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1. Scope

1.1 Introduction

There are four parts to this specification, two are normative and two informative. The normative parts are:

1. The UAF Domain Metamodel (DMM) (this document) that provides the definition of concepts, relationships and viewpoints for the framework. The UAF DMM is the basis for any implementation of UAF including non-UML/SysML implementations.

2. The UAF Profile Modeling Language (UAFMLP) (see document dtc/2021-1106-010) is a UML/SysML implementation of the UAF DMM

The informative parts are:

3. The UAF Traceability, Annex A (see document dtc/2021-1106-071), which details the mappings between the UAF and the various frameworks and languages that contribute to the UAF.

4. The UAF Example Model, Annex B (see document dtc/2021-1106-018), which illustrates a practical usage of UAF.

5. The UAF Enterprise Architecture Guide (EAG), Annex C (see document dtc/2021-1106-091), which provides guidance on how to use the UAFML to model an architecture.

1.2 UAF Background

UAF evolved from the Unified Profile for DoDAF and MODAF (UPDM), version 2.1. UAF extends the scope of UPDM and generalizes it to make it applicable to commercial as well as military architectures. The intent of UAF is to provide a standard representation for describing enterprise architectures using a Model Based Systems Engineering (MBSE) approach.

The core concepts in the UAF are based upon the DoDAF 2.0.2 Domain Metamodel (DM2) and the MODAF ontological data exchange mechanism (MODEM), Security Views from Canada's Department of National Defense Architecture Framework (DNDAF) and the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) Architecture Framework (NAF) v 4.

UAF models describe a system from a set of stakeholders’ concerns such as security or information through a set of predefined viewpoints. Developed models can also reflect custom viewpoints or users can develop more formal extensions for new viewpoints.

The UAFMLP can be used to develop architectures compliant with:

- Department of Defense Architecture Framework (DoDAF) version 2.02
- Ministry of Defence Architecture Framework (MODAF) version 1.3
- North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) Architecture Framework (NAF) version 3.1
- North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) Architecture Framework (NAF) version 4

UAF v 1.24 supports the capability to:

- model architectures for a broad range of complex systems, which may include hardware, software, data, personnel, and facility elements;
- model consistent architectures for system-of-systems (SoS) down to lower levels of design and implementation;
- support the analysis, specification, design, and verification of complex systems; and

The term system is used from: “Systems and software engineering – Architecture description,”
http://www.iso.org/iso/catalogue_detail.htm?csnumber=50508
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• improve the ability to exchange architecture information among related tools that are SysML based.

1.3 Intended Usage
The UAF enables the modeling of strategic capabilities, operational scenarios, services, resources, personnel, security, projects, standards, measures and requirements; which supports best practices through, separation of concerns and abstractions. In addition, the UAF enables the modeling of related architecture concepts such as:
• System of Systems (SoS),
• information exchanges consistent with the National Information Exchange Model (NIEM),
• DoD’s doctrine, organization, training material, leadership & education, personnel, and facilities (DOTMLPF)
• UK Ministry of Defence Lines of Development (DLOD) elements,
• Human Computer Interfaces (HCI).
Further, The UAF conforms to terms defined in the ISO/IEC/IEEE 42010 standard for architecture description, where the terms: architecture, architecture description (AD), architecture framework, architecture view, architecture viewpoint, concern, environment, model kind, stakeholder [ISO/IEC/IEEE 42010:2011] form correspondence rules specified as constraints on UAF.

1.4 Related Documents
The specification includes a metamodel and description as separate documents. Other appendixes are also provided as separate documents. The table below provides a listing of these documents:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document ID</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dtc/2021-11-01</td>
<td>The UAF Domain MetaModel (DMM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dtc/2021-11-02</td>
<td>The UAF Profile Modeling Language (UAFMLP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dtc/2021-11-07</td>
<td>Appendix A that contains a separate traceability subsection from UAFMLP to each of the frameworks listed in Section 1.2 of this specification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dtc/2021-11-08</td>
<td>Appendix B: An example of how the language can be used to represent a UAF UAFMLP architecture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dtc/2021-11-09</td>
<td>Appendix C: An Enterprise Architecture Guide (EAG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dtc/2021-11-10</td>
<td>UAF XMI file</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dtc/2021-11-14</td>
<td>UAF XMI Measurements library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dtc/2021-11-06</td>
<td>Attachments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Conformance

UAF specifies four types of conformance.

Type 1 Conformance: - UAF View specification conformance. A tool demonstrating view specification conformance shall implement a version of all the view specifications defined in the UAF Grid, with the exception of the view specifications in the Metadata Domain Architecture Management Viewpoint. Optionally the tool vendor can implement other donor framework viewpoints, for instance DoDAF, MODAF or NAF based upon the mapping between them and UAF provided in Appendix A (dtc/2021-11-07dtc/19-06-17)

Type 2 Conformance: - UAF Conceptual Syntax Conformance. A tool demonstrating conceptual syntax conformance is consistent with the concepts, relationships and constraints defined in the UAF DMM (this document). UAF Conceptual Syntax Conformance implies Type 1 Conformance.

Type 3 Conformance: - UAF Formal Syntax Conformance. A tool demonstrating formal syntax conformance:

- Enables instances of concrete UAFP UAFML stereotypes defined in the UAF UAFML (dtc/2021-11-03dtc/19-06-15)
- Complies with the constraints defined in the UAF UAFML (dtc/2021-11-03dtc/19-06-15)
- Complies with the SysML version 1.5 Concrete Syntax Conformance (formal/19-11-01formal/19-11-01formal/17-05-01)
- UAF Formal Syntax Conformance implies Type 2 Conformance.

Type 4 Conformance: - UAF Model interchange conformance. A tool demonstrating model interchange conformance can import and export conformant XMI for all valid UAFP UAFML models. Model interchange conformance implies Type 3 Conformance.
3. References

3.1 Normative References

The following normative documents contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this specification. For dated references, subsequent amendments to, or revisions of, any of these publications do not apply.

3.23.1.1 OMG Documents (Normative References)

- Unified Profile for DoDAF and MODAF (UPDM), 2.1, August 2013, http://www.omg.org/spec/UPDM
- XML Metadata Interchange, 2.5.1, June 2015, https://www.omg.org/spec/XMI/2.5.1/About-XMI/

3.33 Other Normative References

- IDEAS Foundation v1.0 as XMI File (zipped), http://www.ideasgroup.org/?Documents
- MODAF Ontological Data Exchange Mechanism (MODEM)
- NATO Architecture Framework (NAF),
- Unified Architecture Framework (UAF) Domain Metamodel Version 1.24
3.43.3 Informative References

- Object Management Group (OMG), Metamodel Extension Facility, Initial submission, ad/12-02-01, http://www.omg.org/cgi-bin/doc?ad/12-02-01 (Requires OMG Member Access)
- Unified Profile for DoDAF and MODAF (UPDM), 2.1, August 2013, http://www.omg.org/spec/UPDM
4. Terms and Definitions

No new terms and definitions have been required to create this specification. All terms are available in the normative references or bibliographic citations for detailed explanation. The modeling concepts specified in this standard e.g., MetaModel Elements, Viewpoints, Aspects, View Specifications, etc., are defined in the appropriate section for that concept. Additional terms are defined in Appendix C: Enterprise Architecture Guide (EAG).
## 5. Symbols

For the purposes of this specification, the following List of symbols/abbreviations apply.

**Table 5.1 - Description of acronyms used in this specification**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AcV.2*</td>
<td>Acquisition View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Architecture Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AV.*</td>
<td>All View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMM</td>
<td>Business Motivation Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPMN</td>
<td>Business Process Modeling Notation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4ISR</td>
<td>Command, Control, Communications, Computers, Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaT</td>
<td>Capability Team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COI</td>
<td>Communities of Interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV.*</td>
<td>Capability View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIV.*</td>
<td>Data and Information Views</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLOD</td>
<td>Defence Lines of Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM2</td>
<td>DoDAF Meta Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMM</td>
<td>Domain Meta Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNDAF</td>
<td>Department National Defence and Canadian Forces (DND/CF) Architecture Framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoD</td>
<td>United States Department of Defense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoDAF</td>
<td>Department of Defense Architecture Framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOTMLP</td>
<td>Doctrine, Organization, Training, Material, Leadership, Personnel, Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIE</td>
<td>Enterprise Information Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDEAS</td>
<td>International Defense Enterprise Architecture Specification for Exchange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDEF</td>
<td>Integrated DEFinition Methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCOSE</td>
<td>International Council Of Systems Engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JCIDS</td>
<td>Joint Capabilities Integration and Development System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISEG</td>
<td>Model Interchange Special Interest Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOD</td>
<td>United Kingdom Ministry of Defence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODAF</td>
<td>Ministry of Defence Architecture Framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODEM</td>
<td>MODAF Ontological Data Exchange Mechanism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAF</td>
<td>NATO Architecture Framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OASIS</td>
<td>Organization for the Advancement of Structured Information Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSLC</td>
<td>Open Services for Lifecycle Collaboration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O.V.*</td>
<td>Operational View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PES</td>
<td>DoDAF Physical ExchangeSpecification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POC</td>
<td>Proof of Concept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.V.*</td>
<td>Project View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDF</td>
<td>Resource Description Framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SoaML</td>
<td>Service orientated architecture Modeling Language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SoS</td>
<td>System of Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOV.*</td>
<td>Service Oriented View</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* denotes a wildcard
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V*</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>StdV.*</td>
<td>Standards View in DoDAF 2.02 compare TV-* in UAF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STV.*</td>
<td>Strategic View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SV.*</td>
<td>System View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SvcV.*</td>
<td>Service View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEPID OIL</td>
<td>Training, Equipment, Personnel, Information, Concepts and Doctrine, Organisation, Infrastructure, Logistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOGAF</td>
<td>The Open Group Architectural Framework©</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPPU</td>
<td>Task, Post, Process, and Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV.*</td>
<td>Technical View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAF</td>
<td>Unified Architecture Framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAFMLP</td>
<td>Unified Architecture Framework Modeling Language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPDM</td>
<td>Unified Profile for DoDAF/MODAF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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6. Additional Information

6.1 Changes to Adopted OMG Specifications

This specification completely replaces Unified Architecture Framework (UAF), version 1.0.1. https://www.omg.org/spec/UAF/About-UAF/

6.2 Language Architecture

The UAF specification reuses a subset of UML 2.5.1 and SysML 1.5.6 and provides additional extensions needed to address requirements in the UPDM 3.0 RFP Mandatory Requirements. Those requirements form the basis for this specification. This specification documents the language architecture in terms of UML 2.5.1 and SysML 1.5.6 and specifies how to implement UAF. This clause explains design principles and how they are applied to define the UAF language architecture.

6.3 Philosophy

The UAF development uses a model-driven approach. A simple description of the work process is:

• A Domain Metamodel (DMM) uses UML Class models to represent individuals, types and tuples that maps the concepts defined in DoDAF, MODEM, NAF, and other frameworks. Each individual, type, and tuple that aggregates the concepts defined in DoDAF, MODEM, NAF, DNDAF and other frameworks.

• The aligned and renamed viewpoints from the various frameworks provide a common generic name for each viewpoint. It should be noted that the term viewpoint is in the context of ISO 42010 where a viewpoint is the specification of a view. The UAF viewpoints are mapped to the corresponding viewpoint in the relevant contributing framework. It is the viewpoints described in the DMM that provides the basis for the Unified Architecture Framework (UAF).

• The UAF provides an abstraction layer that separates the underlying UAF metamodel from the presentation layer. The results of this mapping are given in Appendix A (see document dtc/2021-11-07) and an overview of the viewpoints in a grid format are given in this document.

• The intent of the UAF is to provide a Domain MetaModel usable by non-UML/SysML tool vendors who may wish to implement the UAF within their own tool and metalanguage.

• The Unified Architecture Framework Profile Modeling Language (UAFPUAFML) is the standard implementation of the UAF DMM. It was created by mapping the UAF concepts and relationships to corresponding stereotypes in the UAFPUAFML Profile.

• The UAFPUAFML analysis and refactoring reflects language architecture, tool implementation, and reuse considerations.

• The specification is generated from the UML model used to describe the UAF DMM and UAFPUAFML. This approach allows the team to concentrate on architecture issues rather than documentation production. The UML tool automatically maintains consistency. The UML tool improves maintenance and enables traceability between the UAF and the UAFPUAFML where every stereotype is linkable to the UAF element using UML Abstraction relationship.

6.4 Core Principles

The fundamental design principles for UAF DMM are:

• Requirements-driven: UAF is intended to satisfy the requirements of the UPDM 3.0 RFP Mandatory Requirements.

• Influence from donor Frameworks: The DMM was based upon an aggregation of concepts and relationships from the donor frameworks.

• IDEAS Ontology driven: The DMM was based upon a simplified version of the IDEAS ontology, see chapter 8.

• DMM Notation: The DMM was expressed using UML class diagram notation.
- **Reusability of UML Metamodel concepts**: The UAF DMM reuses a number of concepts from the UML Metamodel, such as Statemachines, Activities and Interactions. The explicit relationship to these concepts enables the UAF DMM to reuse UML semantics instead of reinventing its own semantics.

- **Reusability of BPMN concepts**: The UAF DMM reuses a number of concepts from BPMN, such as processes. The explicit relationship to these concepts enables the UAF DMM to reuse BPMN semantics instead of reinventing its own semantics.
7. UAF Grid

Due to the complexity of managing the multiple viewpoints with overlapping concerns and metamodels, the standard viewpoints are refactored as described in the donor frameworks into a more manageable format. This decision led to the development of the UAF grid which is described below.

The grid is a way of showing how the various viewpoints (known as view specifications (cells) in the rest of document) correspond to viewpoints (prev. known as domains) (horizontal rows) and the aspects (prev. known as model kinds) (the columns) that describe the view specification. The intent of the grid is not to be complete, but to capture the information that is present in the frameworks that contributes to the UAF, consequently, some gaps are evident.
Figure 7.1: UAF Grid

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Architecture Type</th>
<th>Conceptual Architecture</th>
<th>Logical Architecture</th>
<th>Application Architecture</th>
<th>Implementation Architecture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Notes related to suffixes in the grid

a. The view specifications in the Metadata Architecture Management Domain viewpoint are not modeled as part of the UAF but are architectural artifacts that contribute to the success in defining and developing an architecture.

b. To be able to evaluate architecture behavior and constraints (i.e., non-functional requirements) it is necessary to define actual instances of the architectural elements. The expectation is that tool vendors intending to implement the UAF have capabilities native to their tools to enable behavioral simulation and the evaluation of measures and constraints through parametric diagrams or a proprietary equivalent.

c. The information model is an column aspect across the domains and can be defined in any of its forms, i.e., Conceptual, Logical or Physical. The expectation is that most developers of the information model will use the Conceptual or Logical forms of the data model when using an abstract modeling tool.

d. The parameters column captures the measures and environments and risks across the architecture in all the different domains viewpoints.

e. The expectation is that the physical schema model would not be defined in the UAF. Any tool implementing the framework provides a means to import or link to representations of the physical model.

f. The Metadata Architecture Extensions Taxonomy, view specification provides a means to extend the framework to other domains.

The detailed mapping between the view specifications of the UAF shown in the grid and the viewpoints from the donor frameworks is described in doc/2021-11-07. A definition for each view specification in the grid is described in the following chapters.
### 7.1 Descriptions of Domains, Viewpoints, and Model Kinds Aspects

Table 7.1 - Definitions for the Domains Viewpoints

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain/Viewpoint</th>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metadata/Architecture Management</td>
<td>MdAM</td>
<td>Identifies the metadata required to develop a suitable architecture that is fit for its purpose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic</td>
<td>St</td>
<td>Capability management process. Describes the capability taxonomy, composition, dependencies and evolution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>Op</td>
<td>Illustrates the Logical Architecture of the enterprise. Describes the requirements, operational behavior, structure, and exchanges required to support (exhibit) capabilities. Defines all operational elements in an implementation/solution independent manner.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td>Sv</td>
<td>The Service-Orientated View (SOV) is a description of services needed to directly support the operational domain as described in the Operational View. A service within MODAF is understood in its broadest sense, as a unit of work through which a provider provides a useful result to a consumer. DoDAF: The Service Views within the Services Viewpoint describe the design for service-based solutions to support operational development processes (JCIDS) and Defense Acquisition System or capability development within the Joint Capability Areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personnel</td>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>Defines and explores organizational resource types. Shows the taxonomy of types of organizational resources as well as connections, interaction and growth over time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resources</td>
<td>Rs</td>
<td>Captures a solution architecture consisting of resources, e.g., organizational, software, artifacts, capability configurations, and natural resources that implement the operational requirements. Further design of a resource is typically detailed in SysML or UML.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security</td>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>Security assets and security enclaves. Defines the hierarchy of security assets and asset owners, security constraints (policy, laws, and guidance) and details where they are located (security enclaves).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Projects  Pj  Describes projects and project milestones, how those projects deliver capabilities, the organizations contributing to the projects and dependencies between projects.

Standards  Sd  MODAF: Technical Standards Views are extended from the core DoDAF views to include non-technical standards such as operational doctrine, industry process standards, etc. DoDAF: The Standards Views within the Standards Viewpoint are the set of rules governing the arrangement, interaction, and interdependence of solution parts or elements.

Actual Resources  Ar  The analysis, e.g., evaluation of different alternatives, what-if, trade-offs, V&V on the actual resource configurations. Illustrates the expected or achieved actual resource configurations.

Table 7:2 - Definitions of the Model Kinds Aspects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Kind Aspects</th>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Motivation</td>
<td>Mv</td>
<td>Captures motivational elements e.g., drivers, challenges, opportunities and concerns that pertain to enterprise transformation efforts, and different types of requirements, e.g., operational, services, personnel, resources, and security controls.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxonomy</td>
<td>Tx</td>
<td>Presents all the elements as a standalone structure. Presents all the elements as a specialization hierarchy, provides a text definition for each one and references the source of the element.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structure</td>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>Describes the break down of structural elements e.g., logical performers, systems, projects, etc. into their smaller parts. Describes the definitions of the dependencies, connections, and relationships between the different elements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connectivity</td>
<td>Cn</td>
<td>Describes the connections, relationships, and interactions between the different elements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processes</td>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>Captures activity based behavior and flows. It describes activities, their Inputs/Outputs, activity actions and flows between them.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>States</td>
<td>St</td>
<td>Captures state-based behavior of an element. It is a graphical representation of states of a structural element and how it responds to various events and actions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction-Scenarios-Sequences</td>
<td>SqSe</td>
<td>Expresses a time ordered examination of the exchanges as a result of a particular scenario. Provides a time-ordered...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model Kind Aspect</td>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information</td>
<td>If</td>
<td>Address the information perspective on operational, service, and resource architectures. Allows analysis of an architecture’s information and data definition aspect, without consideration of implementation specific issues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constraints</td>
<td>Ct</td>
<td>Details the measurements that set performance requirements constraining capabilities. Also defines the rules governing behavior and structure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadmap</td>
<td>Rm</td>
<td>Addresses how elements in the architecture change over time. Also, how at different points in time or different periods of time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traceability</td>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Describes the mapping between elements in the architecture. This can be between different viewpoints within domains as well as between domains. It can also be between structure and behaviors.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.37.2 **Domain-Viewpoint Interrelationships**

Although the grid is the primary means of expressing the relationship between the Domain-Viewpoints, Model-Kind-Aspects and View Specifications, because of its two-dimensional nature it is not adequate to explain the abstract interrelationships that exist between the domains-viewpoints. The following diagram is an indication of the how the domain-viewpoints are interrelated.

**Figure 7.2 - Domain-Viewpoint Interrelationships**

Where a Domain-Viewpoint is shown vertically the intent is to show that the Domain-Viewpoint is a cross cutting concern that goes across the levels of abstraction in the architecture.

Where a Domain-Viewpoint is shown horizontally the intent is to show that the Domain-Viewpoint exists in a layer of abstraction between the Domain-Viewpoints above and below it and there is an interrelationship with the Domain-Viewpoints either side of it.
7.47.3 Domain Metamodel Diagram Legend

Note that the diagrams rely on color to aid the reader in understanding the model. Please refer to the legend below to understand the diagrams.

The following is the legend of element colors used in the DMM and what they denote.

- Individual
- Type
- Tuple
- Abstract
- Enumeration
- External Type

Figure 7.3 - Legend of color codes for element types defined in UAF

The meaning of the element types in the UAF are based upon concepts put forth in the International Defence Enterprise Architecture Specification (IDEAS).

- An Individual denotes a single instance of an element
- A Type denotes a set of Individuals
- A Tuple denotes a relationship that exists between elements
- An Abstract denotes that the element has no direct use but is a means of construction
- An Enumeration is a complete, ordered listing of all the items in a collection
- An External Type is an element that exists outside of the core DMM but is referenceable by elements in the DMM
8. Domain Metamodel Diagrams

Note that the diagrams rely on color to aid the reader in understanding the model. Please refer to the legend in the various diagrams to understand the specific definitions.

8.1 View Specifications

This section documents each of the view specifications of UAF.

8.1.1 View Specifications::Architecture Management

Stakeholders: Enterprise Architects, people who want to discover the architecture, Technical Managers.

Concerns: Captures meta-data relevant to the entire architecture

Definition: Provide information pertinent to the entire architecture. Present supporting information rather than architectural models.

View Specifications::Architecture Management::Motivation

Contains the diagrams that document the Architecture Management Motivation View Specification.

View Specifications::Architecture Management::Motivation::Architecture Principles

Stakeholders: Enterprise Architects, Enterprise Systems Engineers, Model Managers, System Architects.

Concerns: alignment of architecture with architecture heuristics, guidelines and principles.

Definition: identifies relevant architectural principles and other guidelines to be used in architecture development and evaluation.

Recommended Implementation: SysML Block Diagram, tabular format

Figure 8:1 - Architecture Principles

Elements
- Driver

View Specifications::Metadata

Stakeholders: Enterprise Architects, Technical Managers.

Concerns: architecture development process, architecture traceability, metamodel and its extensions, architecture versioning.

Definition: Identifies the metadata required to develop a suitable architecture that is fit for its purpose.

View Specifications::Metadata::Taxonomy

Stakeholders: Enterprise Architect, Technical Manager.

Concerns: metamodel and its extensions.

Definition: captures user defined metamodel extensions.

Recommended Implementation: UML Profile Diagram, SysML Block Definition Diagram

View Specifications::Architecture Management::Structure

Contains the diagrams that document the Architecture Management Structure View Specification.
**View Specifications::Architecture Management::Structure::Architecture Views**

Stakeholders: Enterprise Architects, Model Managers, Modelers, Technical Managers.

Concerns: domains, viewpoints, aspects, model kinds, and view specifications that are used to describe the architecture.

Definition: (i) lists predefined and custom domains, model kinds, viewpoints, aspects and view specifications (ii) and identify the key stakeholders and their perspectives and concerns.

Recommended Implementation: SysML Block Definition Diagram, SysML Package Diagram.

---

**View Specifications::Metadata::Structure**

Stakeholders: Enterprise Architects, Technical Managers.

Concerns: domains, model kinds, and view specifications that are used to describe the architecture.

Definition: (i) lists predefined and custom domains, model kinds, and view specifications (ii) and identify the key stakeholders and their concerns.

Recommended Implementation: SysML Block Definition Diagram, SysML Package Diagram.

**View Specifications::Architecture Management::Connectivity**

Contains the diagrams that document the Architecture Management Connectivity View Specification.
View Specifications::Architecture Management::Connectivity::Architecture References

Stakeholders: Enterprise Architects, people who want to understand relationships to related architectural descriptions, Technical Managers.

Concerns: high-level dependencies between architectural descriptions.

Definition: depicts and analyzes all relevant dependencies between architectural descriptions, e.g., reference architectures, as-is to to-be architectures, enterprise architecture to system architectures.

Recommended Implementation: SysML Block Definition Diagram, SysML Package Diagram, matrix format.

Figure 8:3 - Architecture References

Elements
- ArchitecturalDescription
- ArchitecturalReference

View Specifications::Metadata::Connectivity

Stakeholders: Enterprise Architects, people who want to understand relationships to related architectural descriptions, Technical Managers.

Concerns: high-level dependencies between architectural descriptions.

Definition: depicts and analyzes all relevant dependencies between architectural descriptions, e.g., reference architectures, as-is to to-be architectures.

Recommended Implementation: SysML Block Definition Diagram, SysML Package Diagram, matrix format.

View Specifications::Architecture Management::Processes

Contains the diagrams that document the Architecture Management Processes View Specification.

View Specifications::Architecture Management::Processes::Architecture Development Method

Stakeholders: Enterprise Architects, Model Managers, Modelers, Enterprise Systems Engineers.

