas, Kyle Post, Laura Hart, Loïc Quéran, Manfred Koethe, Mark Sampson, Mary O Tolbert, Matthias Nagorni, Myron Hecht, Nataliya Yakymets, Rajiv Murali, Regis Casteran, Sarra Yako, Stephan Boutenko, Thomas Krynicki, Tim Weilkiens, Tomas Juknevičius, Vanessa Sehon, Victor Arcos Barraquero, Yan Liu.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

For the ~~first~~ edition of the standard, the following people contributed: Andrius Armonas, Axel Berres, Dave Banham, Kyle Post, George Walley, Tomas Juknevičius.

5. Terms and Definitions

New terms and definitions have been required to create this specification. They are listed in the table below.

Table 5.1 – Description of terms and definitions used in this specification

Situation	A situation describes a set of situation occurrences of some type. The system, place, time, and state parameters are described by classifiers rather than individual descriptions. A situation occurrence is a system being in a given place at given time and in a given state. For example, “Boeing 747 with S/N 12305 is being refueled at Gate 7 of Amsterdam Schiphol at 11:45 on Monday, 30th of July 2018.”
Causality	Identifies cause-effect relationship between two situations. Causality could be direct (non-conditional), conditional, probabilistic or any other inter-situation relationship, defined by the user. Multiple situations can cause one situation and vice versa - one situation can cause multiple other situations. For example, a car in frequent contact with salt, causing safety-critical parts to corrode, which causes leaks in the brake line, causing the brakes to fail, causing a car accident, causing a passenger injury.
Relevant To	The Relevant To relationship is used to link situations to system model elements to provide context and relevance for the Situation. For example, in an insulin pump, a Situation where the insulin pump cannot be charged would be related to the main battery element in the system model.
Controlling Measure	A measure taken to address (mitigate severity, reduce probability of occurrence, increase probability of detection) a potential or real adverse situation.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

6. Acronyms and Abbreviations

Commented [DD11]: RAAML12-2

For the purposes of this specification, the following List of acronyms and abbreviations apply.

Table 6.1 – Description of acronyms used in this specification

AC	Advisory Circular
AMC	Acceptable Means of Compliance
ASIL/ARP	Automotive Safety Integrity Level Aerospace Recommended Practice
ASIL	Automotive Safety Integrity Level
CDF	Cumulative Distribution Function
DAL	Development Assurance Level
DET	Detectability
FDAL	Function Development Assurance Level
FHA	Functional Hazard Assessment
FMEA	Failure Mode and Effect Analysis
FTA	Fault Tree Analysis
GSN	Goal Structuring Notation
HARA	Hazard Analysis and Risk Assessment
HAZOP	A hazard and operability study
HIRE	High-Intensity Radiated Fields
IDAL	Item Development Assurance Level
MBSE	Model-Based Systems Engineering
MTBF	Mean Time Between Failures
MTTF	Mean Time to Failure
MTTR	Mean Time to Restore
ISO	International Standardization Organization
OCC	Occurrence
OMG	Object Management Group
PDF	Probability Density Function
RAAML	Risk Analysis and Assessment Modeling Language
RBD	Reliability Block Diagram
RPN	Risk priority number
SEV	Severity
STPA	Systems Theoretic Process Analysis
SysML	Systems Modeling Language
UAF	Universal Architecture Framework
UML	Unified Modeling Language

7. Additional Information (non-normative)

7.1 Language Architecture

The RAAML specification reuses a subset of UML 2.5.1 and SysML 1.6 and provides additional extensions needed to address the Safety and Reliability for UML RFP (ad/2017-03-05) requirements. Those requirements form the basis for this specification. This document specifies the language architecture in terms of UML 2.5.1 and SysML 1.6. It explains the design principles and how they are applied to implement RAAML.

7.2 Philosophy

The RAAML working group uses a library approach heavily with a light UML profile support. Using model libraries has several significant benefits compared with implementing everything in a profile:

- It makes use of the full UML structural modeling capabilities instead of just using metamodeling, which are further limited by the UML prescriptions for stereotyping. The tools with good support for UML/SysML class and composite structure diagrams can make use of their existing generic functionality for modeling safety and reliability aspects of a system.
- It enables end users to extend the libraries and profiles provided by the specification because safety and reliability practices vary across domains (automotive, aerospace, nuclear, etc.) and organizations.
- Finally, it is typically easier to make modifications and extensions to model libraries than to profiles, as extensions occur at lower metalevels.

The RAAML development uses a model-driven approach. A simple description of the work process is:

- The specification is generated from the UML model used to describe RAAML. This approach allows the working group members to concentrate on architecture issues rather than documentation production. The UML tool automatically maintains consistency.

7.3 Principles of Creating, Editing, and Displaying of Composite Situations in Diagrammatic and Tabular Views

This standard uses UML/SysML structural modeling capabilities to capture safety and reliability data. The safety and reliability data are captured by a collection of scenarios and situations as shown in

[Figure 7.1](#)

[Figure 7.1.](#)

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"




Figure 7.1 – Fundamental situation modeling principles

Complex scenarios can be built by inheriting from other scenarios and composing other situations as parts. Scenarios defined in libraries of this standard provide template scenarios from which to be inherited from. This way multilevel composite situations can be built.

- Situations are UML Classes, SysML Blocks.
- Scenario steps are captured using SysML parts - UML Properties with aggregation set to composite, and type set to sub-situation (which is UML Class, SysML Block); usually an association is also created for this property.
- Situation attribute values are captured using value properties - UML properties with type describing possible values (which is UML DataType, SysML ValueType) with the value specified in the defaultValue field.

When inheriting from library situations the properties of the user defined situations redefine or subset the properties of the library situation.

Note that user's model can have additional properties (including sub situations, and attributes and other kinds of properties), beyond those defined in the library. However, from the viewpoint of this standard, they carry user-specific extensions and are not relevant.

Situation in the user model can be inherited from the situation in the standard library indirectly through intermediate situations. This can be used to capture generality/specificity between the real-world situations being described and introduce user-specific library extensions.

Creation and Displaying of situation and scenario models can be done in diagrams, usual for UML/SysML tools, e.g., Class or Block Definition and Composite Structure or Internal Block diagrams. This suits rather well for the safety and reliability domains, which are used to graphical information input such as Fault Tree Analysis and Reliability Block Diagrams. However, users of many safety and reliability domains such as FMEA, STPA or ISO26262 are accustomed to tabular information input. Therefore, the principles of how these models can be described in a tabular format are explained in section §7.3.2.

7.3.1 Diagrammatic Situation Specification

Taking the operational situation TypicalAutomotiveSituation from ISO26262 library as an example, here is how the situation "Highway Driving Straight at Speed" would be defined in a diagram.

The ISO26262 library shown in (Figure 7.2) stipulates, that TypicalAutomotiveSituation is described by specifying trafficAndPeople, vehicleUsage, roadCondition, location, and environmentalCondition sub-situations and an Exposure attribute.




Figure 7.2 – Typical Automotive Situation definition in the ISO26262 Library

The “Highway Driving Straight at Speed” situation, in the user model (Figure 7.3) specifies, that Exposure level is E4 (chosen from the level enumeration defined in the library), trafficAndPeople is “Traffic Free Flow” (another situation defined by the user or coming from a library of operational conditions), the vehicleUsage is “Driving at Speed”, location is “City Roads” and “Highway” (two values), while roadCondition and environmentalCondition are left unspecified.

Note that:

- The scenario and sub-situations are inherited from the situations defined in the library.
- Exposure, which is a value attribute (i.e., an attribute, whose type is not a situation, but some data type instead a numeric or enumerated value) is specified by redefining a library attribute and specifying a default value.
- The trafficAndPeople and vehicleUsage attributes, which specify sub-situations, are redefining corresponding library attributes, and specifying a different type. The normal rules for UML attribute redefinition apply, i.e., redefined attribute type must be narrower than the parent attribute type.
- The roadCondition and environmentalCondition are not redefined, therefore they are left unspecified. The attributes type remains the maximally wide, library type (“RoadCondition” and “EnvironmentalCondition” library types).
- Two values are being specified for location attribute. Therefore, two attributes location1 and location2 are defined in the situation. These attributes are sub-setting the parent location attribute instead of redefining, as in case 3 above. Note that, according to UML rules, names of the sub-setting attributes are not regulated and therefore they can be anything. However, it is strongly recommended that the tool vendor adopt some intuitive, user-friendly naming scheme like parent_attribute_name+number.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"




Figure 7.3 – User Model Defining Operational Situation “Highway Driving Straight at Speed”

7.3.2 Tabular Situation Specification

The same TypicalAutomotiveSituation, defined by the ISO26262 library and again shown in Figure 7.2, can also define a table format for entering automotive situation user model data in a tabular format.

The table for specifying typical automotive situations comprises the main Name column for defining the situation itself, plus one column per each attribute. A table for typical automotive situations, as defined by TypicalAutomotiveSituation library situation class would then have columns for Exposure, vehicleUsage, trafficAndPeople, location, roadCondition, and environmentalCondition. The column’s name does not need to follow library attributes strictly. They can be beautified, for the sake of user-friendliness. It is important that when the user adds or edits rows in this table, the underlying model data must be created in accordance with the chapters above.

The table below (Table 7.1) shows the same “Highway Driving Straight at Speed” situation defined in tabular format as in the previous chapter. Therefore, the underlying UML model structures must be the same as those shown in diagrammatic format (

Commented [KP12]: RAAML12-26

Figure 7.1

Figure 7.1).

Table 7.1 – Table for Specifying Operational Situations with Situation “Highway Driving Straight at Speed” Defined

#	Name	Exposure	Vehicle Usage	Traffic and People	Location	Road Condition	Environmental Condition
1	Highway Driving Straight at Speed	E4	Driving at Speed	Traffic Free Flow	Highway, City Roads		
1.1	Highway Driving Straight at Speed, Dangerous Conditions	E3	Driving at Speed	Traffic Free Flow	Highway, City Roads	Wet, Ice	Reduced Visibility

A typical safety and reliability domain such as ISO26262 will then use multiple tables, one for each of the structures defined in the library for that domain.

The tables can have additional columns, at the vendor's discretion, for specifying additional data about the situation, being described in a row. An example of such data could be a description (realized by e.g., UML Comment) of the situation.

Sub-classing by using a generalization relationship between situations can be expressed in tabular format, using hierarchical indented text in table row. In the above table, the "Highway Driving Straight at Speed, Dangerous Conditions" situation is a subclass of the "Highway Driving Straight at Speed" situation. Therefore, a generalization relationship is created between the two in the model. Note that the more specific situation can narrow down the field types of the parent. In this example, the sub-classing situation provides additional data for road and environmental conditions by using attributes and redefining attributes from the library. Using UML redefinition overrides the parent exposure to E3. The vehicle use, traffic and people, and location settings are inherited from the parent and do not require additional model elements.

In case of multiple composition levels between the situations defined the in the library, it is possible to show multi-level composite situation data in a single table instead of the multiple interrelated tables by using hierarchical grouped column approach.

An example of using this hierarchical approach is shown for the main situation - HazardousEvent - in the library for ISO26262 standard (Figure 7.4Figure 7.4):




Figure 7.4 – HazardousEvent Definition in the ISO26262 Library

The HazardousEvent comprises sub-situations hazard, systemLevelEffect, vehicleLevelEffect which are elementary and an accidentScenario which is a composite sub-situation. AccidentScenario is composed of the elementary malfunctioningBehavior and operationalSituation. OperationalSituation is composed of a multitude of operational condition sub-situations vehicleUsage, trafficAndPeople, location, roadCondition, and environmentalCondition.

If tabular format is used for entering this information, there could be 3 simple tables:

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

1. Table for operational situations, having columns for vehicleUsage, trafficAndPeople, location, roadCondition, and environmentalCondition.
2. Table for accident scenarios, having columns for malfunctioningBehavior and operationalSituation.
3. Table for hazardous events, having columns for hazard, systemLevelEffect, vehicleLevelEffect, and accidentScenario.

Alternatively, all this data can be entered in a single table, as shown in [Table 7.2](#)~~Table 7.2~~:

1. Table for hazardous events, having columns for **hazard**, **systemLevelEffect**, **vehicleLevelEffect**, and an **accidentScenario**.
 - 1.1. Accident scenario is a column group, comprising of columns **malfunctioningBehavior** and **operationalSituation**.
 - 1.1.1. Operational situation is a column group comprising of columns **vehicleUsage**, **trafficAndPeople**, **location**, **roadCondition**, and **environmentalCondition**.

Table 7.2 – Hazardous Event Table with Grouped Columns

Name	Hazard	Accident Scenario								System Level Effect	Vehicle Level Effect
		Malfunctioning Behavior	Operational Situation						Controllability		
			Vehicle Usage	Traffic and People	Location	Road Condition	Environmental Condition	Exposure			

Note – some columns (like ASIL level, or names of accident scenario, operational situation) have been skipped in the table for compactness reasons; in the actual tool that is not limited by page width they would be present.

8. Diagram Legend (non-normative)

The section 9 is comprised of diagrams that represent elements from the RAAML 1.0 specification. The diagrams are color-coded to help the reader to understand the model easier. Please refer to the legend in [Figure 8.1](#) to understand the diagrams.



Diagram shapes color-coded using gray color represent elements belonging to other packages than the one being specified in the current diagram.



Diagram shapes color-coded using white color represent elements belonging to packages that are being specified in the current diagram.

Figure 8.1 – Legend of color codes

An example in [Figure 8.2](#) demonstrates how legends are used. Elements that belong to FTA (Fault Tree Analysis) library will be represented in white color in diagrams which belong to FTA method specification. Other elements like DysfunctionalEvent will be represented in gray since they belong to the General part of the specification.




Figure 8.2 – An example of using a legend

This page intentionally left blank.

9. Risk Analysis and Assessment Modeling Language (RAAML) Library and Profile

The RAAML library and profile imports the entire SysML profile. The use of this import is intended to provide more seamless integration with system modeling using SysML and to be able to fully leverage the capabilities of SysML.

9.1 Core

The core concepts domain model is depicted in [Figure 9.1](#). The submission team uses this domain model to derive the CoreLibrary and CoreProfile packages (specified in sections 9.1.1 and 9.1.2 respectively). The other libraries and profiles of the specification are based on the CoreLibrary and CoreProfile packages and contain elements and relationships representing concepts common across safety and reliability analysis methods.




Figure 9.1 – Core concepts domain model

The central element in the core concepts domain model is the “Situation” concept. A situation occurrence is defined as a system being in a given place at given time and in a given state. For example, “Boeing 747 with S/N 12305 is being refueled at Gate 7 of Amsterdam Schiphol at 11:45 on Monday, 30th of July 2018.” An elementary situation is a classifier. It describes a set of situation occurrences of some type. The system, place, time, and state parameters are described by classifiers rather than individual descriptions.

When describing a situation, some of its parameters may be omitted if the situation does not need to be specific with respect to that parameter. For example:

- Fire in the engine compartment of the ship.
- Finger injury of the circular saw operator.

Different Situations can have generalization/specialization relationships between them. Generalization between two situations expresses the subset/superset relationship between the sets of occurrences that these situations represent. For example, “bone fracture” may be defined as a subtype of “Injury”.

Situations can have quantitative attributes, such as probability of occurrence. These are defined using the DependabilityAttribute class. Quantitative attributes can be related to each other and to attributes of the system by formulae using the AttributeRelation class. Formulae can be expressed in any language that the modeling tool can compute, including OCL and other executable languages. For example:

$$\text{FMEAItem.RiskPriorityNumber} = \text{Cause.Occurrence} \times \text{FailureMode.Detectability} \times$$

Effect.Severity

Different Situations can be associated with each other using the Causality class, expressing semantic relationships between situations such as simple causality, conditional causality, and probabilistic connections. These relations may also have quantitative attributes, such as the probability of occurrence of the “to” situation if the “from” situation occurs.

For example, a car in frequent contact with salt, causing safety-critical parts to corrode, which causes leaks in the brake line, causing the brakes to fail, causing a car accident, causing a passenger injury.

A non-elementary situation (the “Composition” relationship in [Figure 9.1](#) ~~Figure 9.1~~) is a concept encompassing multiple elementary situations: a single system or combination of several systems in a mutable layout, flowing in time through a sequence of states. The choice of whether to use a composite situation with parts described by subsituations, or to use a single situation, is at the discretion of the modeler. It depends on the modeler's needs, such as the depth of analysis required.

Situations can violate requirements, constraints defined/prescribed for the system, or other specifications describing how the system should operate. For example, a Situation where the system can-not detect glucose level violates the requirement that “the insulin pump must work for 1 week without the need to replace batteries”.

The RelevantTo relationship is used to link situations to system model elements to provide context and relevance for the Situation. For example, in the aforementioned insulin pump, a Situation where the insulin pump cannot be charged would be related to the main battery element in the system model.

Situations can be mitigated, detected, and prevented via the ControllingMeasure. The use of this relationship introduces new safety requirements.

It was decided early on to reuse as many concepts from the SysML language as possible and only add concepts that are missing in SysML to address safety and reliability aspects of systems. This avoids duplication between two languages that will typically be used together. It also enables tool vendors to implement the new profile and library without requiring new tool capabilities, assuming SysML is supported. This leads to a very small library and profile on top of SysML/UML being sufficient to cover all core concepts. The core domain model is covered by SysML/UML concepts as shown in Table 1. The CoreLibrary package is specified in section 9.1.1. The CoreProfile package is shown in 9.1.2. The Core profile and library are used by all domain-specific methods in the specification.

Table 9.1 – Mapping of core concepts to the SysML/UML language

Core concept	SysML/UML concept
Situation	A specialization of a Block in SysML and a new stereotype «Situation »
DependabilityAttribute	SysML Value Property
AttributeRelation	SysML Constraint Block
Generalization	UML Generalization relationship
Composition	UML Composition relationship
Violates	A stereotyped UML dependency
RelevantTo	A stereotyped UML dependency
Causality	An association/connector combination
ControllingMeasure	A stereotyped UML dependency

9.1.1 Core::Core Library

AnySituation

Package: Core Library

isAbstract: Yes

Applied Stereotype: «[Situation](#)»

Description

AnySituation is the universal root of all situations. All situations inherit from AnySituation. A situation describes a set of situation occurrences of some type. The system, place, time, and state parameters are described by classifiers rather than individual descriptions. A situation occurrence is a system being in a given place at given time and in a given state.

For example, “Boeing 747 with S/N 12305 is being refueled at Gate 7 of Amsterdam Schiphol at 11:45 on Monday, 30th of July 2018.”




Figure 9.2 – AnySituation

Attributes	
from : AnySituation[0..*] (member end of Causality association)	A situation which precedes the one at the other end of the Causality relationship.
to : AnySituation[0..*] (member end of Causality association)	A situation which follows the one at the other end of the Causality relationship.

Causality

Package: Core Library

Description

Universal root relationship between situations. All situation relationships inherit from this relationship. Identifies cause and effect relationship between two situations. Causality could be direct (non-conditional), conditional or probabilistic or any other inter-situation relationship, defined by the user. Multiple situations can cause one situation and vice versa - one situation can cause multiple other situations.

For example, a car in frequent contact with salt, causing safety-critical parts to corrode, which causes leaks in the brake line, causing the brakes to fail, causing a car accident, causing a passenger injury.




Figure 9.3 – Causality

Association ends	
to : AnySituation[0..*] (member end of Causality association)	A situation which follows the one at the other end of the Causality relationship.
from : AnySituation[0..*] (member end of Causality association)	A situation which precedes the one at the other end of the Causality relationship.

9.1.2 Core::Core Profile

Situation

Package: Core Profile

isAbstract: No

Generalization: Block

Extension: Class

Description

A situation is a SysML v1.6 Block. The situation reuses the following functionality from the Block concept: generalizations, parts, value properties, and Parametrics. The situation stereotype is only needed to distinguish situations from other types of blocks. See [AnySituation](#) for the definition of a situation concept.




Figure 9.4 – Situation

RelevantTo

Package: Core Profile

isAbstract: No

Generalization: DirectedRelationshipPropertyPath

Extension: Dependency

Description

The RelevantTo relationship is used to link situations to system model elements to provide context and relevance for the Situation. For example, in an insulin pump, a Situation where the insulin pump cannot be charged would be related to the main battery element in the system model. The RelevantTo relationship reuses the following functionality from the DirectedRelationshipPropertyPath concept: targetContext and targetPropertyPath.




Figure 9.5 – RelevantTo

Constraints
[1] ClientIsSituation -- client of the RelevantTo must be a Situation
Situation.allInstances().base_Class->includesAll(self.base_Dependency.client)

ControllingMeasure
Package: Core Profile
isAbstract: Yes
Generalization: DirectedRelationshipPropertyPath
Extension: Dependency

Description
A measure taken to address (mitigate severity, reduce probability of occurrence, increase probability of detection) a potential or real adverse situation.




Figure 9.6 – ControllingMeasure

Attributes
affects : Property[0..*] Indicates that this controlling measure influences (typically improves) a particular quantitative attribute of the situation.

