

Unified Profile for the Department of Defense Architecture Framework (DoDAF) and the Ministry of Defence Architecture Framework (MODAF) Version 2.0

OMG Document Number: dtc/2011-06-13, Submission

Standard Document URL: <http://www.omg.org/spec/UPDM/2.0>

Associated File(s): <http://www.omg.org/spec/UPDM/2.0/201106014>
<http://www.omg.org/spec/UPDM/2.0/201106015>

* original files: c4i/

Comments on the content of this document are welcome.

You may view the pending issues for this specification from the OMG revision issues web page
<http://www.omg.org/issues/>.

Copyright © 2003-2011, Adaptive

Copyright © 2003-2011, Advanced Systems Management Group Ltd

Copyright © 2003-2011, Atego (formerly Artisan Software Tools, Ltd.)

Copyright © 2003-2011, International Business Machines

Copyright © 2003-2011, Mega International

Copyright © 2003-2011, No Magic

Copyright © 2003-2011, Object Management Group, Inc.

Copyright © 2003-2011, Sparx Systems Pty Ltd

Copyright © 2003-2011, Visumpoint

USE OF SPECIFICATION - TERMS, CONDITIONS & NOTICES

The material in this document details an Object Management Group specification in accordance with the terms, conditions and notices set forth below. This document does not represent a commitment to implement any portion of this specification in any company's products. The information contained in this document is subject to change without notice.

LICENSES

The companies listed above have granted to the Object Management Group, Inc. (OMG) a nonexclusive, royalty-free, paid up, worldwide license to copy and distribute this document and to modify this document and distribute copies of the modified version. Each of the copyright holders listed above has agreed that no person shall be deemed to have infringed the copyright in the included material of any such copyright holder by reason of having used the specification set forth herein or having conformed any computer software to the specification.

Subject to all of the terms and conditions below, the owners of the copyright in this specification hereby grant you a fully-paid up, non-exclusive, nontransferable, perpetual, worldwide license (without the right to sublicense), to use this specification to create and distribute software and special purpose specifications that are based upon this specification, and to use, copy, and distribute this specification as provided under the Copyright Act; provided that: (1) both the copyright notice identified above and this permission notice appear on any copies of this specification; (2) the use of the specifications is for informational purposes and will not be copied or posted on any network computer or broadcast in any media and will not be otherwise resold or transferred for commercial purposes; and (3) no modifications are made to this specification. This limited permission automatically terminates without notice if you breach any of these terms or conditions. Upon termination, you will destroy

immediately any copies of the specifications in your possession or control.

PATENTS

The attention of adopters is directed to the possibility that compliance with or adoption of OMG specifications may require use of an invention covered by patent rights. OMG shall not be responsible for identifying patents for which a license may be required by any OMG specification, or for conducting legal inquiries into the legal validity or scope of those patents that are brought to its attention. OMG specifications are prospective and advisory only. Prospective users are responsible for protecting themselves against liability for infringement of patents.

GENERAL USE RESTRICTIONS

Any unauthorized use of this specification may violate copyright laws, trademark laws, and communications regulations and statutes. This document contains information which is protected by copyright. All Rights Reserved. No part of this work covered by copyright herein may be reproduced or used in any form or by any means--graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, taping, or information storage and retrieval systems--without permission of the copyright owner.

DISCLAIMER OF WARRANTY

WHILE THIS PUBLICATION IS BELIEVED TO BE ACCURATE, IT IS PROVIDED "AS IS" AND MAY CONTAIN ERRORS OR MISPRINTS. THE OBJECT MANAGEMENT GROUP AND THE COMPANIES LISTED ABOVE MAKE NO WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, WITH REGARD TO THIS PUBLICATION, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY OF TITLE OR OWNERSHIP, IMPLIED WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY OR WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE. IN NO EVENT SHALL THE OBJECT MANAGEMENT GROUP OR ANY OF THE COMPANIES LISTED ABOVE BE LIABLE FOR ERRORS CONTAINED HEREIN OR FOR DIRECT, INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, RELIANCE OR COVER DAMAGES, INCLUDING LOSS OF PROFITS, REVENUE, DATA OR USE, INCURRED BY ANY USER OR ANY THIRD PARTY IN CONNECTION WITH THE FURNISHING, PERFORMANCE, OR USE OF THIS MATERIAL, EVEN IF ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES.

The entire risk as to the quality and performance of software developed using this specification is borne by you. This disclaimer of warranty constitutes an essential part of the license granted to you to use this specification.

RESTRICTED RIGHTS LEGEND

Use, duplication or disclosure by the U.S. Government is subject to the restrictions set forth in subparagraph (c) (1) (ii) of The Rights in Technical Data and Computer Software Clause at DFARS 252.227-7013 or in subparagraph (c)(1) and (2) of the Commercial Computer Software - Restricted Rights clauses at 48 C.F.R. 52.227-19 or as specified in 48 C.F.R. 227- 7202-2 of the DoD F.A.R. Supplement and its successors, or as specified in 48 C.F.R. 12.212 of the Federal Acquisition Regulations and its successors, as applicable. The specification copyright owners are as indicated

above and may be contacted through the Object Management Group, 140 Kendrick Street, Needham, MA 02494, U.S.A.

TRADEMARKS

MDA®, Model Driven Architecture®, UML®, UML Cube logo®, OMG Logo®, CORBA® and XMI® are registered trademarks of the Object Management Group, Inc., and Object Management Group™, OMG™, Unified Modeling Language™, Model Driven Architecture Logo™, Model Driven Architecture Diagram™, CORBA logos™, XMI Logo™, CWM™, CWM Logo™, IIOP™, MOF™, OMG Interface Definition Language (IDL)™, and OMG SysML™ are trademarks of the Object Management Group. All other products or company names mentioned are used for identification purposes only, and may be trademarks of their respective owners.

COMPLIANCE

The copyright holders listed above acknowledge that the Object Management Group (acting itself or through its designees) is and shall at all times be the sole entity that may authorize developers, suppliers and sellers of computer software to use certification marks, trademarks or other special designations to indicate compliance with these materials.

Software developed under the terms of this license may claim compliance or conformance with this specification if and only if the software compliance is of a nature fully matching the applicable compliance points as stated in the specification. Software developed only partially matching the applicable compliance points may claim only that the software was based on this specification, but may not claim compliance or conformance with this specification. In the event that testing suites are implemented or approved by Object Management Group, Inc., software developed using this specification may claim compliance or conformance with the specification only if the software satisfactorily completes the testing suites.

OMG's Issue Reporting Procedure

All OMG specifications are subject to continuous review and improvement. As part of this process we encourage readers to report any ambiguities, inconsistencies, or inaccuracies they may find by completing the Issue Reporting Form listed on the main web page <http://www.omg.org>, under Documents, Report a Bug/Issue (<http://www.omg.org/technology/agreement.htm>).

PREFACE	17
ABOUT THE OBJECT MANAGEMENT GROUP	17
OMG SPECIFICATIONS	17
TYPOGRAPHICAL CONVENTIONS	18
ISSUES	19
PART I - OVERVIEW OF THE UML PROFILE FOR DODAF AND MODAF	20
1 SCOPE	21
2 COMPLIANCE	22
2.1 COMPLIANCE LEVELS	22
2.1.1 <i>Level 0 : Based on UML 2 and Partial SoaML Import</i>	24
2.1.2 <i>Level 1: Based on UML 2 and full SysML Import</i>	25
3 NORMATIVE REFERENCES	25
4 TERMS AND DEFINITIONS	27
5 SYMBOLS/ACRONYMS	28
6 ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	29
6.1 ADDITIONAL MATERIALS	29
6.1.1 <i>Statement of support from the DoD representative 19 May 2011</i>	30
6.1.2 <i>Statement of contribution from the MOD representative received 17 th May, 2011</i>	32
6.1.3 <i>Statement of support from the Swedish Armed Forces representative received 16 th May 2011</i> 32	
6.2 OVERVIEW OF THIS SPECIFICATION.....	34
6.2.1 <i>Intended Audience</i>	34
6.2.2 <i>Organization of this Specification</i>	34
6.3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	35
PART II - LANGUAGE ARCHITECTURE	38
7 LANGUAGE ARCHITECTURE	38
7.1 INTRODUCTION.....	38
7.2 PHILOSOPHY	38
7.3 CORE PRINCIPLES	39
7.4 PROFILE STRUCTURE	39
7.4.1 <i>Top level</i>	40
7.4.2 <i>Middle level</i>	40
7.4.3 <i>Low level</i>	42
7.5 REPRESENTING STEREOTYPE CONSTRAINTS	42
7.6 UML CONSTRAINT REPRESENTATION.....	46
7.7 IMPORTANT AREAS OF THE ARCHITECTURE.....	47
7.7.1 <i>Aliases</i>	47
7.7.2 <i>DoDAF 2.02 conformance</i>	47
7.7.3 <i>SoaML Reuse in L0</i>	48
7.7.4 <i>SysML Reuse in L1</i>	48

7.7.5	SOPES Reuse in L1	48
8	PART III – UPDM PROFILE	49
8.1	UPDM L1	49
8.2	UPDM L0	52
8.3	CORE	52
8.3.1	AcquisitionElements.....	52
8.3.1.1	Milestone.....	53
8.3.1.1.1	ActualProject.....	53
8.3.1.1.2	ActualProjectMilestoneRole	54
8.3.1.1.3	OrganizationalProjectRelationship.....	55
8.3.1.1.4	ProjectMilestoneRole.....	56
8.3.1.1.5	ProjectType.....	58
8.3.2	AllElements.....	59
8.3.2.1	Exchange.....	59
8.3.2.2	UPDMElement.....	60
8.3.2.3	Behavior.....	61
8.3.2.3.1	Activity.....	62
8.3.2.3.2	CapableElement.....	63
8.3.2.3.3	Implements.....	64
8.3.2.3.4	IsCapableOfPerforming.....	65
8.3.2.4	Environment.....	66
8.3.2.4.1	LocationKind.....	66
8.3.2.4.2	LocationTypeKind	67
8.3.2.4.3	ActualLocation	67
8.3.2.4.4	ConditionType.....	69
8.3.2.4.5	Environment	70
8.3.2.4.6	EnvironmentProperty	71
8.3.2.4.7	LocationHolder	72
8.3.2.4.8	LocationType	73
8.3.2.5	Measurements	75
8.3.2.5.1	ActualPropertySetKind	75
8.3.2.5.2	ActualMeasurement.....	75
8.3.2.5.3	ActualProperty.....	76
8.3.2.5.4	ActualPropertySet	78
8.3.2.5.5	Measurement.....	80
8.3.2.5.6	MeasurementSet	81
8.3.2.5.7	Property	83
8.3.2.5.8	PropertySet.....	84
8.3.2.6	Structure.....	85
8.3.2.6.1	ExchangeElementKind	85
8.3.2.6.2	RuleKind.....	85
8.3.2.6.3	ExchangeElement	86
8.3.2.6.4	Participant.....	87
8.3.2.6.5	Resource.....	88
8.3.2.6.6	Rule.....	89
8.3.2.7	Views.....	90
8.3.2.7.1	ArchitectureFrameworkKind.....	91
8.3.2.7.2	ArchitecturalDescription	91
8.3.2.7.3	ArchitecturalReference.....	94
8.3.2.7.4	ArchitectureMetadata.....	95
8.3.2.7.5	Metadata.....	95
8.3.2.7.6	View.....	97
8.3.2.7.7	Viewpoint.....	98
8.3.3	ExternalTypes.....	100

8.3.3.1	ISO8601DateTime.....	100
8.3.4	OperationalElements.....	101
8.3.4.1	Behavior.....	101
8.3.4.1.1	NodeOperation.....	101
8.3.4.1.2	OperationalActivity.....	103
8.3.4.1.3	OperationalActivityAction.....	104
8.3.4.1.4	OperationalActivityEdge.....	106
8.3.4.1.5	OperationalEventTrace.....	107
8.3.4.1.6	OperationalMessage.....	108
8.3.4.1.7	OperationalParameter.....	110
8.3.4.1.8	OperationalState.....	111
8.3.4.1.9	OperationalStateDescription.....	111
8.3.4.1.10	SubjectOfOperationalStateMachine.....	113
8.3.4.2	Data.....	113
8.3.4.2.1	LogicalDataModel.....	114
8.3.4.3	Flows.....	114
8.3.4.3.1	OperationalExchangeKind.....	115
8.3.4.3.2	Command.....	115
8.3.4.3.3	OperationalExchange.....	117
8.3.4.3.4	OperationalExchangeItem.....	119
8.3.4.4	Structure.....	120
8.3.4.4.1	ArbitraryConnector.....	120
8.3.4.4.2	Competence.....	121
8.3.4.4.3	ConceptItem.....	122
8.3.4.4.4	ConceptRole.....	123
8.3.4.4.5	HighLevelOperationalConcept.....	124
8.3.4.4.6	KnownResource.....	125
8.3.4.4.7	LogicalArchitecture.....	126
8.3.4.4.8	Mission.....	127
8.3.4.4.9	Needline.....	129
8.3.4.4.10	Node.....	130
8.3.4.4.11	NodeParent.....	132
8.3.4.4.12	NodePort.....	133
8.3.4.4.13	NodeRole.....	135
8.3.4.4.14	OperationalConstraint.....	136
8.3.4.4.15	SecurityDomain.....	137
8.3.4.4.16	SubjectOfOperationalConstraint.....	138
8.3.4.4.17	Organizational.....	138
8.3.4.4.17.1	Actual.....	139
8.3.4.4.17.1.1	ActualOrganization.....	139
8.3.4.4.17.1.2	ActualOrganizationalResource.....	140
8.3.4.4.17.1.3	ActualOrganizationRelationship.....	141
8.3.4.4.17.1.4	ActualOrganizationRole.....	143
8.3.4.4.17.1.5	ActualPerson.....	144
8.3.4.4.17.1.6	ActualPost.....	145
8.3.4.4.17.1.7	CompetenceProvider.....	147
8.3.4.4.17.1.8	FillsPost.....	147
8.3.4.4.17.2	Typical.....	149
8.3.4.4.17.2.1	CompetenceRequirer.....	149
8.3.4.4.17.2.2	Organization.....	150
8.3.4.4.17.2.3	OrganizationalResource.....	151
8.3.4.4.17.2.4	Person.....	152
8.3.4.4.17.2.5	Post.....	153
8.3.4.4.17.2.6	ProvidesCompetence.....	154
8.3.4.4.17.2.7	RequiresCompetence.....	155
8.3.4.4.17.2.8	Responsibility.....	156
8.3.5	ServiceElements.....	157