Concerns: development sequence of models and views and how they are related to each other.

Definition: defines workflow or process steps used in managing the architecture development.

Recommended Implementation: SysML Activity Diagram, text.

Figure 8:4 - Architecture Development Method

Elements
- ArchitecturalDescription

- methodologyUsed : String [*]

View Specifications::Metadata::Processes

Stakeholders: Enterprise Architects, people who want to understand the architecture development process, Technical Managers.

Concerns: methodology used.

Unified Architecture Framework (UAF) Domain Metamodel Version 1.21
Definition: methodology used in developing the architecture.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Activity Diagram, text.

**View Specifications::Architecture Management::States**
Contains the diagrams that document the Architecture Management States View Specification.

**View Specifications::Architecture Management::States::Architecture Status**
Stakeholders: Enterprise Architects, people who want to understand the architecture governance, Technical Managers.
Concerns: architecture status.
Definition: captures version number and approval workflow of the architecture. Recommended Implementation: SysML State Machine Diagram, state table, text.

![Figure 8:5 - Architecture Status Elements](image)

- ArchitecturalDescription

**View Specifications::Metadata::States**
Stakeholders: Enterprise Architects, people who want to understand the architecture governance, Technical Managers.
Concerns: architecture status.
Definition: captures version number and approval workflow of the architecture. Recommended Implementation: SysML State Machine Diagram, state table, text.

**View Specifications::Architecture Management::Information**
Contains the diagrams that document the Architecture Management Information View Specification.

**View Specifications::Architecture Management::Information::Dictionary**
Stakeholders: Solution Providers, Systems Engineers, Software Architects, Business Architects.
Concerns: provides a central reference for a given architecture’s data and metadata. It enables the set of architecture description to stand alone, with minimal reference to outside resources.
Definition: contains definitions of terms used in the given architecture. It consists of textual definitions in the form of a glossary, their taxonomies, and their metadata (i.e., data about architecture data), including metadata for any custom-tailored views. Architects should use standard terms where possible (i.e., terms from existing, approved dictionaries, glossaries, and lexicons).
Recommended Implementation: text, table format.
View Specifications::Architecture Management::Parameters
Contains the diagrams that document the Architecture Management Parameters View Specification.

View Specifications::Architecture Management::Parameters::Architecture Parameters
Stakeholders: Enterprise Architects, Enterprise Systems Engineers, Model Managers, System Architects.
Concerns: architecture parameters.
Definition: depicts and analyzes measures and measurements that are applicable to management of the architecture.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Block Definition Diagram, tabular format.

Figure 8.6 - Dictionary Elements
- Alias
- Definition
- Information
- SameAs
- UAFElement

Figure 8.7 - Architecture Parameters
Elements
• ActualMeasurementSet
• ArchitecturalDescription
• MeasurableElement
• MeasurementSet

View Specifications::Architecture Management::Constraints
Contains the diagrams that document the Architecture Management Constraints View Specification.

View Specifications::Architecture Management::Constraints::Architecture Constraints
Stakeholders: Enterprise Architects, people who want to understand the architecture constraints, Technical Managers.
Concerns: architecture assumptions and constraints.
Definition: depicts and analyzes assumptions, constraints, rules, policy and guidance that are applicable to aspects of the architecture.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Package Diagram, tabular format.

Figure 8.8 - Architecture Constraints
Elements
• ArchitecturalDescription

View Specifications::Metadata::Constraints
Stakeholders: Enterprise Architects, people who want to understand constraints for the architecture, Technical Managers.
Concerns: architectural constraints.
Definition: captures assumptions and constraints on the architecture.
Recommended Implementation: tabular format, text.

View Specifications::Architecture Management::Roadmap
Contains the diagrams that document the Architecture Management Roadmap View Specification.

View Specifications::Architecture Management::Roadmap::Architecture Roadmap
Stakeholders: Enterprise Architects, people who want to understand the architecture development plan, Technical Managers.
Concerns: architecture release schedule.
Definition: captures project timeline for the architecture. Recommended Implementation: timeline, text.

Figure 8.9 - Architecture Roadmap
Elements
• ArchitecturalDescription
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**View Specifications::Metadata::Roadmap**

Stakeholders: Enterprise Architects, people who want to understand the architecture development plan, Technical Managers.

Concerns: architecture release schedule.

Definition: captures project timeline for the architecture.

Recommended Implementation: timeline, text.

**View Specifications::Architecture Management::Traceability**

Contains the diagrams that document the Architecture Management Traceability View Specification.

**View Specifications::Architecture Management::Traceability::Architecture Traceability**

Stakeholders: Enterprise Architects, people who want to understand impact of change across the architecture supporting assets, Technical Managers.

Concerns: reuse of architectures.

Definition: shows references to operational, services, and resource architectures, asset libraries, legacy architectures, and external sources, e.g., documents. Recommended Implementation: SysML Block Definition Diagram, SysML Package Diagram, tabular format.

Figure 8:10 - Architecture Traceability

Elements

- ActualEnterprisePhase
- ActualStrategicPhase
- ArchitecturalDescription
- Architecture
- EnterpriseMission
- Implements
- OperationalArchitecture
- ResourceArchitecture
- ServiceArchitecture
- WholeLifeEnterprise

**View Specifications::Metadata::Traceability**

Stakeholders: Enterprise Architects, people who want to understand impact of change across the architecture supporting assets, Technical Managers.

Concerns: reuse of architectures.
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Definition: shows references to asset libraries, legacy architectures, and external sources, e.g. documents.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Block Definition Diagram, SysML Package Diagram, tabular format.

8.1.78.1.2 View Specifications::Summary & Overview
Stakeholders: Executives, PMs, Enterprise Architects.
Concerns: executive-level summary information in a consistent form.
Definition: provides executive-level summary information in a consistent form that allows quick reference and comparison between architectural descriptions. Includes assumptions, constraints, and limitations that may affect high-level decisions relating to an architecture-based work programme.

View Specifications::Summary & Overview::Summary & Overview
Stakeholders: Decision makers, Solution Providers, Systems Engineers, Software Architects, Business Architects.
Concerns: quick overview of an architecture description and summary of analysis. In the initial phases of architecture development, it serves as a planning guide. Upon completion of an architecture, it provides a summary of findings, and any conducted analysis.
Definition: provides executive-level summary information in a consistent form that allows quick reference and comparison among architectures. The Summary and Overview includes assumptions, constraints, and limitations that may affect high-level decision processes involving the architecture.
Recommended Implementation: text, free form diagram, table format.
Figure 8:72 - Summary & Overview

Elements
- ActualEnterprisePhase
- ActualOrganizationalResource
- ActualStrategicPhase
- ArchitecturalDescription
- ArchitecturalReference
- Architecture
- ArchitectureMetadata
- Challenge
- Concern
- Viewpoint
- Metadata
- Annotation
- View
- Source
- Impacts
- Describes
- Expresses
- sämtlicher
- gesteuert
- unterstützt
- sehen
- betrachten
- erweitern
- lernen
- gestaltbare
- gesteuerte
- gestützte
- erweiterte
- erlernte
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8.1.88.1.3 View Specifications::Strategic

Stakeholders: Capability Portfolio Managers.
Concerns: capability management process.
Definition: describe capability taxonomy, composition, dependencies and evolution.

**View Specifications::Strategic::Motivation**
Contains the diagrams that document the Strategic Motivation View Specification.

**View Specifications::Strategic::Motivation::Strategic Motivation**
Stakeholders: Enterprise Architects, Portfolio Managers, Enterprise Systems Engineers, Program Managers.
Concerns: architecture drivers, challenges, opportunities, capabilities that address opportunities, phases and architectures that address challenges.
Definition: identifies and defines the drivers, challenges, and opportunities that are applicable to the architecture. defines the desired outcomes, goals and objectives that are motivated by the drivers, and the opportunities that enable the goals and objectives.

Recommended Implementation: SysML Block Definition Diagram, SysML Package Diagram, tabular format.

Figure 8:12 - Strategic Motivation Elements
- ActualEnduringTask
- ActualOutcome
- ActualStrategicPhase
- Architecture
- Capability
- Challenge
- Driver
- EnterpriseGoal
- EnterpriseObjective
- ImpactedBy
- MotivatedBy
- OperationalActivity
- Opportunity

View Specifications::Strategic::Taxonomy
Contains the diagrams that document the Strategic Taxonomy View Specification Viewpoint

View Specifications::Strategic::Taxonomy::Strategic Taxonomy
Stakeholders: PMs, Enterprise Architects, Executives.
Concerns: capability needs.
Definition: shows the taxonomy of capabilities.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Block Definition Diagram.
Figure 8:1 - Strategic Taxonomy

Elements
- Capability
- CapabilityGeneralization
- CapabilityKind
- CapabilityRole

View Specifications::Strategic::Structure
Contains the diagrams that document the Strategic Structure View Specification.

View Specifications::Strategic::Structure::Strategic Structure
Stakeholders: PMs, Enterprise Architects, Executives.
Concerns: capability needs.
Definition: Shows the relationship between Strategic Phases and the Capabilities that are intended to be developed during the enterprise phases, and the organizations involved in the enterprise; shows the relationship between Enterprise Phases and the Capabilities that are intended to be developed during the enterprise phases, and the organizations involved in the enterprise.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Block Definition Diagram.
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Figure 8:2 - Strategic Structure

Elements
- Capability
- CapabilityRole
- ActualEnduringTask
- ActualEnterprisePhase
- ActualOrganization
- ActualResponsibleResource
- CapableElement
- EnduringTask
- EnterpriseGoal
- EnterprisePhase
- EnterpriseVision
- Exhibits
- OperationalArchitecture
- OrganizationalEnterprise
View Specifications::Strategic::Connectivity
Contains the diagrams that document the Strategic Connectivity View Specification.
View Specifications::Strategic::Connectivity::Strategic Connectivity
Stakeholders: PMs, Executives, Enterprise Architects.
Concerns: capability dependencies.
Definition: describes the dependencies between planned capabilities.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Block Definition Diagram, SysML Internal Block Diagram.

Figure 8.3 - Strategic Connectivity
Elements
- Capability
- CapabilityDependency
- CapabilityRole
- CapabilityRoleDependency

View Specifications::Strategic::Processes
Contains the diagrams that document the Strategic Processes View Specification.
View Specifications::Strategic::Processes::Strategic Processes
Stakeholders: Program/Project Managers, Portfolio Managers, Enterprise Architects, Executives.
Concerns: capability phasing.
Definition: shows the relationship between strategic phases and the Capabilities that are intended to be developed during the strategic phases, and the actual organizations involved.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Block Definition Diagram.
Figure 8.16 - Strategic Processes

Elements
- ActualEnduringTask
- ActualEnterprisePhase
- ActualOrganization
- ActualPropertySet
- ActualState
- ActualStrategicPhase
- Capability
- Concern
- Creates
- EnterpriseGoal
- EnterpriseMission
- EnterpriseVision
- GeoPoliticalExtentType
- Opportunity
- OrganizationInPhase
- PhaseableElement
- Phases
- ResourcePerformer
- Sequence
- StrategicAsset
- StrategicExchange
- StrategicExchangeItem
- StrategicInformation
- StrategicPhase
- StructuralPart
- TemporalPart
- ValueItem
- ValueStream
- WholeLifeEnterprise

View Specifications::Strategic::States
Contains the diagrams that document the Strategic States Viewpoint
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**View Specifications::Strategic::States::Strategic States**

Stakeholders: PMs, Enterprise Architects.

Concerns: effects that the implementation(s) of capabilities are expected to deliver.

Definition: captures the relationships between capability(ies) and desired effect(s) that implementation(s) of capability(ies) should achieve.

Recommended Implementation: SysML Block Definition Diagram.
Figure 8:4 - Strategic States

Elements
- Achiever
- Achieves
- ActualEffect
- ActualOrganization
View Specifications::Strategic::Information
Contains the diagrams that document the Strategic Information View Specification.

View Specifications::Strategic::Information::Strategic Information
Concerns: information that can be considered to be an enterprise strategic asset that can influence achievement of enterprise goals.
Definition: identifies and defines strategic information elements and their relationships that are applicable to the architecture.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Block Definition Diagram, tabular format.

Figure 8:18 - Strategic Information Elements
- EnterpriseGoal
- InformationModel
- MapsToGoal
- StrategicAsset
- StrategicExchangeItem
- StrategicInformation

View Specifications::Strategic::Constraints
Contains the diagrams that document the Strategic Constraints View Specification.

View Specifications::Strategic::Constraints::Strategic Constraints
Stakeholders: PMs, Enterprise Architects.
Concerns: capability constraints.
Definition: details the measurements that set performance requirements constraining capabilities. Recommended Implementation: tabular format, SysML Block Definition Diagram.

Figure 8.5 - Strategic Constraints
Elements
- Capability
- StrategicConstraint
- SubjectOfStrategicConstraint
- Capability
- Measurement
- PropertySet

View Specifications::Strategic::Roadmap
Contains the diagrams that document the Strategic Roadmap View Specification Viewpoint.

View Specifications::Strategic::Roadmap::Deployment
Stakeholders: PMs, Executives, Enterprise Architects.
Concerns: capability deployment to organizations over time.
Definition: addresses the deployment of capability(ies) to actual organizations over time.
Recommended Implementation: timeline, tabular format, SysML Block Definition Diagram.
Figure 8.6 - Strategic Roadmap: Deployment

Elements
- ActualEnterprisePhase
- ActualOrganization
- ActualPerson
- ActualProject
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- ActualProjectMilestone
- ActualResource
- ActualResponsibleResource
- ActualStrategicPhase
- Capability
- CapabilityConfiguration
- CapableElement
- Exhibits
- FieldedCapability
- PhasableElement
- Phases
- ResourceArchitecture
- ResourcePerformer
- ResponsibleFor
- VersionedElement
- ActualEnterprisePhase
- ActualOrganization
- ActualPerson
- ActualPost
- ActualProject
- ActualProjectMilestone
- ActualResource
- ActualResponsibleResource
- Capability
- CapabilityConfiguration
- CapableElement
- EnterprisePhase
- Exhibits
- ResourceArchitecture
- ResourcePerformer
- ResponsibleFor
- VersionedElement

**View Specifications::Strategic::Roadmap::Phasing**

**View Specifications::Strategic::Roadmap::Phasing::Strategic Roadmap: Phasing**

Stakeholders: PMs, Executives, Enterprise Architects.
Concerns: capability(ies) achievement over time.
Definition: the planned achievement of capability(ies) at different points in time or during specific periods of time.
Recommended Implementation: timeline, tabular format, SysML Block Definition Diagram.
Figure 8.7 - Strategic Roadmap: Phasing Elements

- ActualProject
- ActualProjectMilestone
- ActualResource
- Capability
- CapabilityConfiguration
- CapableElement
- Exhibits
- FieldedCapability
- Project
- ResourceArchitecture
- ResourcePerformer
- VersionedElement

View Specifications::Strategic::Traceability

Contains the diagrams that document the Strategic Traceability View Specification.

View Specifications::Strategic::Traceability::Strategic Traceability

Stakeholders: PMs, Enterprise Architects, Business Architects.
Concerns: traceability between capabilities and operational activities.
Definition: describes the mapping between the capabilities required by an Enterprise and the supporting operational activities.
Recommended Implementation: matrix format, SysML Block Definition Diagram.
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Figure 8.8 - Strategic Traceability

Elements
- ActualEnduringTask
- ActualEnterprisePhase
- ActualStrategicPhase
- Capability
- Challenge
- Driver
- EnterpriseMission
- ImpactedBy
- PhaseableElement
- Phases
- PresentedBy
- ValueStream
- ActualEnduringTask
- Capability
- CapabilityForTask
- Function
- Implements
- MapToCapability
- OperationalActivity
- Process
- StandardOperationalActivity
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8.4.188.1.4 View Specifications::Operational

Stakeholders: Business Architects, Executives.
Concerns: illustrate the Logical Architecture of the enterprise.
Definition: describe the requirements, operational behavior, structure, and exchanges required to support (exhibit) capabilities. Defines all operational elements in an implementation/solution independent manner.

View Specifications::Operational::Taxonomy
Contains the diagrams that document the Operational Taxonomy View SpecificationViewpoint.

View Specifications::Operational::Taxonomy::Operational Taxonomy
Stakeholders: Business Architects, Systems Engineers, Enterprise Architects, Owners responsible for Operational Agents.
Concerns: OperationalAgent types.
Definition: shows the taxonomy of types of OperationalAgents.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Block Definition Diagram, SysML Internal Block Diagram.

Figure 8:9 - Operational Taxonomy
Elements
- ArbitraryConnector
- Asset
- CapabilityConfiguration
- ConceptItem
- HighLevelOperationalConcept
- Location
- NaturalResource
- OperationalAgent
- OperationalAsset
- OperationalPerformer
- Organization
- OrganizationalResource
- ResourceArtifact
- Software
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View Specifications::Operational::Structure
Contains the diagrams that document the Operational Structure View Specification Viewpoint.

View Specifications::Operational::Structure::Operational Structure
Stakeholders: Business Architects, Systems Engineers, Enterprise Architects, Owners responsible for Operational Agents.
Concerns: identifies the operational exchange requirements between nodes.
Definition: defines operational architecture and exchange requirements necessary to support a specific set of Capability(ies).
Recommended Implementation: SysML Block Definition Diagram, SysML Internal Block Diagram.
Figure 8:10 - Operational Structure
Elements
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• ActualEnvironment
• ActualLocation
• Asset
• Capability
• CapableElement
• Exhibits
• IsCapableToPerform
• KnownResource
• LocationHolder
• OperationalActivity
• OperationalAgent
• OperationalArchitecture
• OperationalAsset
• OperationalPerformer
• OperationalRole
• ProblemDomain

**View Specifications::Operational::Connectivity**
Contains the diagrams that document the Operational Connectivity View SpecificationViewpoint.

**View Specifications::Operational::Connectivity::Operational Connectivity**
Stakeholders: Systems Engineers, Architects, Solution Providers.
Concerns: capture the interfaces between OperationalPerformers.
Definition: summarizes logical exchanges between OperationalPerformers of information, systems, personnel, energy etc. and the logical activities that produce and consume them. Measurements can optionally be included.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Internal Block Diagram, tabular format.
Figure 8:11 - Operational Connectivity

Elements
- CapabilityConfiguration
- Exchange
- GeoPoliticalExtentType
- IsCapableToPerform
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• MeasurableElement
• MeasurementSet
• NaturalResource
• OperationalActivity
• OperationalActivityAction
• OperationalActivityEdge
• OperationalAgent
• OperationalConnector
• OperationalExchange
• OperationalExchangeItem
• OperationalInformation
• OperationalInterface
• OperationalPerformer
• OperationalPort
• OperationalRole
• OperationalSignal
• OrganizationalResource
• PhysicalResource
• ResourceArchitecture
• ResourceArtifact
• ResourcePerformer
• ServiceContract
• CapabilityConfiguration
• Exchange
• GeoPoliticalExtentType
• InformationElement
• IsCapableToPerform
• MeasurableElement
• MeasurementSet
• NaturalResource
• OperationalActivity
• OperationalActivityAction
• OperationalActivityEdge
• OperationalAgent
• OperationalConnector
• OperationalExchange
• OperationalExchangeItem
• OperationalInformation
• OperationalInterface
• OperationalPerformer
• OperationalPort
• OperationalRole
• OperationalSignal
• OrganizationalResource
• PhysicalResource
• ResourceArchitecture
• ResourceArtifact
• ResourcePerformer

View Specifications::Operational::Processes
Contains the diagrams that document the Operational Processes View Specification Viewpoint

View Specifications::Resource::Architectures
Contains the diagrams that document the Resource Architectures View Specification Viewpoint
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**View Specifications::Operational::Processes::Operational Processes**

Stakeholders: Business Architect, Systems Engineers, Enterprise Architects

Concerns: captures activity based behavior and flows.

Definition: describes the activities that are normally conducted in the course of achieving business goals that support a capability. It describes operational activities, their Inputs/Outputs, operational activity actions and flows between them.

Recommended Implementation: SysML Activity Diagram, SysML Block Definition Diagram.
Figure 8:12 - Operational Processes

Elements
- ActivityPerformableUnderCondition
- ActualCondition
- ActualMeasurementSet
- ActualService
- IsCapableToPerform
- MeasurableElement
- OperationalActivity
- OperationalActivityAction
- OperationalActivityEdge
- OperationalAgent
- OperationalExchange
- OperationalExchangeItem
- OperationalMethod
- OperationalParameter
- OperationalPerformer
- OperationalRole
- PerformsInContext
- Process
- ProcessEdge
- ProcessOperation
- ProcessParameter
- ProcessUsage
- RequiredServiceLevel
• ServiceSpecification
• StandardOperationalActivity
• UML2.5Metamodel::Activity
• UML2.5Metamodel::ActivityEdge
• UML2.5Metamodel::CallBehaviorAction
• UML2.5Metamodel::Operation
• UML2.5Metamodel::Parameter

View Specifications::Operational::Processes::Operational Processes BPMN Semantics

Stakeholders: Business Architect, Enterprise Architects.
Concerns: captures activity based behavior and flows using BPMN notation.
Definition: describes the BPMN processes that are normally conducted in the course of achieving business goals that support a capability. It describes operational activities, their Inputs/Outputs, operational activity actions and flows between them using BPMN notation.
Recommended Implementation: BPMN Process Diagram.
Figure 8:13 - Operational Processes BPMN Semantics

Elements
- ActivityPerformableUnderCondition
- ActualCondition
- ActualEnduringTask
- ActualMeasurementSet
- ActualService
- AssetRole
- BPMN2Metamodel::BPMNMessage
- BPMN2Metamodel::CallActivity
- BPMN2Metamodel::MessageFlow
- BPMN2Metamodel::Process
- BPMN2Metamodel::ResourceRole
- BPMN2Metamodel::SequenceFlow
- Exchange
- ExchangeItem
- Implements
- IsCapableToPerform
- MeasurableElement
- OperationalActivity
- OperationalActivityAction
- OperationalActivityEdge
- OperationalAgent
- OperationalExchange
- OperationalExchangeItem
OperationalMethod
OperationalParameter
OperationalPerformer
OperationalRole
PerformsInContext
Process
ProcessEdge
ProcessUsage
RequiredServiceLevel
Service
StandardOperationalActivity
  ActivityPerformableUnderCondition
  ActualCondition
  ActualEnduringTask
  ActualMeasurementSet
  ActualService
  AssetRole
  BPMN2Metamodel::BPMNMessage
  BPMN2Metamodel::CallActivity
  BPMN2Metamodel::MessageFlow
  BPMN2Metamodel::Process
  BPMN2Metamodel::ResourceRole
  BPMN2Metamodel::SequenceFlow
  EnduringTask
  Exchange
  ExchangeItem
  Implements
  IsCapableToPerform
  MeasurableElement
  OperationalActivity
  OperationalActivityAction
  OperationalActivityEdge
  OperationalAgent
OperationalExchange
  OperationalExchangeItem
  OperationalMethod
  OperationalParameter
  OperationalPerformer
  OperationalRole
  PerformsInContext
  Process
  ProcessEdge
  ProcessUsage
  RequiredServiceLevel
  ServiceSpecification
  StandardOperationalActivity

View Specifications::Operational::States
Contains the diagrams that document the Operational States View Specification Viewpoint.

View Specifications::Operational::States::Operational States
Stakeholders: Systems Engineers, Software Engineers.
Concerns: capture state-based behavior of an operational OperationalPerformer.
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Definition: it is a graphical representation of states of an operational OperationalPerformer and how that operational OperationalPerformer responds to various events and actions.
Recommended Implementation: SysML State Machine Diagram.

**Figure 8.14 - Operational States**

Elements
- **OperationalAgent**
- **OperationalStateDescription**
- **StateDescription**
- **UML2.5Metamodel::StateMachine**

**View Specifications::Operational::Interaction Sequences Scenarios**
Contains the diagrams that document the Operational Interaction Sequences View Specification Viewpoint.