Constraints
[1] SupplierIsSituation -- supplier of the ControllingMeasure must be a Situation
Situation.allInstances().base_Class->includesAll(self.base_Dependency.supplier)

Violates
Package: Core Profile
isAbstract: No
Extension: Dependency

Description
The violates relationship indicates a situation where a system is violating a prescription (requirement, constraint, etc.). It is used to connect situations to requirements, design constraints and any other elements of system models which prescribe a characteristic of the system.
For example, a Situation where the insulin pump drains the battery in 3 days violates the requirement that “The system must work for 1 week without the need to replace batteries”.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"




Figure 9.7 – Violates

Constraints

```
[1] ClientIsSituation      -- client of the Violates must be a Situation
    Situation.allInstances().base_Class->includesAll(self.base_Dependency.client)
```

IDCarrier

Package: ISO 26262 Profile

isAbstract: No

Extension: Element

Description

Additional stereotype for carrying human-readable identification data.




Figure 9.8 – IDCarrier

Attributes

Id : String[1]	Human readable identifier.
----------------	----------------------------

9.2 General

The specification includes a general safety and reliability package that extends the core package. It defines common concepts that are used or extended in the method- and domain-specific reliability and safety packages. The package provides a model library, specified in section 9.2.1, and a profile, specified in section 9.2.2.

The general concepts contained in this package can be used as-is to model the safety and reliability related aspects of a system. However, the intended purposes of the package are as follows:

1. Provide a common base for the method- and domain-specific reliability and safety modeling packages. The same concepts are used in a number of safety and reliability techniques (such as FMEA and FTA), so the role of this package is to prevent duplication of common concepts in other packages. This also enables movement of information between domains for cross-domain issues. This is particularly important as different domains may use the same concepts with different vocabulary. A common foundation provides a way to translate between these.

- 2. Provide traceability links between safety and reliability artefacts across the system life cycle. For example, the failure modes defined during Hazard Analysis and Risk Assessment (HARA, defined in the ISO 26262 package) and in an FMEA could be traced and considered during an FTA.
- 3. Provide a foundation on which additional methods, techniques and domains with safety and reliability concerns not currently included in the profile can be built by users. For example, a tool vendor could build an additional package for the railway domain by building on the general safety and reliability foundation. This both reduces effort to introduce an additional domain and allows additional domain packages to be compatible with the existing specification content.

9.2.1 General::General Concepts Library

AbstractEvent
Package: General Concepts Library
isAbstract: Yes
Generalization: AnySituation
Applied Stereotype: «Situation»

Description
Anything that causes a change in a system under analysis or environment. Event has an identifiable starting point in time.




Figure 9.9 – AbstractEvent

Attributes
likelihood : [1] A placeholder attribute for indicating likelihood of occurrence of an event. It is intentionally left without a type. Method developers can derive more specialized ways to characterize likelihood.

AbstractCause
Package: General Concepts Library
isAbstract: Yes
Generalization: AbstractEvent, Factor
Applied Stereotype: «Situation»

Description
An AbstractCause is a precursor event that activates other events. The AbstractCause is a root class for all kinds of causes; method developers should derive from it more specific kinds of causes with specific types for occurrence property. One case is demonstrated in the Cause element that redefines the occurrence property of the AbstractCause with the type Real.
See the diagram GeneralConceptsLibrary.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

See also: [fault](#) association end of the [Activation](#) association.




Figure 9.10 – AbstractCause

Attributes

occurrence : [1], redefines likelihood	A placeholder attribute without a type declared, for indicating how often this situation occurs. It is a redefinition of likelihood .
premitigationOccurrences : [0..*]	A placeholder attribute for indicating how often this situation occurred prior to mitigation. This property can have more than one value.

Cause

Package: General Concepts Library
isAbstract: Yes
Generalization: [AbstractCause](#)
Applied Stereotype: [«Situation»](#)

Description

A Cause is a specific implementation of [AbstractCause](#) that defines [occurrence](#) property with the type Real.




Figure 9.11 – Cause

Attributes

occurrence : Real [1], redefines occurrence	An attribute with the type Real, for indicating how often this situation occurs.
premitigationOccurrences : Real [0..*], redefines premitigationOccurrences	An attribute for indicating how often this situation occurred prior to mitigation. This property can have more than one value.

DysfunctionalEvent

Package: General Concepts Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [AbstractEvent](#)

Applied Stereotype: «[Situation](#)»

Description

An event whose occurrence can cause a dysfunctional behavior of a system or a part of the system.

The DysfunctionalEvent concept is a generalization of such concepts as failure, feared event, etc. that are considered in the domain-specific safety standards. It might be extended for introducing new safety and reliability methods and techniques.




Figure 9.12 – DysfunctionalEvent

AbstractFailureMode

Package: General Concepts Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [UndesiredState](#)

Applied Stereotype: «[FailureMode](#)»

Description

The manner in which a system or part of a system (e.g., functions, components, hardware, software, hardware parts, software units), can fail (ISO 26262-1:2018, definition 3.51, modified).

The AbstractFailureMode is a root class for all failure modes; method developers should derive more specific kinds of failure modes with specific types for the [detectability](#) property. One case is demonstrated in the [FailureMode](#) element that redefines the detectability property of the AbstractFailureMode with the type Real.




Figure 9.13 – AbstractFailureMode

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

Attributes	
detectability : [1]	A placeholder attribute without a type declared, for indicating how easy it is to detect this failure mode.
premitigationDetectabilities : [0..*]	A placeholder attribute for indicating how easy it would have been to detect the situation with the previous design iteration. This property can have more than one value.

FailureMode

Package: General Concepts Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [AbstractFailureMode](#)

Applied Stereotype: [«FailureMode»](#)

Description

FailureMode is a specific implementation of [AbstractFailureMode](#) that defines the [detectability](#) property with the type Real.

A failure is an instance of a FailureMode.




Figure 9.14 – FailureMode

Attributes	
detectability : Real [1], redefines detectability	An attribute with the type Real, for indicating how easy it is to detect the situation.
premitigationDetectabilities : Real [0..*], redefines premitigationDetectabilities	An attribute for indicating how easy it would have been to detect the situation with the previous design iteration. This property can have more than one value.

AbstractEffect

Package: General Concepts Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [DysfunctionalEvent](#)

Applied Stereotype: [«Situation»](#)

Description

An AbstractEffect is a [DysfunctionalEvent](#) that is a result or a consequence of another [Situation](#). The AbstractEffect is a root class for all effects; method developers should derive more specific kinds of effects with specific types for the [severity](#) property.

One case is demonstrated in the [Effect](#) element that redefines the severity property of the AbstractEffect with the type Real.
See the diagram [GeneralConceptsLibrary](#).
See also: [ErrorPropagation](#), [ErrorRealization](#) associations.




Figure 9.15 – AbstractEffect

Attributes	
severity : [1]	A placeholder attribute without a type declared, for indicating the estimate of the extent of harm.
premitigationSeverities : [0..*]	A placeholder attribute for indicating the estimate of the extent of harm that would have resulted from the previous design iterations. This property can have more than one value.

Effect
Package: General Concepts Library
isAbstract: Yes
Generalization: [AbstractEffect](#)
Applied Stereotype: «[Situation](#)»

Description
An Effect is a specific implementation of [AbstractEffect](#) that defines the [severity](#) property with the type Real.




Figure 9.16 – Effect

Attributes	
severity : Real[1], redefines severity	An attribute with the type Real, for indicating the estimate of the extent of harm.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

premitigationSeverities : Real[0..*],
 redefines [premitigationSeverities](#)

An attribute for indicating the estimate of the extent of harm that would have resulted from the previous design iterations. This property stores more than one value.

Activation

Package: General Concepts Library

Generalization: [Causality](#)

Description

A [causal](#) relationship describing the propagation of the initial [AbstractCause](#) situation to the [DysfunctionalEvent](#) situation in the system.




Figure 9.17 – Activation

Association ends

error : DysfunctionalEvent[0..*] The dysfunctional situation (error) of the system.
 (member end of [Activation](#) association, redefines [to](#))

fault : AbstractCause[0..*] (member end of [Activation](#) association, redefines [from](#)) The causal fault.

ErrorPropagation

Package: General Concepts Library

Generalization: [Causality](#)

Description

A [causal](#) relationship describing the propagation of [errors](#) (one error leading to another) throughout the system.




Figure 9.18 – ErrorPropagation

Association ends

toError : DysfunctionalEvent[0..*] (member end of ErrorPropagation association, redefines to)	The successor error.
fromError : DysfunctionalEvent[0..*] (member end of ErrorPropagation association, redefines from)	The predecessor error.

ErrorRealization

Package: General Concepts Library

Generalization: [Causality](#)

Description

A [causal](#) relationship describing the propagation of an [error](#) to a [failure](#).




Figure 9.19 – ErrorRealization

Association ends

failure : DysfunctionalEvent[0..*] (member end of ErrorRealization association, redefines to)	The resulting failure.
error : DysfunctionalEvent[0..*] (member end of ErrorRealization association, redefines from)	The predecessor error.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

HarmPotential

Package: General Concepts Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [AnySituation](#)

Applied Stereotype: [«Situation»](#)

Description

A state where there is the potential of [harm](#). This includes all types of harm arising from malicious or non-malicious causes.




Figure 9.20 – HarmPotential

Hazard

Package: General Concepts Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [HarmPotential](#)

Applied Stereotype: [«Situation»](#)

Description

A potential source of [harm](#) (IEC 61508-4, 3.1.2). Source of harm is non-malicious.

The term includes danger to persons arising within a short time scale (for example, fire and explosion) and also those that have a long-term effect on a person's health (for example, release of a toxic substance).




Figure 9.21 – Hazard

Scenario

Package: General Concepts Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [AnySituation](#)

Applied Stereotype: [«Situation»](#)

Description

A composite [situation](#), consisting of multiple steps (that are themselves [situations](#)). Steps should have causal ordering, indicated by [Causality](#) relationships or sub-types thereof.




Figure 9.22 – Scenario

Attributes

scenarioStep : AnySituation[0..*] (member end of association)

AbstractRisk

Package: General Concepts Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [Scenario](#)

Applied Stereotype: «Situation»

Description

An AbstractRisk is a [Scenario](#) - combination of harm potential ([Hazard](#) or Vulnerability), triggering event ([AbstractEvent](#)), and resulting harm ([AbstractEffect](#)).

The [AbstractRisk](#) is a placeholder to enable modelers to specify methodology-specific kinds of risks.




Figure 9.23 – AbstractRisk

Attributes

score : Combination of the probability of occurrence of abstract event resulting from abstract harm and the severity of that harm (IEC 61508-4, 3.1.5, modified).
An example could be risk priority number ([RPN](#)) in FMEA analysis.

trigger : AbstractEvent[0..*] (member end of association, subsets [scenarioStep](#)) Triggering event ([AbstractEvent](#)) which causes harm to materialize.

harm : AbstractEffect[0..*] (member end of association, subsets [scenarioStep](#)) Resulting harm ([AbstractEffect](#)).

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

harmPotential : HarmPotential[0..*]
(member end of association, subsets
[scenarioStep](#))

Pre-existing risk ([HarmPotential](#)).

UndesiredState

Package: General Concepts Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [DysfunctionalEvent](#)

Applied Stereotype: [«Situation»](#)

Description

An element's condition as a specific time which represents an unintended situation.




Figure 9.24 – UndesiredState

Limitation

Package: General Concepts Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [Factor](#)

Applied Stereotype: [«Situation»](#)

Description

A limiting condition; restrictive weakness; lack of capacity; inability or handicap.
Limitation is a restriction of Capability.




Figure 9.25 – Limitation

Loss

Package: General Concepts Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [AbstractEffect](#)

Applied Stereotype: [«Situation»](#)

Description

In STPA, is any effect that is unacceptable and should be prevented. Some factors such as environmental conditions may contribute to a loss but are outside our control. Examples for losses are:

- Loss of human life or injury
- Vehicle/property damage
- Mission loss (inadequate transportation)
- Loss of customer satisfaction
- Financial loss
- Loss of public image
- Environmental pollution




Figure 9.26 – Loss

Factor

Package: General Concepts Library
isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [AnySituation](#)

Applied Stereotype: [«Situation»](#)

Description

A situation that contributes to a result or outcome




Figure 9.27 – Factor

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

9.2.2 General::General Concepts Profile

FailureMode

Package: General Concepts Profile

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [Situation](#)

Extension: Class

Description

See [FailureMode](#) library class for the definition of a situation concept.

The [FailureMode](#) stereotype is only needed to distinguish FailureModes from other types of situations.




Figure 9.28 – FailureMode

Error

Package: General Concepts Profile

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [Situation](#)

Extension: Class

Description

The discrepancy between a computed, observed, or measured value or condition and the true, specified or theoretically correct value or condition. [IEC 61508-4, 3.6.11].

The [Error](#) stereotype is needed to distinguish this type of situations.




Figure 9.29 – Error

Fault

Package: General Concepts Profile

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [Situation](#)

Extension: Class

Description

Abnormal condition that may cause a reduction in, or loss of, the capability of a functional unit to perform a required function. [IEC 61508-4, 3.6.1].

Abnormal or undesired condition that can cause an element or a system to fail. [ISO 26262-1:2018, 3.54, modified]

The [Fault](#) stereotype is needed to distinguish this type of situations.




Figure 9.30 – Fault

Detection

Package: General Concepts Profile

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [ControllingMeasure](#)

Extension: Dependency

Description

A kind of [ControllingMeasure](#) taken to increase probability of detecting the situation under analysis. In hardware these measures may include built-in diagnostic tests, or physical inspection and manual tests.




Figure 9.31 – Detection

Prevention

Package: General Concepts Profile

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [ControllingMeasure](#)

Extension: Dependency

Description

A kind of [ControllingMeasure](#) taken to reduce probability of occurrence of the situation under analysis.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"




Figure 9.32 – Prevention

Mitigation

Package: General Concepts Profile

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [ControllingMeasure](#)

Extension: Dependency

Description

A kind of [ControllingMeasure](#) taken to reduce severity of the situation under analysis.




Figure 9.33 – Mitigation

Recommendation

Package: General Concepts Profile

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [ControllingMeasure](#)

Extension: Dependency

Description

Recommendation is used to connect the situation to an action item.

An action item is normally a Requirement, but it can be a less "strong" type of advice - comment, rationale, etc.

The requirement is further managed by the requirements management system - it can have responsible persons, due date, verification properties etc.




Figure 9.34 – Recommendation

FailureState
Package: General Concepts Profile
isAbstract: No
Extension: State

Description
 State, which the system or a part of the system enters after occurrence of [FailureMode](#) (failure).
 The Failure state concept might be used in various formal safety and reliability analysis methods based on the state machine notation. Failure states could be tied to [FailureModes](#) via the [RelevantTo](#) dependency.




Figure 9.35 – FailureState

Undeveloped
Package: General Concepts Profile
isAbstract: No
Extension: Element

Description
 Undeveloped stereotype is meant to identify incomplete concepts.
 This stereotype can be applied in combination with Goal or Strategy stereotype to express the fact that the goal or strategy is not fully developed, and therefore may lack crucial details.
 This stereotype can also be applied to basic event in fault trees to express the fact that it is not fully developed.

Hazard
Package: General Concepts Profile
isAbstract: No
Generalization: [Situation](#)

Formatted: Heading 5

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

Extension: Class

Description

A marker stereotype for hazards (see the Hazard library element for definition).




Figure 9.36 – Hazard

Item

Package: General Concepts Profile
isAbstract: Yes

Extension: Element

Description

An item defines a notional boundary for a risk analysis.




Figure 9.37 – Item

Attributes

member : Element[0..*]	Elements that are inside the boundary of an item.
boundaryMember : Element[0..*], subsets member	Elements that are on the boundary of an item. They act as interaction points between inside and outside of the item.

PresentIn

Package: General Concepts Profile
isAbstract: No

Generalization: [RelevantTo](#)

Extension: Dependency

Description

Declares that a specific situation (e.g. weakness, vulnerability) is persistent when the part/block is included in the system.




Figure 9.38 – PresentIn

SingleElementItem

Package: General Concepts Profile
isAbstract: No

Generalization: [Item](#)

Extension: Class

Description

An item that is defined by an individual block.




Figure 9.39 – SingleElementItem

Attributes

member : Element[0..*], redefines [member](#) This is a redefinition of Item::member. When the item is defined by an individual block, this property collects features of this block.
referencedElement : Element[1] A reference to a block defining the item.

ElementGroupBasedItem

Package: General Concepts Profile

Formatted: Heading 5

Formatted: Heading 5

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

isAbstract: No

Generalization: ElementGroup, [Item](#)

Extension: Comment

Description

An item that is defined by a set of elements using the SysML element group mechanism.




Figure 9.40 – ElementGroupBasedItem

Attributes

member : Element[0..*], redefines member, [member](#) The members of the group are specified by SysML ElementGroup::member.

Figure 9.41 - [Assumption](#)

Commented [DD13]: [RAAML12-2](#)

9.3 General Security

9.3.1 General Security::General Security Concepts Library

Threat

Package: General Security Concepts Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [HarmPotential](#)

Applied Stereotype: [«Situation»](#)

Description

A Threat is any circumstance or event (Situation in RAAML) with the potential to adversely impact Assets.

A Threat is the potential cause of unacceptable asset loss and the undesirable consequences or impact of such a loss.




Figure 9.42 - Threat

Weakness

Package: General Security Concepts Library

isAbstract: NoYes

Generalization: Limitation

Applied Stereotype: «Situation»

Description

A “weakness” is a condition under certain circumstances, could contribute to the introduction of Vulnerabilities.




Figure 9.43 - Weakness

Vulnerability

Package: General Security Concepts Library

isAbstract: NoYes

Generalization: Limitation

Applied Stereotype: «Situation»

Commented [AA14]: RAAML12-14

Commented [AA15]: RAAML12-14

Commented [AA16]: RAAML12-15

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

Description

A Weakness that can be exploited or triggered by a SecurityActor to produce an undesirable behavior (DysfunctionalEvent).

Vulnerability can then be used as a (exploit)scenario step.




Figure 9.44 - Vulnerability

Commented [AA17]: RAAML12-15

9.3.2 General Security::General Security Concepts Profile

Threat

Package: General Security Concepts Profile

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [Situation](#)

Extension: Class

Description

A marker stereotype for Threat.




Figure 9.45 - Threat

Impacts

Package: General Security Concepts Profile

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [RelevantTo](#)

Extension: Dependency

Description

How a particular Situation affects the properties of an Asset.




Figure 9.46 - Impacts

Attributes

affects : Property[0..1]	Indicates which aspect of the asset (e.g. financial, or operational etc.) is being impacted.
rating : [0..1]	Rating of the Impact on the Asset

Asset

Package: General Security Concepts Profile
isAbstract: No

Extension: Class

Description

An Asset represents anything that has value to a person or organization.

Asset can be contextualized by the Item.

For that, the recommended way is to create Asset-typed property in the appropriate Item.

Note: this only works for the Item flavors that are based on UML Class. If there are methodologies that have custom kinds of items, not based on UML Class, those methodologies need to specify their own mechanisms to contextualize the Asset

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"




Figure 9.47 - Asset

Valuates

Package: General Security Concepts Profile
isAbstract: No

Generalization: DirectedRelationshipPropertyPath

Extension: Dependency

Description

Relationship connecting Asset description with the underlying system model element.




Figure 9.48 - Valuates

SecurityActor

Package: General Security Concepts Profile
isAbstract: No

Extension: Classifier

Description

A SecurityActor represents an entity that has the potential to affect a Risk - typically a threat actor.




Figure 9.49 - SecurityActor

PresentedBy

Package: General Security Concepts Profile

isAbstract: No
Generalization: [RelevantTo](#)
Extension: Dependency
Description
Presentation/Initiation of a Situation by a SecurityActor.




Figure 9.50 - PresentedBy

9.4 Methods::FMEA

The Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) is a method of inspecting a system to analyze potential failures. Therefore, as many components, assemblies, and subsystems as possible are examined in order to identify these failure modes in a system and their causes and effects.

9.4.1 Methods::FMEA::FMEALibrary

AbstractFMEAItem
Package: FMEALibrary
isAbstract: Yes
Generalization: [AbstractRisk](#)
Applied Stereotype: [«FMEAItem»](#)

Description
An AbstractFMEAItem is a scenario (more specifically - [AbstractRisk](#) scenario) composed of a failure mode, (potentially multiple) cause(s) and effect(s). It stores assessed and mitigated risk priority numbers.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"




Figure 9.51 – AbstractFMEAItem

Attributes	
RPN : [1], redefines score	The risk priority number ranks the risk of the FMEA item. It is a specialization of AbstractRisk::score .
failureMode : AbstractFailureMode[1] (member end of association, subsets scenarioStep)	Represents the failure mode which is reached if a system element fails.
cause : AbstractCause[1..*] (member end of association, subsets scenarioStep)	Represents the cause of the failure of a system element.
finalEffect : AbstractEffect[1..*] (member end of association, redefines harm)	Represents the effect which occurs on the system border.
previousRPNValues : [0..*]	Represents the assessed risk priority number before mitigating the risk of a failure.
harmPotential : HarmPotential[0] (member end of association, redefines harmPotential , subsets scenarioStep)	Pre-existing risk. Not used in FMEA method, therefore redefined in this library with multiplicity [0]
FMEAItem	
Package: FMEALibrary	
isAbstract: Yes	
Generalization: AbstractFMEAItem	
Applied Stereotype: «FMEAItem»	

Description
A FMEAItem is a specialization of [AbstractFMEAItem](#) with the Real implementation of quantitative attributes.