8.3.5.1	Behavior.....	157
8.3.5.1.1	ServiceFeature	158
8.3.5.1.2	ServiceFunction	159
8.3.5.1.3	ServiceFunctionAction	160
8.3.5.1.4	ServiceFunctionEdge	161
8.3.5.1.5	ServiceInteraction	163
8.3.5.1.6	ServiceMessage.....	164
8.3.5.1.7	ServiceMessageHandler.....	165
8.3.5.1.8	ServiceOperation	166
8.3.5.1.9	ServiceParameter	167
8.3.5.1.10	ServiceStateMachine	169
8.3.5.2	Structure.....	169
8.3.5.2.1	AsynchronousMessage.....	170
8.3.5.2.2	Request.....	170
8.3.5.2.3	Service	171
8.3.5.2.4	ServiceAttribute	172
8.3.5.2.5	ServiceInterface.....	173
8.3.5.2.6	ServiceLevelValue.....	175
8.3.5.2.7	ServiceLevelValueSet.....	176
8.3.5.2.8	ServicePolicy.....	177
8.3.5.2.9	ServicePort.....	178
8.3.6	<i>StrategicElements</i>	180
8.3.6.1	Structure.....	180
8.3.6.1.1	Capability.....	180
8.3.6.1.2	CapabilityProperty.....	182
8.3.6.1.3	DesiredState	183
8.3.6.1.4	Desirer.....	184
8.3.6.1.5	EnterpriseGoal	184
8.3.6.1.6	EnterprisePhase	185
8.3.6.1.7	EnterpriseVision	187
8.3.6.1.8	Exhibits.....	188
8.3.6.1.9	MapsToCapability.....	190
8.3.6.1.10	StructuralPart.....	192
8.3.6.1.11	TemporalPart.....	193
8.3.6.1.12	VisionStatement.....	194
8.3.7	<i>SystemsElements</i>	195
8.3.7.1	Behavior.....	195
8.3.7.1.1	Function.....	195
8.3.7.1.2	FunctionAction.....	197
8.3.7.1.3	FunctionEdge.....	198
8.3.7.1.4	ResourceEventTrace	199
8.3.7.1.5	ResourceMessage.....	200
8.3.7.1.6	ResourceOperation	201
8.3.7.1.7	ResourceParameter	203
8.3.7.1.8	ResourceState.....	204
8.3.7.1.9	ResourceStateMachine	205
8.3.7.2	Data.....	206
8.3.7.2.1	DataModel.....	206
8.3.7.2.2	PhysicalDataModel.....	207
8.3.7.3	Flows.....	208
8.3.7.3.1	ResourceInteraction.....	208
8.3.7.3.2	ResourceInteractionItem.....	209
8.3.7.4	Structure.....	210
8.3.7.4.1	MODAFRoleKind	210
8.3.7.4.2	CapabilityConfiguration.....	211
8.3.7.4.3	FieldedCapability	212

8.3.7.4.4	Forecast	214
8.3.7.4.5	Materiel	215
8.3.7.4.6	PhysicalArchitecture	216
8.3.7.4.7	PhysicalResource	217
8.3.7.4.8	ResourceArtifact	218
8.3.7.4.9	ResourceConnector	219
8.3.7.4.10	ResourceConstraint	220
8.3.7.4.11	ResourceInterface	221
8.3.7.4.12	ResourcePort	223
8.3.7.4.13	ResourceRole	224
8.3.7.4.14	Software	225
8.3.7.4.15	SubjectOfForecast	226
8.3.7.4.16	SubjectOfResourceConstraint	227
8.3.7.4.17	SystemResource	227
8.3.7.4.18	VersionOfConfiguration	229
8.3.7.4.19	WholeLifeConfiguration	231
8.3.8	TechnicalStandardsElements	231
8.3.8.1	Protocol	232
8.3.8.2	ProtocolImplementation	232
8.3.8.3	Standard	233
8.3.8.4	StandardConfiguration	235
8.3.8.5	Data	236
8.3.8.5.1	Details	236
8.3.8.5.2	EntityAttribute	237
8.3.8.5.3	EntityItem	238
8.3.8.5.4	EntityRelationship	239
8.4	DoDAF	240
8.4.1	AcquisitionElements	240
8.4.1.1	ActivityPartOfProject	241
8.4.1.2	Project	242
8.4.1.3	ProjectActivity	243
8.4.2	AllElements	244
8.4.2.1	InformationKind	244
8.4.2.2	Information	244
8.4.2.3	Behavior	246
8.4.2.3.1	ActivityPerformedByPerformer	246
8.4.2.4	Environment	247
8.4.2.4.1	GeoPoliticalExtentKind	247
8.4.2.4.2	GeoPoliticalExtentTypeKind	247
8.4.2.4.3	Condition	248
8.4.2.4.4	ConditionProperty	249
8.4.2.4.5	GeoPoliticalExtent	250
8.4.2.4.6	GeoPoliticalExtentType	252
8.4.2.4.7	Location	253
8.4.2.5	Measurements	254
8.4.2.5.1	Measure	254
8.4.2.5.2	MeasureType	255
8.4.3	OperationalElements	256
8.4.3.1	Structure	256
8.4.3.1.1	Performer	256
8.4.3.1.2	Organizational	257
8.4.3.1.2.1	IndividualPersonRole	257
8.4.3.1.2.2	Organization	258
8.4.3.1.2.3	OrganizationType	260
8.4.3.1.2.4	PersonType	261
8.4.3.1.2.5	Skill	261

8.4.3.1.2.6	SkillOfPersonType.....	262
8.4.4	ServiceElements.....	263
8.4.4.1	ServiceAccess.....	263
8.4.4.2	ServiceDescription.....	264
8.4.5	StrategicElements.....	265
8.4.5.1	ActivityPartOfCapability.....	265
8.4.5.2	CapabilityOfPerformer.....	266
8.4.5.3	DesiredEffect.....	267
8.4.5.4	Vision.....	269
8.4.6	SystemElements.....	270
8.4.6.1	Structure.....	270
8.4.6.1.1	System.....	270
8.4.7	TechnicalStandardsElements.....	271
8.4.7.1	FunctionalStandard.....	271
8.4.7.2	TechnicalStandard.....	271
8.4.7.3	Data.....	272
8.4.7.3.1	AssociationOfInformation.....	272
8.4.7.3.2	SecurityAttributesGroup.....	273
8.5	MODAF.....	274
8.5.1	AcquisitionElements.....	274
8.5.1.1	Milestones.....	274
8.5.1.1.1	ActualProjectMilestone.....	275
8.5.1.1.2	IncrementMilestone.....	276
8.5.1.1.3	MilestoneSequence.....	277
8.5.1.1.4	OutOfServiceMilestone.....	279
8.5.1.1.5	ProjectMilestone.....	280
8.5.1.1.6	ProjectOwnership.....	281
8.5.1.1.7	ProjectSequence.....	281
8.5.1.2	Structure.....	283
8.5.1.2.1	ProjectStatus.....	283
8.5.1.2.2	ProjectTheme.....	284
8.5.1.2.3	StatusIndicators.....	285
8.5.2	AllElements.....	286
8.5.2.1	Environment.....	286
8.5.2.1.1	Climate.....	286
8.5.2.1.2	LightCondition.....	287
8.5.2.2	Ontology.....	288
8.5.2.2.1	Alias.....	288
8.5.2.2.2	Definition.....	290
8.5.2.2.3	ExternalIndividual.....	291
8.5.2.2.4	ExternalTuple.....	292
8.5.2.2.5	ExternalTupleType.....	292
8.5.2.2.6	ExternalType.....	293
8.5.2.2.7	OntologyReference.....	294
8.5.2.2.8	Overlap.....	295
8.5.2.2.9	SameAs.....	295
8.5.2.2.10	StereotypeExtension.....	297
8.5.3	OperationalElements.....	298
8.5.3.1	Behavior.....	298
8.5.3.1.1	ActivitySubject.....	298
8.5.3.1.2	OwnsProcess.....	299
8.5.3.1.3	Process.....	300
8.5.3.1.4	StandardOperationalActivity.....	301
8.5.3.2	Flows.....	302
8.5.3.2.1	Control.....	302

8.5.3.3	Structure.....	303
8.5.3.3.1	Energy.....	303
8.5.3.3.2	ProblemDomain.....	304
8.5.3.3.3	Trustline.....	305
8.5.3.3.4	Organizational.....	307
8.5.3.3.4.1	RoleType.....	307
8.5.4	<i>StrategicElements</i>	308
8.5.4.1	Milestones.....	308
8.5.4.1.1	DeployedMilestone.....	308
8.5.4.1.2	NoLongerUsedMilestone.....	309
8.5.4.2	Structure.....	311
8.5.4.2.1	EnduringTask.....	311
8.5.4.2.2	WholeLifeEnterprise.....	312
8.5.5	<i>TechnicalStandardsElements</i>	312
8.5.5.1	ProtocolLayer.....	313
8.6	SOPES.....	314
8.6.1	<i>Contract</i>	314
8.6.2	<i>Semantic</i>	315
8.6.3	<i>SemanticAttribute</i>	316
8.6.4	<i>Transactional</i>	316
8.6.5	<i>TransactionalAttribute</i>	317
8.6.6	<i>Wrapper</i>	317
8.6.7	<i>WrapperAttribute</i>	317
8.7	SWAF.....	318
8.7.1	<i>DevelopmentStatus</i>	319
8.7.2	<i>DesignRule</i>	319
8.8	DoDAF CLASS LIBRARY.....	320
8.9	CLASSIFICATIONTYPE.....	321
8.10	COMMUNICATIONSLINKPROPERTIES.....	322
8.11	DATAELEMENTPROPERTIES.....	322
8.12	EXCHANGEPROPERTIES.....	323
8.13	INFORMATIONASSURANCEPROPERTIES.....	324
8.14	INFORMATIONELEMENTPROPERTIES.....	324
8.15	OPERATIONALACTIVITYPROPERTIES.....	325
8.16	SECURITYATTRIBUTES.....	325
9	ANNEX A	327
9.1	DOMAIN METAMODEL (DMM).....	327
9.2	PRODUCTS.....	327
9.3	AcV/PV.....	327
9.3.1	<i>AcV-1/PV-1 - DMM</i>	327
9.3.2	<i>AcV-2/PV-2 - DMM</i>	328
9.3.3	<i>PV-3 - DMM</i>	329
9.4	AV.....	330
9.4.1	<i>AV-1 - DMM</i>	330
9.4.2	<i>AV-2 - DMM</i>	331
9.5	OV.....	332
9.5.1	<i>OV-1 - DMM</i>	332
9.5.2	<i>OV-2 - DMM</i>	333
9.5.3	<i>OV-3 - DMM</i>	334

9.5.4	<i>OV-4 Actual - DMM</i>	335
9.5.5	<i>OV-4 Typical - DMM</i>	336
9.5.6	<i>OV-5 - DMM</i>	337
9.5.7	<i>OV-6a - DMM</i>	338
9.5.8	<i>OV-6b - DMM</i>	339
9.5.9	<i>OV-6c - DMM</i>	339
9.5.10	<i>OV-7 - DMM</i>	340
9.6	<i>SOV</i>	341
9.6.1	<i>SOV-1 - DMM</i>	341
9.6.2	<i>SOV-2 - DMM</i>	342
9.6.3	<i>SOV-3 - DMM</i>	343
9.6.4	<i>SOV-4a - DMM</i>	344
9.6.5	<i>SOV-4b - DMM</i>	344
9.6.6	<i>SOV-4c - DMM</i>	344
9.6.7	<i>SOV-5 - DMM</i>	345
9.7	<i>StV</i>	346
9.7.1	<i>CV-7 - DMM</i>	346
9.7.2	<i>StV-1 - DMM</i>	347
9.7.3	<i>StV-2 - DMM</i>	348
9.7.4	<i>StV-3 - DMM</i>	349
9.7.5	<i>StV-4 - DMM</i>	350
9.7.6	<i>StV-5 - DMM</i>	351
9.7.7	<i>StV-6 - DMM</i>	352
9.8	<i>SV</i>	353
9.8.1	<i>SV-1/SvcV-1 - DMM</i>	353
9.8.2	<i>SV-10a/SvcV-10a - DMM</i>	354
9.8.3	<i>SV-10b/SvcV-10b - DMM</i>	355
9.8.4	<i>SV-10c/SvcV-10c - DMM</i>	356
9.8.5	<i>SV-11/DIV-3 - DMM</i>	357
9.8.6	<i>SV-12 - DMM</i>	357
9.8.7	<i>SV-2/SvcV-2 - DMM</i>	358
9.8.8	<i>SV-3/SvcV-3a/SvcV-3b - DMM</i>	359
9.8.9	<i>SV-4/SvcV-4 - DMM</i>	360
9.8.10	<i>SV-5/SvcV-5 - DMM</i>	361
9.8.11	<i>SV-6/SvcV-6 - DMM</i>	362
9.8.12	<i>SV-7/SvcV-7 - DMM</i>	363
9.8.13	<i>SV-9/SvcV-9 - DMM</i>	364
9.9	<i>TV - DMM</i>	365
9.9.1	<i>TV-1&2&3 - DMM</i>	366
9.10	<i>SOPES</i>	366
9.10.1	<i>SOPES - DMM</i>	367
9.11	<i>SwAF</i>	367
9.11.1	<i>Design Rule - DMM</i>	367
9.12	<i>DM2</i>	368
9.12.1	<i>Activity - DM2</i>	368
9.12.2	<i>Capability - DM2</i>	369