**View Specifications::Operational:: Sequences::Operational Sequences Interaction Scenarios::Operational Interaction Scenarios**

Stakeholders: Systems Engineers, Business Architects.
Concerns: express a time ordered examination of the operational exchanges as a result of a particular operational scenario.
Definition: provides a time-ordered examination of the operational exchanges between participating nodes (OperationalPerformer roles) as a result of a particular operational scenario.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Sequence Diagram, BPMN Collaboration Diagram.
Figure 8:15 - Operational Interaction Scenarios
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Elements
- InteractionMessage
- InteractionRole
- InteractionScenario
- OperationalActivity
- OperationalAgent
- OperationalExchange
- OperationalInteractionScenario
- OperationalMessage
- OperationalMethod
- OperationalPerformer
- OperationalRole
- UML2.5Metamodel::Interaction
- UML2.5Metamodel::Lifeline
- UML2.5Metamodel::Message

View Specifications::Operational::Constraints
Contains the diagrams that document the Operational Constraints View Specification viewpoint

View Specifications::Operational::Constraints::Operational Constraints
Stakeholders: Systems Engineers, Architects, Program Sponsors
Concerns: define operational limitations, constraints and performance parameters for the enterprise.
Definition: specifies traditional textual operational or business rules that are constraints on the way that business is done in the enterprise. The addition of SysML parametrics provides a computational means of defining operational constraints across the enterprise or within a specific operational context.
Recommended Implementation: tabular format, SysML Block Definition Diagram, SysML Parametric Diagram.
Figure 8.16 - Operational Constraints

Elements
- InformationModel
- OperationalActivity
- OperationalAgent
- OperationalConstraint
- OperationalExchange
- OperationalInformation
- OperationalPerformer
- Rule
- SubjectOfOperationalConstraint
- DataModel
- InformationElement
**View Specifications::Operational::Traceability**

Contains the diagrams that document the Operational Traceability View Specification Viewpoint.

**Concerns:**
- Traceability between capabilities and operational activities and capabilities and operational agents.
- Definition: describes the mapping between the capabilities required by an Enterprise and the supporting operational activities and operational agents.

**Recommended Implementation:** matrix format, SysML Block Definition Diagram.

![Operational Traceability Diagram]

**Elements**
- Capability
- CapableElement
- Exhibits
- MapsToCapability
- OperationalActivity
- OperationalAgent
- OperationalPerformer
- Process
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**8.1.198.1.5 View Specifications::Services**


Concerns: specifications of services required to exhibit a Capability.

Definition: shows Service Specifications and required and provided service levels of these specifications required to exhibit a Capability or to support an Operational Activity.

**Commented [KG101]: UAF12-41**
View Specifications::Services::Taxonomy::Services Taxonomy


Concerns: service specification types and required and provided service levels of these types.

Definition: shows the taxonomy of types of services and the level of service that they are expected to provide or are required to meet through the display of ActualMeasurements associated with the Provided and Required Service Level.

Recommended Implementation: SysML Block Definition Diagram.

Figure 8:18 - Services Taxonomy

Elements
- ActualMeasurement
- ActualMeasurementSet
- ActualPropertySet
- ActualService
- Measurement
- PropertySet
- ProvidedServiceLevel
- RequiredServiceLevel
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View Specifications::Services::Structure
Contains the diagrams that document the Services Structure View Specification Viewpoint.

View Specifications::Services::Structure::Services Structure
Stakeholders: Solution Providers, Systems Engineers, Software Architects, Business Architects.
Concerns: combination of services required to exhibit a capability.
Definition: shows the composition of services and how services are combined into a higher level service required to exhibit a capability or support an operational activity.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Block Definition Diagram, SysML Internal Block Diagram.
Figure 8:19 - Services Structure

Elements

- Implements
- Measurement
- OperationalInformation
- PropertySet
- ResourceInterface
- Service
- ServiceArchitecture
- ServiceConnector
- ServiceExchangeItem
- ServiceInterface
- ServiceMethod
- ServiceParameter
- ServicePort
- ServiceRole
- InformationElement
- Measurement
- OperationalExchangeItem
- PropertySet
- ServiceConnector
- ServiceInterface
- ServiceMethod
- ServiceParameter
- ServicePort
- ServiceSpecification
- ServiceSpecificationRole
View Specifications::Services::Connectivity
Contains the diagrams that document the Services Connectivity Viewpoint.

View Specifications::Services::Connectivity::Services Connectivity
Stakeholders: Solution Providers, Systems Engineers, Software Architects, Business Architects.
Concerns: interoperability among services
Definition: specifies service interfaces, e.g. provided and required service operations, to ensure compatibility and reusability of services.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Block Definition Diagram, SysML Internal Block Diagram, tabular format.
Figure 8.20 - Services Connectivity

Elements
- Exchange
- IsCapableToPerform
- KnownResource
- MeasurableElement
- MeasurementSet
- NaturalResource
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• OperationalInformation
• OrganizationalResource
• PhysicalResource
• ResourceArchitecture
• ResourceArtifact
• ResourcePerformer
• Service
• ServiceConnector
• ServiceExchange
• ServiceExchangeItem
• ServiceFunction
• ServiceFunctionAction
• ServiceFunctionEdge
• ServiceInterface
• ServiceMethod
• ServiceParameter
• ServicePort
• ServiceRole
• ServiceSignal
• ServiceSpecification
• ServiceSpecificationRole

View Specifications::Services::Processes
Contains the diagrams that document the Services Processes View SpecificationViewpoint.

View Specifications::Services::Processes::Services Processes
Stakeholders: Solution Providers, Systems Engineers, Software Architects, Business Architects.
Concerns: the behavior of a service in terms of the operational activities it is expected to support.
Definition: provides detailed information regarding the allocation of service functions to service specifications and data flows between service functions.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Activity Diagram, SysML Block Definition Diagram.
Figure 8.21 - Services Processes

Elements

- ActivityPerformableUnderCondition
- ActualCondition
- Implements
- IsCapableToPerform
- OperationalActivity
- OperationalInformation
- PerformsInContext
- Process
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• ProcessEdge
• ProcessOperation
• ProcessParameter
• ProcessUsage
• ResourcePerformer
• Service
• ServiceExchange
• ServiceExchangeItem
• ServiceFunction
• ServiceFunctionAction
• ServiceFunctionEdge
• ServiceMethod
• ServiceParameter
• ServiceRole
• ServiceSignal
• UML2.5Metamodel::Activity
• UML2.5Metamodel::ActivityEdge
• UML2.5Metamodel::CallBehaviorAction
• UML2.5Metamodel::Operation
• UML2.5Metamodel::Parameter
• IsCapableToPerform
• Process
• ProcessEdge
• ProcessOperation
• ProcessParameter
• ProcessUsage
• ServiceFunction
• ServiceFunctionAction
• ServiceFunctionEdge
• ServiceMethod
• ServiceParameter
• ServiceRole
• ServiceSignal
• UML2.5Metamodel::Activity
• UML2.5Metamodel::ActivityEdge
• UML2.5Metamodel::CallBehaviorAction
• UML2.5Metamodel::Operation
• UML2.5Metamodel::Parameter

View Specifications::Services::Processes::Services Processes BPMN Semantics

Stakeholders: Solution Providers, Software Architects, Business Architects.
Concerns: the behavior of a service in terms of the operational activities it is expected to support.
Definition: provides detailed information regarding the allocation of service functions to service specifications, and data flows between service functions using BPMN.
Recommended Implementation: BPMN Process Diagram, SysML Block Definition Diagram.
Figure 8:22 - Services Processes BPMN Semantics

Elements
- ActivityPerformableUnderCondition
- ActualCondition
- BPMN2Metamodel::CallActivity
- BPMN2Metamodel::Process
- BPMN2Metamodel::ResourceRole
- BPMN2Metamodel::SequenceFlow
- Implements
- InteractionRole
- IsCapableToPerform
View Specifications::Services::States
Contains the diagrams that document the Services States View Specification Viewpoint.

View Specifications::Services::States::Services States
Stakeholders: Solution Providers, Systems Engineers, Software Architects, Business Architects.
Concerns: the behavior of a service specification in terms of states and events causing transitions between states.
Definition: specifies the possible states a service specification may have, and the possible transitions between those states.
Recommended Implementation: SysML State Machine Diagram.
View Specifications::Services::**SequencesInteraction Scenarios**

Contains the diagrams that document the Services **SequencesInteraction Scenarios** View Specification Viewpoint.

View Specifications::Services::**Interaction ScenariosSequences::Services**

Stakeholders: Solution Providers, Systems Engineers, Software Architects, Business Architects.

Concerns: the behavior of a service specification in terms of expected time-ordered examination of the interactions between service roles.

Definition: specifies how a service roles interact with each other, service providers and consumers, and the sequence and dependencies of those interactions.

Recommended Implementation: SysML Sequence Diagram.
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Figure 8.24 - Services Sequences Interaction Scenarios

- InteractionMessage
- InteractionRole
- InteractionScenario
- Service
- ServiceExchange
- ServiceFunction
- ServiceInteractionScenario
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View Specifications::Services::Constraints
Contains the diagrams that document the Services Constraints View Specification Viewpoint.

View Specifications::Services::Constraints::Services Constraints
Stakeholders: Solution Providers, Systems Engineers, Software Architects, Business Architects.
Concerns: service policies that apply to implementations of service specifications.
Definition: specifies traditional textual service policies that are constraints on the way that service specifications are implemented within resources. The addition of SysML parametrics provide a computational means of defining service policies across the enterprise or within a specific service configuration.
Recommended Implementation: tabular format, SysML Parametric Diagram.

Figure 8:25 - Services Constraints
Elements
- OperationalConnector
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View Specifications::Services::Roadmap

Contains the diagrams that document the Services Roadmap Viewpoint.

View Specifications::Services::Roadmap::Services Roadmap

Stakeholders: Solution Providers, Systems Engineers, Software Architects, Business Architects.
Concerns: service specification changes over time.
Definition: provides an overview of how a service specification changes over time. It shows the combination of several service specifications mapped against a timeline.
Recommended Implementation: timeline, SysML Block Definition Diagram, SysML Internal Block Diagram.
Figure 8.26 - Services Roadmap

Elements
- ActualProject
- ActualProjectMilestone
- MilestoneDependency
- Service
- VersionedElement
- VersionOfConfiguration
- VersionSuccession
- WholeLifeConfiguration
- ActualProjectMilestone
- ActualProject
- MilestoneDependency
- ServiceSpecification
View Specifications::Services::Traceability
Contains the diagrams that document the Services Traceability View Specification Viewpoint.

View Specifications::Services::Traceability::Services Traceability
Stakeholders: Solution Providers, Systems Engineers, Software Architects, Business Architects.
Concerns: traceability between operational activities and service specifications that support them.
Definition: depicts the mapping of service specifications to operational activities and how service specifications contribute to the achievement of a capability.
Recommended Implementation: tabular or matrix format.

Figure 8.27 - Services Traceability
Elements
- ActualService
- Capability
- CapableElement
- Exhibits
- GovernedBy
- Implements
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8.1.278.1.6 View Specifications::Personnel

Stakeholders: Human resources, Solution Providers, PMs.
Concerns: human factors.
Definition: aims to clarify the role of Human Factors (HF) when creating architectures in order to facilitate both Human Factors Integration (HFI) and systems engineering (SE).

View Specifications::Personnel::Taxonomy
Contains the diagrams that document the Personnel Taxonomy View Specification Viewpoint.

View Specifications::Personnel::Taxonomy::Personnel Taxonomy
Stakeholders: Human resources, Solution Providers, PMs.
Concerns: organizational resource types.
Definition: shows the taxonomy of types of organizational resources.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Block Definition Diagram.

Figure 8:28 - Personnel Taxonomy
Elements
- Organization
- OrganizationalResource
- Person
- PhysicalResource
- Post
- ResourcePerformer
Responsibility

View Specifications::Personnel::Structure
Contains the diagrams that document the Personnel Structure View Specification Viewpoint.

View Specifications::Personnel::Structure::Personnel Structure
Stakeholders: Human resources, Solution Providers, PMs.
Concerns: typical organizational structure used to support a capability(ies).
Definition: shows organizational structures and possible interactions between organizational resources.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Block Definition Diagram, SysML Internal Block Diagram.

Figure 8-29 - Personnel Structure

Elements
- Organization
- OrganizationalResource
- Person
- PhysicalResource
- Post
- PostRole
- ResourcePerformer
- ResourceRole
- Responsibility
- SubOrganization

View Specifications::Personnel::Connectivity
Contains the diagrams that document the Personnel Connectivity View Specification Viewpoint.
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View Specifications::Personnel::Connectivity::Personnel Connectivity

Stakeholders: Solution providers.
Concerns: interaction of organizational resources.
Definition: captures the possible interactions between organizational resources, including command and control relationships. Interactions typically illustrate the fundamental roles and management responsibilities.
Recommended Implementation: tabular format.
Figure 8.30 - Personnel Connectivity

Elements

- Command
- Control
View Specifications::Personnel::Processes
Contains the diagrams that document the Personnel Processes Viewpoint
**View Specifications::Personnel::Processes::Personnel Processes**

Stakeholders: Systems engineers, Solution providers.
Concerns: functions that have to be carried out by organizational resources.
Definition: specifies organizational resource functions in relation to resource definitions.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Activity Diagram, SysML Block Definition Diagram, BPMN Process Diagram as described in the Resources Processes section.
Figure 8.31 - Personnel Processes

Elements

- ActivityPerformableUnderCondition
- ActualCondition
- Function
- FunctionAction
- FunctionEdge
- IsCapableToPerform
- Organization
- OrganizationalResource
- PerformsInContext
- PhysicalResource
- Post
- Process
- ProcessEdge
- ProcessOperation
- ProcessParameter
- ProcessUsage
- ResourceExchange
- ResourceExchangeItem
- ResourceInformation
- ResourceMethod
- ResourceParameter
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View Specifications::Personnel::States
Contains the diagrams that document the Personnel States View Specification Viewpoint.

View Specifications::Personnel::States::Personnel States
Stakeholders: Systems Engineers, Software Engineers.
Concerns: capture state-based behavior of an organizational resource.
Definition: it is a graphical representation of states of an organizational resource and how that organizational resource responds to various events and actions.
Recommended Implementation: SysML State Machine Diagram.
Figure 8.32 - Personnel States

Elements:
- Organization
- OrganizationalResource
- Person
- PhysicalResource
- Post
- ResourcePerformer
- ResourceStateDescription
- Responsibility
- StateDescription
- UML2.5Metamodel::StateMachine

View Specifications::Personnel::SequencesInteraction Scenarios
Contains the diagrams that document the Personnel Sequences Interaction View Specification Viewpoint.

View Specifications::Personnel::Sequences::Personnel Interactions Scenarios
Stakeholders: Software Engineers, Systems Engineers.
Concerns: interactions between organizational resources (roles).
Definition: provides a time-ordered examination of the interactions between organizational resources.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Sequence Diagram, BPMN Collaboration Diagram.
View Specifications::Personnel::Constraints
Contains the diagrams that document the Personnel Constraints View Specification Viewpoint.

View Specifications::Personnel::Constraints::Personnel Constraints: Competence
Stakeholders: Systems engineers, Solution providers.
Concerns: allocation of competencies to actual posts.
Definition: specifies requirements for actual organizational resources – by linking competencies and actual posts.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Block Definition Diagram.
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Figure 8.34 - Personnel Constraints: Competence

Elements
- ActualOrganization
- ActualOrganizationalResource
- ActualPerson
- ActualPost
- ActualResponsibility
- ActualResponsibleResource
- Competence
- CompetenceForRole
- CompetenceToConduct
- Function
- Organization
- OrganizationalResource
- Person
- PhysicalResource
- Post
- ProvidesCompetence
- RequiresCompetence
- ResourcePerformer
- ResourceRole
- Responsibility

View Specifications::Personnel::Constraints::Personnel Constraints: Drivers

Stakeholders: Systems engineers, Solution providers, Human resources.
Concerns: optimization of organizational resource behavior.
Definition: captures the factors that affect, constrain and characterize organizational resource behavior as the basis for performance predictions at the level of actual persons and actual organizations. It creates a bridge between static
architectural definitions and behavior predictions through executable models.
Recommended Implementation: tabular format, SysML Parametric Diagram, SysML Block Definition Diagram.

Figure 8:35 - Personnel Constraints: Drivers
Elements
- ActualMeasurement
- ActualMeasurementSet
- Function
- IsCapableToPerform
- MeasurableElement
- Measurement
- MeasurementSet
- Organization
- OrganizationalResource
- Person
- PhysicalResource
- Post
- Process
- ResourceConstraint
- ResourcePerformer
- Responsibility
- Rule
- SubjectOfResourceConstraint

View Specifications::Personnel::Constraints::Personnel Constraints: Performance
Stakeholders: Human resources, solution providers.
Concerns: how well an actual organizational resource matches the needs of the actual organization.
Definition: provides a repository for human-related measures (i.e. quality objectives and performance criteria (HFI values)), targets and competences.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Block Definition Diagram.
Figure 8:36 - Personnel Constraints: Performance

Elements
- ActivityPerformableUnderCondition
- ActualCondition
- ActualMeasurement
- ActualMeasurementSet
- ActualOrganizationalResource
- ActualPerson
- ActualPost
- ActualPropertySet
- ActualResource
- ActualState
- DesiredEffect
- Desirer
- Function
- IsCapableToPerform
- MeasurableElement
- Measurement
- MeasurementSet
- Organization
- OrganizationalResource
- Person
- PhysicalResource
- Post
• Process
• ResourcePerformer
• Responsibility

View Specifications::Personnel::Roadmap
Contains the diagrams that document the Personnel Roadmap View SpecificationViewpoint.

View Specifications::Personnel::Roadmap::Personnel Roadmap: Availability
Concerns: the staffing and training of resources.
Definition: defines the requirements and functions to ensure that actual persons with the right competencies, and in the right numbers, are available to fulfill actual posts.
Recommended Implementation: Timeline, SysML Block Definition Diagram.

Figure 8.37 - Personnel Roadmap: Availability
Elements
• ActualMeasurement
• ActualOrganizationalResource
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View Specifications::Personnel::Roadmap::Personnel Roadmap: Evolution

Stakeholders: Human resources, Solution Providers.

Concerns: organizational structure changes over time.

Definition: provides an overview of how a organizational structure changes over time. It shows the structure of several organizational structures mapped against a timeline.

Recommended Implementation: timeline, SysML Block Definition Diagram, SysML Internal Block Diagram.

Figure 8.38 - Personnel Roadmap: Evolution

Elements
- ActualProject
- ActualProjectMilestone
- MilestoneDependency
- Organization
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- OrganizationalResource
- Person
- PhysicalResource
- Post
- ResourcePerformer
- Responsibility
- VersionedElement
- VersionOfConfiguration
- VersionSuccession
- WholeLifeConfiguration

**View Specifications::Personnel::Roadmap::Personnel Roadmap: Forecast**

Stakeholders: Human resources, Logisticians, Solution Providers.
Concerns: competencies and skills forecast.
Definition: defines the underlying current and expected supporting competencies and skills of organizational resources.
Recommended Implementation: timeline, tabular format, SysML Block Definition Diagram.
Figure 8.39 - Personnel Roadmap: Forecast

**Elements**
- ActualEnterprisePhase
- ActualPropertySet
- ActualState
- Asset
- Competence
- Forecast
- Organization
- OrganizationalResource
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View Specifications::Personnel::Traceability
Contains the diagrams that document the Personnel Traceability View Specification Viewpoint.

View Specifications::Personnel::Traceability::Personnel Traceability
Concerns: traceability between operational activities and functions that implements them.
Definition: depicts the mapping of functions (performed by organizational resources) to operational activities and thus identifies the transformation of an operational need into a purposeful function performed by an organizational resource or solution.
Recommended Implementation: Matrix format, SysML Block Definition Diagram.

Figure 8:40 - Personnel Traceability
Elements
- Function
- Implements
- OperationalActivity
- ServiceFunction

8.1.28 8.1.7 View Specifications::Resources
Concerns: definition of solution architectures to implement operational requirements.
Definition: captures a solution architecture consisting of resources, e.g. organizational, software, artifacts, capability configurations, natural resources that implement the operational requirements. Further design of a resource is typically detailed in SysML or UML.

View Specifications::Resources::Taxonomy
Contains the diagrams that document the Resources Taxonomy View Specification Viewpoint.

View Specifications::Resources::Taxonomy::Resources Taxonomy
Stakeholders: Solution Providers, Systems Engineers, IT Architects, Implementers.
Concerns: resource types.
Definition: shows the taxonomy of types of resources.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Block Definition Diagram.
Figure 8.41 - Resources Taxonomy

Elements
- Asset
- CapabilityConfiguration
- Implements
- Measurement
- NaturalResource
- OperationalAgent
- OperationalPerformer
- Organization
- OrganizationalResource
- Person
- PhysicalResource
- Post
- PropertySet
- PropertySetGeneralization
- ResourceArchitecture
- ResourceArtifact
- ResourceAsset
- ResourceExchange
- ResourceMitigation
- ResourcePerformer
- ResourceRole
- Responsibility
- Software
- System

View Specifications::Resources::Structure
Contains the diagrams that document the Resources Structure View Specification Viewpoint.
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**View Specifications::Resources::Structure::Resources Structure**


Concerns: reference the resource structure, connectors and interfaces in a specific context.

Definition: defines the physical resources, e.g. capability configuration(s)/system(s) and interactions necessary to implement a specific set of OperationalPerformer(s). Can be used to represent communications networks and pathways that link communications resources and provides details regarding their configuration.

Recommended Implementation: SysML Internal Block Diagram, SysML Bock Definition Diagram.

Figure 8:42 - Resources Structure
Elements
- Asset
- Capability Configuration
- Function
- IsCapableToPerform
- Measurement
- Natural Resource
- Physical Resource
- Property Set
- Protocol
- Protocol Implementation
- Resource Architecture
- Resource Artifact
- Resource Asset
- Resource Connector
- Resource Exchange
- Resource Interface
- Resource Mitigation
- Resource Performer
- Resource Port
- Resource Role
- Resource Service
- Software

View Specifications::Resources::Connectivity
Contains the diagrams that document the Resources Connectivity View Specification Viewpoint.

View Specifications::Resources::Connectivity::Resources Connectivity
Stakeholders: Systems Engineers, IT Architects, Solution Providers, Implementers.
Concerns: capture the interactions between resources.
Definition: summarizes interactions between resources of information, systems, personnel, natural resources etc. and the functions that produce and consume them. Measurements can optionally be included.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Internal Block Diagram, tabular format.
Figure 8.43 - Resources Connectivity

Elements:
- CapabilityConfiguration
- Exchange
- Function
- FunctionAction
- GeoPoliticalExtentType
- GeoPoliticalExtent
- GeoPoliticalExtentType
- IsCapableToPerform
- MeasurableElement
- Measurement
- MeasurementSet
- NaturalResource
- Organization
- OrganizationalResource
- Person
- PhysicalResource
- Post
- Process
- PropertySet
- Resource
- ResourceArtifact
- ResourceConnector
- ResourceExchange
• ResourceExchangeItem
• ResourceInformation
• ResourceInterface
• ResourceMitigation
• ResourcePerformer
• ResourcePort
• ResourceRole
• ResourceService
• ResourceServiceInterface
• ResourceSignal
• Software
• Technology
  • CapabilityConfiguration
  • DataElement
  • Exchange
  • Function
  • FunctionAction
  • GeoPoliticalExtentType
  • IsCapableToPerform
  • MeasurementElement
  • Measurement
  • MeasurementSet
  • NaturalResource
  • Organization
  • OrganizationalResource
  • Person
  • PhysicalResource
  • Post
  • Process
  • PropertySet
  • Resource
  • ResourceArchitecture
  • ResourceArtifact
  • ResourceConnector
  • ResourceExchange
  • ResourceExchangeItem
  • ResourceInterface
  • ResourceMitigation
  • ResourcePerformer
  • ResourcePort
  • ResourceRole
  • ResourceSignal
  • Software
  • Technology

**View Specifications::Resources::Processes**
Contains the diagrams that document the Resources Processes View Specification Viewpoint.

**View Specifications::Resources::Processes::Resources Processes**
Stakeholders: Solution Providers, Systems Engineers, IT Architects.
Concerns: captures activity based behavior and flows.
Definition: describes the functions that are normally conducted in the course of implementing operational activity(ies) in Unified Architecture Framework (UAF) Domain Metamodel Version 1.21.
support of capability(ies). It describes the functions, their Inputs/Outputs, function actions and flows between them.

Recommended Implementation: SysML Activity Diagram, SysML Block Definition Diagram.

Figure 8:44 - Resources Processes

Elements
- ActivityPerformableUnderCondition
- ActualCondition
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View Specifications::Resources::Processes::Resources Processes BPMN Semantics

Stakeholders: Solution Providers, IT Architects.
Concerns: captures activity based behavior and flows using BPMN.
Definition: describes the functions that are normally conducted in the course of implementing operational activity(ies) in support of capability(ies). It describes the functions, their Inputs/Outputs, function actions and flows between them using Unified Architecture Framework (UAF) Domain Metamodel Version 1.21.
BPMN.
Recommended Implementation: BPMN Process Diagram.
Unified Architecture Framework (UAF) Domain Metamodel Version 1.21
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Figure 8.45 - Resources Processes BPMN Semantics

Elements

- `ActivityPerformableUnderCondition`
- `AssetRole`
- `BPMN2Metamodel::BPMNMessage`
- `BPMN2Metamodel::CallActivity`
- `BPMN2Metamodel::MessageFlow`
- `BPMN2Metamodel::Process`
- `BPMN2Metamodel::ResourceRole`
- `BPMN2Metamodel::SequenceFlow`
- `Exchange`
- `ExchangeItem`
- `Function`
- `FunctionAction`
- `FunctionEdge`
- `Implements`
- `OperationalActivity`
- `PerformsInContext`
- `PhysicalResource`
- `Process`
- `ProcessEdge`
View Specifications::Resources::States
Contains the diagrams that document the Resources States View Specification Viewpoint.