Figure 9.52 – FMEItem

Attributes

finalEffect : Effect[1..*] (member end of association, redefines [finalEffect](#))
 cause : Cause[1..*] (member end of association, redefines [cause](#))
 RPN : Real[1], redefines [RPN](#)
 failureMode : FailureMode[1] (member end of association, redefines [failureMode](#))
 calculation : RPNCalculation
 previousRPNValues : Real[0..*], redefines [previousRPNValues](#)

The specialization of [AbstractFMEItem](#) :: [finalEffect](#) with the implementation of [Effect](#) with Real severity.
 The specialization of [AbstractFMEItem](#) :: [cause](#) with the implementation of [Cause](#) with Real occurrence.
 The specialization of [AbstractFMEItem](#) :: [RPN](#) with the type Real.
 The specialization of [AbstractFMEItem](#) :: [failureMode](#) with the implementation of [FailureMode](#) with Real detectability.
 Link to a formula for [RPN](#) calculation.
 The specialization of [AbstractFMEItem](#) :: [previousRPNValues](#) with the type Real.

RPNCalculation
Package: FMEALibrary
isAbstract: No
Applied Stereotype: «ConstraintBlock»

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
 Formatted: Font: Not Bold
 Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

Description
A formula for [RPN](#) calculation. This implementation uses multiplication of Occurrence x Detectability x Severity to calculate RPN.

Attributes	
RPN :	Risk priority number
SEV :	Severity
OCC : Real	Occurrence
DET :	Detectability

Constraints
[1] Reduced priority number is calculated by simple multiplication of Severity, Detectability and Occurrence.

LossOfFunction
Package: FMEALibrary
isAbstract: Yes
Generalization: [FailureMode](#)
Applied Stereotype: [«FailureMode»](#)

Description
A failure mode representing loss of function e.g., the function is inoperable, or suddenly fails.




Figure 9.53 – LossOfFunction

DegradationOfFunction
Package: FMEALibrary
isAbstract: Yes
Generalization: [FailureMode](#)
Applied Stereotype: [«FailureMode»](#)

Description
A failure mode representing a degradation of function or loss of function over time.




Figure 9.54 – DegradationOfFunction

IntermittentFunction
Package: FMEALibrary
isAbstract: Yes
Generalization: [FailureMode](#)
Applied Stereotype: [«FailureMode»](#)

Description
A failure mode representing an intermittent function or the random stops and starts of a function.




Figure 9.55 – IntermittentFunction

PartialFunction
Package: FMEALibrary
isAbstract: Yes
Generalization: [FailureMode](#)
Applied Stereotype: [«FailureMode»](#)

Description
A failure mode representing a partial function or loss of performance.




Figure 9.56 – PartialFunction

UnintendedFunction
Package: FMEALibrary
isAbstract: Yes
Generalization: [FailureMode](#)
Applied Stereotype: [«FailureMode»](#)

Description
A failure mode representing an unintended function, function operating at the wrong time, with unintended direction, or unequal performance.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"




Figure 9.57 – UnintendedFunction

ExceedingFunction
 Package: FMEALibrary
 isAbstract: Yes
 Generalization: [FailureMode](#)
 Applied Stereotype: [«FailureMode»](#)

Description
 A failure mode representing a function exceeding the acceptable operational performance.




Figure 9.58 – ExceedingFunction

DelayedFunction
 Package: FMEALibrary
 isAbstract: Yes
 Generalization: [FailureMode](#)
 Applied Stereotype: [«FailureMode»](#)

Description
 A failure mode representing a delayed function or function operating after an unintended time interval.




Figure 9.59 – DelayedFunction

9.4.2 Methods::FMEA::FMEAProfile

FMEAItem
 Package: FMEAProfile
 isAbstract: No
 Generalization: Block
 Extension: Class

Description

See [AbstractFMEAItem](#) library class for the definition of a FMEA Item concept.




Figure 9.60 – FMEAItem

```
Constraints
[1] -- FMEAItem stereotype can only be applied on any class, specialized from
FMEAItemIsAbstractFMEAItem AbstractFMEAItem from FMEA Library
self.base_Class->asSet()->closure(general).name->includes('AbstractFMEAItem')
```

9.5 Methods::FTA

Fault Tree Analysis (FTA) is a top-down failure analysis in which an undesired state of a system is analyzed using Boolean logic to combine a series of lower-level (basic) events. This analysis method is used to understand how systems can fail, to identify the best ways to reduce risk and to determine event rates of a safety accident or a functional failure.

The FTA package contains all required elements to implement this analysis. Support for Fault Tree Analysis (FTA) modeling is based on the IEC 61025:2006 standard. Using this standard ensures that the specification offers a form of FTA that is based on best practices and accepted by practitioners. It is also possible for a user to extend the capabilities of the FTA package to enable, for example, dynamic fault tree analysis and component fault tree modeling while still remaining compatible with other information modeled using the specification.

In order to combine FMEA and FTA analysis, a connection between a failure mode and a fault tree event needs to be made. Therefore, the Cause of an FMEAItem can be interpreted as the event which leads to a failure of a system item. By combining FMEAs and FTAs, both analyses can be used to verify the analysis results. This may lead to a better understanding of the behavior of a system during erroneous behavior.

9.5.1 Methods::FTA::FTALibrary

```
FTAElement
Package: FTALibrary
isAbstract: Yes
Generalization: DysfunctionalEvent
Applied Stereotype: «Situation»
```

Description
Any of the [Events](#) and [Gates](#) needed for the evaluation of the [TopEvent](#) probability.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"




Figure 9.61 – FTAElement

Attributes

probability : Real, redefines [likelihood](#)

The probability that the event represented by the owning FTA element occurs. Probability is a Real value between 0 and 1.

source Gate : Gate (member end of input association)

FTATree

Package: FTALibrary

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [FTAElement](#), [Scenario](#)

Applied Stereotype: «Tree»

Description

A collection of FTAElements and their interrelationships for the evaluation of the top event probability.




Figure 9.62 – FTATree

Attributes

topEvent : Event[1] (member end of association)

Undesired event which lead to the failure of the system.

Methods::FTA::FTALibrary::Events

Package of events for building fault trees.

Event

Package: Events

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [FTAElement](#)

Applied Stereotype: «Situation»

Description
The Event is a base class for all types of fault tree events. It is a kind of [DysfunctionalEvent](#).




Figure 9.63 – Event

Attributes
priority : Integer[0..1] The priority field is only used to indicate the order of this event when multiple events are inputs of Priority AND ([SEQ](#)) gate.
target Gate : Gate (member end of output association)

BasicEvent
Package: Events
isAbstract: No
Generalization: [Event](#)
Applied Stereotype: [«BasicEvent»](#)

Description
A basic initiating failure requiring no further development.




Figure 9.64 – BasicEvent

IntermediateEvent
Package: Events
isAbstract: No
Generalization: [Event](#)
Applied Stereotype: [«IntermediateEvent»](#)

Description
An intermediate event is a failure which occurs because of one or more antecedent events acting through logic gates.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"




Figure 9.65 – IntermediateEvent

Attributes

probability : Real, redefines [probability](#)

Probability of the intermediate event is derived. It is calculated by the gate from the probabilities of the more basic events.

TopEvent

Package: Events

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [Event](#)

Applied Stereotype: [«TopEvent»](#)

Description

Undesired event - failure or effect - at the top of the fault tree.




Figure 9.66 – TopEvent

Attributes

probability : Real, redefines [probability](#)

The (derived) probability of the top event is the result of the fault tree calculation.

ConditionalEvent

Package: Events

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [Event](#)

Applied Stereotype: [«ConditionalEvent»](#)

Description

Specific conditions or restrictions that apply to any logic gate (used primarily with PRIORITY AND and INHIBIT gates).




Figure 9.67 – ConditionalEvent

DormantEvent
Package: Events
isAbstract: No
Generalization: [Event](#)
Applied Stereotype: [«DormantEvent»](#)

Description
The dormant event is similar to [BasicEvent](#) but indicates the latent failure which is discovered by periodical tests.




Figure 9.68 – DormantEvent

UndevelopedEvent
Package: Events
isAbstract: No
Generalization: [Event](#)
Applied Stereotype: [«BasicEvent»](#), [«Undeveloped»](#)

Description
An event which is not further developed either because it is of insufficient consequence or because information is unavailable.




Figure 9.69 – UndevelopedEvent

HouseEvent
Package: Events
isAbstract: No
Generalization: [Event](#)
Applied Stereotype: [«HouseEvent»](#)

Description
An event which can be set to occur or not occur.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"




Figure 9.70 – HouseEvent

Attributes

probability : HouseEventProbability, Probability of the house event is 0 or 1. It is set before doing a fault tree evaluation.
redefines [probability](#)

ZeroEvent

Package: Events

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [Event](#)

Applied Stereotype: «ZeroEvent»

Description

An event which represents a condition or an event that will never occur.




Figure 9.71 – ZeroEvent

Attributes

probability : Real, redefines [probability](#) The probability of zero event is always 0.

Methods::FTA::FTALibrary::Gates

Package of logical conditions for building fault trees.

Gate

Package: Gates

isAbstract: Yes

Applied Stereotype: «Situation»

Description

An [FTAElement](#) that combines input [Event](#) probabilities in a prescribed manner to determine output [Event](#) probability. The output event occurs if the combination of input events is satisfied. The gate subtypes specify the necessary combination.




Figure 9.72 – Gate

Attributes

source Event : Event[0..*] (member end of input association)
 target Event : Event[1] (member end of output association)

AND

Package: Gates
 isAbstract: No
 Generalization: [Gate](#)
 Applied Stereotype: «Block», «AND»

Description

The output event occurs only if all input events occur.




Figure 9.73 – AND

OR

Package: Gates
 isAbstract: No
 Generalization: [Gate](#)
 Applied Stereotype: «Block», «OR»

Description

The output event occurs if at least one of input event occurs.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
 Formatted: Font: Not Bold
 Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"




Figure 9.74 – OR

NOT

Package: Gates

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [Gate](#)

Applied Stereotype: «Block», [«NOT»](#)

Description

The output event occurs if the input event does not occur.




Figure 9.75 – NOT

XOR

Package: Gates

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [Gate](#)

Applied Stereotype: «Block», [«XOR»](#)

Description

The output event occurs if exactly one of the input events occurs.




Figure 9.76 – XOR

SEQ

Package: Gates

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [Gate](#)

Applied Stereotype: «Block», «SEQ»

Description

The output event occurs if all the input events occur in a specific sequence.




Figure 9.77 – SEQ

INHIBIT

Package: Gates

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [Gate](#)

Applied Stereotype: «INHIBIT», «Block»

Description

The output event occurs if the (single) input event occurs in the presence of an enabling condition.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"




Figure 9.78 – INHIBIT

Attributes

condition : Event[0..*] (member end of condition association)

MAJORITY_VOTE

Package: Gates

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [Gate](#)

Applied Stereotype: «Block», [«MAJORITY_VOTE»](#)

Description

The output event occurs if the majority of the input events occurs. It has a threshold parameter m.




Figure 9.79 – MAJORITY_VOTE

Attributes

m : Integer

The m parameter defines the number of input events that form a majority. It is not necessarily $\text{ceil}(\text{number_of_inputs} / 2)$. It is possible to stipulate that e.g., 5 (or 2) input events have to occur out of total of 7 events for majority gate to fire.

Methods::FTA::FTALibrary::Gates::ConstraintBlocks

Reference implementation for the FTA gates.

ANDConstraintBlock

Package: ConstraintBlocks

isAbstract: No

Applied Stereotype: «ConstraintBlock»

Description

Reference implementation for the [AND](#) gate.

Attributes

output :

input : [0..*]

Constraints

[1]

Probability of AND node is simply a multiplication of probabilities of incoming nodes.

Note - this simplistic calculation assumes that incoming node events are mutually independent.

ORConstraintBlock

Package: ConstraintBlocks

isAbstract: No

Applied Stereotype: «ConstraintBlock»

Description

Reference implementation for the [OR](#) gate.

Attributes

output :

input : [0..*]

Constraints

[1]

Probability of OR node is calculated as opposite probability of the event where neither of the input events happen.

This follows De Morgan's theorem - $OR(input1, input2, input3...)$ is equal to $NOT AND (NOT input1, NOT input2, NOT input3...)$.

Note - this simplistic calculation assumes that incoming node events are mutually independent.

SEQConstraintBlock

Package: ConstraintBlocks

isAbstract: No

Applied Stereotype: «ConstraintBlock»

Description

Reference implementation for the [SEQ](#) gate.

Attributes

output :

input : Real[0..*]

Constraints

[1]

Probability of SEQ node is calculated the same way as AND node - it is simply a multiplication of probabilities of incoming nodes.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

This simplistic calculation cannot capture time-dependency of the events; only more complex simulations can estimate this probability.

XORConstraintBlock

Package: ConstraintBlocks

isAbstract: No

Applied Stereotype: «ConstraintBlock»

Description

Reference implementation for the [XOR](#) gate.

Attributes

output :

input : [0..*]

Constraints

[1]

In case of two inputs, XOR probability is calculated by ORing of two event combination probabilities - probability that first event happened and second did not ORed with probability that second event happened while first did not.

$$\text{Input1 XOR Input2} = \text{Input1 AND NOT Input2 OR Input2 AND NOT Input1}$$

Since combinations are mutually exclusive, simple (+) operation can be used for ORing them. Therefore

$$\text{Input1 XOR Input2} = \text{Input1 AND NOT Input2} + \text{Input2 AND NOT Input1}$$

Further expanding ANDs and NOTs using their corresponding formulas, we get

$$\text{Input1 XOR Input2} = \text{Input1} * (1 - \text{Input2}) + \text{Input2} * (1 - \text{Input1}) = \text{Input1} + \text{Input2} - 2 * \text{Input1} * \text{Input2}$$

This formula can be iteratively applied for the case with number of inputs greater than two.

Note - this simplistic calculation assumes that incoming node events are mutually independent.

INHIBITConstraintBlock

Package: ConstraintBlocks

isAbstract: No

Applied Stereotype: «ConstraintBlock»

Description

Reference implementation for the [INHIBIT](#) gate.

Attributes

output :

input : [0..*]

condition : Real

Constraints

[1]

Probability of INHIBIT node is calculated the same way as AND node - it is simply a multiplication of probabilities of input nodes and condition nodes.

Note - this simplistic calculation assumes that incoming node events and conditions are mutually independent.

MAJORITY_VOTEConstraintBlock

Package: ConstraintBlocks
isAbstract: No
Applied Stereotype: «ConstraintBlock»

Description
Reference implementation for the [MAJORITY_VOTE](#) gate.

Attributes
output :
input : [0..*]
m :

Constraints
[1] Majority Vote probability can be calculated by iteratively examining all the combinations of input events, taking those combinations that satisfy the condition that at least m input events happen, then calculating probability of each combination using AND formula (multiplying all individual event probabilities in that combination) and then calculating cumulative probability of all combinations by ORing them.
Note - this simplistic calculation assumes that incoming node events are mutually independent.

NOTConstraintBlock

Package: ConstraintBlocks
isAbstract: No
Applied Stereotype: «ConstraintBlock»

Description
Reference implementation for the [NOT](#) gate.

Attributes
output :
input : [1]

Constraints
[1] Probability of NOT node is calculated as probability of the event opposite to the input event.
Thereby it is unity minus probability of input event.

9.5.2 Methods::FTA::FTAProfile

Tree
Package: FTAProfile
isAbstract: No
Generalization: [Situation](#)
Extension: Class

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

Description

A marker stereotype for fault trees. See [FTATree](#) library class for definition.




Figure 9.80 – Tree

Constraints

[1] TreeIsFTATree -- Tree stereotype can only be applied on any class specialized from FTATree from FTA Library
self.base_Class->asSet()->closure(general).name->includes('FTATree')

Gate

Package: FTAProfile
isAbstract: Yes
Extension: Class, Property

Description

A marker stereotype for fault tree gates. See [Gate](#) library class for definition.




Figure 9.81 – Gate

Event

Package: FTAProfile
isAbstract: Yes
Extension: Class, Property

Description

A marker stereotype for fault tree events. See [Event](#) library class for definition.

If the Event stereotype is applied to a class, then that class also must have the Situation stereotype (or its descendants) applied.




Figure 9.82 – Event

DormantEvent
Package: FTAProfile
isAbstract: No
Generalization: [Event](#)
Extension: Class, Property

Description
A marker stereotype, carrying icon for dormant events. See [DormantEvent](#) library class for definition.




Figure 9.83 – DormantEvent

Constraints

```
[1]
DormantEventIsDormantEvent
    if not self.base_Class->isEmpty() then
        --DormantEvent stereotype can only be applied on any class specialized from
        DormantEvent from FTA Library
        self.base_Class->asSet()->closure(general).name->includes('DormantEvent')
    else
        --DormantEvent stereotype can only be applied on any property whose type is
        specialized from DormantEvent from FTA Library
        self.base_Property.type->asSet()->closure(general).name-
        >includes('DormantEvent')
    endif
```

BasicEvent
Package: FTAProfile
isAbstract: No
Generalization: [Event](#)
Extension: Class, Property

Description
A marker stereotype, carrying icon for basic events. See [BasicEvent](#) library class for definition.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"




Figure 9.84 – BasicEvent

Constraints

[1] BasicEventIsBasicEvent

```
if not self.base_Class->isEmpty() then
  --BasicEvent stereotype can only be applied on any class specialized from
  BasicEvent from FTA Library
  self.base_Class->asSet()->closure(general).name->includes('BasicEvent')
else
  --BasicEvent stereotype can only be applied on any property whose type is
  specialized from BasicEvent from FTA Library
  self.base_Property.type->asSet()->closure(general).name-
  >includes('BasicEvent')
endif
```

[2]

UndevelopedEventIsUndevelopedEvent

```
--BasicEvent + Undeveloped stereotype combination can be applied on any
class specialized from UndevelopedEvent from FTA Library
Undeveloped.allInstances().base_Element->includesAll(self.base_Class)
implies
self.base_Class->asSet()->closure(general).name-
>includes('UndevelopedEvent')
```

ConditionalEvent

Package: FTAProfile

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [Event](#)

Extension: Class, Property

Description

A marker stereotype, carrying icon for conditional events. See [ConditionalEvent](#) library class for definition.




Figure 9.85 – ConditionalEvent

Constraints

[1]

ConditionalEventIsConditionalEvent

if not self.base_Class->isEmpty() then

--ConditionalEvent stereotype can only be applied on any class specialized from ConditionalEvent from FTA Library

self.base_Class->asSet()->closure(general).name->includes('ConditionalEvent')

else

--ConditionalEvent stereotype can only be applied on any property whose type is specialized from ConditionalEvent from FTA Library

self.base_Property.type->asSet()->closure(general).name->includes('ConditionalEvent')

endif

ZeroEvent

Package: FTAProfile

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [Event](#)

Extension: Class, Property

Description

A marker stereotype, carrying icon for zero events. See [ZeroEvent](#) library class for definition.




Figure 9.86 – ZeroEvent

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

Constraints

[1] ZeroEventIsZeroEvent

```
if not self.base_Class->isEmpty() then
  --ZeroEvent stereotype can only be applied on any class specialized from ZeroEvent
  from FTA Library
  self.base_Class->asSet()->closure(general).name->includes('ZeroEvent')
else
  --ZeroEvent stereotype can only be applied on any property whose type is
  specialized from ZeroEvent from FTA Library
  self.base_Property.type->asSet()->closure(general).name->includes('ZeroEvent')
endif
```

HouseEvent

Package: FTAProfile

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [Event](#)

Extension: Class, Property

Description

A marker stereotype, carrying icon for house events. See [HouseEvent](#) library class for definition.




Figure 9.87 – HouseEvent

Constraints

[1] HouseEventIsHouseEvent

```
if not self.base_Class->isEmpty() then
  --HouseEvent stereotype can only be applied on any class specialized from
  HouseEvent from FTA Library
  self.base_Class->asSet()->closure(general).name->includes('HouseEvent')
else
  --HouseEvent stereotype can only be applied on any property whose type is
  specialized from HouseEvent from FTA Library
  self.base_Property.type->asSet()->closure(general).name->includes('HouseEvent')
endif
```

AND

Package: FTAProfile
isAbstract: No
Generalization: [Gate](#)
Extension: Class, Property

Description

A marker stereotype, carrying icon for AND gates. See [AND](#) library class for definition.




Figure 9.88 – AND

Constraints

[1] ANDIsAND

```
if not self.base_Class->isEmpty() then
    --AND stereotype can only be applied on any class specialized from
    FTA Library
    self.base_Class->asSet()->closure(general).name->includes('AND')
else
    --AND stereotype can only be applied on any property whose type is specialized
    from AND from FTA Library
    self.base_Property.type->asSet()->closure(general).name->includes('AND')
endif
```

OR

Package: FTAProfile
isAbstract: No
Generalization: [Gate](#)
Extension: Class, Property

Description

A marker stereotype, carrying icon for OR gates. See [OR](#) library class for definition.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"




Figure 9.89 – OR

Constraints

[1] ORIsOR

```

if not self.base_Class->isEmpty() then
    --OR stereotype can only be applied on any class specialized from OR gate from
    FTA Library
    self.base_Class->asSet()->closure(general).name->includes('OR')
else
    --OR stereotype can only be applied on any property whose type is specialized from
    OR from FTA Library
    self.base_Property.type->asSet()->closure(general).name->includes('OR')
endif

```

SEQ

Package: FTAProfile

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [Gate](#)

Extension: Class, Property

Description

A marker stereotype, carrying icon for SEQ gates. See [SEQ](#) library class for definition.