9.12.3	Goals - DM2.....	370
9.12.4	Information and Data - DM2.....	371
9.12.5	Information Pedigree - DM2.....	372
9.12.6	Location - DM2.....	373
9.12.7	Measure - DM2.....	374
9.12.8	Organizational Structure - DM2.....	375
9.12.9	Performer - DM2.....	376
9.12.10	Project - DM2.....	377
9.12.11	Resource Flow - DM2.....	378
9.12.12	Rules - DM2.....	379
9.12.13	Services - DM2.....	380
10	ANNEX B - UPDM VIEWS (PROFILE).....	382
10.1	PRODUCTS.....	382
10.1.1	AcV/PV.....	382
10.1.1.1	AcV-1/PV-1.....	382
10.1.1.2	AcV-2/PV-2.....	383
10.1.1.3	PV-3.....	384
10.1.2	AV.....	385
10.1.2.1	AV-1.....	385
10.1.2.2	AV-2.....	386
10.1.2.3	Environment Elements.....	387
10.1.2.4	Measurements.....	388
10.1.3	OV.....	389
10.1.3.1	OV-1.....	389
10.1.3.2	OV-2.....	390
10.1.3.3	OV-3.....	391
10.1.3.4	OV-4 Actual.....	392
10.1.3.5	OV-4 Typical.....	393
10.1.3.6	OV-5.....	394
10.1.3.7	OV-6a.....	395
10.1.3.8	OV-6b.....	396
10.1.3.9	OV-6c.....	397
10.1.3.10	OV-7/DIV-1/DIV-2.....	398
10.1.4	SOV.....	399
10.1.4.1	SOV-1.....	399
10.1.4.2	SOV-2.....	400
10.1.4.3	SOV-3.....	401
10.1.4.4	SOV-4a.....	401
10.1.4.5	SOV-4b.....	402
10.1.4.6	SOV-4c.....	402
10.1.4.7	SOV-5.....	403
10.1.5	StV/CV.....	404
10.1.5.1	CV-7.....	404
10.1.5.2	StV-1/CV-1.....	405
10.1.5.3	StV-2/CV-2.....	406
10.1.5.4	StV-3/CV-3.....	407
10.1.5.5	StV-4/CV-4.....	408
10.1.5.6	StV-5/CV-5.....	409
10.1.5.7	StV-6/CV-6.....	409
10.1.6	SV/SvcV.....	410
10.1.6.1	SV-1/SvcV-1.....	410
10.1.6.2	SV-10a/SvcV-10a.....	411
10.1.6.3	SV-10b/SvcV-10b.....	412

10.1.6.4	SV-10c/SvcV-10c.....	413
10.1.6.5	SV-11/DIV-3.....	413
10.1.6.6	SV-12.....	414
10.1.6.7	SV-2/SvcV-2.....	414
10.1.6.8	SV-3/SvcV-3a/SvcV-3b.....	415
10.1.6.9	SV-4/SvcV-4.....	416
10.1.6.10	SV-5/SvcV-5.....	417
10.1.6.11	SV-6/SvcV-6.....	418
10.1.6.12	SV-7/SvcV-7.....	419
10.1.6.13	SV-8/SvcV-8.....	420
10.1.6.14	SV-9/SvcV-9.....	421
10.1.7	TV/StdV.....	422
10.1.7.1	TV-1&2&3/StdV-1&2.....	422
11	ANNEX C.....	423
11.1	UPDM ELEMENTS TRACEABILITY.....	423
11.2	423
11.3	UPDM TO NAF ELEMENTS TRACEABILITY.....	441
11.4	DoDAF 2.0 TO MODAF 1.2 VIEWS TRACEABILITY.....	445
12	ANNEX D.....	450
12.1	PURPOSE.....	450
12.2	SCOPE.....	450
12.3	PROBLEM SCENARIO.....	450
12.3.1	<i>Problem Domain Suitability.....</i>	<i>450</i>
19.1.1	<i>Acknowledgements.....</i>	<i>452</i>
19.1.2	<i>Summary.....</i>	<i>452</i>
19.1.3	<i>The “Yacht in Distress” Scenario.....</i>	<i>453</i>
19.2	DIAGRAMS.....	453
19.2.1	<i>Package Overview (Structure of the Sample Model).....</i>	<i>453</i>
19.2.1.1	<i>Flow of SAR Example Models.....</i>	<i>453</i>
19.3	ALL VIEWS.....	454
19.3.1	<i>AV-1 Enterprise Definition.....</i>	<i>454</i>
19.3.2	<i>AV-2 Architecture Dictionary.....</i>	<i>456</i>
19.3.3	<i>AV Measurements Definition (Fit for Purpose).....</i>	<i>457</i>
19.3.4	<i>SysML Value Definitions – Fit For Purpose View.....</i>	<i>459</i>
19.3.5	<i>SysML Requirements – Fit For Purpose View.....</i>	<i>460</i>
19.4	STRATEGIC/CAPABILITY VIEWS.....	461
19.4.1	<i>StV-1 Capability Vision (DoDAF CV-1).....</i>	<i>461</i>
19.4.2	<i>StV-2 Capability Taxonomy (DoDAF CV-2).....</i>	<i>462</i>
19.4.3	<i>StV-3 Capability Phasing (DoDAF CV-3).....</i>	<i>462</i>
19.4.4	<i>StV-4 Capability Clusters (DoDAF CV-4).....</i>	<i>463</i>
19.4.5	<i>StV-4 Capability Clusters Class Diagram (DoDAF CV-4).....</i>	<i>464</i>
19.4.6	<i>StV-5 Capability to Organization Deployment (DoDAF CV-5).....</i>	<i>465</i>
19.4.7	<i>StV-6 Operational Activity to Capability Mapping (DoDAF CV-6).....</i>	<i>465</i>
19.5	OPERATIONAL VIEWS.....	466
19.5.1	<i>OV-1a Operational Context Graphic.....</i>	<i>466</i>
19.5.2	<i>OV-1b Operational Context Description.....</i>	<i>468</i>
19.5.3	<i>OV-1c Operational Context Measurements.....</i>	<i>468</i>
19.5.4	<i>OV-1d Operational Context Use Cases (Fit for Purpose).....</i>	<i>469</i>

19.5.5	<i>OV-2 Operational Node Connectivity Description (DoDAF Operational Resource Flow Description)</i>	470
19.5.6	<i>OV-3 Operational Exchange Summary (DoDAF Operational Resource Flow Matrix)</i>	473
19.5.7	<i>OV-4 Organizational Relationships Chart</i>	474
19.5.8	<i>OV-5 Operational Activity Model (DoDAF Operational Activity Decomposition Tree – OV-5A)</i> 475	
19.5.9	<i>OV-6a Operational Rules Model (Same in DoDAF)</i>	477
19.5.10	<i>OV-6c Operational Event Trace Description</i>	478
19.5.11	<i>OV-7 Logical Data Model (DoDAF DIV-1/DIV-2)</i>	479
19.6	SERVICE ORIENTED VIEWS (DoDAF SvcV-1)	480
19.6.1	<i>SOV-1 Service Taxonomy</i>	480
19.6.2	<i>SOV-2 Service Interface Specification (DoDAF SvcV-2)</i>	481
19.6.3	<i>SOV-3 Capability to Service Mapping (DoDAF CV-7)</i>	482
19.6.4	<i>SOV-4a Service Behaviors and Constraints (DoDAF SvcV-10a)</i>	483
19.6.5	<i>SOV-4b Service Behaviors and Constraints (DoDAF SvcV-10b)</i>	484
19.6.6	<i>SOV-5 Service Functionality (DoDAF SvcV-4)</i>	485
19.7	SYSTEMS VIEWS	486
19.7.1	<i>SV-1 Resource Interaction Specification (DoDAF Systems Interface Description)</i>	486
19.7.2	<i>SV-2 Systems Communications Description (DoDAF System Resource Flow Description)</i> 487	
19.7.3	<i>SV-3 Resource Interaction Matrix (DoDAF Systems – Systems Matrix)</i>	488
19.7.4	<i>SV-4 Functionality Description (DoDAF Systems Functionality Description)</i>	489
19.7.5	<i>SV-5 Function to Operational Activity/Service Function Traceability</i>	490
19.7.6	<i>Table D.10 – SV-5</i>	491
19.7.7	<i>SV-6 System Exchange Matrix (DoDAF Systems Resource Flow Matrix)</i>	492
19.7.8	<i>SV-7 Resource Performance Parameters (DoDAF Systems Measures Matrix)</i>	492
19.7.9	<i>SV-8 System Capability Configuration Management (DoDAF Systems Evolution Matrix)</i> 494	
19.7.10	<i>SV-9 Technology and Skills Forecast (DoDAF Systems Technology and Skills Forecast)</i> 495	
19.7.11	<i>SV-9 Technology and Skills Forecast</i>	496
19.7.12	<i>SV-10a System Rules and Constraints (DoDAF Systems Rules Model)</i>	496
19.7.13	<i>SV-10b Resource State Transition Description (DoDAF System State Transition Description)</i>	497
19.7.14	<i>SV-10c Resource Event Trace Description (DoDAF System Event Trace Description)</i> ..	498
19.7.15	<i>Physical Schema (DoDAF DIV-3)</i>	499
19.7.16	<i>System Service Provision</i>	500
19.8	ACQUISITION VIEWS (DoDAF PROJECT VIEWS)	501
19.8.1	<i>AcV-1 System of Systems Acquisition Clusters (DoDAF PV-1)</i>	501
19.8.2	<i>AcV-3 Typical Project (DoDAF PV-3)</i>	502
19.8.3	<i>AcV-3 Actual Project Instance (DoDAF PV-3)</i>	503
19.9	TECHNICAL VIEWS (DoDAF STANDARDS VIEWS)	505
19.9.1	<i>TV-1 Standards Profile (DoDAF StdV-1)</i>	505
19.9.2	<i>TV-2 Standards Forecast (DoDAF StdV-2)</i>	506
19.9.3	<i>TV-2 Standards Forecast Tabular Form (DoDAF StdV-2)</i>	508
19.10	A SIMPLE EXAMPLE OF SYSML PARAMETRICS	509
19.10.1	<i>SysML Parametrics</i>	509

<i>19.10.2 Scenario Overview.....</i>	<i>509</i>
<i>19.10.3 SV-3 System Context.....</i>	<i>510</i>
<i>19.10.4 System Parametrics.....</i>	<i>512</i>
<i>19.10.5 Parametric Equations.....</i>	<i>512</i>
<i>19.10.6 Instance Diagram.....</i>	<i>515</i>

Preface

About the Object Management Group

OMG

Founded in 1989, the Object Management Group, Inc. (OMG) is an open membership, not-for-profit computer industry standards consortium that produces and maintains computer industry specifications for interoperable, portable and reusable enterprise applications in distributed, heterogeneous environments. Membership includes Information Technology vendors, end users, government agencies and academia.

OMG member companies write, adopt, and maintain its specifications following a mature, open process. OMG's specifications implement the Model Driven Architecture® (MDA®), maximizing ROI through a full-lifecycle approach to enterprise integration that covers multiple operating systems, programming languages, middleware and networking infrastructures, and software development environments. OMG's specifications include: UML® (Unified Modeling Language™); CORBA® (Common Object Request Broker Architecture); CWM™ (Common Warehouse Metamodel); and industry-specific standards for dozens of vertical markets.

More information on the OMG is available at <http://www.omg.org/>.

OMG Specifications

As noted, OMG specifications address middleware, modeling and vertical domain frameworks. A catalog of all OMG Specifications is available from the OMG website at:

http://www.omg.org/technology/documents/spec_catalog.htm

Specifications within the Catalog are organized by the following categories:

OMG Modeling Specifications

UML

MOF

XMI

CWM

Profile specifications

OMG Middleware Specifications

UML Profile for DoDAF and MODAF 2.0

CORBA/IIOP

IDL/Language Mappings

Specialized CORBA specifications

CORBA Component Model (CCM)

Platform Specific Model and Interface Specifications

CORBA services

CORBA facilities

OMG Domain specifications

OMG Embedded Intelligence specifications

OMG Security specifications

All of OMG's formal specifications may be downloaded without charge from our website. (Products implementing OMG specifications are available from individual suppliers.) Copies of specifications, available in PostScript and PDF format, may be obtained from the Specifications Catalog cited above or by contacting the Object Management Group, Inc. (as of January 16, 2006) at:

OMG Headquarters 140 Kendrick Street Building A, Suite 300 Needham, MA 02494 USA
Tel: +1-781-444-0404 Fax: +1-781-444-0320 [Email: pubs@omg.org](mailto:pubs@omg.org)

Certain OMG specifications are also available as ISO standards. Please consult <http://www.iso.org>

Typographical Conventions

The type styles shown below are used in this document to distinguish programming statements from ordinary English. However, these conventions are not used in tables or section headings where no distinction is necessary.