View Specifications::Resources::States::Resources States
Stakeholders: Systems Engineers, Software Engineers.
Concerns: capture state-based behavior of a resource.
Definition: it is a graphical representation of states of a resource and how that resource responds to various events and actions.
Recommended Implementation: SysML State Machine Diagram.
Figure 8:46 - Resources States

Elements
- ResourcePerformer
- ResourceStateDescription
- StateDescription
- UML2.5Metamodel::StateMachine

View Specifications::Resources:: SequencesInteractionScenarios
Contains the diagrams that document the Resources Sequences Interaction Scenarios View Specification Viewpoint.

View Specifications::Resources::Interaction ScenariosSequences::Resources Sequences Interaction Scenarios
Stakeholders: Software Engineers, Systems Engineers.
Concerns: interactions between resources (roles).
Definition: provides a time-ordered examination of the interactions between resources.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Sequence Diagram.
View Specifications::Resources::Constraints
Contains the diagrams that document the Resources Constraints View Specifications::Viewpoint.

**Stakeholders:** Systems Engineers, IT Architects, Solution Providers, Implementers.

**Concerns:** define limitations, constraints and performance parameters for resources, their interactions, performed functions, and data.

**Definition:** specifies traditional textual rules/non-functional requirements that are constraints on resources, their interactions, performed functions, and data. The addition of SysML parametrics provide a computational means of defining resource constraints within a specific context.

**Recommended Implementation:** tabular format, SysML Block Definition Diagram, SysML Parametric Diagram, OCL.

---

**Figure 8.47 - Resources Sequences Interaction Scenarios**

- **Elements**
  - Function
  - InteractionMessage
  - InteractionRole
  - InteractionScenario
  - ResourceExchange
  - ResourceMethod
  - ResourcePerformer
  - ResourceRole
  - UML2.5Metamodel::Interaction
  - UML2.5Metamodel::Lifeline
  - UML2.5Metamodel::Message

**View Specifications::Resources::Constraints::Resources Constraints**
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Figure 8:48 - Resources Constraints

Elements

- ActualResource
- CapabilityConfiguration
- Function
- NaturalResource
- Organization
- OrganizationalResource
- Person
- PhysicalResource
- Post
- ResourceArchitecture
- ResourceArtifact
- ResourceConstraint
- ResourceInformation
- ResourcePerformer
- ResourceRole
- ResourceService
- Responsibility
- Rule
- SubjectOfResourceConstraint

- ActualResource
- CapabilityConfiguration
- DataElement
- Function
- NaturalResource
- Organization
- OrganizationalResource
- Person
- PhysicalResource
- Post
- ResourceArchitecture
- ResourceArtifact
- ResourceConstraint
- ResourcePerformer
- ResourceRole
- Responsibility
- Rule
- SubjectOfResourceConstraint

View Specifications::Resources::Roadmap

Contains the diagrams that document the Resources Roadmap View Specification Viewpoint.

View Specifications::Resources::Roadmap::Resources Roadmap: Evolution

Stakeholders: Systems Engineers, IT Architects, Solution Providers, Implements.
Concerns: resource structure changes over time.
Definition: provides an overview of how a resource structure changes over time. It shows the structure of several resources mapped against a timeline.
Recommended Implementation: timeline, SysML Block Definition Diagram, SysML Internal Block Diagram.
Figure 8.49 - Resources Roadmap: Evolution

Elements
- ActualProject
- ActualProjectMilestone
- MilestoneDependency
- ResourcePerformer
- VersionedElement
- VersionOfConfiguration
- VersionSuccession
- WholeLifeConfiguration

View Specifications::Resources::Roadmap::Resources Roadmap: Forecast

Stakeholders: Solution Providers, Systems Engineers, IT Architects.
Concerns: technology forecast.
Definition: defines the underlying current and expected supporting technologies. Expected supporting technologies are those that can be reasonably forecast given the current state of technology, and expected improvements / trends.
Recommended Implementation: timeline, tabular format, SysML Block Definition Diagram.
Figure 8:50 - Resources Roadmap: Forecast

Elements
- ActualEnterprisePhase
- ActualStrategicPhase
- CapabilityConfiguration
- Competence
- Forecast
- NaturalResource
- PhysicalResource
- ResourceArchitecture
- ResourceArtifact
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**View Specifications::Resources::Traceability**
Contains the diagrams that document the Resources Traceability View Specification Viewpoint.

**View Specifications::Resources::Traceability::Resources Traceability**
Concerns: traceability between operational activities and functions that implements them.
Definition: depicts the mapping of functions to operational activities and thus identifies the transformation of an operational need into a purposeful function performed by a resource or solution.
Recommended Implementation: Matrix format, SysML Block Definition Diagram.
Figure 8.51 - Resources Traceability

Elements
- Capability
- CapableElement
- Exhibits
- Function
- Implements
- IsCapableToPerform
- OperationalActivity
- OperationalAgent
- ResourcePerformer
- ResourceService
- Service
- ServiceFunction
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8.4.398.1.8 View Specifications::Security


Concerns: addresses the security constraints and information assurance attributes that exist on exchanges between resources and OperationalPerformers.

Definition: illustrates the security assets, security constraints, security controls, families, and measures required to address specific security concerns.

View Specifications::Security::Motivation

Contains the diagrams that document the Security Motivation View Specification.

View Specifications::Security::Motivation::Security Controls


Concerns: security controls, security control families, and overlays.

Definition: identifies security controls to mitigate against the security risks.

Recommended Implementation: tabular or Matrix format, SysML Block Definition Diagram.

Figure 8:66 - Security Controls Elements

Unified Architecture Framework (UAF) Domain Metamodel Version 1.24
View Specifications::Security::Taxonomy
Contains the diagrams that document the Security Taxonomy Viewpoint.

View Specifications::Security::Taxonomy::Security Taxonomy
Stakeholders: Security Architects, Security Engineers.
Concerns: Security assets and security enclaves.
Definition: Defines the hierarchy of security assets and asset owners that are available to implement security, security constraints (policy, guidance, laws and regulations) and details where they are located (security enclaves).
Recommended Implementation: tabular format, SysML Block Definition Diagram.
Unified Architecture Framework (UAF) Domain Metamodel Version 1.21

Figure 8:52 - Security Taxonomy

Elements
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View Specifications::Security::Structure
Contains the diagrams that document the Security Structure View Specification Viewpoint.
Unified Architecture Framework (UAF) Domain Metamodel Version 1.21
View Specifications::Security::Structure::Security Structure

Stakeholders: Security Architects, Security Engineers.

Concerns: The structure of security information and where it is used at the operational and resource level.

Definition: Captures the allocation of assets (operational and resource, information and data) across the security enclaves, shows applicable security controls necessary to protect organizations, systems and information during processing, while in storage (bdi), and during transmission (flows on an ibd). This view also captures Asset Aggregation and allocates the usage of the aggregated information at a location through the use of the SecurityProperty.

Recommended Implementation: SysML Internal Block Diagram, SysML Block Definition Diagram.

Figure 8:53 - Security Structure

Elements

- Asset
- OperationalAgent
View Specifications::Security::Connectivity
Contains the diagrams that document the Security Connectivity View Specification Viewpoint.

View Specifications::Security::Connectivity::Security Connectivity
Stakeholders: Security Architects, Security Engineers.
Concerns: Addresses the security constraints and information assurance attributes that exist on exchanges across resources and across performers.
Definition: Lists security exchanges across security assets; the applicable security controls; and the security enclaves that house the producers and consumers of the exchanges. Measurements can optionally be included.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Internal Block Diagram, tabular format.
Figure 8.54 - Security Connectivity

Elements
- Caveat
- MeasurableElement
- MeasurementSet
- OperationalAgent
- OperationalConnector
- OperationalExchange
- OperationalExchangeItem
- OperationalInterface
- OperationalPerformer
- OperationalPort
- OperationalRole
- ResourceConnector
- ResourceExchange
- ResourceExchangeItem
- ResourceInterface
- ResourcePerformer
- ResourcePort
- ResourceRole
- SubjectOfSecurityConstraint
- SubjectOfSecurityConstraint

View Specifications::Security::Processes
Contains the diagrams that document the Security Processes View Specification Viewpoint.
**View Specifications::Security::Processes::Security Processes**

Stakeholders: Security Architects, Security Engineers.

Concerns: The specification of the Security Control families, security controls, and measures required to address a specific security baseline.

Definition: Provides a set of Security Controls and any possible enhancements as applicable to assets. The activity diagram describes operational or resource level processes that apply (operational level) or implement (resource level) security controls/enhancements to assets located in enclaves and across enclaves. This Security Process view can be instantiated either as a variant of an activity/flow diagram or as a hierarchical work breakdown structure.

Recommended Implementation: SysML Activity Diagram, SysML Block Definition Diagram, BPMN Process Diagram as described in Operational Processes and Resources Processes sections.
Figure 8.55 - Security Processes

Elements
- Function
- FunctionAction
- Implements
- IsCapableToPerform
- MeasurableElement
- Measurement
- MeasurementSet
- OperationalActivity
- OperationalActivityAction
- OperationalAgent
- OperationalRole
- PerformsInContext
- Process
- PropertySet
- ResourcePerformer
- ResourceRole
- SecurityProcess
- SecurityProcessAction
- Function
- FunctionAction
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View Specifications::Security::Constraints

Contains the diagrams that document the Security Constraints Viewpoint.

View Specifications::Security::Constraints::Security Constraints

Concerns: (i) Security-related policy, guidance, laws and regulations as applicable to assets, (ii) threats, vulnerabilities, and risk assessments as applicable to assets.
Definition: (i) Specifies textual rules/non-functional requirements that are security constraints on resources, information and data (e.g. security-related in the form of rules (e.g. access control policy). A common way of representing access control policy is through the use of XACML (eXtensible Access Control Markup Language), it is expected that implementations of UAF allow users to link security constraints to external files represented in XACML. (ii) Identifies risks, specifies risk likelihood, impact, asset criticality, other measurements and enables risk assessment.
Recommended Implementation: tabular or Matrix format, SysML Block Definition Diagram, SysML Parametric Diagram, or OCL.
Figure 8: Security Constraints
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Elements

- Asset
- AssetRole
- OperationalAgent
- OperationalAsset
- OperationalConnector
- OperationalInformation
- OperationalInformationRole
- OperationalRole
- ResourceAsset
- ResourceConnector
- ResourceInformation
- ResourceInformationRole
- ResourcePerformer
- ResourceRole
- SecurityConstraint
- SecurityProcess
- ServiceConnector
- StrategicAsset
- StrategicInformation
- SubjectOfSecurityConstraint
- ValueItem
- ActualMeasurement
- ActualPropertySet
- ActualResource
- ActualResponsibleResource
- ActualRisk
- Affects
- AffectsInContext
- Asset
- AssetRole
- EnhancedSecurityControl
- Enhances
- Measurement
- Mitigates
- OperationalAgent
- OperationalRole
- OrganizationalResource
- OwnsRisk
- OwnsRiskInContext
- PropertySet
- Protects
- ProtectsInContext
- ResourcePerformer
- ResourceRole
- Risk
- Rule
- Satisfy
- SecurityConstraint
- SecurityControl
- SecurityControlFamily
- SecurityProcess
- SubjectOfSecurityConstraint
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**View Specifications::Security::Traceability**
Contains the diagrams that document the Security Traceability Viewpoint.

**View Specifications::Security::Traceability::Security Traceability**
Concerns: traceability between risk and risk owner, risk mitigations, and affected asset roles.
Definition: depicts the mapping of a risk to each of the following: risk owner, risk mitigations, and affected asset roles.
Recommended Implementation: Matrix format, SysML Block Definition Diagram.
Figure 8:57 - Security Traceability

Elements
- Affects
- AffectsInContext
- Asset
- AssetRole
- Mitigates
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8.1.58 View Specifications::Projects

Stakeholders: PMs, Project Portfolio Managers, Enterprise Architects.
Concerns: project portfolio, projects and project milestones.
Definition: describes projects and project milestones, how those projects deliver capabilities, the organizations contributing to the projects and dependencies between projects.

View Specifications::Projects::Taxonomy

Contains the diagrams that document the Project Taxonomy View Specification Viewpoint.

View Specifications::Projects::Taxonomy::Project Taxonomy

Stakeholders: PMs, Project Portfolio Managers, Enterprise Architects. Concerns: types of projects and project milestones. Definition: shows the taxonomy of types of projects and project milestones. Recommended Implementation: SysML Block Definition Diagram.
Figure 8.58 - Project Taxonomy
Elements
- ActualProject
- ActualProjectMilestone
- MilestoneDependency
- Project
- ProjectMilestone
- ProjectMilestoneRole
- ProjectSequence

View Specifications::Projects::Structure
Contains the diagrams that document the Project Structure View Specification Viewpoint

View Specifications::Projects::Structure::Project Structure
Stakeholders: PMs.
Concerns: relationships between types of projects and project milestones.
Definition: provides a template for an actual project(s) road map(s) to be implemented.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Block Definition Diagram.
Figure 8:59 - Project Structure

Elements
- ActualOrganization
- ActualPost
- ActualProject
- ActualPropertySet
- ActualResponsibleResource
- ActualState
- Project
- ProjectMilestone
- ProjectMilestoneRole
- ProjectTheme
- ResponsibleFor
- StatusIndicators

View Specifications::Projects::Connectivity
Contains the diagrams that document the Project Connectivity View Specification Viewpoint.

View Specifications::Projects::Connectivity::Project Connectivity
Stakeholders: PMs.
Concerns: relationships between projects and project milestones.
Definition: shows how projects and project milestones are related in sequence.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Block Definition Diagram.
Figure 8.60 - Project Connectivity

Elements
- Project
- ProjectMilestone
- ProjectMilestoneRole
- ResourcePerformer

View Specifications::Projects::Processes

Contains the diagrams that document the Project Processes View Specification viewpoint.

View Specifications::Projects::Processes::Project Processes

Stakeholders: PMs.
Concerns: captures project tasks (ProjectActivities) and flows between them.
Definition: describes the ProjectActivities that are normally conducted in the course of projects to support capability(ies) and implement resources. It describes the ProjectActivities, their Inputs/Outputs, ProjectActivityActions and flows between them.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Activity Diagram, SysML Block Definition Diagram, BPMN Process Diagram as described in Resources Processes section.
Figure 8:61 - Project Processes

Elements
- ActualProject
- Function
- FunctionAction
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View Specifications::Projects::Roadmap
Contains the diagrams that document the Project Roadmap View Specification Viewpoint.

View Specifications::Projects::Roadmap::Project Roadmap
Stakeholders: PMs, Capability Owners, Solution Providers, Enterprise Architects.
Concerns: the product portfolio management; a planning of capability delivery.
Definition: provides a timeline perspective on programs or projects
Recommended Implementation: timeline, tabular format, SysML Block Definition Diagram.
Figure 8:62 - Project Roadmap

Elements
- ActualProject
- ActualProjectMilestone
- ActualProjectMilestoneRole
- ActualPropertySet
- ActualResource
- ActualState
- CapabilityConfiguration
- FieldedCapability
- MilestoneDependency
- Project
- ProjectMilestone
- ProjectMilestoneRole
- ProjectSequence
- ProjectStatus
- ProjectTheme
- ResourceArchitecture
- ResourcePerformer
- StatusIndicators

View Specifications::Projects::Traceability
Contains the diagrams that document the Project Traceability Viewpoint.

View Specifications::Projects::Traceability::Project Traceability
Stakeholders: PMs, Project Portfolio Managers, Enterprise Architects.
Concerns: traceability between capabilities and projects that deliver them.
Definition: depicts the mapping of projects to capabilities and thus identifies the transformation of a capability(ies) into a purposeful implementation via projects.
Recommended Implementation: Matrix format, SysML Block Definition Diagram.
8.1.568.1.10 View Specifications::Standards

Concerns: technical and non-technical Standards applicable to the architecture.
Definition: shows the technical, operational, and business Standards applicable to the architecture. Defines the underlying current and expected Standards.

View Specifications::Standards::Taxonomy
Contains the diagrams that document the Standards Taxonomy View Specification Viewpoint.

View Specifications::Standards::Taxonomy::Standards Taxonomy
Concerns: technical and non-technical standards, guidance and policy applicable to the architecture.
Definition: shows the taxonomy of types of technical, operational, and business standards, guidance and policy applicable to the architecture.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Block Definition Diagram.
Figure 8.64 - Standards Taxonomy

Elements
- ActualOrganization
- CapabilityConfiguration
- Protocol
- ProtocolStack
- ResourceArchitecture
- ResourcePerformer
- Standard
- StandardOperationalActivity
- UAFElement

View Specifications::Standards::Structure
Contains the diagrams that document the Standards Structure View Specification.

View Specifications::Standards::Structure::Standards Structure
Concerns: the specification of the protocol stack used in the architecture.
Definition: shows the composition of standards required to achieve the architecture's objectives.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Internal Block Diagram.
Figure 8:65 - Standards Structure

Elements
- Protocol
- ProtocolLayer
- ProtocolStack
- Standard

View Specifications::Standards::Roadmap
Contains the diagrams that document the Standards Roadmap.

View Specifications::Standards::Roadmap::Standards Roadmap
Concerns: expected changes in technology-related standards and conventions, operational standards, or business standards and conventions.
Definition: defines the underlying current and expected standards. Expected standards are those that can be reasonably forecast given the current state of technology, and expected improvements / trends.
Recommended Implementation: timeline, tabular format, SysML Block Definition Diagram.
Figure 8:66 - Standards Roadmap

Elements
- ActualEnterprisePhase
- ActualStrategicPhase
- Forecast
- Protocol
- Standard
- SubjectOfForecast
- ActualEnterprisePhase
- Forecast
- Protocol
- Standard
- SubjectOfForecast

View Specifications::Standards::Traceability
Contains the diagrams that document the Standards Traceability View Specification Viewpoint.

View Specifications::Standards::Traceability::Standards Traceability
Concerns: standards that need to be taken in account to ensure the interoperability of the implementation of architectural elements.
Definition: shows the applicability of standards to specific elements in the architecture.
Recommended Implementation: tabular format, matrix format, SysML Block Definition Diagram.
8.1.578.1.11 View Specifications::Actual Resources

Stakeholders: Solution Providers, Systems Engineers, Business Architects, Human Resources.
Concerns: the analysis, e.g. evaluation of different alternatives, what-if, trade-offs, V&V on the actual resource configurations.
Definition: illustrates the expected or achieved actual resource configurations and actual relationships between them.

View Specifications::Actual Resources::Structure

Contains the diagrams that document the Actual Resources Structure Viewpoint.

View Specifications::Actual Resources::Structure::Actual Resources Structure

Stakeholders: Solution Providers, Systems Engineers, Business Architects.
Concerns: the analysis, e.g. evaluation of different alternatives, what-if, trade-offs, V&V on the actual resource configurations as it provides a means to capture different solution architectures. The detailed analysis (trade-off, what-if etc.) is carried out using the Resource Constraints view.
Definition: illustrates the expected or achieved actual resource configurations required to meet an operational need.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Block Definition Diagram.
Figure 8.68 - Actual Resources Structure

Elements
- ActualOrganization
- ActualOrganizationalResource
- ActualPerson
- ActualPost
- ActualResource
- ActualResponsibility
- ActualResponsibleResource
- CapabilityConfiguration
- FieldedCapability
- Person
- Post
- ResourcePerformer
- Responsibility

View Specifications::Actual Resources::Connectivity
Contains the diagrams that document the Actual Resources Connectivity Viewpoint.

View Specifications::Actual Resources::Connectivity::Actual Resources Connectivity
Stakeholders: Solution Providers, Systems Engineers, Business Architects.
Concerns: the communication of actual resource.
Definition: illustrates the actual resource configurations and actual relationships between them.
Recommended Implementation: tabular format, SysML Block Definition Diagram, SysML Internal Block Diagram, SysML Sequence Diagram.
Figure 8:69 - Actual Resources Connectivity Elements
- ActualOrganization
- ActualOrganizationalResource
- ActualPerson
- ActualPost
- ActualResource
- ActualResourceRelationship
- ActualResponsibility
- ActualResponsibleResource
- FieldedCapability

View Specifications::Actual Resources::Traceability
Contains the diagrams that document the Actual Resources Traceability View Specification Viewpoint.

View Specifications::Actual Resources::Traceability::Actual Resources Traceability
Concerns: traceability between operational activities and functions that implements them.
Definition: depicts the mapping of functions to operational activities and thus identifies the transformation of an operational need into a purposeful function performed by a resource or solution.
Recommended Implementation: Matrix format, SysML Block Definition Diagram.

Figure 8:70 - Actual Resources Traceability Elements
- ActualResource
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425.0.0  View Specifications::Dictionary

Stakeholders: Architects, users of the architecture, Capability Owners, Systems Engineers, Solution Providers.
Concerns: Definitions for all the elements in the architecture, libraries of environments and measurements.
Definition: Presents all the elements used in an architecture. Can be used specifically to capture:
   a. elements and relationships that are involved in defining the environments applicable to capability, operational
correlation of systems.
   b. measurable properties that can be used to support analysis such as KPIs, MoEs, TPIs etc.

View Specifications::Dictionary::Dictionary

Stakeholders: Solution Providers, Systems Engineers, Software Architects, Business Architects.
Concerns: provides a central reference for a given architecture’s data and metadata. It enables the set of architecture
description to stand alone, with minimal reference to outside resources.
Definition: contains definitions of terms used in the given architecture. It consists of textual definitions in the form of a
glossary, their taxonomies, and their metadata (i.e., data about architecture data), including metadata for any custom-
tailored views. Architects should use standard terms where possible (i.e., terms from existing, approved dictionaries,
glossaries, and lexicons).
Recommended Implementation: text, table format.

425.0.12 View Specifications::Summary & Overview

Stakeholders: Executives, PMs, Enterprise Architects.
Concerns: executive level summary information in a consistent form.
Definition: provides executive level summary information in a consistent form that allows quick reference and
Comparison between architectural descriptions. Includes assumptions, constraints, and limitations that may affect high-level decisions relating to an architecture-based work programme.

**View Specifications::Summary & Overview**

**Stakeholders:** Decision makers, Solution Providers, Systems Engineers, Software Architects, Business Architects.

**Concerns:** quick overview of an architecture description and summary of analysis. In the initial phases of architecture development, it serves as a planning guide. Upon completion of an architecture, it provides a summary of findings and any conducted analysis.

**Definition:** provides executive-level summary information in a consistent form that allows quick reference and comparison among architectures. The Summary and Overview includes assumptions, constraints, and limitations that may affect high-level decision processes involving the architecture.

Recommended implementation: text, free form diagram, table format.

*Figure 8:72—Summary & Overview Elements*

- ActualEnterprisePhase
- ActualOrganizationalResource
- ArchitecturalDescription
- ArchitecturalReference
- Architecture
- ArchitecturalMetadata
- Concern
- EnterprisePhase
- Metadata
- OperationalArchitecture
- OrganizationalResource
- ResourceArchitecture
- Stakeholder
- View

**Figure 8:72—Summary & Overview**
8.1.12 View Specifications::Motivation
Concerns: (i) architecture drivers, challenges, opportunities, capabilities that address opportunities, phases and architectures that address challenges; (ii) requirements, their relationship (via traceability) to more detailed requirements and the solution described by the architecture that will meet those requirements; (iii) security controls, security control families, and controls.
Definition: Identifies and defines motivational elements e.g., challenges, opportunities, and concerns, that pertain to enterprise transformation efforts, and different types of requirements, e.g., operational, services, personnel, resources, or security controls.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Block Definition Diagram, SysML Package Diagram, tabular format.

8.1.58 View Specifications::Requirements
Concerns: requirements traceability.
Definition: used to represent requirements, their properties, and relationships (trace, verify, satisfy, refine) to UAF architectural elements.

View Specifications::Motivation::Motivation: Requirements
View Specifications::Requirements::Requirements
Concerns: provides a central reference for a set of stakeholder needs expressed as requirements, their relationship (via traceability) to more detailed requirements and the solution described by the architecture that will meet those requirements.
Definition: used to represent requirements, their properties, and relationships (trace, verify, satisfy, refine) between each other and to UAF architectural elements.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Requirement Diagram, tabular format, matrix format.