Figure 9.90 – SEQ

Constraints

[1] SEQIsSEQ

```
if not self.base_Class->isEmpty() then
    --SEQ stereotype can only be applied on any class specialized from SEQ gate from
    FTA Library
    self.base_Class->asSet()->closure(general).name->includes('SEQ')
else
    --SEQ stereotype can only be applied on any property whose type is specialized
    from SEQ from FTA Library
    self.base_Property.type->asSet()->closure(general).name->includes('SEQ')
endif
```

XOR

Package: FTAProfile
isAbstract: No
Generalization: [Gate](#)
Extension: Class, Property

Description

A marker stereotype, carrying icon for XOR gates. See [XOR](#) library class for definition.




Figure 9.91 – XOR

Constraints

[1] XORIsXOR

```
if not self.base_Class->isEmpty() then
    --XOR stereotype can only be applied on any class specialized from XOR gate from
    FTA Library
    self.base_Class->asSet()->closure(general).name->includes('XOR')
else
    --XOR stereotype can only be applied on any property whose type is specialized
    from XOR from FTA Library
    self.base_Property.type->asSet()->closure(general).name->includes('XOR')
endif
```

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

INHIBIT

Package: FTAProfile

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [Gate](#)

Extension: Class, Property

Description

A marker stereotype, carrying icon for INHIBIT gates. See [INHIBIT](#) library class for definition.




Figure 9.92 – INHIBIT

Constraints

```
[1] INHIBITisINHIBIT
    if not self.base_Class->isEmpty() then
        --INHIBIT stereotype can only be applied on any class specialized from INHIBIT
        gate from FTA Library
        self.base_Class->asSet()->closure(general).name->includes('INHIBIT')
    else
        --INHIBIT stereotype can only be applied on any property whose type is specialized
        from INHIBIT from FTA Library
        self.base_Property.type->asSet()->closure(general).name->includes('INHIBIT')
    endif
```

MAJORITY_VOTE

Package: FTAProfile

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [Gate](#)

Extension: Class, Property

Description

A marker stereotype, carrying icon for MAJORITY_VOTE gates. See [MAJORITY_VOTE](#) library class for definition.




Figure 9.93 – MAJORITY_VOTE

```

Constraints
[1]
MAJORITY_VOTE is MAJORITY_VOTE
    if not self.base_Class->isEmpty() then
        -MAJORITY_VOTE stereotype can only be applied on any class
        specialized from MAJORITY_VOTE gate from FTA Library
        self.base_Class->asSet()->closure(general).name-
        >includes('MAJORITY_VOTE')
    else
        -MAJORITY_VOTE stereotype can only be applied on any property
        whose type is specialized from MAJORITY_VOTE from FTA Library
        self.base_Property.type->asSet()->closure(general).name-
        >includes('MAJORITY_VOTE')
    endif
  
```

NOT

Package: FTAProfile

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [Gate](#)

Extension: Class, Property

Description

A marker stereotype, carrying icon for NOT gates. See [NOT](#) library class for definition.




Figure 9.94 – NOT

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

Constraints

[1] NOTIsNOT

```
if not self.base_Class->isEmpty() then
    --NOT stereotype can only be applied on any class specialized from NOT gate from
    FTA Library
    self.base_Class->asSet()->closure(general).name->includes('NOT')
else
    --NOT stereotype can only be applied on any property whose type is specialized
    from NOT from FTA Library
    self.base_Property.type->asSet()->closure(general).name->includes('NOT')
endif
```

IntermediateEvent

Package: FTAProfile

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [Event](#)

Extension: Class, Property

Description

A marker stereotype, carrying icon for intermediate events. See [IntermediateEvent](#) library class for definition.




Figure 9.95 – IntermediateEvent

Constraints

[1]

IntermediateEventIsIntermediateEvent

```
if not self.base_Class->isEmpty() then
    --IntermediateEvent stereotype can only be applied on any class specialized
    from IntermediateEvent from FTA Library
    self.base_Class->asSet()->closure(general).name-
    >includes('IntermediateEvent')
else
    --IntermediateEvent stereotype can only be applied on any property whose
    type is specialized from IntermediateEvent from FTA Library
    self.base_Property.type->asSet()->closure(general).name-
    >includes('IntermediateEvent')
endif
```

TopEvent
Package: FTAProfile
isAbstract: No
Generalization: [Event](#)
Extension: Class, Property

Description
A marker stereotype, carrying icon for top events. See [TopEvent](#) library class for definition.




Figure 9.96 – TopEvent

Constraints

```
[1] TopEventIsTopEvent if not self.base_Class->isEmpty() then
    --TopEvent stereotype can only be applied on any class specialized from TopEvent
    from FTA Library
    self.base_Class->asSet()->closure(general).name->includes('TopEvent')
else
    --TopEvent stereotype can only be applied on any property whose type is specialized
    from TopEvent from FTA Library
    self.base_Property.type->asSet()->closure(general).name->includes('TopEvent')
endif
```

TransferIn
Package: FTAProfile
isAbstract: No
Extension: Property

Description
The node of the current fault tree that indicates that the tree is developed further as a separate fault tree - [TransferOut](#).



Figure 9.97 – TransferIn

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

Constraints

```
[1] TypeIsTransferOut -- type of TransferIn property must be TransferOut FTA Tree
TransferOut.allInstances().base_Class->includesAll(self.base_Property.type)
```

TransferOut

Package: FTAProfile

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [Tree](#)

Extension: Class

Description

A marker stereotype for partial fault trees. It indicates that this tree is used as a part of another fault tree through [TransferIn](#). The computed probability of the top event of the TransferOut tree is used as a probability of the [TransferIn](#) node.




Figure 9.98 – TransferOut

9.6 Methods::STPA

The System Theoretical Process Analysis (STPA) is a hazard analysis technique based on control and system theory. In comparison, most existing hazard analysis techniques are based on reliability theory. In STPA, however, the easy goals are pursued as in any hazard analysis, i.e., collecting information on how hazards may occur. For further information on this approach the handbook¹ describes the method and show the application.

9.6.1 Methods::STPA::STPA Library

OutOfSequence

Package: STPA Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [UndesiredControlAction](#)

Applied Stereotype: «[UndesiredControlAction](#)»

Description

STPA Guideword, describing kind of control.

¹ https://psas.scripts.mit.edu/home/get_file.php?name=STPA_handbook.pdf




Figure 9.99 – OutOfSequence

Late
Package: STPA Library
isAbstract: Yes
Generalization: [UndesiredControlAction](#)
Applied Stereotype: [«UndesiredControlAction»](#)

Description
STPA Guideword, describing kind of control.




Figure 9.100 – Late

Early
Package: STPA Library
isAbstract: Yes
Generalization: [UndesiredControlAction](#)
Applied Stereotype: [«UndesiredControlAction»](#)

Description
STPA Guideword, describing kind of control.




Figure 9.101 – Early

TooLong
Package: STPA Library
isAbstract: Yes
Generalization: [UndesiredControlAction](#)
Applied Stereotype: [«UndesiredControlAction»](#)

Description

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

STPA Guideword, describing kind of control.




Figure 9.102 – TooLong

TooShort

Package: STPA Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [UndesiredControlAction](#)

Applied Stereotype: [«UndesiredControlAction»](#)

Description

STPA Guideword, describing kind of control.




Figure 9.103 – TooShort

Provided

Package: STPA Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [UndesiredControlAction](#)

Applied Stereotype: [«UndesiredControlAction»](#)

Description

STPA Guideword, describing a kind of control.




Figure 9.104 – Provided

NotProvided

Package: STPA Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [UndesiredControlAction](#)

Applied Stereotype: [«UndesiredControlAction»](#)

Description
STPA Guideword, describing kind of control.





Figure 9.105 – NotProvided

LossScenario
Package: STPA Library
isAbstract: Yes
Generalization: Scenario
Applied Stereotype: «LossScenario»
LossScenario

Description
A sequence of situations starting from causalFactors, that (through ProcessModelprocess deficiencies) leads to an UndesiredControlAction (which further leads to risks and possibly losses).



Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, Bold
Formatted: HTML Preformatted

Commented [AA18]: RAAML12-11

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"




Figure 9.106 – LossScenario

Attributes	
causalFactor : Factor[0..*] (member end of association, subsets scenarioStep)	A causalFactor can be used to further refine Process-Model process inadequacies - specifying causes of deficiencies in the process model and/or other contributing factors.
undesiredControlAction : UndesiredControlAction[0..*] (member end of association, subsets scenarioStep)	Undesired control action related to the loss scenario.
processControlModelFlaw : ProcessControlModelFlaw [0..*] (member end of association, subsets scenarioStep)	Process-model Control flaw related to the loss scenario.
ProcessModelFlawControlFlaw	
Package: STPA Library	
isAbstract: No Yes	
Applied Stereotype: «Situation»	

Description

A [ProcessModelFlaw-ControlFlaw](#) describes a process / control loop model that may lead to an Undesired Control Action. The four high level kinds of process model deficiencies can be used to specify the section of the control loop. Process model deficiencies are often called (high level) Scenario in STPA theory.

Commented [AA19]: RAAML12-11

Commented [AA21]: RAAML12-11




Figure 9.107 – ProcessModelFlawControlFlaw

Attributes

undesiredControlAction : UndesiredControlAction[0..*] (member end of ProcessModelFlawConsequence- ControlFlawConsequence association, redefines to)

Undesired control action related to process-modelcontrol flaw.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

InadequateControllerDecisions

Package: STPA Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [ProcessModelFlawControlFlaw](#)

Applied Stereotype: «Situation»

Description

A kind of [ProcessFlawControlFlaw](#).

Commented [AA23]: RAAML12-11

Commented [AA24]: RAAML12-11




Figure 9.108 – InadequateControllerDecisions

Commented [AA25]: RAAML12-11

InadequateControlExecution

Package: STPA Library

isAbstract: Yes


Generalization: [ProcessModelFlawControlFlaw](#)

Applied Stereotype: «Situation»

Description

A kind of [ProcessFlawControlFlaw](#).

Commented [AA26]: RAAML12-11






Figure 9.109 – InadequateControlExecution

InadequateProcessBehavior

Package: STPA Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: ~~ProcessModelFlow~~ControlFlow

Applied Stereotype: «Situation»

Description

A kind of ~~ProcessFlow~~ControlFlow.

Commented [AA27]: RAAML12-11




Figure 9.110 – InadequateProcessBehavior

InadequateFeedbackAndInputs

Package: STPA Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: ~~ProcessModelFlow~~ControlFlow

Applied Stereotype: «Situation»

Description

A kind of ~~ProcessFlow~~ControlFlow.

Commented [AA29]: RAAML12-11

Commented [AA30]: RAAML12-11

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"




Figure 9.111 – InadequateFeedbackAndInputs

UndesiredControlAction

Package: STPA Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [UndesiredState](#)

Applied Stereotype: [«UndesiredControlAction»](#)

Description

An Undesired Control Action (UCA), used in STPA, describes in what context providing / not providing a Control Action might lead to an undesired result.

A UCA generally consist of four parts:

- Controller (Subject) that issues the Control Action - inferred from Control Action and model of the system (block/part producing the control action).
- Guideword (provides, does not provide, etc.) - indicated using Generalization relationship
- Control Action - connected with RelevantTo relationship.
- Context in which Control Action leads to undesired outcome - sub situation of (part of) UCA situation.

Commented [AA31]: RAAML12-11




Figure 9.112 – UndesiredControlAction

Attributes

Context : AbstractOperationalSituation[1] The context of the undesired control action.
(member end of association)

controlprocessModelFlaw : ProcessModelFlawControlFlaw[0..*] Process model Control flow related to the undesired control action.

(member end of
ProcessModelFlawConsequence-
ControlFlowConsequence association,
redefines from)

harmPotential : HarmPotential[0..*] Harm potential related to the undesired control action.
(member end of
UndesiredControlActionHarmPotential
association, redefines to)

Commented [AA32]: RAAML12-11

Commented [AA33]: RAAML12-11

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

HarmRiskRealization

Package: STPA Library

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [AbstractRisk](#), Causality

Applied Stereotype: «Block»

Description

Association between the Loss and Hazard (potential harm).




Figure 9.113 – HarmRiskRealization

ProcessModelFlawFactorControlFlawFactor

Package: STPA Library

Generalization: [Causality](#)

Description

Causal relationship between causal Factor and ControlFlawCausal relationship between CausalFactor and ProcessFlaw

Commented [DD34]: RAAML12-2

Commented [AA35]: RAAML12-11

Commented [AA36]: RAAML12-11




Figure 9.114 – ProcessModelFlawFactorControlFlowFactor

Association ends

controlprocessModelFlaw : Process-modelControl flow related to the process-modelcontrol flow factor.
 ProcessModelFlawControlFlow[0..*]
 (member end of
 ProcessModelFlawFactor-
 ControlFlowFactor association,
 redefines to)

causalFactor : Factor[0..*] (member end of ProcessModelFlawFactor-
 ControlFlowFactor association,
 redefines from) A causalFactor can be used to further refine Process-Modelprocess inadequacies - specifying causes of deficiencies in the process model- and/or other contributing factors.

ProcessModelFawConsequenceControlFlowConsequence

Package: STPA Library
 Generalization: Causality

Description

Causal relationship between ProcessModelFlaw-ControlFlow and UndesiredControlAction

Commented [AA37]: RAAML12-11

Commented [AA38]: RAAML12-11

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"




Figure 9.115 – ProcessModelFlawConsequenceControlFlawConsequence

Association ends

undesiredControlAction : Undesired control action related to process-model control flow.

UndesiredControlAction[0..*] (member end of ProcessModelFlawConsequenceControlFlawConsequence association, redefines to)

process-model control flow : Process-model control flow related to the undesired control action. ProcessModelFlawControlFlaw[0..*] (member end of ProcessModelFlawConsequenceControlFlawConsequence association, redefines from)

UndesiredControlActionHarmPotential

Package: STPA Library

Generalization: Causality

Description

Causal relationship between UndesiredControlAction and HarmPotential.




Figure 9.116 – UndesiredControlActionHarmPotential

Association ends
 harmPotential : HarmPotential[0..*] Harm potential related to the undesired control action.
 (member end of
[UndesiredControlActionHarmPotential](#)
 association, redefines [to](#))
 undesiredControlAction : Harm potential (or hazard, or threat) related to the undesired control
 UndesiredControlAction[0..*] (member action.
 end of
[UndesiredControlActionHarmPotential](#)
 association, redefines [from](#))

9.6.2 Methods::STPA::STPA Profile

ControlAction
Package: STPA Profile
isAbstract: No
Extension: Signal, Class, DataType

Description
 A Control Action (CA) is an output signal from a functional / logical Controller to a ControlledProcess (via the Actuator), that determines the receiving process behaviour.




Figure 9.117 – ControlAction

Feedback
Package: STPA Profile
isAbstract: No
Extension: Signal, Class, DataType

Description

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
 Formatted: Font: Not Bold
 Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

A Feedback is an input signal to a functional / logical Controller from a ControlledProcess (via the Sensor), that characterizes the current processes behavior (or the environment).




Figure 9.118 – Feedback

UndesiredControlAction

Package: STPA Profile

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [Situation](#)

Extension: Class

Description

Stereotype used to demarcate all the UndesiredControlActions.




Figure 9.119 – UndesiredControlAction

UnsafeControlAction

Package: STPA Profile

isAbstract: No

Generalization: UndesiredControlAction

Extension: Class

Description

Stereotype used to demarcate all the UnsafeControlActions.




Figure 9.120 – UnsafeControlAction

ControlledProcess
Package: STPA Profile
isAbstract: No
Extension: Property, Class

Description
 An abstract representation of the system and its behaviours that need to be supervised and governed.
 Controller is controlling this process through the ControlAction via the Actuator.




Figure 9.121 – ControlledProcess

Actuator
Package: STPA Profile
isAbstract: No
Extension: Property, Class

Description
 Actuator receives ControlActions from Controller and influences the ControlledProcess in some way.




Figure 9.122 – Actuator

Sensor
Package: STPA Profile
isAbstract: No

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
 Formatted: Font: Not Bold
 Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

Extension: Property, Class

Description

Sensor assesses the ControlledProcess (also environment or other controllers) and gives Feedback to the Controller.




Figure 9.123 – Sensor

Controller

Package: STPA Profile

isAbstract: No

Extension: Property, Class

Description

Controller sends the ControlActions and receives Feedback.




Figure 9.124 – Controller

ControlStructure

Package: STPA Profile

isAbstract: No

Generalization: Block

Extension: Class

Description

ControlStructure is a system-of-systems composed of ControlledProcess, Controller and their functional relationships - ControlActions, Feedbacks, describing feedback control loops.




Figure 9.125 – ControlStructure

LossScenario

Package: STPA Profile

isAbstract: No
Generalization: [Situation](#)
Extension: Class

Description
Stereotype used to demarcate all the LossScenarios.




Figure 9.126 – LossScenario

9.7 GSN

The GSN profile is an implementation of the core notation described in the GSN version 2 standard. The GSN standard is made available under creative commons licence version 4:

“To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/> or send a letter to Creative Commons, PO Box 1866, Mountain View, CA 94042, USA.”.

The OMG acknowledges the work of the SCSC ACWG in the production of the GSN standard.

Whilst GSN is an extension of the OMG SACM standard, which has a defined meta-model based on the OMG MOF standard, the objectives of RAAML to integrate with SysML 1.6 necessitate the use of a UML profile interpretation of the GSN standard.

9.7.1 GSN::GSN Profile

Notation

Most of the stereotypes in GSN profile have stereotype images specified. Displaying the stereotyped GSN elements in UML Class diagram may follow the UML standard prescription (UML 2.5.1, Chapter 12.3.4.1 Icon presentation) for displaying elements having stereotypes with icons, namely:

- Showing model element as an image with element name below
- Showing model element as a box with the iconic form image inside the box at the top left



Figure 9.127 – Standard UML notation for stereotyped elements (from UML 2.5.1, Figure 12.25)

However, in addition to the notation described in UML standard, this standard allows additional notation. Namely – using stereotype image as a (resizable) outline/shape of the box, with the same compartments that are prescribed by the UML standard (including name/stereotype/tag values compartment) inside. This notation is recommended i.e., preferred over the standard UML notation.

An example of the SCSC/GSN standard representation of the GSN extension is shown in [Figure 9.128](#). See the SCSC/GSN standard for the shapes and text placement to be used for various model element types.




Figure 9.128 - Strategy notation

Combined Stereotype Notation

The UML standard allows a combination of several stereotypes applied on the model element. Namely – the combination of Goal+Undeveloped stereotypes and Strategy+Undeveloped stereotypes is being used. An example of this notation is depicted in [Figure 9.129](#). See the SCSC/GSN standard for the shapes and text placement to be used for various model element types.



Figure 9.129 - Combined notation

GSNNode

Package: GSN Profile

isAbstract: Yes

Extension: Element

Description

Root type for all the different kinds of nodes in GSN.

Note: name versus human-readable ID

GSN domain elements frequently have both a short phrase, describing the element and human-readable identifier. For example:

G1 Control System is acceptably safe to operate

In this example “Control System is acceptably safe to operate” is a short phrase, describing the goal, while G1 is a human-readable identifier of the goal.

In this standard, the short phrase shall be captured as UML model element name – NamedElement::name field. Human-readable identifier shall be stored in a separate tag, defined in the Core profile – IDCarrier::id..




Figure 9.130 – GSNNode

Attributes
id : String[0..1]

GSNArgumentNode

Package: GSN Profile
isAbstract: Yes
Generalization: [GSNNode](#)
Extension: Element

Description
A [Goal](#) or a [Strategy](#).




Figure 9.131 – GSNArgumentNode

Solution

Package: GSN Profile
isAbstract: No
Generalization: [GSNNode](#)
Extension: Class

Description
A solution presents a reference to an evidence item or items.




Figure 9.132 – Solution

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

Goal

Package: GSN Profile
isAbstract: No
Generalization: [GSNArgumentNode](#)
Extension: Class

Description

A goal presents a claim forming part of the argument.




Figure 9.133 – Goal

Strategy

Package: GSN Profile
isAbstract: No
Generalization: [GSNArgumentNode](#)
Extension: Class

Description

A strategy describes the nature of the inference that exists between a goal and its supporting goal(s).




Figure 9.134 – Strategy

ContextualInformation

Package: GSN Profile
isAbstract: Yes
Extension: Element

Description

A [Context](#) or an [Assumption](#) or a [Justification](#).




Figure 9.135 – ContextualInformation

Attributes
id : String[0..1]

Context
Package: GSN Profile
isAbstract: No
Generalization: [ContextualInformation](#)
Extension: Class

Description
A context presents a contextual artefact. This can be a reference to contextual information, or a statement.




Figure 9.136 – ContextStatement

Assumption
Package: GSN Profile
isAbstract: No
Generalization: [SupportingInformation](#)
Extension: Class

Description
An assumption presents an intentionally unsubstantiated statement.




Figure 9.137 – Assumption

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

Justification

Package: GSN Profile

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [ContextualInformation](#)

Extension: Class

Description

A justification presents a statement of rationale.




Figure 9.138 – Justification

InContextOf

Package: GSN Profile

isAbstract: No

Extension: Dependency

Description

InContextOf declares a contextual relationship.