Times/Times New Roman - 10 pt.: Standard body text

Helvetica/Arial - 10 pt. Bold: OMG Interface Definition Language (OMG IDL) and syntax elements. **Courier - 10 pt. Bold:** Programming language elements.

Helvetica/Arial - 10 pt: Exceptions

Note – Terms that appear in *italics* are defined in the glossary. Italic text also represents the name of a document,

specification, or other publication.

Issues

The reader is encouraged to report any technical or editing issues/problems with this specification to <http://www.omg.org/technology/agreement.htm>.

Part I - Overview of the UML Profile for DoDAF and MODAF

This part contains the following Clauses:

- 1.Scope
- 2.Compliance
- 3.Normative References
- 4.Terms and Definitions
- 5.Symbols and Acronyms
- 6.Additional Information

1 Scope

The authors believe that this specification for a Unified Profile for the Department of Defense Architecture Framework (DoDAF) and the Ministry of Defence Architecture Framework (MODAF) will significantly enhance the quality, productivity, and effectiveness associated with enterprise and system of systems architecture modeling, promote architecture model reuse and maintainability, improve tool interoperability and communications between stakeholders, and reduce training impacts due to different tool implementations and semantics. The purpose of this document is to specify a UML 2, and optional SysML, profile to enable practitioners to express DoDAF, MODAF, and NAF model elements and organize them in a set of specified viewpoints and views that support the specific needs of stakeholders in the US Department of Defense and the United Kingdom Ministry of Defense. The previous profile (UPDM 1.0) has been implemented as a commercially available product by several tool vendors including Atego (formerly called Artisan), EmbeddedPlus, International Business machines, No Magic, Sparx, and Visumpoint. UPDM 1.0 is in use on several projects in many different countries.

UPDM 2.0 defines a set of UML and optional SysML stereotypes and model elements and associations to satisfy the requirements of the UPDM 2.0 RFP. This specification documents the language architecture in terms of the parts of UML 2 that are reused and the extensions to UML 2 and SysML. The specification includes the concrete syntax (notation) for the complete language. The reusable portion of the UML 2 and SysML specification are not included directly in the specification but are included by reference. The specification also provides an example of how the language can be used to represent a UPDM 2.0 architecture.

The scope of UPDM 2.0 includes the language extensions to enable the extraction of specified and custom views from an integrated architecture description. These views include a system's viewpoint (DoDAF Systems View) along with associated systems implementation standards (DoDAF/MODAF Technical View) within the context of the business or enterprise viewpoint (DoDAF/MODAF Operational View). The DoDAF/MODAF All Views is also included. In addition, UPDM 2.0 allows the architecture model to include representation of an enterprise capability and strategic intent (MODAF Strategic Viewpoint, DoDAF 2.02 Capability Model) and the process steps associated with the procurement of conformant systems (MODAF Acquisition View, DoDAF 2.02 Project Model). Finally, the MODAF and DoDAF 2.02 Services View is included to model Service Oriented Architectures. UPDM 2.0 also includes mechanisms for designing ad hoc custom views and more formal extensions of new views of the model. The specification also allows for combined views such as the DoDAF 2.02 Data Model combining the SV-11 and OV-7. NAF is supported implicitly through the recent convergence of the MODAF and NAF standards. Consequently, NAF is not explicitly mentioned in the following specification for simplicity. However, a separate mapping subsection is included in Annex C to demonstrate compliance. In addition, the authors have worked closely with the NAF management group in order to ensure compliance and that the specification is fit for purpose.

UPDM 2.0 will support the capability to

- model architectures for a broad range of complex systems, which may include hardware, software, data, personnel, and facility elements;
- model consistent architectures for system-of-systems down to lower levels of design and implementation;
- model service oriented architectures
- support the analysis, specification, design, and verification of complex systems; and
- improve the ability to exchange architecture information amongst related tools that are UML based and tools that are based on other standards.

The profile provides the modeling of operational capabilities, services, system activities, nodes, system functions, ports, protocols, interfaces, performance, and physical properties and units of measure. In addition, the profile enables the modeling of related architecture concepts such as DoD's doctrine, organization, training, material, leadership & education, personnel, and facilities (DOTMLPF) and the equivalent UK Ministry of Defence Lines of Development (DLOD) elements.

UPDM 2.0, as illustrated in the following diagram, will address DoDAF and MODAF Viewpoints as well as enabling extensions to new architecture perspectives (e.g. Custom views, Logistics views, cost views, etc.). MODAF terminology has been used for simplicity.




Figure 1.1 - UPDM 2.0 Viewpoint Support Illustration

2 Compliance

2.1 Compliance Levels

UPDM 2.0 specifies two compliance levels corresponding to supporting a UML-based profile and a UML+ OMG SysML™ profile.




Figure 2.1 - UPDM 2.0 Compliance Levels 0 and 1




Figure 2.2 - L0 and L1

2.1.1 Level 0 : Based on UML 2 and Partial SoaML Import

Figure 2-2: L0 and L1 illustrates that UPDM 2.0 Compliance Level 0 is an implementation of UPDM 2.0 extending UML 2 and importing several SoaML stereotypes – namely Expose, Attachment, RequiresPoint, ServicePoint, MessageType, Property. In order for a tool to be considered as compliant with L0, the following must be true:

- All stereotypes, classes, attributes, constraints, associations and package structures that are scoped to the L0 package (including sub-packages) must exist and be compliant with this specification.
- XMI import and export of the user model and profile must be supported.
- A Level 0 compliant implementation must be able to import and export Level 0 UPDM 2.0 models with 100% fidelity (i.e. no loss or transforms).
- A Level 0 compliant implementation must be able to import Level 1 UPDM 2.0 models with only minimal losses.

2.1.2 Level 1: Based on UML 2 and full SysML Import

Figure 2-2: L0 and L1 illustrates that UPDM 2.0 Compliance Level 1 includes everything in Level 0, imports the rest of the SysML sub-profiles and defines constraints which pair together the application of SysML and UPDM 2.0 stereotypes. This provides a UPDM 2.0 implementation that can be seamlessly taken forward into SysML modeling. In order for a tool to be considered as compliant with L1, the following must be true:

- All stereotypes, classes, attributes, constraints, associations and package structures that are scoped to the L1 package (including sub-packages) must exist and be compliant with this specification.
- XMI import and export of the user model and profile must be supported.
- A Level 1 compliant implementation must be able to import and export Level 1 UPDM 2.0 models with 100% fidelity (i.e. no loss or transforms).

A Level 1 compliant implementation must be able to import Level 0 UPDM 2.0 models with no loss, and transformations where necessary.

3 Normative References

The following normative documents contain provisions, which through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this specification. For dated references, subsequent amendments to, or revisions of, any of these publications do not apply.

- Unified Modeling Language: Superstructure version 2.3 (<http://www.omg.org/spec/UML/2.3/Superstructure/PDF>)
- Unified Modeling Language: Infrastructure version 2.3 (<http://www.omg.org/spec/UML/2.3/Infrastructure/PDF>)
- MOF 2.0/XMI Mapping Specification, v2.1 (<http://www.omg.org/cgi-bin/doc?formal/2005-09-01>)
- UML 2.0 OCL Specification (<http://www.omg.org/docs/ptc/03-10-14.pdf>)
- SoaML 1.0 Specification (<http://www.omg.org/docs>)
- OMG Systems Modeling language (OMG SysML) V1.2 (<http://www.omg.org/docs/formal/07-09-01.pdf>)
- MODAF The MOD Architectural Framework Version 1.2.002 August 2008 (<http://www.modaf.org.uk>
<http://www.modaf.org.uk/M3>)

U.S. Department of Defense. DoD Deputy Chief Information Officer. Department of Defense Architecture Framework, Version 2.02, August 2010.

1. *The DoDAF Architecture Framework Version 2.02*. is a defined in a web site format. One should start from the home page <http://cio-nii.defense.gov/sites/dodaf20/>

The following information was current on the web site as of 27 April 2011. The official and current version for the Department of Defense Architecture Framework. **is Version 2.02, dated August 2010.** An Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) version of the 2.02 website is produced can be downloaded as: [DoDAF 2.02.pdf](http://cio-nii.defense.gov/sites/dodaf20/products/DoDAF_v2-02_web.pdf) from http://cio-nii.defense.gov/sites/dodaf20/products/DoDAF_v2-02_web.pdf . This is approximately 289 pages.

2. For readers familiar with the three-volume version of DoDAF, the latest version is still DoDAF 2.0 of 2009. DoDAF 2.01 and 2.02 have not produced updates to that version. The reader must apply the changes documented in the Version Description Documents (see section 3 below) as well as the material on the official web site (see section 1 above). Again, the documentation set has not been changed from DoDAF Version 2.0 and is no longer definitive for 2.02 without the changes. It can be downloaded from the DoDAF Archives <http://cio-nii.defense.gov/sites/dodaf20/archives.html> or

- Volume One: Introduction, Overview, and Concepts: Manager's Guide, 28 May 2009
 - <http://cio-nii.defense.gov/docs/DoDAF%20V2%20-%20Volume%201.pdf>
- Volume Two: Architectural Data and Models: Architect's Guide, 28 May 2009
 - <http://cio-nii.defense.gov/docs/DoDAF%20V2%20-%20Volume%201.pdf>
- Volume Three: DoDAF Meta-model: Physical Exchange Specification: Developer's Guide, 28 May 2009
 - <http://cio-nii.defense.gov/docs/DoDAF%20V2%20-%20Volume%203.pdf>

3. DoDAF Meta Model (DM2).

The DM2 for Version 2.02 of DoDAF can be derived sequentially as follows:

The DoDAF Meta Model (DM2) **has changed** from DoDAF Version 2.0. It can be derived as a sequential update from DoDAF MetaModel (DM2) Version 2.00 to 2.01, and 2.02. There were 94 changes in the DoDAF MetaModel (DM2) from DoDAF 2.0 (68 in Version 2.01 and (26 in Version 2.02).¹. These changes may be traced as follows:

- 3.1 Start with a *description* of DoDAF/DM2 Version 2.00 baseline See <http://cio-nii.defense.gov/sites/dodaf20/DM2.html>

The DM2 consists of the following data items:

a. Conceptual Data Model:

<http://cio-nii.defense.gov/sites/dodaf20/conceptual.html> and

http://cio-nii.defense.gov/sites/dodaf20/DM2_HTML/index.htm

b. Logical Data Model: <http://cio-nii.defense.gov/sites/dodaf20/logical.html>

c. Physical Exchange Specification: <http://cio-nii.defense.gov/sites/dodaf20/PES.html>

d. Ontology: <http://cio-nii.defense.gov/sites/dodaf20/Ontology1.html>

3.2 Proceed to the description of changes made to DoDAF/DM2 2.00 to create DoDAF/DM2 2.01. **Version 2.01** as of 1 April 2010. Version Description Document for the DoD Architecture Framework (DoDAF) and DoDAF Meta Model (DM2), Version 2.01 can be downloaded from http://cio-nii.defense.gov/sites/dodaf20/products/DoDAF_DM2_VDD_v2-01.doc

3.3 Proceed to the description of changes made to DoDAF/DM2 2.01 to create DoDAF/DM2 2.02, download the [Version Description Document](http://cio-nii.defense.gov/sites/dodaf20/products/DoDAF-DM2_v2-02_VDD.pdf) from http://cio-nii.defense.gov/sites/dodaf20/products/DoDAF-DM2_v2-02_VDD.pdf.

4. Supporting Material.

4.1 The [Data Dictionary for Version 2.02](http://cio-nii.defense.gov/sites/dodaf20/products/DM2_Data_Dictionary_and_Mappings_v202.xls) is available in spreadsheet format as http://cio-nii.defense.gov/sites/dodaf20/products/DM2_Data_Dictionary_and_Mappings_v202.xls

4.2 Promulgation Memo <http://cio-nii.defense.gov/docs/DoDAF%20V2%20-%20Promulgation%20Memo.pdf>

¹ The proper tracing of metamodel is so critical to UPDM 2.0 that UPDM 2.0 has produced a deliverable spreadsheet tracing UPDM Profile to the DM2 & MM3 in annex C

4 Terms and Definitions

No new terms and definitions have been required to create this specification. All terms should be available in the normative references or bibliographic citations for detailed explanation.

5 Symbols/Acronyms

AcV-*	Acquisition View
AV-*	All View
BPMN	Business Process Modeling Notation
C4ISR	Command, Control, Communications, Computers, Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance
COI	Communities of Interest
CV-*	Capability View
DIV-*	Data and Information Views
DM2	DoDAF Meta Model
DMM	UPDM Domain Meta Model
DoD	United States Department of Defense
DoDAF	Department of Defense Architecture Framework
DOTMLPF	Doctrine, Organization, Training, Material, Leadership, Personnel, Facilities
EIE	Enterprise Information Environment
IDEAS	International Defense Enterprise Architecture Exchange
IDEF	Integrated DEFinition Methods
JCIDS	Joint Capabilities Integration and Development System
JETL	Joint Essential Task List
MOD	United Kingdom Ministry of Defence
MODAF	Ministry of Defence Architecture Framework

NEC	Network Enabled Capability
NCW	NetCentric Warfare
NCAT	NetCentric Assessment Tool
NCOIC	NetCentric Operations Industry Consortium
OV-*	Operational View
PES	DoDAF Physical Exchange Specification
POC	Proof of Concept
PV-*	Project View
SoS	System of Systems
SOV-*	Service Oriented View
StdV-*	Standards View
StV-*	Strategic View
SV-*	System View
SvcV-*	Service View
TPPU	Task, Post, Process, and Use
TV-*	Technical View
UPDM	Unified Profile for DoDAF and MODAF

6 Additional Information

6.1 Additional Materials

Accompanying this specification are XMI files and requirements documents, as listed below.