Figure 8.73 - Requirements
Elements
- Refine
- Requirement
- Satisfy
- Trace
- UAFElement
- Verify

8.1.598.1.13 View Specifications::Information

Stakeholders: Data Modelers, Software Engineers, Systems Engineers
Concerns: address the information perspective on operational, service, and resource architectures.
Definition: allows analysis of an architecture’s information and data definition aspect, without consideration of implementation specific issues.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Block Definition Diagram.

View Specifications::Information:: Information: Operational Information
Model

Stakeholders: Data Modelers, Software Engineers, Systems Engineers, Operators and Users, Service Managers and Providers
Concerns: address the information perspective on operational and service architectures.
Definition: allows analysis of an architecture’s information and data definition aspect, without consideration of implementation specific issues.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Block Definition Diagram.
Figure 8.74 - Information: Operational Information Information Model

Elements
- Implements
- InformationModel
- OperationalAsset
- OperationalInformation
- OperationalInformationRole
- ResourceInformation
- DataElement
- DataModel
- DataRole
- Implements
- InformationElement
- InformationRole
- OperationalAsset
- ResourceAsset
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**View Specifications::Information::Information: Resources Information**

**Stakeholders:** Data Modelers, Software Engineers, Systems Engineers

**Concerns:** address the information perspective on operational, service, and resource architectures.

**Definition:** allows analysis of an architecture’s information and data definition aspect, without consideration of implementation specific issues.

**Recommended Implementation:** SysML Block Definition Diagram.

**Figure 8.88 - Information: Resources Information**

**Elements**

- Implements
- InformationModel
- OperationalInformation
- ResourceAsset
- ResourceInformation
- ResourceInformationRole

**8.4.608.1.14 View Specifications::Parameters**

**Stakeholders:** Capability owners, Systems Engineers, Solution Providers.

**Concerns:** identifies measurable properties that can be used to support engineering analysis and environment for the Capabilities

**Definition:** Shows the measurable properties of something in the physical world and elements and relationships that are involved in defining the environments applicable to capability, operational concept or set of systems.

**View Specifications::Parameters::Parameters: Environment**

**Stakeholders:** Capability owners, Systems Engineers, Solution Providers.

**Concerns:** defines the environment for the capabilities.

**Definition:** shows the elements and relationships that are involved in defining the environments applicable to capability, operational concept or set of systems.

**Recommended Implementation:** SysML Block Definition Diagram.
Figure 8:75 - Parameters: Environment

Elements
- ActivityPerformableUnderCondition
- ActualCondition
- ActualEnvironment
- ActualLocation
- ActualPropertySet
- ActualResource
- Asset
- Condition
- Environment
- EnvironmentProperty
- GeoPoliticalExtentType
- Location
- LocationHolder
- OperationalRole
- Process
- ResourcePerformer
- ResourceRole

View Specifications::Parameters::Parameters: Measurements

Stakeholders: Capability owners, Systems Engineers, Solution Providers.
Concerns: identifies measurable properties that can be used to support analysis such as KPIs, MoEs, TPIs etc.
Definition: Shows the measurable properties of something in the physical world, expressed in amounts of a unit of measure that can be associated with any element in the architecture.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Block Definition Diagram.
Figure 8.76 - Parameters: Measurements

Elements

- ActualMeasurement
- ActualMeasurementSet
- ActualPropertySet
- ActualService
- ActualState
- Capability
- Competence
- Condition
- MeasurableElement
- Measurement
- MeasurementSet
- PropertySet
- ProvidedServiceLevel
- RequiredServiceLevel
- Resource
- Service
- ServiceInterface
- StrategicPhase
- ActualMeasurement
- ActualMeasurementSet
- ActualPropertySet
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View Specifications::Parameters::Parameters: Risk

Stakeholders: Capability Owners, Systems Engineers, Solution Providers, Program Managers.
Concerns: identifies potential adverse conditions and situations that can inhibit achievement of goals.
Definition: Shows the relevant risks along with associated measures like likelihood of occurrence and potential negative consequences.
Recommended Implementation: SysML Block Definition Diagram, matrix format.

Figure 8:91 - Parameters: Risk

Elements:
- ActualPropertySet
- ActualResource
- ActualResourceSet
- ActualRisk
- AffectableElement
- Affects
- AffectsInContext
- Asset
- AssetRole
- Capability
- EnterpriseGoal
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8.4.618.1.15 View Specifications::Other

Contains the diagrams that document the use of BPMN, NIEM, IEPPV in the context of UAF.

View Specifications::Other::BPMN

Stakeholders: Business Architects, Enterprise Architects
Concerns: captures activity based behavior and flows.
Definition: describes the activities that are normally conducted in the course of achieving business goals that support a capability. It describes operational activities, their Inputs/Outputs, operational activity actions and flows between them using BPMN.
Recommended Implementation: BPMN Process Diagram.
Figure 8.77 - BPMN Elements

- AssetRole
- BPMN2Metamodel::BPMNMessage
- BPMN2Metamodel::MessageFlow
- BPMN2Metamodel::Process
- BPMN2Metamodel::ResourceRole
- BPMN2Metamodel::CallActivity
- BPMN2Metamodel::SequenceFlow
- Exchange
- ExchangeItem
- InteractionMessage
- InteractionRole
- InteractionScenario
- Process
- ProcessEdge
- ProcessUsage

View Specifications::Other::IEPPV

Concerns: information exchanges, information interfaces, information interoperability, information sharing and safeguarding.
Definition: UAFP supports information modeling and traceability to IEPPV model elements using the IEPPV-defined elements: Message, SemanticElement, and FilteredSemanticElement, used to represent data, properties/attributes, structure, format, and relationships. The IEPPV profile enables the specification of the policies, rules and constraints governing the packaging (assembly, transformation, marking, redaction) of data elements conforming to information sharing and safeguarding requirements. The IEPPV profile also governs the processing (parsing, transformation, and marshalling) received information and data element.

Recommended Implementation: UML Class Diagram, SysML Block Diagram.

Figure 8:78 - IEPPV Elements
- Abstraction
- FilteredSemanticElement
- OperationalInformation
- OperationalExchange
- OperationalExchangeItem
- Conveys
- InformationElement
- InformationSpecification
- Message
- Framework = "UML"
- Framework = "IEPPV"
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View Specifications::Other::NIEM

Concerns: information exchanges, information interoperability, data schema.
Definition: A specification representing the structure, semantics, and relationships of data objects that satisfy an information exchange requirement. Used for organizing and packaging Model Package Descriptions (MPDs) and Information Exchange Package Documentation (IEPDs) as defined by the National Information Exchange Model (NIEM). An IEPD is a type of MPD. The NIEM MPD defines an Enterprise Information Exchange Model (EIEM) as an MPD that contains NIEM-conforming schemas that define and declare data components to be consistently reused in the IEPDs of an enterprise. An EIEM is a collection of schemas organized into a collection of subset schemas and one or more extension schemas. An information sharing enterprise creates and maintains an EIEM.
Recommended Implementation: UML Class Diagram, SysML Block Diagram.
Figure 8.79 - NIEM
Elements
- Abstraction
- DataObject
- InformationModel
- InformationModel
- OperationalInformation
- ResourceInformation
- Abstraction
- DataElement
- DataModel
- DataObject
- InformationElement
- InformationModel
15.9. **Domain Metamodel (DMM) Elements**

15.19. **Domain MetaModel**

This package contains the elements of the DMM.

15.19.1 **Domain MetaModel:: Architecture ManagementMetadata**

**Stakeholders:** Enterprise Architects, people who want to discover the architecture, Technical Managers.

**Concerns:** Captures meta-data relevant to the entire architecture

**Definition:** Provide information pertinent to the entire architecture. Present supporting information rather than architectural models.

15.19.1.1 **Domain MetaModel:: Architecture ManagementMetadata::Taxonomy**

**ArchitectureMetadata**

- **Package:** Taxonomy
- **isAbstract:** No
- **Generalization:** Metadata

**Description:** Information associated with an ArchitecturalDescription, that supplements the standard set of tags used to summarize the Architecture. It states things like what methodology was used, notation, etc.

![ArchitectureMetadata](image)

**ActualState**

- **Package:** Parameters
- **isAbstract:** Yes
- **Generalization:** UAFElement

**Description:** Abstract element that applies temporal extent to a set of elements realized as Instance Specifications.
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Figure 9.223 - ActualState

Attributes
- endDate : ISO8601DateTime[0..1] - End time for all individual elements.
- startDate : ISO8601DateTime[0..1] - Start time for all individual elements.

**InteractionScenarioGeneralization**

**Package:** Taxonomy

**isAbstract:** No

**Generalization:** UML2.5Metamodel::Generalization, MeasurableElement

**Description**

An InteractionScenarioGeneralization is a taxonomic relationship between a more general InteractionScenario and a more specific InteractionScenario.
**ISO8601DateTime**

*Package:* Parameters

*isAbstract:* No

*Generalization:* UAFElement

*Description*
A date and time specified in the ISO8601 date-time format including timezone designator (TZD): YYYY-MM-DDThh:mm:ssTZD.

**Metadata**

*Package:* Taxonomy

*isAbstract:* No

*Generalization:* MeasurableElement

*Description*
A comment that can be applied to any element in the architecture. The attributes associated with this element details the relationship between the element and its related DublinCoreElement, metaDataScheme, category and name. This allows the element to be referenced using the Semantic Web.
Figure 9:3 - Metadata

Attributes:
- category: String[0..1]
  Defines the category of a Metadata element example: http://purl.org/dc/terms/abstract.
- dublinCoreTag: String[0..1]
  A metadata category that is a DublinCore tag.
- metaDataScheme: String[0..1]
  A representation scheme that defines a set of Metadata.
- name: String[0..1]
  The name of the Metadata.

ProcessGeneralization

Package: Taxonomy
isAbstract: No
Generalization: UML2.5Metamodel::Generalization, MeasurableElement
Description
A ProcessGeneralization is a taxonomic relationship between a more general Process and a more specific Process.

PropertySetGeneralization

Package: Taxonomy
isAbstract: No
Generalization: UML2.5Metamodel::Generalization, MeasurableElement
Description
A PropertySetGeneralization is a taxonomic relationship between a more general PropertySet and a more specific PropertySet.
Figure 9.5 - PropertySetGeneralization

StateDescriptionGeneralization
Package: Taxonomy
isAbstract: No
Generalization: UML2.5Metamodel:Generalization, MeasurableElement
Description
A StateDescriptionGeneralization is a taxonomic relationship between a more general StateDescription and a more specific StateDescription.

Figure 9.6 - StateDescriptionGeneralization

Domain.MetaModel: Metadata: Structure

EnvironmentProperty
Package: Structure
isAbstract: No
Generalization: MeasurableElement
Description
A property of an Environment that is typed by a Condition. The kinds of Condition that can be represented are Location, GeoPoliticalExtentType and Environment.
**Figure 9.7 - EnvironmentProperty**

**Domain MetaModel:: Architecture ManagementMetadata::Connectivity**

**Exchange**

**Package:** Connectivity

**isAbstract:** Yes

**Generalization:** MeasurableElement, BPMN2Metamodel::MessageFlow, SubjectOfSecurityConstraint

**Description**

Abstract tuple, grouping OperationalExchanges and ResourceExchanges that exchange Resources.

**Figure 9.8 - Exchange**

**ExchangItem**

**Package:** Connectivity
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isAbstract: Yes

**Generalization:** BPMN2Metamodel::BPMNMessage

**Description**

An abstract grouping for elements that defines the types of elements that can be exchanged between Assets and conveyed by an Exchange.

---

**Resource**

**Package:** Connectivity

isAbstract: Yes

**Generalization:** PropertySet

**Description**

Abstract type grouping all elements that can be conveyed by an Exchange.
Domain MetaModel:: Architecture Management Metadata:: Processes

ActivityPerformableUnderCondition

Package: Processes
isAbstract: No

Generalization: MeasurableElement

Description
The ActualCondition under which an Activity is performed.

Figure 9:11 - ActivityPerformableUnderCondition
**CapableElement**

**Package:** Traceability

**isAbstract:** Yes

**Generalization:** UAFElement

**Description**
An abstract type that represents a structural element that can exhibit capabilities.

**IsCapableToPerform**

**Package:** Processes

**isAbstract:** No

**Generalization:** MeasurableElement

**Description**
A tuple defining the traceability between the structural elements to the Activities that they can perform.
**IsCapableToPerform**

**PerformsInContext**

**Package:** Processes  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** MeasurableElement

**Description**

A tuple that relates an OperationalAction to a OperationalRole, or a FunctionAction to a ResourceRole. It indicates that the action can be carried out by the role when used in a specific context or configuration.
Figure 9:13 - PerformsInContext

Process
Package: Processes
isAbstract: Yes
Generalization: MeasurableElement, UML2.5Metamodel::Activity, BPMN2Metamodel::Process
Description
An abstract type that represents a behavior or process (i.e. a Function or OperationalActivity) that can be performed by a Performer.
Figure 9:14 - Process
Figure 9:15 - Process

**ProcessEdge**

**Package:** Processes  
**isAbstract:** Yes  
**Generalization:** MeasurableElement, UML2.5Metamodel::Activity, UML2.5Metamodel::ActivityEdge, BPMN2Metamodel::SequenceFlow  
**Description**

An abstract type that represents a behavior or process (i.e. a Function or OperationalActivity) that can be performed by a Performer.

---

Unified Architecture Framework (UAF) Domain Metamodel Version 1.21

176
**ProcessOperation**

**Package**: Processes

**isAbstract**: Yes

**Generalization**: `MeasurableElement`, `UML2.5Metamodel::Activity`, `UML2.5Metamodel::Operation`

**Description**
An abstract type that represents a behavior or process (i.e. a Function or OperationalActivity) that can be performed by a Performer.

![Diagram of ProcessOperation]

**Figure 9:17 - ProcessOperation**

**ProcessParameter**

**Package**: Processes

**isAbstract**: Yes

**Generalization**: `MeasurableElement`, `UML2.5Metamodel::Activity`, `UML2.5Metamodel::CallBehaviorAction`, `UML2.5Metamodel::Parameter`

**Description**
An abstract type that represents a behavior or process (i.e. a Function or OperationalActivity) that can be performed by a Performer.

![Diagram of ProcessParameter]

**Figure 9:18 - ProcessParameter**

**ProcessUsage**

**Package**: Processes

**isAbstract**: Yes

**Generalization**: `MeasurableElement`, `UML2.5Metamodel::Activity`, `UML2.5Metamodel::CallBehaviorAction`, `BPMN2Metamodel::CallActivity`

**Description**
An abstract type that represents a behavior or process (i.e. a Function or OperationalActivity) that can be performed by a Performer.

![Diagram of ProcessUsage]
Figure 9:19 - ProcessUsage

**Domain MetaModel:: Architecture ManagementMetadata::States**

**StateDescription**
- Package: States
- isAbstract: Yes
- Generalization: UML2.5Metamodel::StateMachine

Description
An abstract type that represents a state machine (i.e. an OperationalStateDescription or ResourceStateDescription), depicting how the Asset responds to various events and the actions.

Figure 9:20 - StateDescription

**Domain MetaModel:: Architecture ManagementMetadata::SequencesInteraction**

**Scenarios**
- Package: InteractionScenarios
- isAbstract: Yes
- Generalization: MeasurableElement, UML2.5Metamodel::Activity, BPMN2Metamodel::Process, UML2.5Metamodel::Interaction, UML2.5Metamodel::Message

Description
An abstract type that groups several types of messages used in the InteractionScenario.

**InteractionRole**
- Package: Interaction Scenarios
isAbstract: Yes

**Generalization:** BPMN2Metamodel::ResourceRole

**Description**
An abstract type that represents an individual participant in the InteractionScenario.

**InteractionScenario**

**Package:** Interaction Scenarios

isAbstract: Yes

**Generalization:** MeasurableElement, UML2.5Metamodel::Activity, BPMN2Metamodel::Process,
UML2.5Metamodel::Interaction

**Description**
An abstract type that specifies interactions between Assets, like ResourcePerformers, and ServiceSpecifications.
Figure 9:22 - InteractionScenario

Domain MetaModel:: Architecture ManagementMetadata::Information

Alias

Package: Dictionary.Information
isAbstract: No.
Generalization: MeasurableElement
Description
A metamodel Artifact used to define an alternative name for an element.

![Alias Diagram]

Attributes
nameOwner : Someone or something that uses this alternative name.

ArchitectureMetadata

Package: Taxonomy
isAbstract: No.
Generalization: Metadata
Description
Information associated with an ArchitecturalDescription, that supplements the standard set of tags used to summarize the Architecture. It states things like what methodology was used, notation, etc.
Figure 9:1 - ArchitectureMetadata

**Definition**

**Package:** DictionaryInformation  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** MeasurableElement  
**Description**

A comment containing a description of an element in the architecture.

Figure 9:24 - Definition

**Attributes**

- **author:** The original or current person (architect) responsible for the Definition.

**Information**

**Package:** Information  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** MeasurableElement  
**Description**

A comment that describes the state of an item of interest in any medium or form -- and is communicated or received.
**InformationModel**

**Package:** Information  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** SubjectOfOperationalConstraint, SubjectOfResourceConstraint  
**Description**  
A structural specification of data types, showing relationships between them. The type of information captured in the InformationModel is described using the enumeration InformationModelKind (Conceptual, Logical, and Physical).

**Metadata**

**Package:** Taxonomy  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** MeasurableElement  
**Description**  
A comment that can be applied to any element in the architecture. The attributes associated with this element details the relationship between the element and its related dublinCoreElement, metaDataScheme, category and name. This allows the element to be referenced using the Semantic Web.
**Figure 9:3 - Metadata**

**Attributes**

- **category**: String [0..1]
  
  Defines the category of a Metadata element example: http://purl.org/dc/terms/abstract.

- **dublinCoreTag**: String [0..1]
  
  A metadata category that is a DublinCore tag.

- **metaDataScheme**: String [0..1]
  
  A representation scheme that defines a set of Metadata.

- **name**: String [0..1]
  
  The name of the Metadata.

**SameAs**

**Package**: Information

**isAbstract**: No

**Generalization**: MeasurableElement

**Description**

A tuple that asserts that two elements refer to the same real-world thing.

```
 MeasurableElement
  
  SameAs
  
  representation 1
  
  UAFElement
```

**Domain MetaModel:: Architecture Management Metadata:: Constraints**

**Rule**

**Package**: Constraints

**isAbstract**: Yes

**Generalization**: MeasurableElement

**Description**
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An abstract type for all types of constraint (i.e. an OperationalConstraint could detail the rules of accountancy best practice).

Figure 9.24 - Rule

Domain MetaModel:: Architecture ManagementMetadata::Traceability

ArchitecturalReference

Package: Traceability

isAbstract: No

Generalization: MeasurableElement

Description

A tuple that specifies that one architectural description refers to another.
**ComparesTo**

**Package:** Traceability  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** MeasurableElement  
**Description:**  
A tuple used to relate the effect that is achieved with the originally expected DesiredEffect. Providing a means of comparison, between the expectation of the desirer and the actual result.

**Implements**

**Package:** Traceability  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** MeasurableElement  
**Description:**  
A tuple that defines how an element in the upper layer of abstraction is implemented by a semantically equivalent element (for example tracing the Functions to the OperationalActivities) in the lower level of abstraction.
Figure 9.26 - Implements

**Sequence**

**Package: Traceability**

isAbstract: No
**Generalization:** MeasurableElement

**Description**
A tuple that asserts one Individual's temporal extent is completely before the temporal extent of another.

**Figure 9.32 - Sequence**

### 15.1.29.1.2 Domain MetaModel::Summary & Overview

**ArchitecturalDescription**

- **Package:** Summary & Overview
- **isAbstract:** No
- **Generalization:** MeasurableElement

**Description**
An Architecture Description is a work product used to express the Architecture of some System Of Interest. It provides executive-level summary information about the architecture description in a consistent form to allow quick reference and comparison between architecture descriptions -- It includes assumptions, constraints, and limitations that may affect high-level decisions relating to an architecture-based work program.

**Figure 9.209 - ArchitecturalDescription**

**Attributes**
- approvalAuthority : String[*]
- architect : String[*]
- assumptionAndConstraint : String[*]
- creatingOrganization : String[*]
- dateCompleted : String[0..1]
- purpose : String[*]
- recommendations : String[*]
- summaryOfFindings : String[*]
- toolsUsed : String[*]
- toolFlag : Boolean[1]
- methodologyUsed : String[*]
- status : String[*]
- version : String[*]
approvalAuthority :  String[*]  Someone or something that has the authority to approve the ArchitecturalDescription.

architect :  String[*]  Someone responsible for the creation of ArchitecturalDescription.

assumptionAndConstraint :  String[*]  Any assumptions, constraints, and limitations contained in the ArchitecturalDescription, including those affecting deployment, communications performance, information assurance environments, etc.

creatingOrganization :  String[*]  The organization responsible for creating the ArchitecturalDescription.

dateCompleted :  String[0..1]  Date that the ArchitecturalDescription was completed.

methodologyUsed :  String[*]  Name of the documented methodology that will be or has been used in describing the architecture. The methodology used in developing the architecture.

purpose :  String[*]  Explains the need for the Architecture, what it will demonstrate, the types of analyses that will be applied to it, who is expected to perform the analyses, what decisions are expected to be made on the basis of each form of analysis, who is expected to make those decisions, and what actions are expected to result.

recommendations :  String[*]  States the recommendations that have been developed based on the architecture effort. Examples include recommended system implementations, and opportunities for technology insertion.

status :  String[*]  State of the architecture description in terms of its development, baselining, activity (e.g., active or inactive), or some other factor of importance. Approval status of the architecture.

summaryOfFindings :  String[*]  Summarizes the findings that have been developed so far. This may be updated several times during the development of the ArchitecturalDescription.

toBe :  Boolean[1]  Indicates whether the ArchitecturalDescription represents an Architecture that exists or will exist in the future.

toolsUsed :  String[*]  Identifies any tools used to develop the ArchitecturalDescription as well as file names and formats if appropriate.

version :  String[*]  Identifier that indicates the particular edition or revision of the architecture description as well as version number of the architecture.

Architecture
Package: Summary & Overview
isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: UAFElement

Description
An abstract type that represents a generic architecture. Subtypes are OperationalArchitecture, Service Architecture, and ResourceArchitecture.
An abstract type that represents a generic architecture. Subtypes are OperationalArchitecture and PhysicalArchitecture.

**Concern**

- **Package:** Summary & Overview
- **isAbstract:** No
- **Generalization:** PropertySet, PhaseableElement

**Description**

A matter of relevance or importance to a stakeholder regarding an entity of interest.
Interest in an EnterprisePhase (EnterprisePhase is synonym for System in ISO 42010) relevant to one or more of its stakeholders.

**Phases**

* Package: Summary & Overview
* isAbstract: No
* Generalization: MeasurableElement
* Description
  A tuple that exists between a PhaseableElement and an ActualStrategicPhase that it is assigned to.

**Stakeholder**

* Package: Summary & Overview
* isAbstract: Yes
* Generalization: UAFElement
* Description
  An individual organizational resource, or a type of organizational resource (both internal and external to the enterprise) who has an interest in, or is affected by, outcomes or intermediate effects generated or influenced by the...
**UAFEElement**

**Package:** Summary & Overview

**isAbstract:** Yes

**Description**

Abstract super type for all of the UAF elements. It provides a way for all of the UAF elements to have a common set of properties.
Figure 9.213 - UAFElement

Attributes

URI : String [0..1] Captures Unique identifier for the element.

View

Package: Summary & Overview

isAbstract: No

Generalization: PropertySet

Description

An information item, governed by an architecture viewpoint, comprising part of an architecture description that communicates some aspect of an architecture. An architecture view expresses the architecture of the system-of-interest in accordance with an architecture viewpoint (or simply, viewpoint). [ISO/IEC/IEEE 42010:2011(E)].

Commented [AM283]: UAF12-58
Figure 9:214 - View

**Viewpoint**

**Package:** Summary & Overview

**isAbstract:** No

**Generalization:** PropertySet

**Description**

Conventions for the creation, interpretation and use of an architecture view to frame one or more concerns that governs the creation of views. An architecture viewpoint frames (to formulate or construct in a particular style or language) one or more concerns. A concern can be framed by more than one viewpoint. [ISO/IEC/IEEE 42010:2011(E)].