Permitted connections are: goal-to-context, goal-to-assumption, goal-to-justification, strategy-to-context, strategy-to-assumption and strategy-to-justification.




Figure 9.139 – InContextOf

Constraints

[1] ClientIsArgumentNode

-- client of InContextOf must be GSNArgumentNode
GSNArgumentNode.allInstances().base_Element->includesAll(self.base_Dependency.client)

[2] SupplierIsNotGSNNode

-- supplier of InContextOf can be ContextualInformation or non-GSN concept, but it can not be GSNNode
ContextualInformation.allInstances().base_Element->includesAll(self.base_Dependency.supplier)
or
not GSNNode.allInstances().base_Element->includesAll(self.base_Dependency.supplier)

SupportedBy

Package: GSN Profile

isAbstract: No

Extension: Dependency

Description

SupportedBy allows inferential or evidential relationships to be documented. Inferential relationships declare that there is an inference between goals in the argument. Evidential relationships declare the link between a goal and the evidence used to substantiate it. Permitted supported by connections are: goal-to-goal, goal-to-strategy, goal-to-solution, strategy to goal.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"




Figure 9.140 – SupportedBy

Constraints

- | | |
|--|---|
| [1] ClientIsGSNAArgumentNode | -- client of SupportedBy must be GSNAArgumentNode
GSNAArgumentNode.allInstances().base_Element->includesAll(self.base_Dependency.client) |
| [2] StrategyToGoal | -- if client is Strategy then supplier must be Goal
Strategy.allInstances().base_Class->includesAll(self.base_Dependency.client)
implies
Goal.allInstances().base_Class->includesAll(self.base_Dependency.supplier) |
| [3] SupplierIsNotContextualInformation | -- supplier of SupportedBy can be GSNNode or non-GSN concept, but it can not be ContextualInformation
GSNNode.allInstances().base_Element->includesAll(self.base_Dependency.supplier)
or
not ContextualInformation.allInstances().base_Element->includesAll(self.base_Dependency.supplier) |
| [4] ClientIsNotUndeveloped | -- client can not be Undeveloped Strategy nor Goal
-- if strategy or goal is client of SupportedBy - it is developed
not Undeveloped.allInstances().base_Element->includesAll(self.base_Dependency.client) |

9.8 Methods::ISO 26262

The ISO 26262 package contains elements supporting the analysis and requirement specification aspects of Functional Safety, as specified by ISO 26262 standard for automotive applications. ISO 26262 is a risk-based standard derived from IEC 61508. The ISO 26262 package redefines or extends concepts from the Core concepts package and the General Concepts package.

The ISO 26262 package enables modeling a HAZOP, which is typically used to identify malfunctioning behaviors. The failure modes concept is used from the General Concepts and specialized as a malfunctioning behavior. This allows the malfunctioning behavior to be related to the system behaviors through the HAZOP guidewords for construction of the HAZOP table. The risk analysis is performed by identifying Hazards that could result from the MalfunctioningBehavior, which in combination with a particular OperationalSituation could result in an AccidentScenario. This information is contained in the HazardousEvent which provides the risk level assessment for the event. Each of these concepts are modeled using elements defined in the ISO 26262 package as extensions of the Core and General concepts. This means that the same elements can be used in other analyses in the model, such as in an FMEA.

9.8.1 Methods::ISO 26262::ISO 26262 Library

TrafficAndPeople

Package: ISO 26262 Library
isAbstract: Yes
Generalization: [OperationalCondition](#)
Applied Stereotype: [«OperationalSituation»](#)

Description
TrafficAndPeople extends the <<situation>> class and is used to describe the presence and behaviour of any motorists or non-motorists considered in a hazardous event.




Figure 9.141 – TrafficAndPeople

VehicleUsage

Package: ISO 26262 Library
isAbstract: Yes
Generalization: [OperationalCondition](#)
Applied Stereotype: [«OperationalSituation»](#)

Description
VehicleUsage extends the <<situation>> class and is used to describe the usage of a vehicle during a hazardous event.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"




Figure 9.142 – VehicleUsage

RoadCondition

Package: ISO 26262 Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [OperationalCondition](#)

Applied Stereotype: [«OperationalSituation»](#)

Description

RoadConditions extends the <<situation>> class, and is used to describe the conditions or state of the surface a vehicle is driving on (Low-traction, Grade(Slope), etc.) during a hazardous event.




Figure 9.143 – RoadCondition

Location

Package: ISO 26262 Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [OperationalCondition](#)

Applied Stereotype: [«OperationalSituation»](#)

Description

VehicleLocation extends the <<situation>> class and is used to describe the physical location (high speed road, intersection, parking lot, etc.) of a vehicle during a hazardous event.




Figure 9.144 – Location

EnvironmentalCondition

Package: ISO 26262 Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [OperationalCondition](#)

Applied Stereotype: [«OperationalSituation»](#)

Description

EnvironmentalConditions extends the <<situation>> class and is used to describe the environmental conditions at the time of vehicle operation in a hazardous event.




Figure 9.145 – EnvironmentalCondition

OperationalCondition

Package: ISO 26262 Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [AbstractEvent](#)

Applied Stereotype: [«OperationalSituation»](#)

Description

Component/part of operational situation.




Figure 9.146 – OperationalCondition

AbstractOperationalSituation

Package: ISO 26262 Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [OperationalCondition](#)

Applied Stereotype: [«OperationalSituation»](#)

Description

Operational situation is a scenario that can occur in vehicle's life.




Figure 9.147 – AbstractOperationalSituation

Attributes
 conditions : OperationalCondition[*]
 (member end of association)
 Exposure : Exposure[1] , redefines [likelihood](#) Likelihood of being in a particular operational situation.
 Must have a Rationale attached.

TypicalAutomotiveSituation
Package: ISO 26262 Library
isAbstract: Yes
Generalization: [AbstractOperationalSituation](#)
Applied Stereotype: [«OperationalSituation»](#)

Description
 A grouping of operational conditions, including traffic and people, vehicle usage, road conditions, location, and environmental conditions.




Figure 9.148 – TypicalAutomotiveSituation

Attributes

trafficAndPeople : TrafficAndPeople[0..*]
(member end of association, subsets [conditions](#))

vehicleUsage : VehicleUsage[0..*]
(member end of association, subsets [conditions](#))

roadCondition : RoadCondition[0..*]
(member end of association, subsets [conditions](#))

location : Location[0..*] (member end of association, subsets [conditions](#))

environmentalCondition : EnvironmentalCondition[0..*] (member end of association, subsets [conditions](#))

Exposure

Package: ISO 26262 Library

isAbstract: No

Applied Stereotype: «ValueType»

Description

Possible values of exposure.

«valueType» Exposure
E0
E1
E2
E3
E4

Figure 9.149 – Exposure

Severity

Package: ISO 26262 Library

isAbstract: No

Applied Stereotype: «ValueType»

Description

Possible values for severity.

«valueType» Severity
S0
S1
S2
S3

Figure 9.150 – Severity

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

ASIL

Package: ISO 26262 Library

isAbstract: No

Applied Stereotype: «ValueType»

Description

Possible ASIL values.

«ValueType» ASIL
no assignment
QM
A
B
C
D
A(B)
A(C)
A(D)
B(C)
B(D)
C(D)
A(A)
B(B)
C(C)
D(D)
QM(A)
QM(B)
QM(C)
QM(D)

Figure 9.151 – ASIL

Controllability

Package: ISO 26262 Library

isAbstract: No

Applied Stereotype: «ValueType»

Description

Possible values of controllability.

«ValueType» Controllability
C0
C1
C2
C3

Figure 9.152 – Controllability

Less

Package: ISO 26262 Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [AnyMalfunction](#)

Applied Stereotype: [«MalfunctioningBehavior»](#)

Description
A subclass of malfunctioning behaviour used for classification purposes. Must be connected to a behavioural element (Use Case or Function). This kind of malfunctioning behaviour represents a failure resulting from providing less output/behaviour than required.




Figure 9.153 – Less

More
Package: ISO 26262 Library
isAbstract: Yes
Generalization: [AnyMalfunction](#)
Applied Stereotype: [«MalfunctioningBehavior»](#)

Description
A subclass of malfunctioning behaviour used for classification purposes. Must be connected to a behavioural element (Use Case or Function). This kind of malfunctioning behaviour represents a failure resulting from providing more output/behaviour than required.




Figure 9.154 – More

No
Package: ISO 26262 Library
isAbstract: Yes
Generalization: [AnyMalfunction](#)
Applied Stereotype: [«MalfunctioningBehavior»](#)

Description
A subclass of malfunctioning behaviour used for classification purposes. Must be connected to a behavioural element (Use Case or Function). This kind of malfunctioning behaviour represents a failure resulting from the behaviour not being performed when required.




Figure 9.155 – No

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

Intermittent

Package: ISO 26262 Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [AnyMalfunction](#)

Applied Stereotype: [«MalfunctioningBehavior»](#)

Description

A subclass of malfunctioning behaviour used for classification purposes. Must be connected to a behavioural element (Use Case or Function). This kind of malfunctioning behaviour represents a failure from the behaviour being performed intermittently.




Figure 9.156 – Intermittent

Unintended

Package: ISO 26262 Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [AnyMalfunction](#)

Applied Stereotype: [«MalfunctioningBehavior»](#)

Description

A subclass of malfunctioning behaviour used for classification purposes. Must be connected to a behavioural element (Use Case or Function). This kind of malfunctioning behaviour represents a failure resulting from the behaviour being provided when not required.




Figure 9.157 – Unintended

Early

Package: ISO 26262 Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [AnyMalfunction](#)

Applied Stereotype: [«MalfunctioningBehavior»](#)

Description

A subclass of malfunctioning behaviour used for classification purposes. Must be connected to a behavioural element (Use Case or Function). This kind of malfunctioning behaviour represents a failure resulting from the behaviour being performed earlier than required.




Figure 9.158 – Early

Late

Package: ISO 26262 Library
isAbstract: Yes
Generalization: [AnyMalfunction](#)
Applied Stereotype: [«MalfunctioningBehavior»](#)

Description

A subclass of malfunctioning behaviour used for classification purposes. Must be connected to a behavioural element (Use Case or Function). This kind of malfunctioning behaviour represents a failure resulting from the behaviour being performed later than required.




Figure 9.159 – Late

Inverted

Package: ISO 26262 Library
isAbstract: Yes
Generalization: [AnyMalfunction](#)
Applied Stereotype: [«MalfunctioningBehavior»](#)

Description

A subclass of malfunctioning behaviour used for classification purposes. Must be connected to a behavioural element (Use Case or Function). This kind of malfunctioning behaviour represents a failure resulting from the behaviour providing an inverted output.




Figure 9.160 – Inverted

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

HazardousEvent

Package: ISO 26262 Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [AbstractRisk](#)

Applied Stereotype: «[Situation](#)»

Description

Combination of hazard and operational situation to identify automotive safety integrity level.




Figure 9.161 – HazardousEvent

Attributes

scenario : AccidentScenario[1] (member end of association, redefines [trigger](#))

hazard : Hazard[0..*] (member end of association, redefines [harmPotential](#))

systemLevelEffect : SystemLevelEffect[0..*] (member end of association)

vehicleLevelEffect : VehicleLevelEffect[0..*] (member end of association, redefines [harm](#))

ASIL : ASIL[0..*], redefines [score](#)

Automotive Safety Integrity Level value - one of four levels to specify necessary requirements for ISO-26262 and safety measures for avoiding unreasonable risks.

AnyMalfunction

Package: ISO 26262 Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [UndesiredState](#)

Applied Stereotype: «[MalfunctioningBehavior](#)»

Description
Root of all malfunctioning behaviours.




Figure 9.162 – AnyMalfunction

AutomotiveEffect
Package: ISO 26262 Library
isAbstract: Yes
Generalization: [AbstractEffect](#)
Applied Stereotype: **«Situation»**

Description
System- or vehicle-level effect which is or could result in harm.




Figure 9.163 – AutomotiveEffect

Attributes
Severity : Severity[1], redefines [severity](#) Estimate of the extent of harm.
Must have a Rationale attached.

ISO26262SafetyRequirementTemplate
Package: ISO 26262 Library
isAbstract: No
Applied Stereotype: **«DependabilityRequirement»**

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

Description
A template for dependability requirements.




Figure 9.164 – ISO26262SafetyRequirementTemplate

Attributes
ASIL : ASIL[1] ASIL value of the requirement.
FTTI : time[1] Fault Tolerant Time Interval.

AccidentScenario
Package: ISO 26262 Library
isAbstract: Yes
Generalization: [DysfunctionalEvent](#), [Scenario](#)
Applied Stereotype: [«Situation»](#)

Description
A combination of operational situation and malfunctioning behaviour.




Figure 9.165 – AccidentScenario

Attributes
situation :
AbstractOperationalSituation[1..*]
(member end of association, subsets [scenarioStep](#))
Controllability : Controllability[1] Ability to avoid a specified harm or damage through timely reactions of individuals involved in the scenario.
Must have a Rationale attached.

malfunctioningBehavior :
AnyMalfunction[1] (member end of
association, subsets [scenarioStep](#))

AnyTrafficAndPeople
Package: ISO 26262 Library
isAbstract: No
Generalization: [OperationalCondition](#), [TrafficAndPeople](#)
Applied Stereotype: [«OperationalSituation»](#)

Description
TrafficAndPeople extends the <<situation>> class and is used to describe the presence and behaviour of any motorists or non-motorists considered in a hazardous event.



Figure 9.166 – AnyTrafficAndPeople

AnyVehicleUse
Package: ISO 26262 Library
isAbstract: No
Generalization: [OperationalCondition](#), [VehicleUsage](#)
Applied Stereotype: [«OperationalSituation»](#)

Description
TrafficAndPeople extends the <<situation>> class and is used to describe the presence and behaviour of any motorists or non-motorists considered in a hazardous event.




Figure 9.167 – AnyVehicleUse

AnyRoadCondition
Package: ISO 26262 Library
isAbstract: No
Generalization: [OperationalCondition](#), [RoadCondition](#)
Applied Stereotype: [«OperationalSituation»](#)

Description
TrafficAndPeople extends the <<situation>> class and is used to describe the presence and behaviour of any motorists or non-motorists considered in a hazardous event.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"




Figure 9.168 – AnyRoadCondition

AnyLocation

Package: ISO 26262 Library

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [Location](#), [OperationalCondition](#)

Applied Stereotype: [«OperationalSituation»](#)

Description

TrafficAndPeople extends the <<situation>> class and is used to describe the presence and behavior of any motorists or non-motorists considered in a hazardous event.




Figure 9.169 – AnyLocation

AnyEnvironmentalCondition

Package: ISO 26262 Library

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [EnvironmentalCondition](#), [OperationalCondition](#)

Applied Stereotype: [«OperationalSituation»](#)

Description

TrafficAndPeople extends the <<situation>> class and is used to describe the presence and behaviour of any motorists or non-motorists considered in a hazardous event.




Figure 9.170 – AnyEnvironmentalCondition

SystemLevelEffect

Package: ISO 26262 Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [AutomotiveEffect](#)

Applied Stereotype: [«Situation»](#)

Description
System- or vehicle-level effect which is or could result in harm.




Figure 9.171 – SystemLevelEffect

VehicleLevelEffect
Package: ISO 26262 Library
isAbstract: Yes
Generalization: [AutomotiveEffect](#)
Applied Stereotype: [«Situation»](#)

Description
System- or vehicle-level effect which is or could result in harm.




Figure 9.172 – VehicleLevelEffect

Methods::ISO 26262::ISO 26262 Library::Diagrams by elements
9.8.2 Methods::ISO 26262::ISO 26262 Profile

OperationalSituation
Package: ISO 26262 Profile
isAbstract: No
Generalization: [Situation](#)
Extension: Class

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

Description

A situation describes the operational scenario or driving scenario which is considered in a hazardous event, as part of the Hazard Analysis and Risk Assessment process.




Figure 9.173 – OperationalSituation

MalfunctioningBehavior

Package: ISO 26262 Profile

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [FailureMode](#)

Extension: Class

Description

A malfunctioning behaviour describes a failure or unintended behaviour of an item with respect to its design intent. It is a subtype of failure mode.




Figure 9.174 – MalfunctioningBehavior

Methods::ISO 26262::ISO 26262 Profile::RequirementManagement

IndependenceRequirement

Package: RequirementManagement

isAbstract: No

Generalization: DeriveReq

Extension: Abstraction

Description

A relationship between requirement elements indicating that the child requirement specifies an independence criterion that needs to be satisfied in order for an ASIL decomposition to be valid. The decomposition between the parent requirement and 2 other children requirements.




Figure 9.175 – IndependenceRequirement

ASILDecompose
Package: RequirementManagement
isAbstract: No
Generalization: DeriveReq
Extension: Abstraction

Description
An ASIL decompose relation is used to connect two safety requirements for the purposes of performing ASIL decomposition. The target requirement (supplier) should be of a higher abstraction than the source (client). ASIL decompose relations shall be applied in pairs (e.g., a requirement cannot be the supplier of a single ASIL decompose relation).




Figure 9.176 – ASILDecompose

SafeState
Package: RequirementManagement
isAbstract: No
Extension: Dependency

Description
A state of function realized by one or more architectural components. May be composed of serval subfunctions or called by other functions. Associated with safety specific behaviours, typically (but not necessarily) triggered by a failure mode.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"



Figure 9.177 – SafeState

UserInfoRequirement
Package: RequirementManagement
isAbstract: No
Generalization: Satisfy
Extension: Abstraction

Description
 A UserInfoRequirement relationship is a dependency which links a State to a requirement. The arrow direction points from a state (client) to a FSR or TSR (supplier). Linked requirements specify information that must be presented to vehicle occupants when the vehicle enters a safe state.




Figure 9.178 – UserInfoRequirement

RecoveryRequirement
Package: RequirementManagement
isAbstract: No
Generalization: Satisfy
Extension: Abstraction

Description
 A RecoveryRequirement relationship is a dependency between a safe state and requirement where the requirement indicates the criteria to recover from the safe state to another operational mode.




Figure 9.179 – RecoveryRequirement

OperatingMode
Package: RequirementManagement
isAbstract: No
Extension: Dependency

Description
A state of function realized by one or more architectural components. May be composed of several subfunctions or called by other functions. Associated with specific behaviours.




Figure 9.180 – OperatingMode

FunctionalSafetyRequirement
Package: RequirementManagement
isAbstract: No
Generalization: [DependabilityRequirement](#), Requirement
Extension: Class

Description
A functional safety requirement specifies an implementation independent safety behaviour, or an implementation independent safety measure, required for achievement of a safety goal from which it is derived.




Figure 9.181 – FunctionalSafetyRequirement

SoftwareSafetyRequirement
Package: RequirementManagement
isAbstract: No
Generalization: [DependabilityRequirement](#), Requirement
Extension: Class

Description
A software safety requirement provides implementation details for software. They can express behaviours or specific software mechanisms which realize the technical safety requirements from which they are derived.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"




Figure 9.182 – SoftwareSafetyRequirement

HardwareSafetyRequirement
Package: RequirementManagement
isAbstract: No
Generalization: [DependabilityRequirement](#), Requirement
Extension: Class

Description
 A hardware safety requirement specifies hardware behaviours or hardware specific details necessary for implementing the safety concept. Hardware safety requirements are implementation specific and assigned to components or subcomponents.




Figure 9.183 – HardwareSafetyRequirement

TechnicalSafetyRequirement
Package: RequirementManagement
isAbstract: No
Generalization: [DependabilityRequirement](#), Requirement
Extension: Class

Description
 A technical safety requirement specifies the implementation of the functional safety requirement(s) from which it is derived. Technical safety requirements express the behaviours and details necessary to realize the safety aspects of the item at the system level. Additional details that do not act at the system level can be specified in the hardware safety requirements or software safety requirements.




Figure 9.184 – TechnicalSafetyRequirement

SafetyGoal
Package: RequirementManagement
isAbstract: No
Generalization: [DependabilityRequirement](#), Requirement
Extension: Class

Description
A safety goal extends the SysML <<Requirement>> stereotype. It represents a top-level safety requirement, defined as a result of the Hazard Analysis and Risk Assessment process.




Figure 9.185 – SafetyGoal

DependabilityRequirement
Package: RequirementManagement
isAbstract: Yes
Generalization: AbstractRequirement, Block
Extension: Class

Description
Parent type of all subtypes of safety requirements




Figure 9.186 – DependabilityRequirement

Verified
Package: ISO 26262 Profile
isAbstract: No
Extension: Class

Description
Marker, indicating that hazardous event has been verified.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"




Figure 9.187 – Verified

Attributes

result : String[1]

Verification result

Confirmed

Package: ISO 26262 Profile

isAbstract: No

Extension: Class

Description

Marker, indicating that hazardous event has been confirmed.




Figure 9.188 – Confirmed

Attributes

result : String[1]

Confirmation result

HazardAndRiskAssessment

Package: ISO 26262 Profile

isAbstract: No

Extension: Package

Description

Grouping package for storing hazardous events.




Figure 9.189 – HazardAndRiskAssessment

LessonLearned

Package: ISO 26262 Profile

isAbstract: No

Extension: Comment

Description

Comments about lessons learned from hazard and risk assessment.




Figure 9.190 – LessonLearned

ASILAssignment

Package: ISO 26262 Profile

isAbstract: No

Extension: Element

Description

Stereotype for assigning ASIL values on system design elements.