Title	OMG Document Number	Supersedes
UPDM Profile Submission	dtc/2011-06-14	C4i/2010-08-06
UPDM Profile Submission - ERRATA	N/A	N/A
UPDM Beta 2 specification without change notes	dtc/2011-06-13	N/A
Inventory List	dtc/2011-06-16	N/A
Final Report	dtc/2011-06-12	N/A
UPDM XMI Document for UML	dtc/2011-06-15	C4i/2010-08-07
UPDM Requirements Traceability Document	N/A	N/A
UPDM Requirements Traceability Document – ERRATA	N/A	N/A

6.1.1 Statement of support from the DoD representative 19 May 2011

19 May 2011

To: Co-Chairs of the OMG UPDM Group

From: Leonard F. Levine DoD/DISA/EE31/703-225-4748

Subject: Summary of US DoD Support for UPDM 2.0

I am pleased to update and strengthen the endorsement of the Unified Profile for DoDAF and MODAF (UPDM) for Version 2.0

DoD support for the UPDM is strong and has steadily increased since 2005. As I wrote for UPDM Version 1.0, DoD promotes the use of international, national, and industry-wide open standards.

DoD welcomed the adoption of UPDM Version 1.0 by the Object Management Group (OMG) as an industry specification for

architecture tools and the submission of UPDM Version 1.1 this very month to the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) as an international standard. UPDM Version 1.x has been mandated for use in DoD Acquisition and recorded in the DoD IT Standards Registry (DISR). One of the criteria for this mandate was the availability of more than three widely-used commercial tools complying with standard. UPDM 1.x remains viable for those using the DoD Architecture Framework (DoDAF), Version 1.5. I also point out that, on 19 September 2008, the United Kingdom Ministry of Defence (UK MOD) and the United States Department of Defense issued a joint statement of support.

Immediately upon release of DoDAF, Version 2.0 in May 2009, the OMG UPDM Group began synchronization of its lifecycle, particularly the respective Meta Models with the DoDAF, and in August 2011, finalized its requirements upon the issuance of the maintenance upgrade of DoDAF 2.02. During the ensuing two years, technical representatives of the two groups have met quarterly at the OMG as well as at numerous ad hoc meetings. The result was a complete mapping between the DoDAF Meta Model (DM2) and the UPDM Domain Meta Model (DMM), from which the normative Profile derives. This is particularly noteworthy since UPDM maintained equal support for the MODAF and added support for the NATO Architecture Framework (NAF).

Particularly noteworthy is the inclusion within the current and upcoming versions of the UPDM Profile of the Unified Modeling Language (UML) Profiles for Systems Modeling Language (SysML), Service Oriented Architecture Modeling Language (SOAML), and Business Process Modeling Notation (BPMN). While these are optional within UPDM, these standards are also DoD mandated standards. SysML, SOA, and BPMN support other DoD processes referenced in DoDAF and in Acquisition Processes supported by Acquisition, Technology and Logistics (OASD/ATL). This flexibility increases the appeal of the standard across the Department and promotes reuse across the various disciplines involved with acquisition.

A most important development in UPDM 2.0 is the proven capability of exchange of models among end-users as well as tool vendors. UPDM compliant tools will include architecture exchanges through the XML Metadata Interchange (XMI), and I note the facilitating role of the OMG Model Interchange Working Group in testing and making this practicable. This group plans to subject UPDM and its Search and Rescue (SAR) to tough interoperability tests through its use of OMG / ISO XMI.

In closing, I will paraphrase Mr. Brian Wilczynski, the Director, Architecture and Infrastructure, U.S. Department of Defense, Office of the Chief Information Officer, at the annual Enterprise Architecture Conference held this 11 – 15 April 2011 in Hampton, Virginia (Introductory Remarks at <http://afei.kzoplatform.com/swf/player/758> and Closing Remarks at <http://afei.kzoplatform.com/swf/player/770>):

- While UPDM does not solve all architecture problems, it represents a significant jump ahead in interoperability and architecture exchange.
- There has been a lot of DoD involvement, a very intensive engagement at times, involved with development of UPDM with significant devotion of human resource. This not something we could sit back and monitor, yet more impressively
- The UML tool vendors have come to the table because they see benefit in this.
- What's in it for us in DoD?
 - These tool vendors are implementing our standard.
 - And now we can reuse architectures.
- UPDM solves many of our problems because it is an enabler and allows improvement of information exchange across architecture toolsets.
- But that is a limited set of toolsets.
- Further education is required on where UPDM is applicable and where it can solve our problems, and what its role is.
- The whole experience with the OMG group is a model of how to work with industry without us (government) having to do a solution of its own.
- In summary, if you are using UML modeling tools for DoDAF 2.0, use UPDM. UPDM 2.0 will be mandated for those using DoDAF 2 and it will be part of the DISR.

ATTN: Leonard F. Levine /Code EE31
P.O. Box 549
Ft. Meade, MD 20755-0549

6.1.2 Statement of contribution from the MOD representative received 17 th May, 2011

The United Kingdom Ministry of Defence, who developed and own MODAF, has actively contributed to the development of UPDM version 1 and version 2, primarily

through the provision of contracted expertise sourced from Model Futures. The standardisation effort made by the UPDM group and OMG is regarded with high importance by MOD, as is demonstrated by the level of internal and external MOD resource provided to support the development of UPDM, and further evidenced by the recognition of UPDM in MOD's Joint Services Publication 605, Defence Architecture Policy Version 1.1 (page 7, EAP.12) dated 11/04/2011, which states that:

"EAP.12. Software Tools that are based on the Unified Modelling Language (UML) or the Systems Modelling Language (SysML) notations shall use the Unified Profile for DoDAF and MODAF (UPDM) standard which MOD recognises as a correct implementation of the M3."

Patrick Gorman

Assistant Head Architecture Framework

CIO-ISP-POL

Ministry of Defence

Main Building, 2.N.19

Whitehall

LONDON, SW1A 2HB

6.1.3 Statement of support from the Swedish Armed Forces representative received 16 th May 2011

Swedish Armed Forces has in an active way, through FMV and with Generic AB as expert contractor to FMV, been supporting the work with UPDM version 1 and version 2. The standardization effort made within the UPDM group and OMG is regarded as very important by us, as proved by the level of support we have provided to the work with developing UPDM version 1 and 2. In those cases where UML tools are applicable for our work, we will only select UML tools that are compliant with the UPDM standard.

LtCol Mikael Hagenbo

Swedish Armed Forces HQ.

Supreme Commanders Staff, Joint Development Department, Head of architecture, frameworks and International co-operation

Diplomaed Change Manager

SE-107 85 STOCKHOLM

6.2 Overview of this Specification

6.2.1 Intended Audience

This specification will be of interest to end users who expect to use this profile, and to tool vendors interested in developing tool support for the development of enterprise and system of systems architectures, and that can satisfy contract documentation requirements for DoD and MOD customers. Tool vendors will also be able to use this specification to support Model Driven Development of systems based on the architectural descriptions based on this profile. Developers and reviewers of the views will have a clearer understanding of the semantics behind specific views and viewpoints, which will support more precise evaluation and comparison.

6.2.2 Organization of this Specification

DoDAF and MODAF are formally expressed as domain-specific meta-models known as the DoDAF Meta Model (DM2) and the MODAF Meta Model (M3) respectively. There is also a set of viewpoints and views that address the concerns of a well-defined set of stakeholders. Before DoDAF Version 2.02 and UPDM Version 2.0, these were the organizing factors. This is no longer the case. This specification organizes the presentation of the UPDM 2.0 abstract and concrete syntax around the meta-models, with effort made to establish a maximum set of common Core models and a minimum set of DoDAF DM2 or MODAF M3 specific models. Significant effort has also been made to continue to support the now over 50 viewpoints that can be derived from these meta-models as well as "user-defined views". This is done so that the discussion is well-connected to the domain experts required to produce these views. (See Section 1.5 for a more detailed description.)"The rest of this document contains the technical content of this specification. As background for this specification, readers may wish to review the UML, OMG SysML, and SoaML specifications that complement this specification.

Although the chapters are organized in a logical manner and can be read sequentially, this is a reference specification that can be read in a non-sequential manner.

Part I of the specification describes the details of the specification.

Part II provides the technical details essential to understanding the specification:

The specification of the Profile language. The profile includes both a Compliance Level 0 that extends UML and a Compliance Level 1 that extends UML and OMG SysML. The elements of the profile are organized by the specific viewpoints required by DoDAF and MODAF. Within each of the viewpoint-specific sections, e.g. Operational Views (OVs), the elements are presented in alphabetical order.

Annex A presents a non-normative view of various diagrams that document the Domain Metamodel (DMM) that document the DoDAF 2.02 and MODAF 1.2 integrated model. This model was used as a basis for creating the UPDM 2.0 profile.

Annex B presents a non-normative view of the various diagrams that document the views from the UPDM Profile that implement the DoDAF 2.02 and MODAF 1.2 views in the Domain Meta-Model described in Annex A.

Annex C presents the traceability among UPDM 2.0 stereotypes and DoDAF/MODAF elements. Please note that not all DoDAF/MODAF elements have corresponding UPDM 2.0 stereotypes. Those DoDAF/MODAF elements are modeled by UML artifacts directly, which is shown in the Metaclass column. Annex C also contains a mapping table showing

traceability between the NAF 3.1 and MODAF 1.2 views and elements, and the DoDAF 2.02 and the MODAF 1.2 views.

Annex D Sample Problem illustrating UPDM 2.0 concepts

Annex E contains the bibliography providing a listing of additional consulted artifacts.

6.3 Acknowledgements

The following individuals submitted parts of this specification and/or have assisted the UPDM 2.0 team in the development of the specification:

Adaptive Inc	Pete Rivett
Advanced System Management Group	Michael Abrason
Atego	Phil Astle
Atego	Matthew Hause
BAE Systems	David C. Putman
BORO Solutions	Chris Partridge
Decisive Analytics Corp	Charles Johnson
DOD	Leonard Levine
DOD	Walt Okon
EmbeddedPlus Engineering	Paula Obeid
EmbeddedPlus Engineering	Kumar Marimuthu
Generic AB	Lars-Olof Kihlstrom
General Dynamics	Ron Townsend
International Business Machines	Graham Bleakley
Lockheed Martin	Sanford Friedenthal
Lockheed Martin	Laura Hart
Malina Software	Bran Selic
Mega	Antoine Lonjon

Mitre	Fatma Dandashi
MOD	Adrian Pearson
MOD	Patrick Gorman
MOD	John Keefe
Model Futures	Ian Bailey
No Magic, Inc.	Daniel Brookshier
No Magic, Inc.	Aurelijus Morkevicius
	Jim Rice
No Magic, Inc.	Amelia Shroyer
No Magic, Inc.	Andrius Strazdauskas
No Magic	J. D. Baker
Northrop Grumman	Jeff Wilson
OSD	Dwayne Hardy
Raytheon	Ron Williamson
Raytheon	Wally Lee, PhD
Rolls Royce	Francis Thom
Cisco	Fariba Hozhabrafkan
Silver Bullet	Dave McDaniel
Silver Bullet	Greg Schaefer
Sparx Systems	Sam Mancarella
Swedish Armed Forces	Mikael Hagenbo
Visumpoint	Robert Lario

The team would like to express their thanks to all of the above individuals and many others who are not listed.

Once again, it is important to stress that UPDM 2.0 is not a new framework. Instead, UPDM 2.0 is a specification for modeling DoDAF and MODAF architectures using UML and SysML. As such, it could not have been produced without taking concepts, structures and descriptions, etc, from the DoDAF and MODAF documentation and specifications, particularly the M3. The main authors of the M3 were:

V1.0 – Dave Mawby (PA Consulting), Paul King (Vega/ Detica) and Ian Bailey.

V1.1 – Adrian Pearson (MOD), Paul King and Ian Bailey.

V1.2 – Adrian Pearson (MOD), Patrick Gorman (MOD) and Ian Bailey.

The authors of this UPDM 2.0 specification are therefore greatly indebted to organizations and authors who have contributed to all the DoDAF and MODAF specifications over the years. Some of these are listed above. To list all of them would not be possible.

Part II - Language Architecture

This part contains the following Clause and sub clauses: 7. Language Architecture

7.1 Introduction

7.2 Philosophy

7.3 Core Principles

7.4 Profile structure

7.5 Representing stereotype constraints

7.6 Important areas of the architecture

7 Language Architecture

7.1 Introduction

The UPDM 2.0 specification reuses a subset of UML 2 and provides additional extensions needed to address requirements in the UPDM RFP Mandatory Requirements. We have used those requirements as the basis for this specification. This specification documents the language architecture in terms of the parts of UML 2 that are reused and the extensions to UML 2, as well as defining how to implement UPDM 2.0 in SysML. This chapter explains design principles and how they are applied to define the UPDM 2.0 language architecture.

7.2 Philosophy

The UPDM 2.0 was developed using a model-driven approach. A simple description of the work process is:

- The Domain Metamodel (DMM) was created using UML Class models to represent the concepts in DoDAF and MODAF. Concepts common to both DoDAF and MODAF were captured in a Core package.

- The DMM concepts were mapped to corresponding stereotypes in the Profile.
- The Profile was analyzed and refactored to reflect language architecture, tool implementation, and reuse considerations.
- The conformance levels were finalized including mapping to SysML
- The Profile diagrams, stereotype descriptions, and documentation were added.
- The specification was generated from the profile model.

This approach allowed the team to concentrate on architecture issues rather than documentation production. Consistency was automatically maintained by the UML tool.