Figure 9:215 - Viewpoint

**Attributes**

- **language**: String[*] The languages used to express the Viewpoint.
- **method**: String[*] The methods employed in the development of the Viewpoint.
- **purpose**: String[0..1] The purpose of the Viewpoint.
**45.4.39.1.3** Domain MetaModel::Strategic

**Domain MetaModel::Strategic::Motivation**

**Challenge**

- **Package:** Motivation
- **isAbstract:** No
- **Generalization:** MotivationalElement

**Description**

An existing or potential difficulty, circumstance, or obstacle which will require effort and determination from an enterprise to overcome in achieving its goals.

**Driver**

- **Package:** Motivation
- **isAbstract:** No
- **Generalization:** MotivationalElement

**Description**

A factor which will have a significant impact on the activities, and goals of an enterprise.
**Enables**

Package: Motivation

isAbstract: No

Generalization: MeasurableElement

Description

A tuple used to denote that an Opportunity provides the means for achieving an EnterpriseGoal or Objective.

**ImpactedBy**

Package: Motivation

isAbstract: No

Generalization: MeasurableElement

Description

A tuple used to denote that a Capability is affected by an Opportunity.

**MotivatedBy**

Package: Motivation

isAbstract: No

Generalization: MeasurableElement

Description

A tuple denoting the reason or reasons one has for acting or behaving in a particular way.
**MotivationalElement**

*Package:* Motivation  
*isAbstract:* Yes  
*Generalization:* PropertySet  

Description:  
An abstract kind of element in the model that provides the reason or reasons one has for acting or behaving in a particular way.

**Opportunity**

*Package:* Motivation  
*isAbstract:* No  
*Generalization:* MotivationalElement, PhaseableElement, AffectableElement  

Description:  
An existing or potential favorable circumstance or combination of circumstances which can be advantageous for addressing enterprise Challenges.
Figure 9.47 - Opportunity

**PresentedBy**

**Package:** Motivation  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** MeasurableElement

**Description**

A tuple denoting that a Challenge must be overcome for addressing a Driver.

Figure 9.48 - PresentedBy

**Domain MetaModel:/:Strategic:/:Taxonomy**

**Capability**

**Package:** Taxonomy  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** PropertySet, Desirer, PhaseableElement, AffectableElement, SubjectOfStrategicConstraint  
**Generalization:** PropertySet, Desirer

**Description**

An enterprise's ability to achieve a desired effect realized through a combination of ways and means (e.g., CapabilityConfigurations) along with specified measures.

A high level specification of the enterprise's ability to execute a specified course of action.
**Figure 9.27 - Capability**

**CapabilityGeneralization**

*Package:* Taxonomy

*isAbstract:* No

**Generalization:** PropertySetGeneralization

**Description**

A CapabilityGeneralization is a taxonomic relationship between a more general Capability and a more specific Capability.
**EnterpriseGoal**

**Package:** Structure

**isAbstract:** No.

**Generalization:** PropertySet, PhaseableElement, AffectableElement, Requirement

**Description**

A statement about a state or condition of the enterprise to be brought about or sustained through appropriate Means. An EnterpriseGoal amplifies an EnterpriseVision that is, it indicates what must be satisfied on a continuing basis to effectively attain the EnterpriseVision. BMM: OMG dtc-13-08-24.
isAbstract: No
**Generalization:** EnterpriseGoal
**Description**
A statement of an attainable, time-targeted, and measurable target that the enterprise seeks to meet in order to achieve its Goals. http://www.omg.org/spec/BMM/1.3/.

Figure 9:51 - EnterpriseObjective

**EnterpriseVision**
**Package:** Structure
isAbstract: No
**Generalization:** PropertySet, PhaseableElement
**Description**
A Vision describes the future state of the enterprise, without regard to how it is to be achieved. BMM: OMG dtc-13-08-24.

Figure 9:35 - EnterpriseVision

**OwnsValue**
**Package:** Taxonomy
isAbstract: No
**Generalization:** MeasurableElement
**Description**
An tuple denoting that an ActualOrganizationalResource owns a ValueItem.

Figure 9:52 - OwnsValue
**PhaseableElement**

**Package:** Taxonomy  
**isAbstract:** Yes  
**Generalization:** UAFElement  
**Description**

An abstract element that indicates the types of elements that can be assigned to a specific ActualStrategicPhase.

**StrategicAsset**

**Package:** Taxonomy  
**isAbstract:** Yes  
**Generalization:** Asset  
**Description**

An abstract element that indicates the types of strategic elements that can be affected by Risk.

**EnterprisePhaseStrategicPhase**

**Package:** Structure  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** PropertySet  
**Description**
A type of a current or future state of the enterprise, mission, ValueStream, or EnduringTask. A type of a current or future state of the enterprise.

Figure 9.34 - EnterprisePhase|StrategicPhase

ValueItem

Package: Taxonomy
isAbstract: No
Generalization: MeasurementSet, StrategicAsset
Description
An ideal, custom, or institution that an enterprise promotes or agrees with. It may be positive or negative, depending on point of view.
**VisionStatement**

**Package:** StructureTaxonomy  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** MeasurableElement

**Description**
A type of comment that describes the future state of the enterprise, without regard to how it is to be achieved. BMM: OMG dtc-13-08-24.

**WholeLifeEnterprise**

**Package:** StructureTaxonomy  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** EnterprisePhase  ActualEnterprisePhase

**Description**
A WholeLifeEnterprise is a purposeful endeavor of any size involving people, organizations and supporting systems. It is made up of TemporalParts and StructuralParts.
Domain MetaModel::Strategic::Structure

**ActualEnduringTask**

- **Package:** Structure
- **isAbstract:** No
- **Generalizations:** CapableElement, ActualPropertySet

**Description:** An actual undertaking recognized by an enterprise as being essential to achieving its goals – i.e. a strategic specification of what the enterprise does.

**ActualEnterprisePhase**

- **Package:** Structure
- **isAbstract:** No
- **Generalizations:** CapableElement, ActualPropertySet, Achiever

**Description:** An individual that describes the phase of an actual enterprise endeavor.

**CapabilityRole**

- **Package:** Structure
**CapabilityRole**

*Package: Structure*

*isAbstract: No*

**Generalization:** PropertySet, Desirer, MeasurableElement

**Description**

A high level specification of the enterprise’s ability to execute a specified course of action.

![CapabilityRole Diagram]

**EnduringTask**

*Package: Structure*

*isAbstract: No*

**Generalization:** PropertySet

**Description**

A type of template behavior recognized by an enterprise as being essential to achieving its goals - i.e. a template for a strategic specification of what the enterprise does.

![EnduringTask Diagram]

**EnterpriseGoal**

*Package: Structure*

*isAbstract: No*

**Generalization:** PropertySet

**Description**

A statement about a state or condition of the enterprise to be brought about or sustained through appropriate Means. An EnterpriseGoal amplifies an EnterpriseVision that is, it indicates what must be satisfied on a continuing basis to effectively attain the EnterpriseVision. BMM: OMG dtc-13-08-24.
**EnterpriseGoal**

**Description**
A description of the usefulness of the Goal in terms of why the state or condition of the Enterprise is worth attaining.

**Attributes**
- **benefits**: String[0..*] (ordered)

**Generalization**: PropertySet

**Figure 9.33 - EnterpriseGoal**

---

**EnterpriseVision**

**Package: Structure**
- isAbstract: No

**Description**
A Vision describes the future state of the Enterprise, without regard to how it is to be achieved. BMM: OMG ds-13-08-24.

**Generalization**: PropertySet

**Figure 9.35 - EnterpriseVision**

---

**EnterprisePhase**

**Package: Structure**
- isAbstract: No

**Description**
A type of a current or future state of the Enterprise.

**Generalization**: PropertySet

---
Structure Part

Package: Structure
isAbstract: No

Generalization: MeasurableElement
Description
Usage of a StrategicPhase in the context of another StrategicPhase. It asserts that one StrategicPhase is a spatial part of another. Creates a whole-part relationship that represents the structure of the StrategicPhase.

Temporal Part

Package: Structure
isAbstract: No

Generalization: MeasurableElement
Description
Usage of a StrategicPhase in the context of another StrategicPhase. It asserts that one StrategicPhase is a temporal part of another. Creates a whole-part relationship that represents the temporal structure of the StrategicPhase.
A current or future state of the wholeLifeEnterprise or another EnterprisePhase.

**Figure 9:37 - TemporalPart**

**VisionStatement**
- **Package:** Structure
- **isAbstract:** No
- **Generalization:** MeasurableElement
- **Description:** A type of comment that describes the future state of the enterprise, without regard to how it is to be achieved. BMM: OMG dtc-13-08-24.

**WholeLifeEnterprise**
- **Package:** Structure
- **isAbstract:** No
- **Generalization:** EnterprisePhase
- **Description:** A WholeLifeEnterprise is a purposeful endeavor of any size involving people, organizations and supporting systems. It is made up of TemporalParts and StructuralParts.

**Domain MetaModel::Strategic::Connectivity**

**CapabilityDependency**
- **Package:** Connectivity
isAbstract: No

**Generalization:** MeasurableElement

**Description**
A tuple that asserts that one CapabilityDependency is dependent from another.

**Figure 9:40 - CapabilityDependency**

**CapabilityRoleDependency**

**Package:** Connectivity

isAbstract: No

**Generalization:** MeasurableElement

**Figure 9:41 - CapabilityRoleDependency**

**StrategicExchange**

**Package:** Connectivity

isAbstract: No

**Generalization:** Exchange

**Description**
Asserts that a flow can exist between ActualStrategicPhases (i.e., flows of information, people, materiel, or energy).

**Figure 9:67 - StrategicExchange**
**StrategicExchangeItem**

Package: Connectivity
isAbstract: Yes
Generalization: Resource, ExchangeItem
Description
An abstract grouping for elements that defines the types of elements that can be exchanged between ActualStrategicPhases and conveyed by a StrategicExchange.

**Domain MetaModel::Strategic::Processes**

**ActualEnduringTask**

Package: Processes
isAbstract: No
Generalization: ActualStrategicPhase
Description
An actual undertaking recognized by an enterprise as being essential to achieving its goals - i.e. a strategic specification of what the enterprise does.

**ActualEnterprisePhase**

Package: Processes
isAbstract: No
Generalization: ActualStrategicPhase
Description
A time period within which a set of Capabilities are deployed. An individual that describes the phase of an actual enterprise endeavor.

Figure 9:29 - ActualEnduringTask

Figure 9:68 - ActualEnterprisePhase
**ActualStrategicPhase**

*Package: Processes*

*isAbstract: Yes*

*Generalization: Achiever, ActualPropertySet*

*Description*

A phase of an actual enterprise, mission, ValueStream or EnduringTask endeavor.

---

**Creates**

*Package: Processes*

*isAbstract: No*

*Generalization: MeasurableElement*

*Description*

A tuple used to denote that an ActualStrategicPhase brings into existence a StrategicAsset.
**EnterpriseMission**

**Package:** Processes  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** ActualEnterprisePhase  
**Description**  
Mission captures at a high level what you will do to realize your vision.

**Figure 9:71 - EnterpriseMission**

**ValueStream**

**Package:** Processes  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** ActualStrategicPhase  
**Description**  
An end-to-end collection of activities that create a result for a customer, who may be the ultimate customer or an internal end-user of the value stream. Value stream nested within another value stream may represent Value Stream Stage - a distinct, identifiable phase or step within a value stream [The Business Architecture Metamodel Guide, 2020]

**Figure 9:72 - ValueStream**

**Domain MetaModel::Strategic::States**

**Achiever**

**Package:** States  
**isAbstract:** Yes  
**Generalization:** UAFElement  
**Description**  
An ActualResource, ActualProject or ActualStrategicPhase, ActualEnterprisePhase that can deliver a DesiredEffect.
Figure 9:43 - Achiever

Package: States

isAbstract: No

Generalization: MeasurableElement

Description

A tuple that exists between an ActualState (e.g., observed/measured during testing) of an element that attempts to achieve a DesiredEffect and an Achiever.
**ActualEffect**

**Package:** States  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** ActualPropertySet  
**Description**  
A real world phenomenon that follows and is caused by some previous phenomenon.

---

**ActualOutcome**

**Package:** States  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** ActualEffect  
**Description**  
An individual that describes something that happens or is produced as the final consequence or product and is related to one of the goals for the business or enterprise. Outcome is a special kind of effect, one that is usually at the end of a chain of effects, i.e. an "end effect".
**ActualEffect**

**ActualOutcome**

**DesiredEffect**

**Desirer**

---

**DesiredEffect**

*Package: States*

*isAbstract: Yes*

*Generalization:* MeasurableElement

*Description:*

A tuple relating a Desirer (a Capability or OrganizationalResource) to an ActualState.

---

*Commented [KG349]: UAF12-48 Renamed to Desires and moved down to reflect the alphabetic ordering.*

---

*Commented [KG350]: UAF12-48*
Figure 9:45 - Desirer

DesireDesiredEffect

Package: States
isAbstract: No
Generalization: MeasurableElement
Description
A tuple relating the Desirer (a Capability or OrganizationalResource) to an ActualState.
**Effect**

**Description**
A kind of phenomenon that follows and is caused by some previous phenomenon that could lead to downstream effects or to one or more desired outcomes.

**Package:** States

**isAbstract:** No

**Generalization:** MotivationalElement

---

**Figure 9:44 - Desires**

**Desires**

- **MotivationalElement**
  - **ActualEffect**
  - **Desire**
  - **ActualState**

**Figure 9:79 - Effect**

**Effect**

- **MotivationalElement**
  - **ActualEffect**
**Domain MetaModel::Strategic::Information**

**MapsToGoal**

*Package: Information*
*isAbstract: No*
*Generalization: MeasurableElement*
*Description*
A tuple denoting that some StrategicInformation contributes to achieving an EnterpriseGoal or Objective.

![](image)

**Figure 9.80 - MapsToGoal**

**StrategicInformation**

*Package: Information*
*isAbstract: No*
*Generalization: StrategicExchangeItem, StrategicAsset*
*Description*
Knowledge communicated or received concerning a particular fact or circumstance that is strategic in nature that is important or essential in relation to a plan of action.

**Domain MetaModel::Strategic::Constraints**

**StrategicConstraint**

*Package: Constraints*
*isAbstract: No*
*Generalization: Rule*
*Description*
A Rule governing a Capability.

**Commented [KG356]: UAF12-02**
Figure 9: StrategicConstraint

**SubjectOfStrategicConstraint**

**Package:** Constraints
**isAbstract:** Yes
**Generalization:** UAFElement

**Description**
An abstract grouping of elements that can be the subject of a StrategicConstraint.

---

**Domain MetaModel::Strategic::Traceability**

**EvokedBy**

**Package:** Traceability
**isAbstract:** No
**Generalization:** MeasurableElement

**Description**
A tuple used to denote that a Risk is drawn out by an Opportunity.
**CapabilityForTask**

*Package:* Traceability  
*isAbstract:* No  
*Generalization:* MeasurableElement  

**Description**
A tuple that asserts that a Capability is required in order for an Enterprise to conduct a phase of an EnduringTask.

**Figure 9:46 - CapabilityForTask**

**Exhibits**

*Package:* Traceability  
*isAbstract:* No  
*Generalization:* MeasurableElement  

**Description**
A tuple that exists between a CapableElement and a Capability that it meets under specific environmental conditions.

**Figure 9:48 - Exhibits**

**MapsToCapability**

*Package:* Traceability  
*isAbstract:* No  
*Generalization:* MeasurableElement  

**Description**
A tuple that asserts that a Capability is required in order for an Enterprise to conduct a phase of an EnduringTask.
Description
A tuple denoting that an Activity contributes to providing a Capability.

Figure 9:49 - MapsToCapability

Package: Traceability
isAbstract: No
Generalization: MeasurableElement

Description
A tuple relating an ActualOrganization to an ActualStrategicPhase ActualEnterprisePhase to denote that the ActualOrganization plays a role or is a stakeholder in an ActualStrategicPhase ActualEnterprisePhase.

Figure 9:50 - OrganizationInPhaseOrganizationInEnterprise

45.4.49.1.4 Domain MetaModel::Operational

Domain MetaModel::Operational::Taxonomy

ArbitraryConnector
Package: Taxonomy
isAbstract: No
Generalization: MeasurableElement
Description
Represents a visual indication of a connection used in high level operational concept diagrams.

**Figure 9:51 - ArbitraryConnector**

**ConceptItem**
- **Package:** Taxonomy
- **isAbstract:** Yes
- **Generalization:** `UAFElement`
- **Description:** An abstract type which represents some part played by an asset or location in a `HighLevelOperationalConceptAbstract`, an item which may feature in a `HighLevelOperationalConcept`.

**Figure 9:52 - ConceptItem**

**HighLevelOperationalConcept**
- **Package:** Taxonomy
- **isAbstract:** No
- **Generalization:** `PropertySet`
- **Description:** Describes the Resources and Locations required to meet an operational scenario from an integrated systems point of view. It is used to communicate overall quantitative and qualitative system characteristics to stakeholders.
**Domain MetaModel::Operational::Structure**

**KnownResource**

- **Package:** Structure
- **isAbstract:** No
- **Generalization:** OperationalPerformer, ResourcePerformer

**Description**

Asserts that a known ResourcePerformer constrains the implementation of the OperationalPerformer that plays the role in the OperationalArchitecture.

**OperationalAgent**

- **Package:** Structure
- **isAbstract:** Yes
- **Generalization:** SubjectOfOperationalConstraint, CapableElement, OperationalAsset, Desirer

**Description**

An abstract type grouping OperationalArchitecture and OperationalPerformer.
OperationalArchitecture

Package: Structure

isAbstract: No

Generalization: OperationalAgent, Architecture

Description

A type used to denote a model of the Architecture, described from the Operational perspective.
**OperationalMethod**

*Package:* Structure  
*isAbstract:* No  

**Generalization:** ProcessOperation  
**Description**  
A behavioral feature of an OperationalAgent whose behavior is specified in an OperationalActivity.

**OperationalParameter**

*Package:* Structure  

**Unified Architecture Framework (UAF) Domain Metamodel Version 1.21**
isAbstract: No

**Generalization:** ProcessParameter

Description

A type that represents inputs and outputs of an OperationalActivity. It is typed by an OperationalExchangeItem.

**OperationalPerformer**

**Package:** Structure

isAbstract: No

**Generalization:** OperationalAgent

Description

A logical entity that IsCapableToPerform OperationalActivities which produce, consume and process Resources.

**OperationalRole**

**Package:** Structure

isAbstract: No

**Generalization:** LocationHolder, AssetRole, InteractionRole

Description

Usage of a OperationalPerformer or OperationalArchitecture in the context of another OperationalPerformer or OperationalArchitecture. Creates a whole-part relationship.
Figure 9:60 - OperationalRole

**ProblemDomain**

**Package:** Structure  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** OperationalRole  
**Description**  
A property associated with an OperationalArchitecture, used to specify the scope of the problem.

Figure 9:61 - ProblemDomain

**Domain MetaModel::Operational::Connectivity**

**OperationalConnector**

**Package:** Connectivity  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** AssetRole, MeasurableElement  
**Description**  
A Connector that goes between OperationalRoles representing a need to exchange Resources. It can carry a number of OperationalExchanges.
Figure 9:62 - OperationalConnector

OperationalExchange

Package: Connectivity
isAbstract: No
Generalization: Exchange, SubjectOfOperationalConstraint

Description
Asserts that a flow can exist between OperationalPerformers (i.e. flows of information, people, materiel, or energy).
Figure 9.63 - OperationalExchange

Attributes

- trustLevel : Real[0..1] Captures the directional arbitrary level of trust related to an OperationalExchange between two OperationalPerformers.

OperationalExchangeItem

Package: Connectivity

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: Resource, SubjectOfSecurityConstraint, ExchangeItem

Description

An abstract grouping for elements that defines the types of elements that can be exchanged between OperationalPerformers and conveyed by an OperationalExchange.
Figure 9:64 - OperationalExchangeItem

**OperationalInterface**

**Package:** Connectivity  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** PropertySet  
**Description**  
A declaration that specifies a contract between the OperationalPerformer it is related to, and any other OperationalPerformers it can interact with.

Figure 9:65 - OperationalInterface

**OperationalPort**

**Package:** Connectivity  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** MeasurableElement  
**Description**  
An interaction point for an OperationalAgent through which it can interact with the outside environment and which is defined by an OperationalInterface.
OperationalSignal

**Package:** Connectivity

**isAbstract:** No

**Generalization:** SubjectOfOperationalConstraint, OperationalExchangeItem

**Description**
An item of information that flows between OperationalPerformers and is produced and consumed by the OperationalActivities that the OperationalPerformers are capable of performing (see IsCapableToPerform).

---

Domain MetaModel::Operational::Processes

**OperationalActivity**

**Package:** Processes

**isAbstract:** No

**Generalization:** SubjectOfOperationalConstraint, Process

**Description**
An Activity that captures a logical process, specified independently of how the process is carried out.
Figure 9:68 - OperationalActivity

**OperationalActivity**

**Package:** Processes

**isAbstract:** No

**Generalization:** ProcessUsage

**Description**

A call of an OperationalActivity in the context of another OperationalActivity.
Figure 9:69 - OperationalActivityAction

**OperationalActivityEdge**

**Package**: Processes  
**isAbstract**: Yes  
**Generalization**: ProcessEdge  

**Description**  
A tuple that shows the flow of Resources (objects/information) between OperationalActivityActions.

Figure 9:70 - OperationalActivityEdge

**StandardOperationalActivity**

**Package**: Processes  
**isAbstract**: No  
**Generalization**: OperationalActivity  

**Description**  
A sub-type of OperationalActivity that is a standard operating procedure.
Domain MetaModel::Operational::States

OperationalStateDescription
Package: States
isAbstract: No
Generalization: MeasurableElement, StateDescription
Description
A state machine describing the behavior of an OperationalPerformer, depicting how the OperationalPerformer responds to various events and the actions.

Domain MetaModel::Operational::Sequences Interaction Scenarios

OperationalInteractionScenario
Package: Sequences Interaction Scenarios
isAbstract: No
Generalization: InteractionScenario
Description
A specification of the interactions between OperationalPerformers in an OperationalArchitecture.
OperationalMessage

**Package:** Interaction Scenarios

**isAbstract:** No

**Generalization:** InteractionMessage

**Description**

Message for use in an OperationalInteractionScenario which carries any of the subtypes of OperationalExchange.

---

**Domain MetaModel::Operational::Information**

**Package:** Information

**isAbstract:** No

**Generalization:** SubjectOfOperationalConstraint, OperationalAsset, OperationalExchangeItem, ServiceExchangeItem

**Description**

An item of information that flows between OperationalPerformers and is produced and consumed by the OperationalActivities that the OperationalPerformers are capable to perform (see IsCapableToPerform).
Domain MetaModel::Operational::Constraints

OperationalConstraint

Package: Constraints

isAbstract: No

Generalization: Rule

Description

A Rule governing an operational architecture element i.e. OperationalPerformer, OperationalActivity, OperationalInformation, InformationElement etc.

SubjectOfOperationalConstraint

Package: Constraints

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: UAFElement

Description

An abstract type grouping elements that can be the subject of an OperationalConstraint.
Figure 9.77 - SubjectOfOperationalConstraint


Concerns: specifications of services required to exhibit a Capability.

Definition: shows Service Specifications and required and provided service levels of these specifications required to exhibit a Capability or to support an Operational Activity.

Domain MetaModel::Services::Taxonomy

ServiceSpecification

Package: Taxonomy
isAbstract: No

Generalization: PropertySet, VersionedElement, CapableElement, Asset

Description
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A mechanism to enable access to one or more capabilities, where the access is provided using a prescribed service interface and is exercised consistent with service constraints and policies. The specification of a set of functionality provided by one element for the use of others.
An element used to denote a model of the Architecture, described from the Services perspective.

A ServiceGeneralization is a taxonomic relationship between a more general Service and a more specific Service.

A ServiceSpecificationGeneralization is a taxonomic relationship between a more general ServiceSpecification and a more specific ServiceSpecification.

A channel for exchange between two ServiceSpecifications. Where one acts as the consumer of the other.
**Figure 9.80 - ServiceConnector**

**ServiceMethod**

**Package:** Structure  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** ProcessOperation  
**Description:**  
A behavioral feature of a ServiceServiceSpecification whose behavior is specified in a ServiceFunction.
**ServiceMethod**

- **Package:** Structure
- **isAbstract:** No
- **Generalization:** ProcessParameter
- **Description:** A type that represents inputs and outputs of a ServiceFunction, represents inputs and outputs of a ServiceSpecification.

**Commented [KG391]:** UAF12-33

**Commented [KG392]:** UAF12-33
Figure 9.82 - ServiceParameter

**Package:** Structure

**isAbstract:** No

**Generalization:** MeasurableElement, InteractionRole

**Description:** A behavioral feature of a ServiceSpecification whose behavior is specified in a ServiceFunction.
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Figure 9: ServiceRoleServiceSpecificationRole

Domain MetaModel::Services::Connectivity

ServiceConnector

Package: Structure
isAbstract: No
Generalization: AssetRole
Description
A channel for exchange between two Service. Where one acts as the consumer of the other.