Figure 9.191 – ASILAssignment

Attributes

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

ASIL : ASIL[1]

ASILOverride : ASIL[0..1]

The associated ASIL value of the system design element.

An ASIL value which does not follow from the normal ASIL derivation rules but is exceptional. This exceptional value needs to have an associated rationale.

ASILOverrideRationale

Package: ISO 26262 Profile

isAbstract: No

Generalization: Rationale

Extension: Comment

Description

A rationale specifically justifying ASIL Override value.




Figure 9.192 – ASILOverrideRationale

9.9 Methods::FHA

The Functional Hazard Assessment (FHA), as described in the ARP 4761A, is a systematic, comprehensive evaluation of the functional decomposition of a system or platform, performed at early stages of the V development process. This assessment identifies and classifies the failure conditions associated with each function. The goal in conducting an FHA is to clearly identify each failure condition along with the rationale for its severity classification. The classification of these failure conditions establishes the safety objectives to be met.

An FHA should also identify assumptions associated with the system/platform of interest and crew mitigations to limit the severity classification, e.g., operating limits, crew information presentation, operating procedures. The FHAs are then the starting point for the subsequent safety assessments and analyses.

The RAAML FHA package contains all required elements to implement this assessment at different levels (e.g., platform, system). Support for Functional Hazard Assessment (FHA) modeling is based on the ARP 4761A standard. The FHA package redefines or extends concepts from the Core and General packages. It is also tightly related to ISO 26262 and FMEA library methods within the RAAML standard.

9.9.1 Methods::FHA::FHA Library

ExternalEvent

Package: FHA Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: AbstractEvent

Applied Stereotype: «Situation»

Description

Formatted: Body Text

Commented [DD40]: RAAML12-2

Formatted: Outline numbered + Level: 3 + Numbering
Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at:
0" + Indent at: 0.25"

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

An occurrence which has its origin distinct from the aircraft or the system being examined, such as atmospheric conditions (e.g., wind gusts/shear, temperature variations, icing, lightning strikes), operating environment (e.g., runway conditions, conditions of communication, navigation, and surveillance services), cabin and baggage fires, and bird-strike. The term is not intended to cover sabotage. [Source: ARP 4761A]




Figure 9.193 - ExternalEvent Constraints

111FHA

DevelopmentAssuranceLevel

Package: FHA Library
isAbstract: No

Applied Stereotype: «ValueType»

Description

All those planned and systematic actions used to substantiate, at an adequate level of confidence, that development errors have been identified and corrected such that the system satisfies the applicable certification basis (derived from AC 25.1309 Draft ARSENAL revised and AMC 25.1309). [Source ARP 4761A]




Figure 9.194 - DevelopmentAssuranceLevel Constraints

111FHA

OperationalCondition

Package: FHA Library
isAbstract: Yes

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

Generalization: AbstractEvent

Applied Stereotype: «Situation»

Description

Specific state or circumstances under which the aircraft is operated within the approved aircraft operating envelope, relevant when evaluating the effects of a failure condition. Example of operational conditions include aircraft weight, center of gravity, speed...




Figure 9.195 - OperationalCondition

Constraints

[1]FHA

DAL

Package: FHA Library

isAbstract: No

Description

All those planned and systematic actions used to substantiate, at an adequate level of confidence, that development errors have been identified and corrected such that the system satisfies the applicable certification basis (derived from AC 25.1309 Draft ARSENAL revised and AMC 25.1309). [Source: ARP 4761A]

Attributes

dal : DevelopmentAssuranceLevel The level of rigor of development assurance tasks.

Constraints

[1]FHA

FunctionalFailure

Package: FHA Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: UndesiredState

Applied Stereotype: «FunctionalFailure»

Description

An occurrence which affects the operation of an aircraft, system, equipment, item, or piece-part such that it can no longer function as intended (this includes both loss of function and malfunction). Failure conditions can be broadly categorized as the loss of a function or as a malfunction. Note: Errors may cause Failures but are not considered to be Failures. [Source: ARP 4761A]

Function: Intended behavior of an aircraft, system, equipment, or item regardless of implementation. [Source: ARP 4761A]




Figure 9.196 - FunctionalFailure

Attributes

failureConditions : FailureCondition[1..*]
(member end of association, redefines harmPotential)

A condition having an effect on the aircraft and/or its occupants, either direct or consequential, which is caused or contributed to by one or more failures or errors, considering flight phase and relevant adverse operational or environmental conditions, or external events (AMC 25.1309). [Source: ARP 4761A]

Constraints

[1]FHA

EnvironmentalCondition

Package: FHA Library
isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: AbstractEvent

Applied Stereotype: «Situation»

Description

Atmospheric/physical factors within the approved aircraft operating envelope, relevant when evaluating the effects of a failure condition. Example of environmental conditions include weather, HIRF, volcanic ash...

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"




Figure 9.197 - EnvironmentalCondition

[Constraints](#)

[1] FHA

FDAL

[Package:](#) FHA Library

[isAbstract:](#) No

[Generalization:](#) DAL

[Description](#)

The level of rigor of development assurance tasks performed to Functions. Note: The FDAL is used to identify the ARP4754B/ED-79B objectives that need to be satisfied for the aircraft/system functions. [Source: ARP 4761A]




Figure 9.198 - FDAL

[Constraints](#)

[1] FHA

FailureEffectAssessment

[Package:](#) FHA Library

[isAbstract:](#) No

[Generalization:](#) AbstractEffect

[Description](#)

The effects of a failure condition for any particular flight phase are all the expected effects during the flight when the failure condition occurs in that flight phase. This includes immediate effects and effects that would occur during subsequent flight phases due to the same failure condition. The effects are described by a narrative and characterized with brief statements regarding the effect in aircraft, crew and occupant categories. [Source: ARP 4761A]




Figure 9.199 - FailureEffectAssessment
Attributes

effectOnAircraft : AbstractEffect[0..*]
(member end of association)

Ability of the aircraft to perform its functions and to the aircraft's structural integrity. Aircraft effects are characterized by a statement evaluating the reduction in aircraft capability and safety margin caused by the failure condition. [Source: ARP 4761A]

effectOnFlightCrew : AbstractEffect[0..*]
(member end of association)

Any direct physiological effect on flight deck crew members or any increase in physical or mental activity required beyond the normal flight crew activity levels to counteract the effects of the failure and complete the flight safely. Typically, this includes the crew's means of detecting the failure condition (if any) and their expected actions. Effects on crew are characterized by a statement evaluating the adverse physiological effects on the crew (if any) and the increase in crew workload caused by the failure condition (if any). [Source: ARP461A]

effectOnOccupants : AbstractEffect[0..*]
(member end of association)

Cabin crew or passenger discomfort, injury or fatalities. These effects may be the direct result of the failure condition, such as high G maneuvering or abnormal environmental conditions, or an indirect effect, such as loss of life due to loss of aircraft control resulting in an uncontrolled crash. Occupant effects are characterized by a statement evaluating the adverse physiological effects on the cabin crew or passengers caused by the failure condition. [Source: ARP461A]

aggregatedSeverity : ARP 4761A
FailureConditionClassification, redefines
severity

A discrete scale allowing categorization of the severity of the effects of a failure condition. Classification levels are defined in the applicable regulation and advisory material. For example, AC 25.1309 Draft ARSENAL revised and AMC 25.1309 define the following classifications: Catastrophic, Hazardous, Major, Minor, and No Safety Effect. [Source: ARP 4761A]

flightPhases : FlightPhase[0..*] (member
end of association)

Distinct time period within an average flight duration [Source: ARP4761A]

assumptions : Assumption[0..*] (member
end of association)

Statements, principles and/or premises offered without proof. An evaluation process which may include one or more types of analysis and experience. [Source: ARP 4761A]

severity : ARP 4761A
FailureConditionClassification[0..1]

A discrete scale allowing categorization of the severity of the effects of a failure condition. Classification levels are defined in the applicable regulation and advisory material. For example, AC 25.1309 Draft ARSENAL revised and AMC 25.1309 define the following

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

classifications: Catastrophic, Hazardous, Major, Minor, and No Safety Effect. [Source: ARP 4761A]

Constraints

[1] FHA

FlightPhase

Package: FHA Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: AbstractEvent

Applied Stereotype: «Situation»

Description

Distinct time period within an average flight duration [Source: ARP4761A]




Figure 9.200 - FlightPhase

Constraints

[1] FHA

FailureCondition

Package: FHA Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: AbstractRisk, Hazard

Description

A condition having an effect on the aircraft and/or its occupants, either direct or consequential, which is caused or contributed to by one or more failures or errors, considering flight phase and relevant adverse operational or environmental conditions, or external events (AMC 25.1309). [Source: ARP 4761A]

Hazard: A condition resulting from failures, external events, errors, or a combination thereof where safety is potentially affected. [Source: ARP 4761A]

Formatted: Underline, Font color: Blue




Figure 9.201 - FailureCondition
Attributes

environmentalConditions :
EnvironmentalCondition[0..*] (member
end of association)

operationalConditions :
OperationalCondition[0..*] (member end
of association)

externalEvents : ExternalEvent[0..*]
(member end of association)

operationalEvents : OperationalEvent[0..*]
(member end of association)

crewAwareness : Boolean

functionalFailure : FunctionalFailure[1]
(member end of association, redefines
undesiredState)

Constraints

[1] FHA

Atmospheric/physical factors within the approved aircraft operating envelope, relevant when evaluating the effects of a failure condition. Example of environmental conditions include weather, HIRF, volcanic ash...

Specific state or circumstances under which the aircraft is operated within the approved aircraft operating envelope, relevant when evaluating the effects of a failure condition. Example of operational conditions include aircraft weight, center of gravity, speed...

An occurrence which has its origin distinct from the aircraft or the system being examined, such as atmospheric conditions (e.g., wind gusts/shear, temperature variations, icing, lightning strikes), operating environment (e.g., runway conditions, conditions of communication, navigation, and surveillance services), cabin and baggage fires, and bird-strike. The term is not intended to cover sabotage. [Source: ARP 4761A]

Distinct occurrences and flight operations performed in response to specific occurrences or failures. These events may be considered operational events if they meet certain criteria, such as occurring at a distinct time, having a known statistical probability or rate of occurrence, and being infrequent or unlikely for a particular aircraft during its service life. Examples of operational events are: rejected take-off, or in-flight diversion. [Source: ARP4761A].

Understanding and perception that flight crew members have regarding a failure condition.

An occurrence which affects the operation of an aircraft, system, equipment, item, or piece-part such that it can no longer function as intended (this includes both loss of function and malfunction). Failure conditions can be broadly categorized as the loss of a function or as a malfunction. Note: Errors may cause Failures but are not considered to be Failures. [Source: ARP 4761A]

Function: Intended behavior of an aircraft, system, equipment, or item regardless of implementation. [Source: ARP 4761A]

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

IDAL

Package: FHA Library
isAbstract: No

Generalization: DAL

Description

The level of rigor of development assurance tasks performed on Item(s); e.g., IDAL is the appropriate software level in DO-178C/ED-12C, and design assurance level in DO-254/ED-80 objectives that need to be satisfied for an item. [Source: ARP 4761A]




Figure 9.202 - IDAL
Constraints

[1] FHA

OperationalEvent

Package: FHA Library
isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: AbstractEvent

Applied Stereotype: «Situation»

Description

Distinct occurrences and flight operations performed in response to specific occurrences or failures. These events may be considered operational events if they meet certain criteria, such as occurring at a distinct time, having a known statistical probability or rate of occurrence, and being infrequent or unlikely for a particular aircraft during its service life. Examples of operational events are: rejected take-off, or in-flight diversion. [Source: ARP4761A].




Figure 9.203 - OperationalEvent
Constraints

[1] FHA

PartialLossOfFunction

Package: FHA Library
isAbstract: No

Generalization: FunctionalFailure

Applied Stereotype: «FunctionalFailure»

Description

Partial losses of function are conditions where the function can still be performed but only at reduced capability (e.g., reduced effectiveness or with increased difficulty). [Source: ARP 4761A]

Malfunction

Package: FHA Library
isAbstract: No

Generalization: FunctionalFailure

Applied Stereotype: «FunctionalFailure»

Description

A condition where the operation of a function is different than intended, excluding the loss of function [Source: ARP 4761A]

LossOfFunction

Package: FHA Library
isAbstract: No

Generalization: FunctionalFailure

Applied Stereotype: «FunctionalFailure»

Description

Loss of function may be total or partial. Total loss of function is a condition where the function cannot be performed by any means. [Source: ARP 4761A]

ARP 4761A FailureConditionClassification

Package: FHA Library
isAbstract: No

Applied Stereotype: «ValueType»

Description

All those planned and systematic actions used to substantiate, at an adequate level of confidence, that development errors have been identified and corrected such that the system satisfies the applicable certification basis (derived from AC 25.1309 Draft ARSENAL revised and AMC 25.1309). [Source ARP 4761A]

Constraints

[1] FHA

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

9.9.2 Methods::FHA::FHA Profile

FunctionalFailure

Package: FHA Profile
isAbstract: No

Generalization: «Situation»

Extension: Class

Description

An occurrence which affects the operation of an aircraft, system, equipment, item, or piece-part such that it can no longer function as intended (this includes both loss of function and malfunction). Failure conditions can be broadly categorized as the loss of a function or as a malfunction. Note: Errors may cause Failures but are not considered to be Failures. [Source: ARP 4761A]

Function: Intended behavior of an aircraft, system, equipment, or item regardless of implementation. [Source: ARP 4761A]




Figure 9.204 - FunctionalFailure

FunctionalFailure Customization

Package: FHA Profile
isAbstract: No

Applied Stereotype: «Customization»

Description

Attributes

Traceability :

Behavior : Element[0..*]

9.99.10 Methods::RBD

A Reliability Block Diagram (RBD) is a graphical representation used in reliability engineering to analyze the reliability and availability of complex systems. It is a method for assessing the performance of systems composed of multiple components, sub-systems, or processes. In an RBD, system elements (components or groups of components defined as an assemblies, subsystems, or other system architectural elements) are depicted as blocks, and these blocks are connected by lines to represent how they are interconnected or dependent on each other. The goal is to evaluate the overall

Formatted: Outline numbered + Level: 3 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0" + Indent at: 0.25"

Formatted: OMG Normal Paragraph, No bullets or numbering

reliability and availability of the entire system by considering the reliability characteristics of each component and their interconnections.

The method is based on IEC 61078:2016 ("Reliability block diagrams") includes the following concepts:

- Probability distributions, cumulative distribution functions (CDFs), probability density functions (PDFs), and hazard functions (the exponential, Weibull, and lognormal distributions are included in the library but the framework allows for additional distributions)
- Component reliability, failure probability, restoration completion probability, failure rate, restoration rate, mean time to failure, and mean time to restore
- Restorable and non-restorable systems
- System reliability and availability calculations for series, parallel, homogeneous k-out-n, and heterogeneous k-out-of-n systems

Mathematical methods for calculation of cumulative distributions functions (CDFs), probability density functions (PDFs), hazard functions, and mean values of distributions are based on U.S. National Institute of Standards Handbook of Engineering Statistics (see references).

9.9.19.10.1 Methods::RBD::RBD Library

AbstractReliabilitySituation

Package: RBD Library
isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: AnySituation

Applied Stereotype: <Situation>

Description

The parent situation of the RBD library. Specialization of AnySituation from Core Library.




Figure 9.205 - AbstractReliabilitySituation
Attributes

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

reliability : Real

failureProbability : Real

pcf : ProbabilityComplementFunction

Value property for reliability in **AbstractReliabilitySituation** (used to hold result of system or component reliability in non-restorable and restorable systems)

Value property that is the complement of reliability

Constraint property for calculating the complement of a value between 0 and 1

Commented [KP41]: RAAML12-26

Restorable

Package: RBD Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [AbstractReliabilitySituation](#)

Applied Stereotype: [«Situation»](#)

Description

Specialization of AbstractReliabilitySituation for restorable systems. Parent of **RestorableSystemReliabilitySituation** and **RestorableComponentReliabilitySituation**. Also has composition relationship (owns) **RestorableSystemReliabilitySituation** to enable recursion

Commented [KP42]: RAAML12-26




Figure 9.206 - Restorable

Attributes

availability : Real

Availability value property of Restorable

ComponentReliabilitySituation

Package: RBD Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [AbstractReliabilitySituation](#)

Applied Stereotype: [«Situation»](#)

Description

Situation for Components in RBDs. Specialization of AbstractReliabilitySituation and parent of RestorableComponentReliabilitySituation, NonrestorableComponentReliabilitySituations. Owns ReliabilityParameterGroup constraint and failureRate, shape, scale, and location distribution parameters that it provides its children




Figure 9.207 - ComponentReliabilitySituation
Attributes

failureRPD : ReliabilityParameterDistribution	Constraint property for expression of the reliability probability distribution distribution
failureRate : Real	Value property for the failure rate
shape : Real	Value property for the shape parameter of the reliability probability probability distribution
scale : Real	Value property for the scale parameter of the reliability probability probability distribution
location : Real	Value property for the location parameter of the reliability probability probability distribution

RestorableComponentReliabilitySituation

Package: RBD Library
isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [ComponentReliabilitySituation](#), [Restorable](#)

Applied Stereotype: [«Situation»](#)

Description

Situation for restorable (repairable) component RBDs. Specialization of ComponentReliabilitySituation. Owns restorationRPG (Reliability Parameter Group) and RatioOfPartTotal (for determining availability). Value properties include MTBF, MTTR, restoration distribution parameters (shape, scale, and location), restorationCompletionProbability (calculated from restoration CDF) and restorationrate (calculated from restoration hazard function, i.e., ratio of PDF to CDF)

Commented [KP44]: RAAML12-26

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"




Figure 9.208 - RestorableComponentReliabilitySituation
Attributes

MTBF : time	Value property for Mean Time Between Failures (MTBF is used for restorable systems)
MTTR : time	Value property for Mean Time to Restoration
restorationRPD : ReliabilityParameterDistribution	Constraint property for restoration probability probability distribution
restoreCompletionProbability : Real	Value property for completion probability of restoration action (the value of the CDF for restoration restoration at a specified time)
restoreNonCompletionProbability : Real	Value property for the complement of the restoration completion probability
restorationRate : Real	Value property for the ratio of the restoration pdf to the restoration CDF at a specified time
restorationShape : Real	Value property shape shape parameter of the restoration probability distribution
restorationScale : Real	Value property for the scale parameter of the restoration distribution

Commented [KP45]: RAAML12-26

Commented [KP46]: RAAML12-26

Commented [KP47]: RAAML12-26

restorationLocation : Real	Value property for the location parameter of the restoration distribution (value of the location parameter must be less than the specified time)
availabilityCalc : RatioOfPartToTotal	Constraint property for the calculation of availability

NonRestorableComponentReliabilitySituation

Package: RBD Library
isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: ComponentReliabilitySituation

Applied Stereotype: «Situation»

Description

Situation for **non-restorable** component RBDs. Specialization of ComponentReliabilitySituation. Owns_MTTF value property

Commented [KP48]: RAAML12-26




Figure 9.209 - NonRestorableComponentReliabilitySituation
Attributes

MTTF : time	Value property for the Mean Time to Failure (MTTF is used for non-restorable components or systems)
-------------	---

SystemReliabilitySituation

Package: RBD Library
isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: AbstractReliabilitySituation, Scenario

Applied Stereotype: «[Situation](#)»

Description

The parent situation for calculating a system reliability or ~~availability~~availability. ~~Specialization~~Specialization of AbstractReliabilitySituation.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"




Figure 9.210 - SystemReliabilitySituation

Attributes

rb : AbstractReliabilitySituation[0..*]
(member end of association, redefines scenarioStep)
Part property of SystemReliabilitySituation of type Restorable (see Restorable situation)

RestorableSystemReliabilitySituation

Package: RBD Library

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: Restorable, SystemReliabilitySituation

Applied Stereotype: «Situation»

Description

Situation for ~~RestorableRestorable~~ Systems. Specialization of SystemReliabilitySituation and part of Restorable Situation

Commented [KP50]: RAAML12-26




Figure 9.211 - RestorableSystemReliabilitySituation
Attributes

rb : Restorable[0..*] (member end of association, redefines [rb](#)) Part property of RestorableSystemReliabilitySituation of type Restorable (see Restorable situation)

InSeries

Package: RBD Library
isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [SystemReliabilitySituation](#)

Applied Stereotype: [«Situation»](#)

Description

Situation for calculation of reliability or ~~availability~~ [availability](#) for series systems. Specialization of SystemReliabilitySituation. Owns InSeries constraint block.

Commented [KP51]: RAAML12-26

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"




Figure 9.212 - InSeries
Attributes

rc : InSeriesCalculation Constraint property for series reliability calculation

RestorableInSeries

Package: RBD Library
isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [InSeries](#), [RestorableSystemReliabilitySituation](#)

Applied Stereotype: [«Situation»](#)

Description

Situation for calculation of ~~availability~~availability for restorable series systems. Specialization of SystemReliabilitySituation and the InSeries situation. Owns InSeries constraint block.

Commented [KP52]: RAAML12-26




Figure 9.213 - RestorableInSeries
Attributes

ac : InSeriesCalculation Constraint property for availability

InParallel

Package: RBD Library
isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [SystemReliabilitySituation](#)

Applied Stereotype: [«Situation»](#)

Description

Situation for calculation of reliability ~~or availability~~ for parallel systems. Specialization of SystemReliabilitySituation. Owns InParallel constraint block.