The UML tool also enabled traceability to be maintained between the profile and the DMM where every stereotype is linked to the DMM element using the UML Abstraction relationship.

7.3 Core Principles

The fundamental design principles for UPDM 2.0 are:

- Requirements-driven** - UPDM 2.0 is intended to satisfy the requirements of the UPDM 2.0 RFP Mandatory Requirements.
- Domain Meta Model (DMM) driven** – The DMM was created first by domain experts and it served as a foundation for profile development.
- Reuse of existing specifications** - UPDM 2.0 reuses UML/SysML wherever practical to satisfy the requirements of the UPDM 2.0 RFP and leverages features from both UML and SysML to provide a robust modeling capability. Consequently, UPDM 2.0 is intended to be relatively easy to implement for vendors who support UML 2. The UPDM team has reused SoaML (the Service Oriented Architectures Modeling Language) as much as possible. Several meetings were held with the SoaML group as well as the recently formed Architectural Ecosystem group to ensure the maximum amount of reuse and the minimum amount of duplication. This is expected to be an ongoing effort with the OMG between several other groups. One such effort has UPDM 2.0 reusing the UML constructs incorporated into the Shared Operational Picture Exchange Services (SOPES) Information Exchange Data Model (IEDM). The profile extends the ability of the UPDM to support the modeling or rules governing the aggregation, marshalling, and processing of information exchanged across a needline. The concepts will be extended by Information Exchange Framework (IEF) Working Group as part of its upcoming requests for proposal. This is expected to be an ongoing effort with the OMG between the UPDM, C4I, MARS and IEF groups.
- Partitioning** - The package is the basic unit of partitioning in this specification. The packages partition the model elements into logical groupings that minimize circular dependencies among them.
- Compliance levels** - UPDM 2.0 includes two compliance levels. L0 is a UML only profile and L1 extends L0 to enable seamless integration with SysML modeling and to leverage the features of SysML in UPDM 2.0 modeling.
- Interoperability** - UPDM 2.0 inherits the XMI interchange capability from UML.

7.4 Profile Structure

7.4.1 Top level




Figure 7.1 - Top Level Profile Structure

All the core elements for UPDM 2.0 are in the UPDM 2.0 L0 profile. The UPDM 2.0 L0 profile has 3 top level profiles:

- **Core** - Elements shared by DoDAF and MODAF
- **DoDAF** -DoDAF specific elements.
- **MODAF** - MODAF specific elements.

7.4.2 Middle level




Figure 7.2 - Middle Level Profile Structure

Every top level profile may have the following subprofiles:

- **AllElements** - Cross-cutting elements.
- **AcquisitionElements** - Elements relating to Acquisitions (Projects).
- **ExternalTypes** - External types.
- **OperationalElements** - Elements relating to Operational models.
- **ServiceElements** - Elements relating to Service models.

- **StrategicElements** - Elements relating to Strategic models (Capabilities).
- **SystemsElements** - Elements relating to Systems models.
- **TechnicalStandardsElements** - Elements relating to Technical Standards models.

7.4.3 Low level

Each of these subprofiles may be further decomposed into low-level profiles:

- **Behavior** - Stereotypes for modeling behavior.
- **Data** - Stereotypes for modeling data.
- **Environment** - Stereotypes for modeling environment.
- **Flows** - Stereotypes for modeling flows.
- **Measurements** - Stereotypes for modeling measurements.
- **Milestone** - Stereotypes for modeling milestones.
- **Structure** - Stereotypes for modeling structure.
- **Views** - Stereotypes for modeling views.

7.5 Representing Stereotype Constraints

The profile uses a non-standard notation to represent stereotype constraints in the profile to improve readability of the profile.

«metaclass» dependency

«metaclass» is a stereotype that extends the Dependency metaclass. It is used to specify constrained elements within the profile.

A sample of the «metaclass» dependency is a diagram for stereotype extending the Dependency metaclass. Figure 7.3 is an example:





Figure 7.3 - Performs Stereotype

Performs is a stereotype that extends Dependency. The constraint on this stereotype is that its client end must be stereotyped by a Performer and its supplier end must be stereotyped by Activity. But as this constraint is not visible; therefore the diagram does not communicate the needed information. We are using the “metaconstraint” dependency to visualize the constraint:




Figure 7.4 - Performs Hierarchy

This diagram should be read as follows:

Performs is a stereotype extending the Dependency metaclass and is used for modeling a relationship between a Performer (or its specializations) and an Activity (or its specializations). A Dependency stereotyped Performs must have its values for the client property stereotyped as Performer and its values for the supplier property must be stereotyped Activity.

The «metaconstraint» dependency will appear in only in the specification diagrams, but not the profile XMI.

Note. When stereotype extends Connector, the stereotype property umlRole has values “end[0].role” and “end[1].role.” For example:

This is done because Connector has no direct “linkage” to the connected element; it links to the Connector




Figure 7.5 - Connector Extension

Ends, which references the linked element. So, `end[n]` gives the reference to the `ConnectorEnd`, and `role` gives the reference to the linked element.

"metarelationship" dependency

"metarelationship" is a stereotype for dependency, showing that certain domain concepts will be implemented using regular UML relationships.

For example:

A Capability may depend on other Capabilities, but this concept cannot be visualized on the diagram:




Figure 7.6 - Capabilities Generalization

We are using the "metarelationship" dependency to visualize the dependency concept:




Figure 7.7 - Visualizing «metarelationship»

This diagram should be read as follows:

Capability may have other Capabilities related to it, using the UML Dependency metaclass.

The “metarelationship” dependency will appear in only in the specification diagrams, but not the profile XMI.

"stereotyped relationship" dependency

The “metaconstraint” dependency creates a good way to show the constrained ends of the stereotyped relationship, however, it also creates some overhead when showing the relationship between two stereotypes.

For example, the diagram needs to show that Node may require a Capability:



Figure 7.8 - UML Dependency metaclass

The “stereotyped relationship” dependency is used as follows:




Figure 7.9 "stereotyped relationship" dependency

The “stereotyped relationship” dependency will appear only in the specification diagrams, but not the profile XMI.

7.6 UML Constraint Representation

The specification uses the Object Constraint Language (OCL), as defined in Clause 6, "Object Constraint Language Specification" of the UML specification, for expressing well-formedness rules. The following conventions are used to promote readability:

Self - which can be omitted as a reference to the metaclass defining the context of the invariant, has been kept for clarity. UML Infrastructure Specification, v2. 1.2 25

In expressions where a collection is iterated, an iterator is used for clarity, even when formally unnecessary. The type of the iterator is usually omitted, but included when it adds to understanding.

The 'collect' operation is left implicit where this is practical.

The context part of an OCL constraint is not included explicitly, as it is well defined in the sub clause where the constraint appears.

The OCL constraints are stored with the profile and can be interchanged via XMI standard. Below is the pattern to represent constraint for stereotyped relationship in OCL as per UML 2.1:

To constrain the client of the stereotyped relationship that should be a particular stereotyped element:

```
self.client->forAll(getAppliedStereotype(CLIENT_STEREOTYPE)-> notEmpty())
```

To constrain the supplier of the stereotyped relationship that should be a particular stereotyped element:

```
self.supplier->forAll(getAppliedStereotype(SUPPLIER_STEREOTYPE)-> notEmpty())
```

The constraint represented in Figure 7 can be represented in OCL as follows:

```
self.client->forAll(getAppliedStereotype('UPDM: :AllElements: :Behavior : :Performer')-> notEmpty())  
  
self. supplier->forAll(getAppliedStereotype("UPDM: :AllElements: :Behavior : :Activity")->notEmpty())
```

7.7 Important areas of the architecture

7.7.1 Aliases

Although there are similar concepts in DoDAF and MODAF, they are not named the same. In order to keep interoperability and to fit the needs of both audiences, the UPDM 2.0 spec used generalizations as a way to alias concepts:




Figure 7.10 - Aliases

7.7.2 DoDAF 2.02 conformance

Compliance with UPDM 2.0 Profile including metadata should assist the tool vendor in adhering to DoDAF 2.02 because the UPDM 2.0 Core and DoDAF-specific metadata models in UPDM 2.0 adhere to the metadata model inherent in DoDAF 2.02 Conceptual and Logical data models. In developing the UPDM 2.0, domain meta-modelers have also consulted the corresponding Physical data model in DoDAF 2.02 and to resolve questions of general conformance with enterprise-level architectural elements. Nevertheless, tool vendors are advised to consult DoDAF Version 2.02 (especially Volume I, page 2-6; Volume II, page 2-6; and Volume III, page 1-2) before claiming DoDAF

2.02 conformance. While conformance with UPDM 2.0 Core and DoDAF-specifics should greatly facilitate conformance with DoDAF 2.02, each tool vendor is still responsible for the tool's ultimate conformance with the documented architecture framework.

Compliance with UPDM 2.0 Profile including metadata should assist the tool vendor in adhering to DoDAF 2.02 because the UPDM 2.0 Core and DoDAF-specific metadata models in UPDM 2.02 adhere to the metadata model inherent in DoDAF 2.02 Conceptual and Logical data models. In developing the UPDM 2.0, domain meta-modelers have also consulted the corresponding Physical data model in DoDAF 2.02 to resolve questions of general conformance with enterprise-level architectural elements. Nevertheless, tool vendors are advised to consult DoDAF Version 2.02 before claiming DoDAF 2.02 compliance.

The DoD-CIO has clarified in a Decision Brief of 12 Jan 11 that it does not expect UPDM 2.0 to export models in PES, nor to provide an implementation of 4D (geo-spatial-temporal modeling) including a global implementation of Whole-Part and Temporal-Whole-Part for all UPDM elements (classes/objects).

The UPDM Profile to DoDAF Metamodel Compliance Matrix has been published as non-normative Annex C of the specification to aid tool vendors in their claims to DoDAF Level 2 Conformance. This matrix should also facilitate upgrades to Level 3 and 4 of DoDAF Conformance in future versions of UPDM.

7.7.3 SoaML Reuse in L0

SoaML is quickly becoming the standard modeling choice for capturing and creating service oriented architectures. By importing the SoaML stereotypes, a UPDM 2.0 model gains access to these powerful features. They can be used and viewed in a UPDM 2.0 model using the standard SoaML approach and as such have not been further documented.

7.7.4 SysML Reuse in L1

Defining an architectural framework in UPDM 2.0 provides the highest level abstraction of what will one day become integrated pieces of hardware and software. Being able to trace from the architectural framework to the various levels of implementation is critical for ensuring the initial goals have been reached. By including the full SysML profile inside UPDM 2.0, a modeler can have all of the architectural, system and software design in the same place. This provides huge benefits in analysis, cross abstraction level communication, traceability and reuse. As in L0, all of the stereotypes contained in SysML can be used and displayed using standard SysML approaches whilst still being able to be connected to UPDM 2.0 elements such as Nodes and Artifacts.

7.7.5 SOPES Reuse in L1

SOPES IEDM use of UML is becoming a standards based model for specifying and describing the rules governing the aggregation, marshalling, and processing of information across system interfaces. By importing the SOPES stereotypes, a UPDM 2.0 models gains higher fidelity in the specification and design of information exchange requirements. Additional information on the SOPES modeling approach can be found in <http://www.omg.org/spec/SOPES/>

8 Part III – UPDM Profile

UPDM L1 contains UPDM L0 and imports the entire SysML profile. This compliance level contains a set of constraints that specify which SysML stereotypes are applied to the L0 elements. The use of this compliance level is intended to provide more seamless integration with system modeling using SysML and to be able to fully leverage the capabilities of SysML in UPDM.

8.1 UPDM L1

UPDM L1 contains UPDM L0 and imports the entire SysML profile. This compliance level contains a set of constraints that specify which SysML stereotypes are applied to the L0 elements. The use of this compliance level is intended to provide more seamless integration with system modeling using SysML and to be able to fully leverage the capabilities of SysML in UPDM.

context Class inv:

UPDM::Capability::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies
SysML::Block::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context Class inv:

UPDM::CapabilityConfiguration::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies
SysML::Block::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context DataType inv:

UPDM::Climate::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies
SysML::ValueType::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context InformationFlow inv:

UPDM::Commands::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies
SysML::ItemFlow::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context DataType inv:

UPDM::Condition::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies
SysML::ValueType::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context InformationFlow inv:

UPDM::Control::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies
SysML::ItemFlow::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context Class inv:

UPDM::Energy::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies
SysML::Block::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context Class inv:

UPDM::EnterpriseGoal::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies
SysML::Requirement::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context Class inv:

UPDM::EntityItem::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies

SysML::Block::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context DataType inv:

UPDM::Environment::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies

SysML::Block::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context DataType inv:

UPDM::ExchangeElement::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies

SysML::ValueType::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context Class inv:

UPDM::ExternalType::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies

SysML::Block::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context DataType inv:

UPDM::GeoPoliticalExtentType::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies

SysML::ValueType::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context Class inv:

UPDM::HighLevelOperationalConcept::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies

SysML::Block::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context DataType inv:

UPDM::LightCondition::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies

SysML::ValueType::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context DataType inv:

UPDM::LocationType::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies

SysML::ValueType::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context Class inv:

UPDM::LogicalArchitecture::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies

SysML::Block::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context Class inv:

UPDM::Materiel::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies

SysML::Block::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context DataType inv:

UPDM::MeasurementSet::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies

SysML::ValueType::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context DataType inv:

UPDM::MeasureType::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies

SysML::ValueType::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context Class inv:

UPDM::Node::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies
SysML::Block::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context Port inv:

UPDM::NodePort::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies
SysML::FlowPort::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context InformationFlow inv:

UPDM::OperationalExchange::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies
SysML::ItemFlow::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context Class inv:

UPDM::Organization::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies
SysML::Block::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context Class inv:

UPDM::OrganizationType::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies
SysML::Block::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context Class inv:

UPDM::Performer::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies
SysML::Block::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context Class inv:

UPDM::PersonType::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies
SysML::Block::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context Class inv:

UPDM::PhysicalArchitecture::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies
SysML::Block::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context Class inv:

UPDM::Post::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies
SysML::Block::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context Class inv:

UPDM::ResourceArtifact::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies
SysML::Block::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context InformationFlow inv:

UPDM::ResourceInteraction::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies
SysML::ItemFlow::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context Port inv:

UPDM::ResourcePort::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies
SysML::FlowPort::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context Class inv:
UPDM::Responsibility::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies
SysML::Block::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context Class inv:
UPDM::RoleType::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies
SysML::Block::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context DataType inv:
UPDM::SecurityAttributesGroup::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies
SysML::ValueType::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context Class inv:
UPDM::Node::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies
SysML::Block::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context Class inv:
UPDM::ServiceAccess::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies
SysML::Block::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context Class inv:
UPDM::Software::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies
SysML::Block::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

context Class inv:
UPDM::System::allInstances()->exists(n|n.base_Class=self) implies
SysML::Block::allInstances()->exists(b| b.base_Class = self)

8.2 UPDM L0

UPDM L0 contains all the Core, DoDAF and MODAF elements, and imports parts of SysML – Requirements and ModelElements namely. This compliance level is primarily based on UML and reuse of a minimum of SysML elements. This includes Requirements and Views/Viewpoints. As one of the core principles is reuse, cloning/recreating of these existing SysML structures was considered as inappropriate.