Figure 9:120 - ServiceConnector
**ServiceExchange**

**Package:** Connectivity  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** Exchange  
**Description:**  
Asserts that a flow can exist between Services (i.e., flows of information, people, materiel, or energy).

**ServiceExchangeItem**

**Package:** Connectivity  
**isAbstract:** Yes  
**Generalization:** Resource, ExchangeItem  
**Description:**  
An abstract grouping for elements that defines the types of elements that can be exchanged between Services and conveyed by a ServiceExchange.

**ServiceInterface**

**Package:** Connectivity  
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**ServiceInterface**

**Package:** Connectivity  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** MeasurableElement

**Description:**
An interaction point for a Service through which it can interact with the outside environment and which is defined by a ServiceInterface.

---

**Figure 9:84 - ServiceInterface**

**Service**

**Package:** Connectivity  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** MeasurableElement

**Description:**
A contract that defines the ServiceMethods and ServiceSignals that the Service realizes. A contract that defines the ServiceMethods and ServiceMessageHandlers that the ServiceSpecification realizes.
An interaction point for a ServiceSpecification through which it can interact with the outside environment and which is defined by a ServiceInterface.

**Figure 9:85 - ServicePort**

**ServiceSignal**

- **Package:** Connectivity
- **isAbstract:** No
- **Generalization:** ServiceExchangeItem
- **Description:**
  A specification of a kind of communication between Services in which a reaction is asynchronously triggered in the receiver without a reply.

**Figure 9:128 - ServiceSignal**

**ServiceSignalProperty**

- **Package:** Connectivity
- **isAbstract:** No
- **Description:**
  A property of a ServiceSignal typed by ServiceExchangeItem. It enables ServiceExchangeItem e.g. OperationalInformation to be passed as arguments of the ServiceSignal.
Domain MetaModel::Services::Processes

**ServiceFunction**

**Package:** Processes  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** Process  
**Description**  
An Activity that describes the abstract behavior of ServiceSpecifications, regardless of the actual implementation.

**ServiceFunctionAction**

**Package:** Processes  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** ProcessUsage  
**Description**  
A call of a ServiceFunction in the context of another ServiceFunction.

**ServiceFunctionEdge**

**Package:** Processes  
**Description**  
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isAbstract: Yes

**Generalization:** ProcessEdge

**Description**
A tuple that shows the flow of Resources (objects/information) between OperationalActivityActions.

**Figure 9:88 - ServiceFunctionEdge**

**Domain MetaModel::Services::States**

**ServiceStateDescription**

Package: States
isAbstract: No

**Generalization:** MeasurableElement, StateDescription

**Description**
A state machine describing the behavior of a ServiceServiceSpecification, depicting how the ServiceServiceSpecification responds to various events and the actions.

**Figure 9:89 - ServiceStateDescription**
Domain MetaModel::Services::Sequences Interaction Scenarios

ServiceInteractionScenario

- Package: Interaction Scenarios
- isAbstract: No
- Generalization: InteractionScenario

Description:
A specification of the interactions between ServiceSpecifications.

Figure 9:90 - ServiceInteractionScenario

ServiceMessage

- Package: Sequences Interaction Scenarios
- isAbstract: No
- Generalization: InteractionMessage

Description:
Message for use in a services interaction scenario which carries any of the subtypes of ServiceExchangeMessage for use in a Service Event-Trace.
Figure 9.91 - ServiceMessage

Domain MetaModel::Services::Constraints

**ServiceContract**

- **Package:** Constraints
- **isAbstract:** No
- **Generalization:** Rule
- **Description:**
A constraint governing the use of one or more Services.
**ServicePolicy**

**Package:** Constraints

**isAbstract:** No

**Generalization:** Rule

**Description**

A constraint governing the use of one or more `ServiceSpecification`

---

**GovernedBy**

**Package:** Traceability

**isAbstract:** No

**Generalization:** MeasurableElement

**Description**

A tuple that exists between the ServiceContract and the Service that it governs.
**MeasurableElement**

**GovernedBy**

- governedService (1)
- governingContract (1)

**Service**

**OperationalActivity**

**MeasurableElement**

**Supports**

- supportingService (1)
- supportedActivity (1)

**Service**

**OperationalActivity**

**MeasurableElement**

**Consumes**

- consumingActivity (1)
- consumedService (1)

**ServiceSpecification**

**Package:** Traceability

**isAbstract:** No

**Generalization:** MeasurableElement

**Description:**
A tuple that asserts that a service in some way contributes or assists in the execution of an OperationalActivity
A tuple that asserts that an OperationalActivity makes use of a service.

---

**15.1.69.1.6 Domain MetaModel::Personnel**

**Stakeholders:** Human resources, Solution Providers, PMs.

**Concerns:** Human factors.

**Definition:** aims to clarify the role of Human Factors (HF) when creating architectures in order to facilitate both Human Factors Integration (HFI) and systems engineering (SE).

---

**Domain MetaModel::Personnel::Taxonomy**

**Organization**

**Package:** Taxonomy
isAbstract: No

**Generalization:** OrganizationalResource

**Description**
A group of OrganizationalResources (Persons, Posts, Organizations and Responsibilities) associated for a particular purpose.

**Figure 9:94 - Organization**

**OrganizationalResource**

**Package:** Taxonomy

isAbstract: Yes

**Generalization:** PhysicalResource, Stakeholder

**Description**
An abstract type for Organization, Person, Post and Responsibility.

**Figure 9:95 - OrganizationalResource**

**Person**

**Package:** Taxonomy
**Generalization:** OrganizationalResource

**Description**
A type of a human being used to define the characteristics that need to be described for ActualPersons (e.g. properties such as address, telephone number, nationality, etc).

**Post**

**Package:** Taxonomy

**Generalization:** OrganizationalResource

**Description**
A type of job title or position that a person can fill (e.g. Lawyer, Solution Architect, Machine Operator or Chief Executive Officer).

**Responsibility**

**Package:** Taxonomy

**Generalization:** OrganizationalResource

**Description**
The type of duty required of a Person or Organization.
**Domain MetaModel::Personnel::Structure**

**PostRole**

- **Package:** Structure
- **isAbstract:** No
- **Generalization:** OrganizationalResource, ResourceRole

**Description**

A usage of a post in the context of another OrganizationalResource. Creates a whole-part relationship.

![Diagram of PostRole](image)

**Figure 9:99 - PostRole**

**SubOrganization**

- **Package:** Structure
- **isAbstract:** No
- **Generalization:** OrganizationalResource, ResourceRole

**Description**

A type of a human being used to define the characteristics that need to be described for ActualPersons (e.g. properties such as address, telephone number, nationality, etc).

![Diagram of SubOrganization](image)

**Figure 9:100 - SubOrganization**

**Domain MetaModel::Personnel::Connectivity**

**Command**

- **Package:** Connectivity
- **isAbstract:** No
- **Generalization:** ResourceExchange

**Description**
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A type of ResourceExchange that asserts that one OrganizationalResource commands another.

**Figure 9:101 - Command**

**Control**

**Package:** Connectivity

**isAbstract:** No

**Generalization:** ResourceExchange

**Description:**
A type of ResourceExchange that asserts that one PhysicalResource controls another PhysicalResource (i.e., the driver of a vehicle controlling the vehicle speed or direction).
Figure 9:102 - Control

**Domain MetaModel::Personnel:: Sequences Interaction Scenarios**

ResourceInteractionScenario

- **Package:** Sequences Interaction Scenarios
- **isAbstract:** No
- **Generalization:** InteractionScenario

**Description**

A specification of the interactions between ResourcePerformers in a ResourceArchitecture.
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Figure 9:103 - ResourceInteractionScenario
Domain MetaModel::Personnel::Constraints

Competence

Package: Constraints
isAbstract: No
Generalization: PropertySet, SubjectOfForecast
Description
A specific set of abilities defined by knowledge, skills and aptitude.

![Competence Diagram](https://example.com/competence_diagram.png)

CompetenceForRole

Package: Constraints
isAbstract: No
Generalization: MeasurableElement
Description
A tuple used to associate an organizational role with a specific set of required competencies.

![CompetenceForRole Diagram](https://example.com/competence_for_role_diagram.png)

RequiresCompetence

Package: Constraints
isAbstract: No
Generalization: MeasurableElement
Description
A tuple that asserts that an ActualOrganizationalResource is required to have a specific set of Competencies.
**Domain MetaModel::Personnel::Roadmap**

**FillsPost**

Package: Roadmap  
IsAbstract: No  
Generalization: MeasurableElement  
Description  
A tuple that asserts that an ActualPerson fills an ActualPost.

**Domain MetaModel::Personnel::Traceability**

**CompetenceToConduct**

Package: Traceability  
IsAbstract: No  
Generalization: MeasurableElement  
Description  
A tuple used to associate a Function with a specific set of Competencies needed to conduct the Function.
**Domain MetaModel::Resources**

**CapabilityConfiguration**

**Package:** Taxonomy

**isAbstract:** No

**Generalization:** ResourceArchitecture

**Description**

A composite structure representing the physical and human resources (and their interactions) in an enterprise, assembled to meet a capability.

**NaturalResource**

**Package:** Taxonomy

**isAbstract:** No

**Generalization:** PhysicalResource

**Description**

Type of physical resource that occurs in nature such as oil, water, gas or coal.

**PhysicalResource**
An abstract type defining physical resources (i.e. OrganizationalResource, ResourceArtifact and NaturalResource).

**Figure 9:111 - PhysicalResource**

**ResourceArchitecture**

- **Package:** Taxonomy
- **isAbstract:** No
- **Generalization:** ResourcePerformer, Architecture

Description
A type used to denote a model of the Architecture, described from the ResourcePerformer perspective.

**Figure 9:112 - ResourceArchitecture**

**ResourceArtifact**

- **Package:** Taxonomy
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isAbstract: No

**Generalization:** PhysicalResource

Description
A type of man-made object that contains no human beings (i.e. satellite, radio, petrol, gasoline, etc.).

**ResourcePerformer**

**Package:** Taxonomy

isAbstract: Yes

**Generalization:** ResourceExchangeItem, SubjectOfResourceConstraint, OperationalExchangeItem, SubjectOfForecast, CapableElement, Desirer, VersionedElement, ResourceAsset, ServiceExchangeItem, StrategicExchangeItem

Description
An abstract grouping of elements that can perform Functions.
Figure 9: ResourcePerformer

Attributes
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isStandardConfiguration : Boolean  Indicates if the ResourcePerformer is StandardConfiguration, default=false.

**ResourceService**

**Package:** Taxonomy

**isAbstract:** No

**Generalization:** ResourcePerformer

**Description:**
A service that a ResourcePerformer provides to support higher level Services or OperationalActivities. Employee provisioning, backup and recovery, storage, self-service help desk are examples of ResourceServices.

**Figure 9:115 - ResourceService**

**Software**

**Package:** Taxonomy

**isAbstract:** No

**Generalization:** ResourceArtifact

**Description:**
A sub-type of ResourceArtifact that specifies an executable computer program.

**Figure 9:115 - Software**

**System**

**Package:** Taxonomy

**isAbstract:** No

**Generalization:** ResourceArchitecture

**Description:**
An integrated set of elements, subsystems, or assemblies that accomplish a defined objective. These elements include products (hardware, software, firmware), processes, people, information, techniques, facilities, services, and other support elements (INCOSE SE Handbook V4, 2015).
**Domain MetaModel::Resources::Structure**

### ResourceMethod

**Package:** Structure  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** ProcessOperation  

**Description**  
A behavioral feature of a ResourcePerformer whose behavior is specified in a Function.

### ResourceParameter

**Package:** Structure  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** ProcessParameter  

**Description**  
A type that represents inputs and outputs of a Function. It is typed by a ResourceInteractionItem.
**ResourcePort**

**Package:** Structure  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** ProtocolImplementation, MeasurableElement  

**Description**  
An interaction point for a ResourcePerformer through which it can interact with the outside environment and which is defined by a ResourceInterface.

**ResourceRole**

**Package:** Structure  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** SubjectOfResourceConstraint, LocationHolder, AssetRole, InteractionRole  

**Description**  
Figure 9:120 - ResourceRole

Domain MetaModel::Resources::Connectivity

ResourceConnector

Package: Connectivity

isAbstract: No

Generalization: ProtocolImplementation, AssetRoleMeasurableElement

Description

A channel for exchange between two ResourceRoles.
Figure 9.121 - ResourceConnector

ResourceExchange

Package: Connectivity
isAbstract: No
Generalization: Exchange

Description

Asserts that a flow can exist between ResourcePerformers (i.e. flows of data, people, material, or energy).
Figure 9.122 - ResourceExchange

**ResourceExchangeItem**

**Package:** Connectivity

**isAbstract:** Yes

**Generalization:** Resource, SubjectOfSecurityConstraint, ExchangeItem

**Description**

An abstract type grouping elements that defines the types of elements that can be exchanged between ResourcePerformers and conveyed by a ResourceExchange.
Figure 9:123 - ResourceExchangeItem

ResourceExchangeItem

Resource

SubjectOfSecurityConstraint

ResourcePerformer

GeoPoliticalExtentType

ResourceInformation

ResourceExchange

/function

ResourceParameter

ResourceSignal

DataElement
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**ResourceInterface**

**Package:** Connectivity  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** PropertySet  

**Description**

A declaration that specifies a contract between the ResourcePerformers it is related to and any other ResourcePerformers it can interact with. It is also intended to be an implementation of a specification of an Interface in the Business and/or Service layer.

![Diagram of ResourceInterface](image_url)

**ResourceServiceInterface**

**Package:** Structure  
**isAbstract:** No  
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**Generalization:** ResourceInterface

**Description**
A contract that defines the ResourceMethods and ResourceSignal receptions that the ResourceServices realize.

---

**ResourceSignal**

**Package:** Connectivity

**isAbstract:** No

**Generalization:** ResourceExchangeItem

**Description**
A property of an element representing something in the physical world, expressed in amounts of a unit of measure.

---

**Domain MetaModel::Resources::Processes**

**Function**

**Package:** Processes

**isAbstract:** No

**Generalization:** SubjectOfResourceConstraint, Process

**Description**
An Activity which is specified in the context to the ResourcePerformer (human or machine) that IsCapableToPerform it.
**Function**

**Package:** Processes  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** ProcessUsage  
**Description**  
A call of a Function indicating that the Function is performed by a ResourceRole in a specific context.
Figure 9:127 - FunctionAction

**FunctionEdge**

**Package:** Processes  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** ProcessEdge  
**Description**  
A tuple that shows the flow of Resources (objects/data) between FunctionActions.
Domain MetaModel::Resources::States

ResourceStateDescription
Package: States
isAbstract: No
Generalization: MeasurableElement, StateDescription
Description
A state machine describing the behavior of a ResourcePerformer, depicting how the ResourcePerformer responds to various events and the actions.

StateDescription MeasurableElement

ResourceStateDescription
stateDescription *
subject 0..1
ResourcePerformer

Domain MetaModel::Resources::SequencesInteractionScenarios

ResourceMessage
Package: SequencesInteractionScenarios
isAbstract: No
Generalization: InteractionMessage
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Description

Domain MetaModel::Resources::Information

Package: Information
isAbstract: No
Generalization: SubjectOfResourceConstraint, ResourceAsset, ResourceExchangeItem

Description
A formalized representation of data that is managed by or exchanged between resources.
**DataRole**

- **Package:** Information
- **Abstract:** No
- **Generalization:** AssetRole

**Description**

A usage of DataElement that exists in the context of an ResourceAsset. It also allows the representation of the whole-part aggregation of DataElements.

**Figure 9:131 - ResourceInformationDataElement**

**Figure 9:132 - DataRole**
Domain MetaModel::Resources::Constraints

ResourceConstraint
Package: Constraints
isAbstract: No
Generalization: Rule
Description
A rule governing the structural or functional aspects of an implementation.

SubjectOfResourceConstraint
Package: Constraints
isAbstract: Yes
Generalization: UAFElement
Description
An abstract type grouping elements that can be the subject of a ResourceConstraint.
Domain MetaModel::Resources::Roadmap

**Forecast**

**Package:** Roadmap

**isAbstract:** No

**Generalization:** MeasurableElement

**Description**
A tuple that specifies a transition from one Asset, Standard, Competence to another future one. It is related to an ActualStrategicPhase ActualEnterprisePhase to give it a temporal context.

**Figure 9:135 - Forecast**

**SubjectOfForecast**

**Package:** Roadmap

**isAbstract:** Yes

**Generalization:** UAFElement

**Description**
An abstract type grouping elements that can be the subject of a Forecast.

**Figure 9:136 - SubjectOfForecast**

**Technology**

**Package:** Roadmap

**isAbstract:** No

**Generalization:** ResourceArtifact

**Description**
A sub type of ResourceArtifact that indicates a technology domain, i.e. nuclear, mechanical, electronic, mobile telephony etc.
**VersionedElement**

**Package:** Roadmap  
**isAbstract:** Yes  
**Generalization:** UAFElement  

Description:

An abstract type grouping ResourcePerformer and Service, ServiceSpecification that allows VersionOfConfiguration to be related to ActualProjectMilestones.
isAbstract: No

**Generalization:** MeasurableElement

**Description**

A property of a WholeLifeConfiguration, used in version control of a VersionedElement. It asserts that a VersionedElement is a version of a WholeLifeConfiguration.

**Figure 9:139 - VersionOfConfiguration**

**VersionSuccession**

**Package:** Roadmap

isAbstract: No

**Generalization:** MeasurableElement

**Description**

A tuple between two VersionOfConfigurations that denotes that one VersionOfConfiguration follows from another.

**Figure 9:140 - VersionSuccession**

**WholeLifeConfiguration**

**Package:** Roadmap

isAbstract: No

**Generalization:** PropertySet

**Description**

A set of VersionedElements, e.g., Services for a service provider or ResourcePerformers deployed for implementation. A set of VersionedElements.
**Domain MetaModel::Resources::Traceability**

**ProtocolImplementation**

- **Package**: Traceability
- **isAbstract**: Yes
- **Generalization**: UAFElement
- **Description**: An abstract type grouping architectural elements that can implement Protocols.

**Domain MetaModel::Security::Motivation**

**EnhancedSecurityControl**

- **Package**: MotivationProcesses
- **isAbstract**: No
- **Generalization**: SecurityControl
- **Description**: Statement of security capability to: (i) build in additional but related, functionality to a basic control; and/or (ii) increase the strength of a basic control.
Enhances
Package: MotivationProcesses
isAbstract: No
Generalization: MeasurableElement
Description
A tuple relating the EnhancedSecurityControl to a SecurityControl.

Figure 9:153 - ProtectsInContext
Package: MotivationProcesses
isAbstract: No
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Generalization: MeasurableElement
Description
A tuple that relates a SecurityControlAction to a OperationalRole, or a ResourceRole. It indicates that SecurityControl is required to protect an Asset in a specific context or configuration.

Figure 9:154 - ProtectsInContext

SecurityControl
Package: MotivationConstraints
isAbstract: No
Generalization: MeasurableElement
Description
The management, operational, and technical control (i.e., safeguard or countermeasure) prescribed for an information system to protect the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of the system and its information [NIST SP 800-53].

Figure 9:165 - SecurityControl
SecurityControlFamily

Package: ConstraintsMotivation
isAbstract: No
Generalization: SecurityControl
Description
An element that organizes security controls into a family. Each Security Control Family contains security controls related to the general security topic of the family.

Figure 9.166 - SecurityControlFamily

Domain MetaModel::Security::Taxonomy

Asset
Package: Taxonomy
isAbstract: Yes
Generalization: SubjectOfForecast, ConceptItem, LocationHolder, PropertySet, SubjectOfSecurityConstraint, AffectableElement
Description
An abstract element that indicates the types of elements that can be affected by Risk. Asset as applied to Security views is an abstract element that indicates the types of elements that can be considered as a subject for security analysis.
Asset as applied to Security Views, an abstract type that indicates the types of elements that can be considered as a subject for security analysis.

**Figure 9:143 - Asset**

**OperationalAsset**

- **Package:** Taxonomy
- **isAbstract:** Yes
- **Generalization:** Asset

Description:
An abstract element used to group the elements of OperationalAgent and OperationalInformation allowing them to own OperationalInformationRoles.
**Figure 9:144 - OperationalAsset**

**OperationalMitigation**

**Package:** Taxonomy  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** OperationalArchitecture  
**Description**  
A set of OperationalPerformers intended to address against specific operational risks.

**Figure 9:145 - OperationalMitigation**

**ResourceAsset**  
**Package:** Taxonomy  
**isAbstract:** Yes  
**Generalization:** Asset  
**Description**  
An abstract element used to group the elements of ResourcePerformer and ResourceInformation allowing them to own ResourceInformationRoles.
ResourceAsset

**Package:** Taxonomy

**isAbstract:** No

**Generalization:** ResourceArchitecture

**Description**
A set of ResourcePerformers intended to address against specific risks.

SecurityEnclave

**Package:** Taxonomy

**isAbstract:** No

**Generalization:** ResourceArchitecture

**Description**
Collection of information systems connected by one or more internal networks under the control of a single authority and security policy. The systems may be structured by physical proximity or by function, independent of location.
Domain MetaModel::Security::Structure

AssetRole

Package: Structure
isAbstract: Yes
Generalization: BPMN2Metamodel::ResourceRole, SubjectOfSecurityConstraint, MeasurableElement

Description
An abstract element that indicates the types of elements that can be affected by Risk in the particular context. AssetRole, as applied to Security views, is an abstract element that indicates the type of elements that can be considered as a subject for security analysis in the particular context.
AssetRole as applied to Security views, an abstract element that indicates the type of elements that can be considered as a subject for security analysis in the particular context.

Figure 9:149 - AssetRole

Package: Structure
isAbstract: No
Generalization: AssetRole
Description
A usage of OperationalInformationInformationElement that exists in the context of an OperationalAsset. It also allows the representation of the whole-part aggregation of OperationalInformationInformationElements.
**ResourceInformationRole**

**Package:** InformationStructure

**isAbstract:** No

**Generalization:** AssetRole

**Description:**
A usage of ResourceInformation that exists in the context of a ResourceAsset. It also allows the representation of the whole-part aggregation of ResourceInformation elements.

**Figure 9:150** - ResourceInformationRole

**Figure 9:132** - ResourceInformationRole
Domain MetaModel::Security::Processes

EnhancedSecurityControl

Package: Processes
isAbstract: No
Generalization: SecurityControl
Description
Statement of security capability to: (i) build in additional but related functionality to a basic control; and/or (ii) increase the strength of a basic control.

Figure 9.151 - EnhancedSecurityControl

Enhances

Package: Processes
isAbstract: No
Generalization: MeasurableElement
Description
A tuple relating the EnhancedSecurityControl to a SecurityControl.

MeasurableElement

Figure 9.152 - Enhances

Protects

Package: Processes
isAbstract: No
Generalization: MeasurableElement
Description
A tuple that asserts that a SecurityControl is required to protect an Asset.
Figure 9.153 - Protects
ProtectsInContext
Package: Processes
isAbstract: No
Generalization: MeasurableElement
Description
A tuple that relates a SecurityControlAction to a OperationalRole, or a ResourceRole, to indicate that SecurityControl is required to protect an Asset in a specific context or configuration.

Figure 9.154 - ProtectsInContext

SecurityProcess
Package: Processes
isAbstract: No
Generalization: OperationalActivity, Function, SubjectOfSecurityConstraint
Description
The security-related procedure that satisfies the security control requirement.
SecurityProcessAction
Package: Processes
isAbstract: No
Generalization: OperationalActivityAction, FunctionAction
Description

Figure 9.156 - SecurityProcessAction

Domain Metamodel::Security::Constraints

ActualRisk
Package: Constraints
isAbstract: No
Generalization: ActualPropertySet
Description
Caveat

Package: Constraints
isAbstract: No

Generalization: SecurityConstraint

Description
A statement that details alternate conditions under which the rule is not valid.

Risk

Package: Constraints
isAbstract: No

Generalization: PropertySet

Description
A statement of the impact of an event on Assets. It represents a constraint on an Asset in terms of adverse effects, with an associated measure. The measure is used to capture the extent to which an entity is threatened by a potential circumstance or event. Risk is typically a function of: (i) the adverse impacts that would arise if the circumstance or event occurs; and (ii) the likelihood of occurrence.
**SecurityAvailability**

**Package:** Constraints  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** SecurityMeasurement  
**Description**
Details the potential impact on organization or individuals if the information is not available to those who need to access it.