Commented [KP53]: RAAML12-26




Figure 9.214 - InParallel
Attributes

rc : InParallelCalculation Constraint property for availability

RestorableInParallel

Package: RBD Library
isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: InParallel, RestorableSystemReliabilitySituation

Applied Stereotype: «Situation»

Description

Situation for calculation of ~~availability~~ for restorable parallel systems. Specialization of RestorableSystemReliabilitySituation and InParallel situation. Owns InParallel constraint block.

Commented [KP54]: RAAML12-26




Figure 9.215 - RestorableInParallel
Attributes

ac : InParallelCalculation Constraint property for availability

HomogeneousKofN

Package: RBD Library
isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: SystemReliabilitySituation

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

Applied Stereotype: «Situation»

Description

Situation for calculation of reliability or availability for Homogeneous K out of N systems. Specialization of SystemReliabilitySituation. Owns HomogenousKofN constraint block.




Figure 9.216 - HomogeneousKofN Attributes

rc : HomogeneousKofNCalculation	Constraint propertyfor series reliability calculation
k : Integer	Value property for number of items needed for operation
n : Integer	Value property for Number of items installed or ready at start of operation

RestorableHomogeneousKofN

Package: RBD Library
isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: HomogeneousKofN, RestorableSystemReliabilitySituation

Applied Stereotype: «Situation»

Description

Situation for calculation of availabilityavailability for Homogeneous K out of N systems. Specialization of RestorableSystemReliabilitySituation and the InSeries situation. Owns KofN constraint block.

Commented [KP55]: RAAML12-26
Commented [KP56]: RAAML12-26




Figure 9.217 - RestorableHomogeneousKofN
Attributes

ac : HomogeneousKofNCalculation Constraint property for availability

HeterogeneousKofN

Package: RBD Library
isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [SystemReliabilitySituation](#)

Applied Stereotype: [«Situation»](#)

Description

Situation for calculation of reliability or ~~availability~~ availability for Heterogeneous K out of N systems. Specialization of SystemReliabilitySituation. Owns HeterogeneousKofN constraint block.

Commented [KP57]: RAAML12-26




Figure 9.218 - HeterogeneousKofN
Attributes

rc : HeterogeneousKofNCalculation Constraint property for series reliability calculation
k : Integer Number of items needed for operation

RestorableHeterogeneousKofN

Package: RBD Library

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [HeterogeneousKofN](#), [RestorableSystemReliabilitySituation](#)

Applied Stereotype: «[Situation](#)»

Description

Situation for calculation of ~~availability~~ **availability** for Heterogeneous K out of N systems. Specialization of ~~RestorableSystemReliabilitySituation~~ and the InSeries situation. Owns HeterogenousKofN constraint block.

Commented [KP58]: RAAML12-26




Figure 9.219 - RestorableHeterogeneousKofN

Attributes

ac : HeterogeneousKofNCalculation Constraint property for availability

9.9.29.10.2 Methods::RBD::RBD Library::ConstraintBlocks

9.9.29.10.2.1 Methods::RBD::RBD Library::ConstraintBlocks::Probability

OneVariableFunction

Package: Probability

isAbstract: Yes

Applied Stereotype: «ConstraintBlock»

Description

This is an abstract constraint block which defines an input and an output for a constraint expression which has a single input and output




Figure 9.220 – OneVariableFunction

Attributes

x : Real	The input parameter
y : Real	The output parameter

ProbabilityComplementFunction

Package: Probability
isAbstract: No

Generalization: OneVariableFunction

Applied Stereotype: «ConstraintBlock»

Description

[This constraint block calculates the ~~complement~~complement (i.e., 1 -) the input and is used for converting behind reliability and failure probability or between restoration completion success probability and restoration completion failure ~~probability~~probability]

Commented [KP59]: RAAML12-26




Figure 9.221 – ProbabilityComplementFunction

Constraints

[1] $y=1.0-x$

ReciprocalFunction

Package: Probability
isAbstract: No

Generalization: OneVariableFunction

Applied Stereotype: «ConstraintBlock»

Description

Provides the reciprocal of the input

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"




Figure 9.222 – ReciprocalFunction

Constraints

[1] $y=1.0/x$

RatioOfPartToTotal

Package: Probability
isAbstract: No

Applied Stereotype: «ConstraintBlock»

Description

A constraint expression to calculate the proportion of one value to its sum (e.g., availability = MTBF/(MTBF+MTTR))




Figure 9.223 – RationOfPartToTotal

Attributes

x : Real	The numerator
y : Real	The other value
ratio : Real	=x/(x+y)

Constraints

[1] $ratio = x/(x+y)$

ProbabilityDensityFunction

Package: Probability
isAbstract: Yes

Applied Stereotype: «ConstraintBlock»

Description

This is an abstract constraint block which defines 3 inputs (shape, scale, and ~~location~~location) and one output for a probability density function

Commented [KP60]: RAAML12-26




Figure 9.224 – ProbabilityDensityFunction

Attributes

time : time	The specified operating (exposure) time
shape : Real	the shape parameter of a probability distribution (see NIST/Sematech Engineering Statistics Handbook, section 8.1.6) https://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/apr/section1/apr16.htm
scale : Real	the scale parameter of a probability distribution (see NIST/Sematech Engineering Statistics Handbook, section 8.1.6) https://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/apr/section1/apr16.htm
location : time	the location parameter of a probability distribution (see NIST/Sematech Engineering Statistics Handbook, section 8.1.6) https://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/apr/section1/apr16.htm
probabilityDensity : Real	The output parameter providing the value of the probability density function at the specified operating time

ExponentialProbabilityDensityFunction

Package: Probability
isAbstract: No

Generalization: [ProbabilityDensityFunction](#)

Applied Stereotype: «ConstraintBlock»

Description

This constraint block calculates the cumulative distribution (CDF) for function for the exponential distribution.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"




Figure 9.225 – ExponentialProbabilityDensityFunction

Constraints

[1] $\text{probabilityDensity} = \text{scale} * \text{Math.pow}(\text{Math.E}, -1.0 * \text{scale} * (\text{time} - \text{location}))$

WeibullProbabilityDensityFunction

Package: Probability

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [ProbabilityDensityFunction](#)

Applied Stereotype: «ConstraintBlock»

Description

This constraint block calculates the ~~probabilityprobability desnitydensity~~ function (PDF) for function for the Weibull distribution.

Commented [KP61]: RAAML12-26




Figure 9.226 – WeibullProbabilityDensityFunction

Constraints

[1] probabilityDensity = Math.pow(Math.E, -1.0 * Math.pow((time-location)/scale, shape))

LognormalProbabilityDensityFunction

Package: Probability
isAbstract: No

Generalization: [ProbabilityDensityFunction](#)

Applied Stereotype: «ConstraintBlock»

Description

This constraint block calculates the ~~probabilityprobability desmitydensity~~ function (PDF) for function for the lognormal distribution.

Commented [KP62]: RAAML12-26




Figure 9.227 – LognormalProbabilityDensityFunction

Constraints

[1] probabilityDensity = Math.pow(Math.E, -1.0 * Math.pow((time-location)/scale, shape))

CumulativeDistributionFunction

Package: Probability
isAbstract: Yes

Applied Stereotype: «ConstraintBlock»

Description

This abstract constraint block defines the parameters and is the parent of specific cumulative distribution constraint blocks (exponential, Weibull, lognormal, etc.). The parameters include the shape, scale, and location ~~parameterparameters~~ of the ~~distribtuiondistribution~~, the time at which the value is to be evaluated, and the resultant probability (an output result). This probability is defined for the ~~reliabilityreliability~~ distribution. Note that the

Commented [KP63]: RAAML12-26
Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

cumulative is for the ~~reliability~~reliability distribution, which is often the complement of the distribution used by other software tools (e.g., Excel or R)

Commented [KP64]: RAAML12-26




Figure 9.228 – CumulativeDistributionFunction

Attributes

time : time	The time at which the CDF is evaluated. If a location parameter is used, then the value of time must be greater than the value of the location parameter parameter
shape : Real	The shape parameter for the CDF
scale : Real	The scale parameter for the CDF
location : Real	The location parameter (in units of time) for the CDF
probability : Real	The output probability calculated by the CDF

Commented [KP65]: RAAML12-26

ExponentialCumulativeDistributionFunction

Package: Probability
isAbstract: No

Generalization: [CumulativeDistributionFunction](#)

Applied Stereotype: «ConstraintBlock»

Description

This constraint block calculates the cumulative distribution (CDF) for function for the exponential distribution. See Cumulative Distribution Function constraint block note explaining how the CDF is defined.




Figure 9.229 – ExponentialCumulativeDistributionFunction

Constraints

[1] $\text{probability} = \text{Math.pow}(\text{Math.E}, -1.0 * \text{scale} * (\text{time} - \text{location}))$

WeibullCumulativeDistributionFunction

Package: Probability
isAbstract: No

Generalization: [CumulativeDistributionFunction](#)

Applied Stereotype: «ConstraintBlock»

Description

This constraint block calculates the cumulative distribution (CDF) for function for the Weibull distribution. See Cumulative Distribution Function constraint block note explaining how the CDF is defined.




Figure 9.230 – WeibullCumulativeDistributionFunction

Constraints

[1] $\text{probability} = \text{Math.pow}(\text{Math.E}, -1.0 * \text{Math.pow}((\text{time} - \text{location}) / \text{scale}, \text{shape}))$

LognormalCumulativeDistributionFunction

Package: Probability
isAbstract: No

Generalization: [CumulativeDistributionFunction](#)

Applied Stereotype: «ConstraintBlock»

Description

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

This constraint block calculates the cumulative distribution (CDF) for function for the lognormal distribution. See Cumulative Distribution Function constraint block note explaining how the CDF is defined.




Figure 9.231 – LognormalCumulativeDistributionFunction

Constraints

[1] probability = Math.pow(Math.E, -1.0 * Math.pow(((time-location)/scale, shape)))

MeanFunction

Package: Probability
isAbstract: Yes

Applied Stereotype: «ConstraintBlock»

Description

This abstract constraint blocks defines the input and output ~~parameter~~parameters for calculating the mean and is the parent of specific cumulative distribution constraint blocks (exponential, Weibull, lognormal, etc.). The parameters include the shape, scale, and location ~~parameter~~parameters of the ~~distribution~~distribution, the time at which the value is to be evaluated, and the resultant probability (an output result). The mean is defined for the ~~reliability~~reliability distribution.




Figure 9.232 – MeanFunction

Attributes

time : time
The time at which the mean function is evaluated. If a location parameter is used, then the value of time must be greater than the value of the location parameter

shape : Real
The shape parameter for the probability distribution for which the mean is being calculated

scale : Real
The scale parameter of the probability distribution function for which the mean is being calculated

location : Real
The location parameter of the probability distribution function for which the mean is being calculated

meanTimeToEvent : time
The output of the mean function

Commented [KP67]: RAAML12-26

ExponentialMeanFunction

Package: Probability
isAbstract: No
Generalization: MeanFunction
Applied Stereotype: «ConstraintBlock»

Description
This constraint block calculates the mean of the exponential function (i.e., MTBF or MTTR). Note that for the exponential function, the mean is equivalent to the reciprocal of the hazard function.

Commented [KP68]: RAAML12-26




Figure 9.233 – ExponentialMeanFunction

Constraints
[1] meanTimeToEvent = 1.0/scale

WeibullMeanFunction

Package: Probability
isAbstract: No
Generalization: MeanFunction

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

Applied Stereotype: «ConstraintBlock»

Description

This constraint block calculates the mean of the Webiull distribution (i.e., MTBF or MTTR). Note that for the exponential function, the mean is ~~equivalent~~ equivalent to the reciprocal of the hazard function.

Commented [KP69]: RAAML12-26




Figure 9.234 – WeibullMeanFunction

Constraints

[1] meanTimeToEvent = Math.pow(Math.E, -1.0 * Math.pow((time-location)/scale, shape))

LognormalMeanFunction

Package: Probability
isAbstract: No

Generalization: [MeanFunction](#)

Applied Stereotype: «ConstraintBlock»

Description

This constraint block calculates the mean of the lognormal function (i.e., MTBF or MTTR). Note that for the exponential function, the mean is ~~equivalent~~ equivalent to the reciprocal of the hazard function.

Commented [KP70]: RAAML12-26




Figure 9.235 – LognormalMeanFunction

```
Constraints

[1]          meanTimeToEvent = Math.pow(Math.E, -1.0 * Math.pow((time-location)/scale,
                                     shape))
```

HazardFunction

Package: Probability
isAbstract: Yes
Applied Stereotype: «ConstraintBlock»

Description

This abstract constraint block defines the parameters used in the hazard function. The hazard function is the ratio of the probability density function and the cumulative distribution function

Commented [KP71]: RAAML12-26




Figure 9.236 – HazardFunction

Attributes

time : time	The time at which the hazard function is being evaluated
shape : Real	The shape parameter for the hazard for which the hazard is being calculated
scale : Real	The scale parameter for the hazard function for which the sis being calculated

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

location : Real The location parameter for the hazard function for which the sis being calculated

eventRate : Real The output of the hazard distribution function

ExponentialHazardFunction

Package: Probability
isAbstract: No

Generalization: [HazardFunction](#), [MeanFunction](#)

Applied Stereotype: «ConstraintBlock»

Description

This is the constraint block is a specialization of the hazard function constraint block and calculates the hazard function (failure rate) for the exponential distribution. Note that for the exponential distribution, the hazard function is a constant over time.




Figure 9.237 – ExponentialHazardFunction

Constraints

[1] eventRate = scale

WeibullHazardFunction

Package: Probability
isAbstract: No

Generalization: [HazardFunction](#), [MeanFunction](#)

Applied Stereotype: «ConstraintBlock»

Description

This is the constraint block is a specialization of the hazard function constraint block and calculates the hazard function (failure rate) for the Weibull distribution. .




Figure 9.238 – WeibullHazardFunction

Constraints

[1] eventRate = Math.pow(Math.E, -1.0 * Math.pow((time-location)/scale, shape))

LognormalHazardFunction


Package: Probability
isAbstract: No

Generalization: [HazardFunction](#), [MeanFunction](#)

Applied Stereotype: «ConstraintBlock»

Description

This is the constraint block is a specialization of the hazard function constraint block and calculates the hazard function (failure rate) for the lognormal distribution. .



Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

Figure 9.239 – LognormalHazardFunction

Constraints

[1]eventRate = Math.pow(Math.E, -1.0 * Math.pow((time-location)/scale, shape))

ReliabilityParameterDistribution

Package: Probability
isAbstract: Yes

Applied Stereotype: «ConstraintBlock»

Description

This constraint block is the parent of probability distributions and parameters. It owns the pdf, cdf, mean, hazard function, and complement constraint blocks. The parameters inherited by the children distributions include shape, scale, location, the instantaneous probability density value, probability value, complement value (e.g., failure probability from reliability), mean time to event (e.g., mean time between failures or mean time to failure), and event rate (e.g., failure rate). It also includes a time parameter which is the argument for the other parameter parameters

Commented [KP72]: RAAML12-26

Commented [KP73]: RAAML12-26




Figure 9.240 – ReliabilityParameterDistribution

Attributes

time : time	The time at which the probability function is to be evaluated. Time must be greater than the location value
shape : Real	The shape parameter of the distribution
scale : Real	The scale parameter of the distribution
location : Real	The location parameter of the distribution
probabilityDensity : Real	The value of the probability density function at a specified time
probability : Real	The probability (i.e., value of the CDF) at a specified time
pdf : ProbabilityDensityFunction	The constraint expression of the probability density function
cdf : CumulativeDistributionFunction	The constraint expression for the cumulative density function
mean : MeanFunction	The constraint expression for the mean of the CDF
hazardFunction : HazardFunction	The constraint expression for the hazard function (ratio of PDF to CDF)

complement :	ProbabilityComplementFunction	A general expression to take the complement (i.e., 1-value).
probabilityComplement :	Real	A general expression to take the complement of the probability (i.e., 1-value). The value for which the complement is calculated must be less than 1
meanTimeToEvent :	time	The constraint expression to calculate the mean of the probability distribution
eventRate :	Real	The constraint expression for determining the event rate (e.g., failure rate or repair rate) of the probability distribution

ExponentialDistribution


Package: Probability
isAbstract: No

Generalization: [ReliabilityParameterDistribution](#)

Applied Stereotype: «ConstraintBlock»

Description

This constraint block calculates owns 4 lower level constraint blocks for calculating the values of the cumulative, density, and hazard functions, as well as the mean for the exponential distribution. Note that for the exponential distribution function, only scale and location parameters are defined



- Formatted: Font: Not Bold
- Formatted: Font: Not Bold
- Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

Figure 9.241 – ExponentialDistribution

Attributes

pdf :	The PDF for the exponential distribution defined in the
ExponentialProbabilityDensityFunction,	NIST/Sematech Engineering Statistics Handbook, section 8.1.6,
redefines pdf	https://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/apr/section1/apr16.htm
cdf :	The CDF for the exponential distribution defined in the
ExponentialCumulativeDistributionFunction,	NIST/Sematech Engineering Statistics Handbook, section 8.1.6,
redefines cdf	https://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/apr/section1/apr16.htm
mean : ExponentialMeanFunction, redefines	The mean for the exponential distribution defined in the
mean	NIST/Sematech Engineering Statistics Handbook, section 8.1.6,
	https://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/apr/section1/apr16.htm
hazardFunction :	The hazard function for the exponential distribution defined in the
ExponentialHazardFunction, redefines	NIST/Sematech Engineering Statistics Handbook, section 8.1.6,
hazardFunction	https://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/apr/section1/apr16.htm

WeibullDistribution

Package: Probability

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [ReliabilityParameterDistribution](#)

Applied Stereotype: «ConstraintBlock»

Description

This constraint block calculates owns 4 lower level constraint blocks for calculating the values of the cumulative, density, and hazard functions, as well as the mean for the Weibull distribution.




Figure 9.242 – WeibullDistribution

Attributes

pdf : WeibullProbabilityDensityFunction, redefines pdf	The PDF for the Weibull distribution defined in the NIST/Sematech Engineering Statistics Handbook, section 8.1.6, https://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/apr/section1/apr16.htm
cdf : WeibullCumulativeDistributionFunction, redefines cdf	The PDF for the Weibull distribution defined in the NIST/Sematech Engineering Statistics Handbook, section 8.1.6, https://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/apr/section1/apr16.htm
mean : WeibullMeanFunction, redefines mean	The mean for the Weibull distribution defined in the NIST/Sematech Engineering Statistics Handbook, section 8.1.6, https://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/apr/section1/apr16.htm
hazardFunction : WeibullHazardFunction, redefines hazardFunction	The hazard function for the Weibull distribution defined in the NIST/Sematech Engineering Statistics Handbook, section 8.1.6, https://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/apr/section1/apr16.htm

LognormalDistribution

Package: Probability
isAbstract: No

Generalization: [ReliabilityParameterDistribution](#)

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

Applied Stereotype: «ConstraintBlock»

Description

This constraint block calculates owns 4 lower level constraint blocks for calculating the values of the cumulative, density, and hazard functions, as well as the mean for the lognormal distribution.