8.3 Core

The Core contains most of the elements of UPDM profile. These elements are common to both DoDAF and MoDAF or are critical to a complete model of core concepts. The Core is always associated with either the DoDAF or MoDAF profiles.

If desired, there is no prohibition of using both MoDAF, DoDAF and Core should the end-user desire to use some or all of the concepts represented.

8.3.1 AcquisitionElements

The AcquisitionElements describe project details, including dependencies between projects and capability integration. These Views guide the acquisition and fielding processes.

8.3.1.1 Milestone

Milestone elements from the acquisition section of the profile.

8.3.1.1.1 ActualProject

MODAF: (MODAF::Project): A time-limited endeavour to create a specific set of products or services.

DoDAF: (DoDAF::Project): A temporary endeavor undertaken to create Resources or Desired Effects.




Figure 1. ActualProject

Elements related to the Project stereotype.

- Constraints

The following are constraints for **ActualProject**:

ActualProject.classifier - Classifier property value must be stereotyped «Project» or its specializations.

- Attributes

The following are attributes for **ActualProject**:

endDate : ISO8601DateTime[0..1] End time for this Project.

ownedMilestones : ActualProjectMilestone[1..*] Milestones associates with this project.

part : ActualProject[0..*] Sub-projects.

startDate : ISO8601DateTime[1] Start time for this Project.

whole : ActualProject[0..1] Parent project.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ActualProject**:

InstanceSpecification

- **Specializations**

The **ActualProject** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.1.1.2 ActualProjectMilestoneRole

UPDM: An instance of a ProjectMilestoneRole in the context of an ActualProject.




Figure 2. **ActualProjectMilestoneRole**

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ActualProjectMilestoneRole**:

ActualProjectMilestoneRole.definingFeature - Value for definingFeature property has to be stereotyped «ProjectMilestoneRole» or its specializations.

ActualProjectMilestoneRole.owningInstance - Value for owningInstance property has to be stereotyped «ActualProject» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ActualProjectMilestoneRole**:

Slot

- **Specializations**

The **ActualProjectMilestoneRole** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.1.1.3 OrganizationalProjectRelationship

MODAF: A relationship between an ActualOrganisation and a Project.




Figure 3. OrganizationalProjectRelationship

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **OrganizationalProjectRelationship**:

OrganizationalProjectRelationship.client - Value for the client property must be stereotyped «ActualProject» or its specializations.

OrganizationalProjectRelationship.supplier - Value for the supplier property must be stereotyped a specialization of «ActualOrganizationalResource».

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **OrganizationalProjectRelationship**:

Dependency

- **Specializations**

The **OrganizationalProjectRelationship** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.1.1.4 ProjectMilestoneRole

UPDM: The role played by a ProjectMilestone in the context of an ActualProjectMilestone

MODAF: NA

DoDAF: NA




Figure 4. ProjectMilestoneRole

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ProjectMilestoneRole**:

ProjectMilestoneRole.class - Value for the class property must be stereotyped «Project» or its specializations.

ProjectMilestoneRole.type - Value for the type property must be stereotyped «ProjectMilestone» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ProjectMilestoneRole**:

Property

- **Specializations**

The **ProjectMilestoneRole** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.1.1.5 ProjectType

MODAF: A Project (MODAF::ProjectType) is used to define a category of project: For example, "Programme", "Acquisition Project" or "Training Programme".

DoDAF: NA (only Individual Project in DoDAF).




Figure 5. ProjectType

Elements related to the ProjectType stereotype.

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ProjectType**:

Project.ownedAttribute - Values for ownedAttribute property must be stereotyped «ProjectMilestoneRole» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ProjectType**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **ProjectType** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

Desirer

8.3.2 AllElements

The AllElements are elements that are part of the All View. The All-Views (AVs) provide an overarching description of the architecture, its scope, ownership, timeframe and all of the other meta data that is required in order to effectively search and query architectural models. They also provide a place to record any findings arising from the architecting process. The AVs include a dictionary of the terms used in the construction of the architecture – which helps others fully understand it's meaning at a later date. Since the AVs provide critical information for the future access and exploitation of an architectural model their population is essential whenever an architecture is created or modified. The AVs provide a critical input into the processes that provide architectural governance.

8.3.2.1 Exchange

UPDM: Abstract grouping for interactions that exchange messages.

MODAF:NA

DoDAF:NA




Figure 6. Exchange

- Specializations

The **Exchange** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.2.2 UPDMElement

UPDM Artifact: Super type for many of the UPDM elements. It provides a means of extending UPDM elements in a common way. With links to the measurement set, it also allows quantitative metrics to be associated with structural and behavioral elements.




Figure 7. UPDMElement

Standard that this UPDM element is conforming to.

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **UPDMElement**:

actualPropertySet : ActualPropertySet[*] The actual measurements to which the element must conform.

conformsTo : Standard[*] Standard that this UPDM element is conforming to.

endBoundaryType : ISO8601DateTime[0..1] End time of boundary.

propertySet : PropertySet[*] Types of measurements corresponding to the actual measurements.

startBoundaryType : ISO8601DateTime[0..1] Start time of a boundary.

URL/URI : String[1] Unique identifier for the element.

8.3.2.3 Behavior

The behavioral portion of the AllElements profile.

8.3.2.3.1 Activity

UPDM: An abstract element that represents a behavior (i.e. a Function or OperationalActivity) that can be performed by a Performer.

MODAF: NA

DoDAF: Work, not specific to a single organization, weapon system or individual that transforms inputs (Resources) into outputs (Resources) or changes their state.




Figure 8. Activity

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **Activity**:

activityPerformableUnderCondition : Environment[*] The environment under which an activity is performed.

- **Specializations**

The **Activity** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

Desirer

8.3.2.3.2 CapableElement

UPDM An abstract element that represents a structural element that can perform behaviors (i.e. PerformedActivity).
DoDAF: NA




Figure 9. CapableElement

- Specializations

The **CapableElement** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.2.3.3 Implements

UPDM: Tuple defining the relationship between systems and service elements and operational elements

MODAF: ActivityToFunctionMapping, Asserts that a Function (at least in part) performs or assists in the conducting of an OperationalActivity.

DoDAF: N/A




Figure 10. Implements

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **Implements**:

Implements.client - Values for the client property must be stereotyped «SystemResource», «ResourceInteraction», «Function», «ServiceFunction» or their specializations.

Implements.supplier - Values for the supplier property must be stereotyped «Node», «OperationalActivity», «OperationalExchange», «Function» or their specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Implements**:

Abstraction

- **Specializations**

The **Implements** element is a specialization of:

UPDM2 element

8.3.2.3.4 IsCapableOfPerforming

UPDM: Links a Performer to the behavior that it can perform.

DoDAF: The Performs (DoDAF::activityPerformedByPerformer) relationship is an overlap between a Performer and a PerformedActivity (DoDAF::Activity) wherein the activity is performed by the Performer.




Figure 11. IsCapableOfPerforming

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **IsCapableOfPerforming**:

IsCapableOfPerforming.client - Values for the client property must be stereotyped «Node», «SystemResource», «ServiceInterface» or their specializations.

IsCapableOfPerforming.supplier - Values for the supplier property must be stereotyped «OperationalActivity», «Function», «ServiceFunction» or their specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **IsCapableOfPerforming**:

Dependency

- **Specializations**

The **IsCapableOfPerforming** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.2.4 Environment

The environmental aspects of the AllElements profile.

8.3.2.4.1 LocationKind

Enumeration of location kinds, derived from DoDAF, used to support the locationKind tag of the LocationKind stereotype.

- **Enumeration Literals**

The following are enumeration literals for Environment:

CircularArea - The space enclosed by a circle.

EllipticalArea - The space enclosed by an ellipse.

GeoStationaryPoint - Unidimensional Individual (dimensionless in space, existent over all time).

Line - A geometric figure formed by a point moving along a fixed direction and the reverse direction.

Other - Other Location kind that is not on the enumerated list.

PlanarSurface - A two-dimensional portion of space.

Point - Unidimensional Individual (dimensionless in space, existent over all time).

PolygonArea - The space enclosed by a polygon.

RectangularArea - The space enclosed by a rectangle.

SolidVolume - The amount of space occupied by a three-dimensional object of definite shape; not liquid or gaseous.

Surface - A portion of space having length and breadth but no thickness or regards to time.

8.3.2.4.2 LocationTypeKind

Enumeration of kinds of location types, derived from DoDAF, used to support the LocationTypeKind tag of the LocationTypeKind stereotype.

- **Enumeration Literals**

The following are enumeration literals for Environment:

CircularAreaType - Powertype Of CircularArea.

EllipticalAreaType - Powertype Of EllipticalArea.

GeoStationaryPointType - Powertype Of GeoStationaryPoint.

LineType - Powertype Of Line.

OtherType - Other LocationType kind that is not on the enumerated list.

PlanarSurfaceType - Powertype Of PlanarSurface.

PointType - Powertype Of Point.

PolygonAreaType - Powertype Of PolygonArea.

RectangularAreaType - Powertype Of RectangularArea.

SolidVolumeType - Powertype Of SolidVolume.

SurfaceType - Powertype Of Surface.

8.3.2.4.3 ActualLocation

MODAF: A PhysicalLocation (MODAF::ActualLocation) is a location anywhere on the earth. The means of describing the location is a string (locationDescription). The information contained in that string is governed by the taxonomy reference - e.g. if the PhysicalLocation is a "GPS reference", the string will contain the GPS coordinates. NOTE: this has been extended in UPDM to include non-earth locations.

DoDAF: All subtypes of << IndividualType >> Location, such as Facility, Site, etc.




Figure 12. ActualLocation

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ActualLocation**:

ActualLocation.classifier - Classifier property value must be stereotyped «LocationType» or its specializations.

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **ActualLocation**:

address : String[] String describing the address of the actual location, i.e. "1600 Pennsylvania avenue" describes the address of the actual location "The White House"

customKind : String[] String describing a location kind that is not on the enumerated list

locationKind : LocationKind[] Enumerated value describing the kind of location.

locationNamedByAddress : Boolean[] Boolean, by default = false, that indicates if the location address is embedded in the location name.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ActualLocation**:

InstanceSpecification

- **Specializations**

The **ActualLocation** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.2.4.4 ConditionType

Abstract element indicating what an EnvironmentProperty can be typed by.




Figure 13. ConditionType

- **Specializations**

The **ConditionType** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.2.4.5 Environment

MODAF: A definition of the conditions in which something exists or functions.
DoDAF: NA




Figure 14. Environment

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **Environment**:

Environment.ownedAttributes - Owned attributes have to be stereotyped <<EnvironmentProperty>>.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Environment**:

DataType

- Specializations

The **Environment** element is a specialization of:

ConditionType

PropertySet

8.3.2.4.6 EnvironmentProperty

MODAF: Asserts that an Environment has one or more properties. These may be Climate, LocationType, or LightCondition.
DoDAF: NA




Figure 15. EnvironmentProperty

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **EnvironmentProperty**:

EnvironmentalProperty.class - Value for the class property must be stereotyped «Environment» or its specializations.

EnvironmentalProperty.type - Value for the type property must be stereotyped «ConditionType» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **EnvironmentProperty**:

Property

- **Specializations**

The **EnvironmentProperty** element is a specialization of:

Property

8.3.2.4.7 LocationHolder

UPDM:Abstract grouping to capture elements that can have a location.




Figure 16. LocationHolder

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **LocationHolder**:

physicalLocation : ActualLocation[0..*] The ActualLocation associated with a LocationHolder(Abstract).

requiredEnvironment : Environment[0..*] The Environment in which a LocationHolder(Abstract) is active.

- **Specializations**

The **LocationHolder** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.2.4.8 LocationType

MODAF: A general specification of the surroundings / scenario in which an operation may take place. Examples would be: "desert", "arctic", "at sea", etc.
 DoDAF: A point or extent in space that may be referred to physically or logically. Includes concepts such as: Facility, Installation, RealProperty, Site, , and instances of conditions such as underwater (as specified in UJTLs).