![Diagram](image)

**SecurityCategory**

**Package:** Constraints  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** MeasurementSet  
**Description**
The security categories that have been determined for each type of information processed, stored, or transmitted by those information systems. The generalized format for expressing the security category (SC) of an information system is: SC information system = {(confidentiality, impact), (integrity, impact), (availability, impact)}.
**SecurityClassification**

**Package:** Constraints  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** SecurityMeasurement  
**Description**

Details a classification for the exchange.

![Diagram of SecurityClassification](image)

**SecurityClassificationKind**

**Package:** Constraints  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** MeasurableElement  
**Description**

A type that defines acceptable values for the security category (SC) of an information system, where the acceptable values for potential impact are low, moderate, or high.

![Diagram of SecurityClassificationKind](image)

**SecurityConstraint**

**Package:** Constraints  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** Rule  
**Description**

A type of rule that captures a formal statement to define access control policy language.
**SecurityControl**

*Package: Constraints*

*isAbstract: No*

**Generalization: MeasurableElement**

**Description**

The management, operational, and technical control (i.e., safeguard or countermeasure) prescribed for an information system to protect the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of the system and its information (NIST SP 800-53).

---

**Figure 9:165 - SecurityControl**

- **MeasurableElement**
  - **SecurityControl**
    - protectingControl 1 *
    - assetAtPerformerProtectedBy 1 *
    - mitigatingSecurityControl 1 *
    - satisfiedSecurityControl 1 *
    - control 1 *
    - mitigatingActualResource 1 *
    - securityControlFamilyInstance 1 *
  - **ActualResource**
  - **Protects**
  - **ProtectsInContext**
  - **Mitigates**
  - **Satisfy**
  - **Enhances**

---

**SecurityIntegrity**

*Package: Constraints*
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---
isAbstract: No

**Generalization:** SecurityMeasurement

**Description**
Details the potential impact on organization or individuals due to modification or destruction of information, and includes ensuring information non-repudiation and authenticity.

![SecurityIntegrity](image)

**Figure 9:167 - SecurityIntegrity**

**SecurityMeasurement**

**Package:** Constraints

isAbstract: Yes

**Generalization:** Measurement

**Description**
An abstract type grouping all types of security measurements (e.g. SecurityIntegrity, SecurityAvailability).

![SecurityMeasurement](image)

**Figure 9:168 - SecurityMeasurement**

**SubjectOfSecurityConstraint**

**Package:** Constraints

isAbstract: Yes

**Generalization:** UAFElement

**Description**
An abstract type grouping elements that can be the subject of a SecurityConstraint.

![SubjectOfSecurityConstraint](image)

**Figure 9:169 - SubjectOfSecurityConstraint**
**Domain MetaModel::Security::Traceability**

**Affects**
- **Package:** Traceability
- **isAbstract:** No
- **Generalization:** MeasurableElement
- **Description:**
  A tuple that asserts that a Risk is applicable to an Asset.

![Affects Diagram](image)

**Figure 9.170 — Affects**

**AffectsInContext**
- **Package:** Traceability
- **isAbstract:** No
- **Generalization:** MeasurableElement
- **Description:**
  A tuple that asserts that a Risk is applicable to an AssetRole in the specific context or configuration.

![AffectsInContext Diagram](image)

**Figure 9.171 — AffectsInContext**

**Mitigate**
- **Package:** Traceability
- **isAbstract:** No
- **Generalization:** MeasurableElement
- **Description:**
  A tuple relating a Security Control to a Risk. Mitigation is established to manage risk and could be represented as an overall strategy or through techniques (mitigation configurations) and procedures (SecurityProcesses).
Figure 9.172 – Mitigates

**OwnsRisk**

*Package: Traceability, isAbstract: No, Generalization: MeasurableElement*

Description

A tuple relating a Risk to an organizational resource that is responsible for executing the risk mitigation.

Figure 9.173 – OwnsRisk

**OwnsRiskInContext**

*Package: Traceability, isAbstract: No, Generalization: MeasurableElement*

Description

A tuple relating a Risk to an organizational role that is responsible for executing the risk mitigation in the specific context or configuration.

Figure 9.174 – OwnsRiskInContext
### Domain MetaModel::Projects

**Project**

- **Package:** Taxonomy
- **isAbstract:** No
- **Generalization:** OrganizationalResource

**Description:**

A type that represents a planned endeavor executed by an ActualOrganization responsible for developing, deploying or decommissioning ResourcePerformers in accordance with ActualProjectMilestones.

**Figure 9:175 - Project**

**ProjectMilestone**

- **Package:** Taxonomy
- **isAbstract:** No
- **Generalization:** PropertySet

**Description:**

A type of event in a Project by which progress is measured.

**Figure 9:176 - ProjectMilestone**
**ActualProjectMilestoneRole**

**Package:** Structure  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** ActualState  

Description:  
An ActualProjectMilestone that is applied to a ProjectMilestoneRole.

![ActualProjectMilestoneRole diagram](image)

**ProjectMilestoneRole**

**Package:** Structure  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** MeasurableElement  

Description:  
The role played by a ProjectMilestone in the context of a Project.

![ProjectMilestoneRole diagram](image)

**ProjectStatus**

**Package:** Structure  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** ActualState  

Description:  
The status (i.e. level of progress) of a ProjectTheme for an ActualProject at the time of the ActualProjectMilestone.
A property of a ProjectMilestone that captures an aspect by which the progress of ActualProjects may be measured.

An enumerated type that specifies a status for a ProjectTheme.

Description

A property of a ProjectMilestone that captures an aspect by which the progress of ActualProjects may be measured.

A property of a ProjectMilestone that captures an aspect by which the progress of ActualProjects may be measured.
Figure 9.181 - StatusIndicators

Domain MetaModel::Projects::Connectivity

MilestoneDependency
Package: Connectivity
isAbstract: No
Generalization: Sequence MeasurableElement
Description
A tuple between two ActualProjectMilestones that denotes one ActualProjectMilestone follows from another.

Figure 9.182 - MilestoneDependency

ProjectSequence
Package: Connectivity
isAbstract: No
Generalization: Sequence
Description
A tuple between two ActualProjects that denotes one ActualProject cannot start before the previous ActualProject is finished.
**Domain MetaModel::Projects::Processes**

**ProjectActivity**

- **Package:** Processes
- **isAbstract:** No
- **Generalization:** Function, Process

**Description**

An activity carried out during a project.

**Figure 9:183 - ProjectActivity**

---

**ProjectActivityAction**

- **Package:** Processes
- **isAbstract:** No
- **Generalization:** FunctionAction

**Description**

The ProjectActivityAction is defined as a call behavior action that invokes the activity that needs to be performed.
Figure 9.184 - ProjectActivityAction

**Domain MetaModel::Projects::Interaction Scenarios**

**ActualProject**

Package: Roadmap

isAbstract: No

Generalization: ActualOrganizationalResource, Achiever

Description

A time-limited planned endeavor executed by an ActualOrganization responsible for developing, deploying or decommissioning ResourcePerformers in accordance with ActualProjectMilestones.

A time-limited endeavor to provide a specific set of ActualResources that meet specific Capability needs.

Figure 9.186 - ActualProject

**ActualProjectMilestone**

Package: Roadmap

isAbstract: No

Generalization: ActualPropertySet

Description

An event with a start date in a ActualProject from which progress is measured.
Figure 9:187 - ActualProjectMilestone
Constraints

[1] startTime=endTime

Domain MetaModel::Projects::Traceability

ResponsibleFor
Package: Traceability
isAbstract: No
Generalization: MeasurableElement
Description
A tuple between an ActualResponsibleResource and an ActualResponsibility or ActualProject. It defines the duties that the ActualResponsibleResource is ResponsibleFor.

Figure 9:188 - ResponsibleFor

45.1.549.1.10 Domain MetaModel::Standards
Concerns: technical and non-technical Standards applicable to the architecture.
Definition: shows the technical, operational, and business Standards applicable to the architecture. Defines the underlying current and expected Standards.
Domain MetaModel::Standards::Taxonomy

Protocol
Package: Taxonomy
isAbstract: No
Generalization: Standard
Description
A Standard for communication over a network. Protocols may be composite, represented as a ProtocolStack made up of ProtocolLayers.

Figure 9:189 - Protocol

ProtocolStack
Package: Taxonomy
isAbstract: No
Generalization: Protocol
Description
A sub type of Protocol that contains the ProtocolLayers, defining a complete stack.

Figure 9:190 - ProtocolStack

Standard
Package: Taxonomy
isAbstract: No
Generalization: SubjectOfForecast, PropertySet
Description
A ratified and peer-reviewed specification that is used to guide or constrain the architecture. A Standard may be applied to any element in the architecture.
Figure 9:191 - Standard
Attributes
  mandatedDate : ISO8601DateTime[0..1]  The date when this version of the Standard was published.
  retiredDate : ISO8601DateTime[0..1]  The date when this version of the Standard was retired.

Domain MetaModel::Standards::Structure

ProtocolLayer
Package: Structure
isAbstract: No
Generalization: MeasurableElement
Description

Figure 9:192 - ProtocolLayer

45.4.529.1.11 Domain MetaModel::Actual Resources

Stakeholders: Solution Providers, Systems Engineers, Business Architects, Human Resources.
Concerns: the analysis e.g. evaluation of different alternatives, what-if, trade-offs, V&V on the actual resource configurations.
Definition: illustrates the expected or achieved actual resource configurations and actual relationships between them.

Domain MetaModel::Actual Resources::Taxonomy

ActualOrganization
Package: Taxonomy
isAbstract: No
Generalization: ActualResponsibleResource
Description
An actual formal or informal organizational unit, e.g. "Driving and Vehicle Licensing Agency", "UAF team Alpha".

Figure 9:193 - ActualOrganization

Attributes
- serviceType : String [0..1]  Service office code or symbol
- shortName : String [0..1]  String providing a simplified means of identifying an ActualOrganization, i.e. SoftWareGroup could use SWG as the shortName.

ActualOrganizationalResource

Package: Taxonomy
isAbstract: Yes
Generalization: ActualResource, Stakeholder

Description
Abstract element for an ActualOrganization, ActualPerson or ActualPost.
**ActualPerson**

**Package:** Taxonomy  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** ActualResponsibleResource  
**Description**  
An individual human being.

**ActualResponsibleResource**

**ActualPerson**

**FillsPost**

**Stakeholder**

**ActualOrganizationalResource**

**ActualResourceRelationship**

**ProvidesCompetence**

**OwnsValue**

**ActualProject**

**ActualResource**
ActualPost
Package: Taxonomy
isAbstract: No
Generalization: ActualResponsibleResource
Description
An actual, specific post, an instance of a Post "type" - e.g., "President of the United States of America." where the Post would be president.

ActualResource
Package: Taxonomy
isAbstract: No
Generalization: ActualPropertySet, SubjectOfResourceConstraint, Achiever, CapableElement
Description

ActualResourceRelationship
Package: Taxonomy
isAbstract: No
Generalization: UAFElement
Description
An actual resource flow existing between ActualResources (i.e. flow of data, people, materiel, or energy).
ActualResponsibility

**Package:** Taxonomy

**isAbstract:** No

**Generalization:** ActualOrganizationalResource

**Description:**
An actual duty required of a Person or Organization.

ActualResponsibleResource

**Package:** Taxonomy

**isAbstract:** Yes

**Generalization:** ActualOrganizationalResource

**Description:**
An abstract type grouping responsible OrganizationalResources.
Figure 9:200 - ActualResponsibleResource

**FieldedCapability**

- **Package:** Taxonomy
- **isAbstract:** No
- **Generalization:** ActualResource

Description:
An individual, fully-realized capability.

Figure 9:201 - FieldedCapability

**Domain MetaModel::Actual Resources::Constraints**

**ActualService**

- **Package:** Constraints
- **isAbstract:** Yes
- **Generalization:** ActualMeasurementSet, CapableElement

Description:
An individual ServiceSpecification.
Figure 9:202 - ActualService

**ProvidedServiceLevel**

- **Package:** Constraints
- **isAbstract:** No
- **Generalization:** ActualService
- **Description**
  A sub type of ActualService that details a specific service level delivered by the provider.

Figure 9:203 - ProvidedServiceLevel

**ProvidesCompetence**

- **Package:** Constraints
- **isAbstract:** No
- **Generalization:** MeasurableElement
- **Description**
  A tuple that asserts that an ActualOrganizationResource provides a specific set of Competencies.
**RequiredServiceLevel**

**Package:** Constraints

**isAbstract:** No

**Generalization:** ActualService

**Description**
A sub type of ActualService that details a specific service level required of the provider.

**Domain MetaModel::Actual Resources::Traceability**

**OwnsProcess**

**Package:** Traceability

**isAbstract:** No

**Generalization:** MeasurableElement

**Description**
A tuple denoting that an ActualOrganizationResource owns an OperationalActivity.
15.1.53 **Domain MetaModel::Dictionary**

**Stakeholders:** Architects, users of the architecture, Capability Owners, Systems Engineers, Solution Providers,

**Concerns:** Definitions for all the elements in the architecture, libraries of environments and measurements,

**Definition:** Presents all the elements used in an architecture. Can be used specifically to capture:
- a. elements and relationships that are involved in defining the environments applicable to capability, operational concept or set of systems.
- b. measurable properties that can be used to support analysis such as KPIs, MoEs, TPIs etc.

**Recommended Implementation:** Tabular format, SysML Block Definition Diagram.

**Alias**

- **Package:** Dictionary
- **isAbstract:** No
- **Generalization:** MeasurableElement

**Description**

A metamodel Artifact used to define an alternative name for an element.

![Diagram](image)

**Attributes**

- **nameOwner : String[*]** Someone or something that uses this alternative name.

**Definition**

- **Package:** Dictionary
- **isAbstract:** No
- **Generalization:** MeasurableElement

**Description**

A comment containing a description of an element in the architecture.

![Diagram](image)

**Attributes**

- **author : String[*]** The original or current person (architect) responsible for the Definition.
**SameAs**

Package: Dictionary  
\hspace{1cm}isAbstract: No.  
\hspace{1cm}Generalization: MeasurableElement  
\hspace{1cm}Description: A tuple that asserts that two elements refer to the same real-world thing.

Figure 9:208 - SameAs

**15.1.92 Domain MetaModel::Summary & Overview**

**ArchitecturalDescription**

Package: Summary & Overview  
\hspace{1cm}isAbstract: No.  
\hspace{1cm}Generalization: MeasurableElement  
\hspace{1cm}Description: An Architecture Description is a work product used to express the Architecture of some System Of Interest.  
\hspace{1cm}It provides executive level summary information about the architecture description in a consistent form to allow quick reference and comparison between architecture descriptions. It includes assumptions, constraints, and limitations that may affect high level decisions relating to an architecture-based work program.
**Figure 9.209 – ArchitecturalDescription Attributes**

- **approvalAuthority**: String[*] - Someone or something that has the authority to approve the ArchitecturalDescription.
- **architect**: String[*] - Someone responsible for the creation of ArchitecturalDescription.
- **assumptionAndConstraint**: String[*] - Any assumptions, constraints, and limitations contained in the ArchitecturalDescription, including those affecting deployment, communications performance, information assurance environments, etc.
- **creatingOrganization**: String[*] - The organization responsible for creating the ArchitecturalDescription.
- **dateCompleted**: String[0..1] - Date that the ArchitecturalDescription was completed.
- **methodologyUsed**: String[*] - The methodology used in developing the architecture.
- **purpose**: String[*] - Explains the need for the Architecture, what it will demonstrate, the types of analyses that will be applied to it, who is expected to perform the analyses, what decisions are expected to be made on the basis of each form of analysis, who is expected to make those decisions, and what actions are expected to result.
- **recommendations**: String[*] - States the recommendations that have been developed based on the architecture effort. Examples include recommended system implementations, and opportunities for technology insertion.
- **status**: String[*] - Approval status of the architecture.
- **summaryOfFindings**: String[*] - Summarizes the findings that have been developed so far. This may be updated several times during the development of the ArchitecturalDescription.
- **toolsUsed**: String[*] - Identifies any tools used to develop the ArchitecturalDescription as well as file names and formats if appropriate.
- **toBe**: Boolean[1] - Indicates whether the ArchitecturalDescription represents an Architecture that exists or will exist in the future.
- **version**: String[*] - Version number of the architecture.
**Architecture**

- **isAbstract**: Yes
- **Generalization**: UAFElement
- **Description**: An abstract type that represents a generic architecture. Subtypes are OperationalArchitecture and PhysicalArchitecture.

**Concern**

- **isAbstract**: No
- **Generalization**: PropertySet
- **Description**: Interest in an EnterprisePhase (EnterprisePhase is synonym for System in ISO 42010) relevant to one or more of its stakeholders.

**Stakeholder**

- **isAbstract**: Yes
- **Generalization**: UAFElement
- **Description**: Individual, team, organization, or classes thereof, having an interest in an EnterprisePhase [ISO/IEC/IEEE 42010:2011].
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**Figure 9.212 – Stakeholder**

**UAFElement**

**Package: Summary & Overview**

**isAbstract: Yes**

**Abstract super type for all of the UAF elements. It provides a way for all of the UAF elements to have a common set of properties.**

**Figure 9.213 – UAFElement Attributes**

- **URI**: String [0..1] (Captures Unique identifier for the element)
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**View**

Package: Summary & Overview

isAbstract: No

Generalization: PropertySet

Description

An architecture view expresses the architecture of the system-of-interest in accordance with an architecture viewpoint (or simply, viewpoint). [ISO/IEC/IEEE 42010:2011(E)].

**Figure 9.214 - View**

**Viewpoint**

Package: Summary & Overview

isAbstract: No

Generalization: PropertySet

Description

An architecture viewpoint frames (to formulate or construct in a particular style or language) one or more concerns. A concern can be framed by more than one viewpoint. [ISO/IEC/IEEE 42010:2011(E)].

**Figure 9.215 - Viewpoint**

**Attributes**

language : String[*]

The languages used to express the Viewpoint.

method : String[*]

The methods employed in the development of the Viewpoint.

purpose : String[0..1]

The purpose of the Viewpoint.

---

**Domain MetaModel::Information**

**DataModel**

Package: Information

isAbstract: No

Generalization: SubjectOfOperationalConstraint, SubjectOfResourceConstraint

Description

A structural specification of data types, showing relationships between them. The type of data captured in the DataModel is described using the enumeration DataModelKind (Conceptual, Logical and Physical).
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**Domain MetaModel::Parameters**

**ActualCondition**

**Package:** Parameters  
**isAbstract:** No  
**Generalization:** ActualPropertySet

**Description**
An individual describing an actual situation with respect to circumstances under which an OperationalActivity, Function or ServiceFunction can be performed.
ActualEnvironment

Package: Parameters
isAbstract: No
Generalization: ActualCondition

Description
An individual that describes the circumstances of an Environment.
ActualLocation
Package: Parameters
isAbstract: No
Generalization: ActualCondition
Description
An individual that describes a physical location, for example using text to provide an address, Geo-coordinates, etc.

Attributes
- address : String[0..1]
  String describing the address of the ActualLocation, i.e. "1600 Pennsylvania avenue", "The White House"
- customKind : String[0..1]
  String describing a location kind that is not in the LocationKind enumerated list
- locationNamedByAddress : Boolean
  Boolean that indicates if the ActualLocation address is embedded in the ActualLocation name. By default = false.

ActualMeasurement
Package: Parameters
isAbstract: No
Generalization: ActualState
Description
An actual value that is applied to a Measurement.
Figure 9.220 - ActualMeasurement

ActualMeasurementSet

**Package:** Parameters

**isAbstract:** No

**Generalization:** ActualPropertySet

**Description**

A set of ActualMeasurements.

Figure 9.221 - ActualMeasurementSet

ActualPropertySet

**Package:** Parameters

**isAbstract:** No

**Generalization:** ActualState

**Description**

A set or collection of Actual properties.
Figure 9:222 - ActualPropertySet

**ActualRisk**

- **Package:** ParametersConstraints
- **isAbstract:** No

**Generalization:** ActualPropertySet

**Description**
ActualState
Package: Parameters
isAbstract: Yes
Generalization: UAFElement
Description
Abstract element that applies temporal extent to a set of elements realized as Instance Specifications.

Figure 9.252 - ActualRisk

ActualRisk

Figure 9.251 - ActualState

AffectableElement
Package: Parameters
isAbstract: Yes
Generalization: UAFElement
Description
An abstract grouping of elements that can be affected by Risk.
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Figure 9:254 - AffectableElement

Affects

Package: Parameters  
isAbstract: No  
Generalization: MeasurableElement  
Description  
A tuple that asserts that a Risk is applicable to an Asset.

Figure 9:255 - Affects

AffectsInContext

Package: Parameters  
isAbstract: No  
Generalization: MeasurableElement  
Description  
A tuple that asserts that a Risk is applicable to an AssetRole in the specific context or configuration.

Figure 9:256 - AffectsInContext

Condition

Package: Parameters  
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isAbstract: No

**Generalization:** PropertySet

**Description**

A type that defines the Location, Environment and/or GeoPoliticalExtent.

---

**Figure 9:224 - Condition**

**Environment**

**Package:** Parameters

isAbstract: No

**Generalization:** Condition

**Description**

A definition of the environmental factors in which something exists or functions. The definition of an Environment element can be further defined using EnvironmentKind.

---

**Figure 9:225 - Environment**

**EnvironmentProperty**

**Package:** Structure

isAbstract: No

**Generalization:** MeasurableElement

**Description**

A property of an Environment that is typed by a Condition. The kinds of Condition that can be represented are Location, GeoPoliticalExtentType and Environment.
GeoPoliticalExtentType

Package: Parameters
isAbstract: No
Generalization: Condition, OperationalExchangeItem, ResourceExchangeItem, StrategicExchangeItem

Description
A type of geospatial extent whose boundaries are defined by declaration or agreement by political parties.
Figure 9.226 - GeoPoliticalExtentType

Attributes
  customKind : String[]  Captures the kind of GeopoliticalExtentType.

ISO8601DateTime

Package: Parameters
isAbstract: No
Generalization: UAFElement
Description
A date and time specified in the ISO8601 date-time format including timezone designator (TZD): YYYY-MM-DDThh:mm:ssTZD.
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Location

Package: Parameters

isAbstract: No

Generalization: ConceptItem, Condition

Description

A specification of the generic area in which a LocationHolder is required to be located.

LocationHolder

Package: Parameters

isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: UAFElement

Description

Abstract type, used to group elements that are allowed to be associated with a Location.
**Figure 9.229 - LocationHolder**

**MeasurableElement**

- **Package:** Parameters
- **isAbstract:** Yes
- **Generalization:** UAFElement

**Description**

Abstract type, grouping elements that can be measured by applying MeasurementSets to them.
**Figure 9.230 - MeasurableElement**

**Measurement**

**Package:** Parameters

**isAbstract:** No

**Generalization:** MeasurableElement

**Description**

A property of an element representing something in the physical world, expressed in amounts of a unit of measure.
**Figure 9.231 - Measurement**

**MeasurementSet**

**Package:** Parameters

**isAbstract:** No

**Generalization:** PropertySet

**Description**

A collection of Measurements.

**Figure 9.232 - MeasurementSet**

**Mitigates**

**Package:** Parameters.Traceability

**isAbstract:** No

**Generalization:** MeasurableElement

**Description**

A tuple relating a Security Control to a Risk. Mitigation is established to manage risk and could be represented as an overall strategy or through techniques (mitigation configurations) and procedures (SecurityProcesses).
Figure 9:266 - Mitigates

**OwnsRisk**

- **Package:** ParametersTraceability
- **isAbstract:** No
- **Generalization:** MeasurableElement
- **Description:**
  A tuple relating a Risk to an organizational resource that is responsible for executing the risk mitigation.

Figure 9:267 - OwnsRisk

**OwnsRiskInContext**

- **Package:** ParametersTraceability
- **isAbstract:** No
- **Generalization:** MeasurableElement
- **Description:**
  A tuple relating a Risk to an organizational role that is responsible for executing the risk mitigation in the specific context or configuration.

Figure 9:268 - OwnsRiskInContext
PropertySet

Package: Parameters
isAbstract: Yes

Generalization: UAFElement

Description

An abstract type grouping architectural elements that can own Measurements.

Figure 9:233 - PropertySet

Risk

Package: ParametersConstraints
isAbstract: No
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**Generalization:** PropertySet

**Description**
A type that represents a situation involving exposure to danger of AffectableElements (e.g. Assets, Processes, Capabilities, Opportunities, or Enterprise Goals) where the effects of such exposure can be characterized in terms of the likelihood of occurrence of a given threat and the potential adverse consequences of that threat's occurrence.

![Risk Diagram](image_url)

**Figure 9:270 - Risk**