Figure 9.243 – LognormalDistribution

Attributes

pdf : LognormalProbabilityDensityFunction, redefines pdf	The PDF for the lognormal distribution defined in the NIST/Sematech Engineering Statistics Handbook, section 8.1.6, https://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/apr/section1/apr16.htm
cdf : LognormalCumulativeDistributionFunction, redefines cdf	The CDF for the lognormal distribution defined in the NIST/Sematech Engineering Statistics Handbook, section 8.1.6, https://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/apr/section1/apr16.htm
mean : LognormalMeanFunction, redefines mean	The mean for the lognormal distribution defined in the NIST/Sematech Engineering Statistics Handbook, section 8.1.6, https://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/apr/section1/apr16.htm
hazardFunction : LognormalHazardFunction, redefines hazardFunction	The hazard function for the lognormal distribution defined in the NIST/Sematech Engineering Statistics Handbook, section 8.1.6, https://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/apr/section1/apr16.htm

InSeriesCalculation

Package: SystemBlocks
isAbstract: No

Applied Stereotype: «ConstraintBlock»

Description

Constraint block for calculation of series system reliability or availability




Figure 9.244 – InseriesCalculation

Attributes

input : Real[0..*] Probabilities (reliability or availability) of input components
output : Real Series system reliability or availability
Constraints

```
[1] if (input && !input.isEmpty()) {
    output = 1.0;
}
for (var i = input.iterator(); i.hasNext(); ) {
    output = i.next() * output;
}
```

InParallelCalculation


Package: SystemBlocks
isAbstract: No

Applied Stereotype: «ConstraintBlock»

Description

Constraint block for calculation of parallel system reliability or availability

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"



Attributes

```
[1] if (input && !input.isEmpty()) {  
      output = 1.0;  
      }  
      for (var i = input.iterator(); i.hasNext();) {  
        output = (1.0 - i.next()) * output;  
      }  
  
      output = 1.0 - output;
```

Package: SystemBlocks
isAbstract: No




Figure 9.246 – HomogeneousKofNCalculation

Attributes

input : Real[1]	Reliability or availability of components (all components must have equal reliability or availability)
output : Real[1]	k out of n system reliability or availability
k : Integer[1]	Number of components or channels needed for system to operate
n : Integer[1]	Number of components installed in system

```

[1]
function factorial (n) {
  if (n <2)
    return 1;
  return factorial(n-1)*n;
}

function C_k_of_n (k, n) {
  return (factorial(n)/factorial(k))/factorial(n-k);
}

var R = input;
var result = 0.0;
for (var i = k; i <=n; ++i) {
  result += C_k_of_n(i, n) * Math.pow(R, i) * Math.pow(1.0-R, n-i);
}

```

Commented [KP74]: RAAML12-26

Commented [KP75]: RAAML12-26

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

output = result;

HeterogeneousKofNCalculation

Package: SystemBlocks

isAbstract: No

Applied Stereotype: «ConstraintBlock»

Description

Constraint block for calculation of k out of n system reliability or availability where components have different reliabilities or availabilities




Figure 9.247 – HeterogeneousKofNCalculation

Attributes

input : Real[0..*]	Reliability or availability of components (components do not necessarily have equal reliability or availability)
output : Real[1]	Reliability or availability of system
k : Integer[1]	Number of components or channels needed for system to operate

```
[1]
function getCombinations(array, min) {
  var res = [];
  function iter(i, temp, count) {
    if (i === array.length) {
      if (count >= min) {
        res.push(temp);
      }
      return;
    }
    iter(i + 1, temp.concat(array[i]), count + 1);
    iter(i + 1, temp.concat(1.0 - array[i]), count);
  }

  iter(0, [], 0);
  return res;
}

function and(arrayOfProbabilities) {
  var output = 1.0;
  for (i = 0; i < arrayOfProbabilities.length; i++) {
    output = arrayOfProbabilities[i] * output;
  }
  return output;
}

function sum(arrayOfProbabilities) {
  var output = 0.0;
  for (i = 0; i < arrayOfProbabilities.length; i++) {
    output = output + arrayOfProbabilities[i];
  }
  return output;
}
```

Commented [KP76]: RAAML12-26

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

```

var allCombinations = getCombinations(input.toArray(), k);
var andsArray = [];

for (j = 0; j < allCombinations.length; j++) {
  andsArray.push(and(allCombinations[j]));
}

output = sum(andsArray);

```

9.9.39.10.3 Methods::RBD::RBD Profile

ReliabilitySituation

Package: RBD Profile

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [Situation](#)

Extension: Class

Description

A marker stereotype for all reliability situations. See [AbstractReliabilitySituation](#) library class for definition.




Figure 9.248 – ReliabilitySituation

Restorable

Package: RBD Profile

isAbstract: No

Extension: Class

Description

A mixin stereotype for all restorable reliability situations - both component and system. See [Restorable](#) library class for definition.




Figure 9.249 – Restorable

Constraints

```
[1] RestorableIsRestorable self.base_Class->asSet()->closure(general).name->includes('Restorable')
```

ComponentReliability

Package: RBD Profile
isAbstract: No

Generalization: [ReliabilitySituation](#)

Extension: Class

Description

A marker stereotype for non-composite reliability situations. See [ComponentReliabilitySituation](#) library class for definition.




Figure 9.250 –ReliabilitySituation

Constraints

```
[1] self.base_Class->asSet()->closure(general).name->includes('ComponentReliabilitySituation')
ComponentReliabilityIsComponentReliabilitySituation
```

SystemReliability

Package: RBD Profile
isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: [ReliabilitySituation](#)

Extension: Class

Description

A marker stereotype for composite reliability situations. See [SystemReliabilitySituation](#) library class for definition.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"




Figure 9.251 –SystemReliability

InSeries

Package: RBD Profile

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [SystemReliability](#)

Extension: Class

Description

A marker stereotype for in-series composite reliability situations. See [InSeries](#) library class for definition.




Figure 9.252 – InSeries

Constraints

```
[1] InSeriesIsInSeries      self.base_Class->asSet()->closure(general).name->includes('InSeries')
```

InParallel

Package: RBD Profile

isAbstract: No

Generalization: [SystemReliability](#)

Extension: Class

Description

A marker stereotype for in-parallel composite reliability situations. See [InParallel](#) library class for definition.




Figure 9.253 – InParallel

Constraints

```
[1] InParallelIsInParallel      self.base_Class->asSet()->closure(general).name->includes('InParallel')
```

HomogeneousKofN

Package: RBD Profile
isAbstract: No

Generalization: [SystemReliability](#)

Extension: Class

Description

A marker stereotype for homogeneous k-of-n composite reliability situations. See [HomogeneousKofN](#) library class for definition.




Figure 9.254 – HomogeneousKofN

Constraints

```
[1]                                self.base_Class->asSet()->closure(general).name-
HomogeneousKofNIsHomogeneousKofN  >includes('HomogeneousKofN')
```

HeterogeneousKofN

Package: RBD Profile
isAbstract: No

Generalization: [SystemReliability](#)

Extension: Class

Description

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

A marker stereotype for heterogeneous k-of-n composite reliability situations. See [HeterogeneousKofN](#) library class for definition.




Figure 9.255 – HeterogeneousKofN

Constraints

```

[1]
HeterogeneousKofNIsHeterogeneousKofN self.base_Class->asSet()->closure(general).name-
>includes('HeterogeneousKofN')
  
```

10. Views

10.1 Core

10.1.1 Core::Core Library

View Core::Core Library::Core Library



Figure 10.1 – Core Library

Elements

- [AnySituation](#)
- [Causality](#)

10.1.2 Core::Core Profile

View Core::Core Profile::CoreProfile




Figure 10.2 – CoreProfile

Elements

- [ControllingMeasure](#)
- [RelevantTo](#)
- [Situation](#)
- [Violates](#)

Commented [DD77]: [RAAML12-2](#)

- [Activation](#)
- [AnySituation](#)
- [Causality](#)
- [Cause](#)
- [DysfunctionalEvent](#)
- [Effect](#)
- [ErrorPropagation](#)
- [ErrorRealization](#)
- [FailureMode](#)
- [HarmPotential](#)
- [Hazard](#)
- [Scenario](#)
- [UndesiredState](#)

10.2.2 General::General Concepts Profile

View General::General Concepts Profile::General Concepts Profile




Figure 10.4 – General Concepts Profile

Commented [DD78]: RAAML12-2

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

Elements

- [ControllingMeasure](#)
- [Detection](#)
- [Error](#)
- [FailureMode](#)
- [FailureState](#)
- [Fault](#)
- [Mitigation](#)
- [Prevention](#)
- [Recommendation](#)
- [Situation](#)
- [Undeveloped](#)
- [Hazard](#)
- [Item](#)
- [PresentIn](#)
- [SingleElementItem](#)
- [ElementGroupBasedItem](#)
- [Assumption](#)

Formatted: Font: 9.5 pt

Formatted: Font: 9.5 pt

Formatted: Font: 9.5 pt

Formatted: Font: 9.5 pt


Formatted: Font: 9.5 pt

Formatted: Font: 9.5 pt

10.3 General Security

10.3.1 General Security::General Security Concepts Library

View General Security::General Security Concepts Library::General Security Concepts Library






Figure 10.5 - General Security Concepts Library Elements

- [AbstractRisk](#)
- [AnySituation](#)
- [Causality](#)
- [Factor](#)
- [HarmPotential](#)
- [Hazard](#)
- [Limitation](#)
- [Scenario](#)
- [Threat](#)
- [Vulnerability](#)
- [Weakness](#)

10.3.2 General Security::General Security Concepts Profile

View General Security::General Security Concepts Profile::General Security Concepts Profile

Commented [AA79]: RAAML12-14 RAAML12-15

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"




Figure 10.6 - General Security Concepts Profile
Elements

- [Asset](#)
- [Hazard](#)
- [Impacts](#)
- [PresentedBy](#)
- [RelevantTo](#)
- [SecurityActor](#)
- [Situation](#)
- [Threat](#)
- [Valuates](#)

10.4 Methods::FMEA

10.4.1 Methods::FMEA::FMEA Library

View Methods::FMEA::FMEA Library::FMEA Library




Figure 10.7 – FMEA Library


Elements

- [AbstractCause](#)
- [AbstractEffect](#)
- [AbstractFailureMode](#)
- [AbstractFMEAItem](#)
- [AbstractRisk](#)
- [Cause](#)
- [DegradationOfFunction](#)
- [DelayedFunction](#)
- [Effect](#)
- [ExceedingFunction](#)

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

View [Methods::FMEA::FMEA Profile::FMEA Profile](#)

- FMEAItem

10.5.1 Methods::FTA::FTALibrary

View [Methods::FTA::FTALibrary::Events::Events](#)

Figure 10.9 – Events

- [BasicEvent](#)
- [ConditionalEvent](#)
- [DormantEvent](#)
- [Event](#)
- [HouseEvent](#)
- [IntermediateEvent](#)
- [TopEvent](#)
- [UndevelopedEvent](#)
- [ZeroEvent](#)

```

classDiagram
    class AnySituation["«Situation» AnySituation"]
    class Scenario["«Situation» Scenario"]
    class AbstractEvent["«Situation» AbstractEvent"]
    class DysfunctionalEvent["«Situation» DysfunctionalEvent"]
    class FTAElement["«Situation» FTAElement"]
    class Tree["«Tree» FTATree"]
    class Gate["«Situation» Gate"]
    class Causality["Causality"]
    class AND
    class OR
    class XOR
    class SEQ
    class INHIBIT
    class MAJORITY_VOTE["MAJORITY_VOTE"]
    class NOT

    AnySituation <|-- Scenario
    AnySituation <|-- AbstractEvent
    Scenario <|-- AbstractEvent
    AbstractEvent <|-- DysfunctionalEvent
    AbstractEvent <|-- FTAElement
    Tree --> AbstractEvent : topEvent
    FTAElement --> Gate : sourceEvent
    Gate --> AbstractEvent : targetEvent
    Causality --> AnySituation : 0..* from 0..*
    AND --> Gate : condition
    OR --> Gate : condition
    XOR --> Gate : condition
    SEQ --> Gate : condition
    INHIBIT --> Gate : condition
    MAJORITY_VOTE --> Gate : condition
    NOT --> Gate : condition
    INHIBIT --> INHIBIT : INHIBIT gate 0..*
    MAJORITY_VOTE --> MAJORITY_VOTE : MAJORITY_VOTE 0..*
    NOT --> NOT : NOT 0..*
  
```

Elements

- [AbstractEvent](#)
- [AND](#)
- [AnySituation](#)
- [Causality](#)
- [DysfunctionalEvent](#)
- [Event](#)
- [FTAElement](#)
- [FTATree](#)

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

- [Gate](#)
- [INHIBIT](#)
- [MAJORITY VOTE](#)
- [NOT](#)
- [OR](#)
- [Scenario](#)
- [SEQ](#)
- [XOR](#)

10.5.2 Methods::FTA::FTAProfile

Methods::FTA::FTAProfile::Diagrams by elements

View Methods::FTA::FTAProfile::FTA Profile




Figure 10.11 – FTA Profile

Elements

- [AND](#)
- [BasicEvent](#)
- [ConditionalEvent](#)
- [DormantEvent](#)
- [Event](#)
- [Gate](#)
- [HouseEvent](#)
- [INHIBIT](#)
- [IntermediateEvent](#)
- [MAJORITY VOTE](#)
- [NOT](#)

- [OR](#)
- [SEQ](#)
- [Situation](#)
- [TopEvent](#)
- [TransferIn](#)
- [TransferOut](#)
- [Tree](#)
- [Undeveloped](#)
- [XOR](#)
- [ZeroEvent](#)

10.6 Methods::STPA

10.6.1 Methods::STPA::STPA Library

View Methods::STPA::STPA Library::STPA Library

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"




Figure 10.12 – STPA Library

Elements

- [AbstractCause](#)
- [AbstractEffect](#)
- [AbstractEvent](#)

Commented [DD80]: RAAML12-2 RAAML12-14 RAAML12-15

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold


Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

- [AbstractOperationalSituation](#)
- [AbstractRisk](#)
- [AnySituation](#)
- [Causality](#)
- [Early](#)
- [Factor](#)
- [HarmPotential](#)
- [Hazard](#)
- [Inadequate Control Execution](#)
- [Inadequate Controller Decisions](#)
- [Inadequate Feedback and Inputs](#)
- [Inadequate Process Behavior](#)
- [Late](#)
- [Limitation](#)
- [Loss](#)
- [LossScenario](#)
- [NotProvided](#)
- [OperationalCondition](#)
- [OutOfSequence](#)
- ~~ProcessModelFlawControlFlaw~~
- ~~ProcessModelFlawConsequenceControlFlawConsequence~~
- ~~ProcessModelFlawFactorControlFlawFactor~~
- [Provided](#)
- [RiskRealization](#)
- [Scenario](#)
- [Threat](#)
- [TooLong](#)
- [TooShort](#)
- [UndesiredState](#)
- [UndesiredControlAction](#)
- [UndesiredControlActionHarmPotential](#)
- [Vulnerability](#)
- [Weakness](#)

Commented [KP81]: RAAML12-26

10.6.2 Methods::STPA::STPA Profile

View Methods::STPA::STPA Profile::STPA Profile



For system safety analysis:

For system annotation:




Figure 10.13 – STPA Profile

Elements

- [Actuator](#)
- [ControlAction](#)
- [ControlledProcess](#)
- [Controller](#)
- [ControlStructure](#)
- [FailureMode](#)
- [Feedback](#)
- [Sensor](#)
- [UndesiredControlAction](#)
- [UnsafeControlAction](#)

10.7 GSN

10.7.1 GSN::GSN Profile

View GSN::GSN Profile::GSN Profile




Figure 10.14 – GSN Profile

Elements

- [Assumption](#)
- [Context](#)
- [Goal](#)
- [GSNAArgumentNode](#)
- [GSNNode](#)
- [InContextOf](#)
- [Justification](#)
- [Solution](#)

- [Strategy](#)
- [SupportedBy](#)
- [ContextualInformation](#)
- [Undeveloped](#)

10.8 Methods::ISO 26262

10.8.1 Methods::ISO 26262::ISO 26262 Library

View Methods::ISO 26262::ISO 26262 Library::ISO26262 Library




Figure 10.15 – ISO 26262 Library

Elements

- [AbstractEffect](#)
- [AbstractEvent](#)
- [AbstractOperationalSituation](#)
- [AbstractRisk](#)
- [AccidentScenario](#)
- [AnyMalfunction](#)
- [AnySituation](#)
- [ASIL](#)
- [AutomotiveEffect](#)
- [Causality](#)
- [Controllability](#)
- [DysfunctionalEvent](#)
- [Early](#)

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"

- [EnvironmentalCondition](#)
- [Exposure](#)
- [HarmPotential](#)
- [Hazard](#)
- [HazardousEvent](#)
- [Intermittent](#)
- [Inverted](#)
- [ISO26262SafetyRequirementTemplate](#)
- [Late](#)
- [Less](#)
- [Location](#)
- [More](#)
- [No](#)
- [OperationalCondition](#)
- [RoadCondition](#)
- [Scenario](#)
- [Severity](#)
- [SystemLevelEffect](#)
- [TrafficAndPeople](#)
- [TypicalAutomotiveSituation](#)
- [UndesiredState](#)
- [Unintended](#)
- [VehicleLevelEffect](#)
- [VehicleUsage](#)

View Methods::ISO 26262::ISO 26262 Library::All-Encompassing Operational Situations




Figure 10.16 – All-Encompassing Operational Situations

Elements

- [AnyEnvironmentalCondition](#)
- [AnyLocation](#)
- [AnyRoadCondition](#)
- [AnyTrafficAndPeople](#)
- [AnyVehicleUse](#)
- [EnvironmentalCondition](#)
- [Location](#)
- [RoadCondition](#)
- [TrafficAndPeople](#)
- [VehicleUsage](#)

10.8.2 Methods::ISO 26262::ISO 26262 Profile

Methods::ISO 26262::ISO 26262 Profile::RequirementManagement

View Methods::ISO 26262::ISO 26262 Profile::RequirementManagement::RequirementManagement





Figure 10.17 – RequirementManagement

Elements

- [ASILDecompose](#)
- [DependabilityRequirement](#)
- [FunctionalSafetyRequirement](#)
- [HardwareSafetyRequirement](#)
- [IndependenceRequirement](#)
- [OperatingMode](#)
- [RecoveryRequirement](#)
- [SafeState](#)
- [SafetyGoal](#)
- [SoftwareSafetyRequirement](#)
- [TechnicalSafetyRequirement](#)
- [UserInfoRequirement](#)

View Methods::ISO 26262::ISO 26262 Profile::ISO26262 Profile

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"




Figure 10.18 – ISO 26262 Profile

Elements

- [ASILAssignment](#)
- [ASILOverrideRationale](#)
- [Confirmed](#)
- [ControllingMeasure](#)
- [FailureMode](#)
- [HazardAndRiskAssessment](#)
- [IDCarrier](#)
- [LessonLearned](#)
- [MalfunctioningBehavior](#)
- [Mitigation](#)
- [OperationalSituation](#)
- [Situation](#)
- [Verified](#)

10.9 Methods::FHA

10.9.1 Methods::FHA:FHA Library

[View Methods::FHA::FHA Library::FHA Library](#)

Commented [DD82]: [RAAML12-2](#)

Formatted: Outline numbered + Level: 3 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0" + Indent at: 0.25"

Formatted: Body Text, None, Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt




Figure 10.20 - FHA Profile Elements

- [FunctionalFailure](#)

Formatted: OMG Normal Paragraph, No bullets or numbering

10.9.10.10 Methods::RBD

10.9.10.10.1 Methods::RBD::RBD Library

View **Methods::RBD::RBD Library::RBD Library**




Figure 10.21 - RBD Library Elements

- [AbstractReliabilitySituation](#)
- [AnySituation](#)
- [ComponentReliabilitySituation](#)

- [HeterogeneousKofN](#)
- [HomogeneousKofN](#)
- [InParallel](#)
- [InSeries](#)
- [NonRestorableComponentReliabilitySituation](#)
- [Restorable](#)
- [RestorableComponentReliabilitySituation](#)
- [RestorableHeterogeneousKofN](#)
- [RestorableHomogeneousKofN](#)
- [RestorableInParallel](#)
- [RestorableInSeries](#)
- [RestorableSystemReliabilitySituation](#)
- [Scenario](#)
- [SystemReliabilitySituation](#)

View **Methods::RBD::RBD**
Library::AbstractReliabilitySituation::AbstractReliabilitySituation



Figure 10.22 - AbstractReliabilitySituation

View **Methods::RBD::RBD**
Library::ComponentReliabilitySituation::ComponentReliabilitySituation




Figure 10.23 - ComponentReliabilitySituation

View **Methods::RBD::RBD**
Library::NonRestorableComponentReliabilitySituation::NonRestorableComponentReliabilitySituation




Figure 10.24 - InSeries

View **Methods::RBD::RBD** Library::RestorableInSeries::RestorableInSeries

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"




Figure 10.25 - RestorableInSeries

View Methods::RBD::RBD Library::InParallel::InParallel




Figure 10.26 - InParallel

View Methods::RBD::RBD Library::RestorableInParallel::RestorableInParallel




Figure 10.27 - RestorableInParallel

View Methods::RBD::RBD Library::HomogeneousKofN::HomogeneousKofN




Figure 10.28 - HomogeneousKofN

View Methods::RBD::RBD Library::RestorableHomogeneousKofN::RestorableHomogeneousKofN




Figure 10.29 - RestorableHomogeneousKofN

View Methods::RBD::RBD Library::HeterogeneousKofN::HeterogeneousKofN




Figure 10.30 - HeterogeneousKofN

View Methods::RBD::RBD Library::RestorableHeterogeneousKofN::RestorableHeterogeneousKofN

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"




Figure 10.31 - RestorableHeterogeneousKofN

[10.9.2](#)[10.10.2](#) [Methods::RBD::RBD Library::ConstraintBlocks](#)

[10.9.2](#)[10.10.2.1](#) [Methods::RBD::RBD Library::ConstraintBlocks::Probability](#)

[View](#) [Methods::RBD::RBD Library::ConstraintBlocks::Probability::Distributions](#)




Figure 10.32 - Distributions

Elements

- [ExponentialDistribution](#)
- [LognormalDistribution](#)
- [ReliabilityParameterDistribution](#)
- [WeibullDistribution](#)

View Methods::RBD::RBD
 Library::ConstraintBlocks::Probability::ReliabilityParameterDistribution::ReliabilityParameterDistribution

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
 Formatted: Font: Not Bold
 Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"




Figure 10.33 - ReliabilityParameterDistribution

10.9.310.10.3 Methods::RBD::RBD Profile

View Methods::RBD::RBD Profile::RBD Profile




Figure 10.34 - RBD Profile Elements

- [ComponentReliability](#)

- [HeterogeneousKofN](#)
- [HomogeneousKofN](#)
- [InParallel](#)
- [InSeries](#)
- [ReliabilitySituation](#)
- [Restorable](#)
- [Situation](#)
- [SystemReliability](#)




Figure 10.35 - NonRestorableComponentReliabilitySituation

View Methods::RBD::RBD

Library::RestorableComponentReliabilitySituation::RestorableComponentReliabilitySituation




Figure 10.36 - RestorableComponentReliabilitySituation

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Tab stops: Not at 3" + 6"