Figure 17. LocationType

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **LocationType**:

customKind : String[] String defining custom kinds of locationTypes.

locationTypeKind : LocationTypeKind[] Kind of location taken from the DOD UJTLs.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **LocationType**:

DataType

- **Specializations**

The **LocationType** element is a specialization of:

ConceptItem

ConditionType

8.3.2.5 Measurements

The measurement portion of the AllElements profile.

8.3.2.5.1 ActualPropertySetKind

Possible kinds of ActualMeasurementSet intention, derived from DoDAF.

- **Enumeration Literals**

The following are enumeration literals for Measurements:

Actual - Actual Measure.

Estimate - Estimate.

Required - Required Measure

8.3.2.5.2 ActualMeasurement

UPDM: An actual value of the Measurement.

MODAF: NA

DoDAF: NA




Figure 18. ActualMeasurement

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ActualMeasurement**:

ActualMeasurement.definingFeature - Value for definingFeature property must be stereotyped «Measurement» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ActualMeasurement**:

Slot

- **Specializations**

The **ActualMeasurement** element is a specialization of:

ActualProperty

8.3.2.5.3 ActualProperty

UPDM: The value of a Measure.

MODAF: NA

DoDAF: NA




Figure 19. ActualProperty

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ActualProperty**:

PropertyValue.definingFeature - Value for definingFeature property must be stereotyped «Property» or its specializations.

PropertyValue.owningInstance - Value for owningInstance property has to be stereotyped «PropertySet» or its specializations.

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **ActualProperty**:

endDate : ISO8601DateTime[] Applicable end date of the measured property.

intention : ActualPropertySetKind[1] Possible kinds of ActualMeasurementSet intention.

startDate : ISO8601DateTime[] Applicable end date of the measured property.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ActualProperty**:

Slot

- **Specializations**

The **ActualProperty** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.2.5.4 ActualPropertySet

UPDM: A set or collection of ActualMeasurement(s). A date of measurement can be set. An intent of ActualMeasurementSet can be “Result”, “Required”, or “Estimate”

MODAF: NA

DoDAF: NA




Figure 20. ActualPropertySet

- Constraints

The following are constraints for **ActualPropertySet**:

ActualPropertySet.classifier - Value for the classifier property must be stereotyped «PropertySet» or its

specializations.

ActualPropertySet.slot - Value for the slot property must be stereotyped «ActualProperty» or its specializations.

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **ActualPropertySet**:

appliesTo : UPDMElement[1] Measured element.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ActualPropertySet**:

InstanceSpecification

- **Specializations**

The **ActualPropertySet** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.2.5.5 Measurement

MODAF: MeasurableProperty: A property of something in the physical world, expressed in amounts of a unit of measure. The property may have a required value - either specified by the [defaultValue] from UML::property attribute, or the [minValue] and [maxValue] to specify a required range.

DoDAF: Measure: A Measurement (DoDAF::Measure) is the magnitude of some attribute of an individual.




Figure 21. Measurement

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Measurement**:

Property

- **Specializations**

The **Measurement** element is a specialization of:

Property

8.3.2.5.6 MeasurementSet

UPDM: A collection of Measurements.

MODAF: N/A

DoDAF: N/A




Figure 22. MeasurementSet

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **MeasurementSet**:

MeasurementSet.ownedAttributes - Owned attributes have to be stereotyped <<Measurement>>.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **MeasurementSet**:

DataType

- **Specializations**

The **MeasurementSet** element is a specialization of:

PropertySet

8.3.2.5.7 Property

UPDM: The defining feature of an actual property, used to capture measurements

MODAF: NA

DoDAF: NA




Figure 23. Property

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **Property**:

`defaultValue : LiteralSpecification[]`

`maxValue : LiteralSpecification[]`

`minValue : LiteralSpecification[]`

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Property**:

Property

- Specializations

The **Property** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.2.5.8 PropertySet

UPDM: A set or collection of Measurement(s).

MODAF: NA

DoDAF: NA




Figure 24. PropertySet

- Constraints

The following are constraints for **PropertySet**:

PropertySet.ownedAttribute - Values for the ownedAttribute property must be stereotyped «Property» or its specializations.

- Attributes

The following are attributes for **PropertySet**:

appliesTo : UPDMElement[0..*] Measured element.

- **Specializations**

The **PropertySet** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.2.6 Structure

This section of the specification contains the Structural Aspects of the All Elements section.

8.3.2.6.1 ExchangeElementKind

Enumeration of the types of element being exchanged on an information exchange.

- **Enumeration Literals**

The following are enumeration literals for Structure:

DataElement - A formalized representation of data which is managed by or exchanged between resources.

InformationElement - An item of information that flows between Operational Activities and Nodes. The structure of an InformationElement may be defined using a LogicalDataModel.

8.3.2.6.2 RuleKind

Enumeration of possible kinds for constraints.

- **Enumeration Literals**

The following are enumeration literals for Structure:

ActionAssertion - Statement that concerns some dynamic aspect of the business.

Agreement - A consent among parties regarding the terms and conditions of activities that said parties participate in.

Constraint - Business Rule, Rule, Restraint, Operational Limitation.

Derivation - Rule derived from another rule.

Guidance - An authoritative statement intended to lead or steer the execution of actions.

SecurityPolicy - An OperationalConstraint that specifies policy for information handling, physical security, encryption, etc.

StructuralAssertion - Statement that something of importance to the business either exists as a concept of interest or exists in relationship to another thing of interest.

8.3.2.6.3 ExchangeElement

MODAF: A relationship specifying the need to exchange information between nodes.

DoDAF: NA - this is a specialization of OperationalExchange (DoDAF::Interface).




Figure 25. ExchangeElement

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **ExchangeElement**:

definedBy : EntityItem[0..*] The relationship between the EntityElement that defines the ExchangeElement.

exchangeElementKind : ExchangeElementKind[] Enumeration of the kinds of information being exchanged.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ExchangeElement**:

DataType

- **Specializations**

The **ExchangeElement** element is a specialization of:

OperationalExchangeItem

SubjectOfResourceConstraint

ResourceInteractionItem

SubjectOfOperationalConstraint

8.3.2.6.4 Participant

UPDM: A participant is the abstract type of a provider and/or consumer of services. In the business domain a participant may be a person, organization or system. In the systems domain a participant may be a system, application or component.




Figure 26. Participant

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **Participant**:

Participant.ownedPort - Values for the ownedPort property must be stereotyped «ServicePort» or its specializations.

- **Specializations**

The **Participant** element is a specialization of:

CapableElement

ConceptItem

OperationalExchangeItem

Desirer

Participant

8.3.2.6.5 Resource

UPDM: Abstract element placeholder to indicate that resources can be exchanged in Operational and Systems views.

MODAF: NA

DoDAF: Data, Information, Performers, Materiel, or Personnel Types that are produced or consumed.




Figure 27. Resource

- Specializations

The **Resource** element is a specialization of:

LocationHolder

PropertySet

SubjectOfResourceConstraint

8.3.2.6.6 Rule

MODAF: An abstract Class that is extended by OperationalConstraint (A rule governing an operational behaviour or property.) and ResourceConstraint (A rule governing the structural or functional aspects of an implementation - this may also include constraints on OrganisationalResources that are part of an implementation).

DoDAF: Rule: A principle or condition that governs behavior; a prescribed guide for conduct or action. Subtype: Constraint:

The range of permissible states for an object.




Figure 28. Rule

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **Rule**:

ruleKind : RuleKind[]

- **Specializations**

The **Rule** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.2.7 Views

The views section of the AllElements profile.

8.3.2.7.1 ArchitectureFrameworkKind

Enumeration of the possible types of architectural framework that the architecture is being developed for.

- **Enumeration Literals**

The following are enumeration literals for Views:

DoDAF - Department of Defense Architecture Framework.

MODAF - Ministry of Defence Architecture Framework.

NAF - NATO Architecture Framework.

8.3.2.7.2 ArchitecturalDescription

MODAF: A specification of a system of systems at a technical level which also provides the business context for the system of systems.

DoDAF: Information describing an architecture such as an OV-5 Activity Model document.




Figure 29. ArchitecturalDescription

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ArchitecturalDescription**:

ArchitecturalDescription.architectureFramework - If the property is set to DoDAF, only aliases scoped under the DoDAF profile can be used – if set to MODAF then only MODAF aliases can be used. Should the property be set to nothing, none of the aliases can be used.

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **ArchitecturalDescription**:

approvalAuthority : String[*] References the actual organizational resource that has the authority to approve the architectural description.

architect : String[1] The name of the architect responsible for the ArchitecturalDescription.

architectureFramework : ArchitectureFrameworkKind[1] Indicates the type of framework used.

assumptionAndConstraint : String[1] Any assumptions, constraints, and limitations contained in the ArchitecturalDescription, including those affecting deployment, communications performance, information assurance environments, etc.

creatingOrganization : String[1] Describes the ActualOrganizationalResource creating the ArchitecturalDescription.

dateCompleted : String[0..1] Date that the Architectural Description was completed.

purpose : String[1] Explains the need for the Architecture, what it will demonstrate, the types of analyses that will be applied to it, who is expected to perform the analyses, what decisions are expected to be made on the basis of each form of analysis, who is expected to make those decisions, and what actions are expected to result.

recommendations : String[1] States the recommendations that have been developed based on the architecture effort. Examples include recommended system implementations, and opportunities for technology insertion.

summaryOfFindings : String[1] Summarizes the findings that have been developed so far. This may be updated several times during the development of the ArchitecturalDescription.

toBe : Boolean[1] Indicates whether the ArchitecturalDescription is existing or future.

toolsUsed : String[1] Identifies any tools used to develop the ArchitecturalDescription as well as file names and formats if appropriate.

viewpoint : String[1] Indicates which viewpoints are used in the architecture.

views : View[1..*] Indicates which views are used in the architecture.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ArchitecturalDescription**:

Package

- **Specializations**

The **ArchitecturalDescription** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.2.7.3 ArchitecturalReference

MODAF: Asserts that one architectural description (referrer) refers to another (referred).
DoDAF: NA




Figure 30. ArchitecturalReference

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ArchitecturalReference**:

ArchitecturalReference.client - Value for the client property must be stereotyped «ArchitecturalDescription» or its specializations.

ArchitecturalReference.supplier - Value for the supplier property must be stereotyped «ArchitecturalDescription» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ArchitecturalReference**:

Dependency

- **Specializations**

The **ArchitecturalReference** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.2.7.4 ArchitectureMetadata

UPDM: Information on ArchitecturalDescription. It states things like what methodology was used, notation, etc.

MODAF: A Metadata element that applies to the whole architecture.

DoDAF: NA




Figure 31. ArchitectureMetadata

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ArchitectureMetadata**:

ArchitectureMetadata.annotatedElement - Value for the annotatedElement property must be stereotyped «ArchitecturalDescription» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ArchitectureMetadata**:

Comment

- **Specializations**

The **ArchitectureMetadata** element is a specialization of:

Metadata

8.3.2.7.5 Metadata

MODAF: Annotation that can be applied to any element in the architecture.
DoDAF: NA




Figure 32. Metadata

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **Metadata**:

dublinCoreElement : String[0..1] If the meta data corresponds to the Dublin Core Meta-Data Standard, then the meta-data element name should be listed here

modMetaDataElement : String[0..1] If the meta data corresponds to the MOD Meta-Data Standard, then the meta-

data element name should be listed here.

name : String[1] The name of the Metadata.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Metadata**:

- **Comment**

- **Specializations**

The **Metadata** element is a specialization of:

- **UPDMElement**

8.3.2.7.6 View

MODAF: A specification of a way to present an aspect of the architecture. Views are defined with one or more purposes in mind - e.g. showing the logical topology of the enterprise, describing a process model, defining a data model, etc.
DoDAF:NA




Figure 33. View

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **View**:

architecturalElements : UPDMElement[0..*] Architectural elements contained in the view.

coversPhase : EnterprisePhase[1] The EnterprisePhase that is covered by a view.

description : String[1] Description of the view.

viewpoints : Viewpoint[1..*] The Viewpoints associated with a View.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **View**:

Package

- **Specializations**

The **View** element is a specialization of:

View

UPDMElement

8.3.2.7.7 Viewpoint

MODAF:An instance of the specified View.

DoDAF:NA




Figure 34. Viewpoint

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **Viewpoint**:

concerns : String[*] String, the concerns to be addressed by the viewpoint.

languages : String[*] String, the languages used to express the viewpoint.

methods : String[*] String, the methods employed in the development of the viewpoint.

purpose : String[0..1] String, the purpose of the viewpoint.

stakeholders : String[*] String, the stakeholders of the architecture.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Viewpoint**:

Package

- **Specializations**

The **Viewpoint** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.3 ExternalTypes

A type defined by an external ontology. This may be higher-order - i.e. a type of a type.

8.3.3.1 ISO8601DateTime

MODAF: A date and time specified in the ISO8601 date-time format including timezone designator (TZD): YYYY-MM-DDThh:mm:ssTZD.

DoDAF: NA




Figure 35. ISO8601DateTime

MODAF: A date and time specified in the ISO8601 date-time format including timezone designator (TZD): YYYY-MM-DDThh:mm:ssTZD.

DoDAF: NA

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ISO8601DateTime**:

LiteralString

- **Specializations**

The **ISO8601DateTime** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.4 OperationalElements

OperationalElements group elements used to model product for Operational View. An Operational View (OV) describes the tasks and activities, operational elements, and information exchanges required to conduct operations. A pure OV is materiel independent. However, operations and their relationships may be influenced by new technologies such as collaboration technology, where process improvements are in practice before policy can reflect the new procedures. There may be some cases, as well, in which it is necessary to document the way processes are performed given the restrictions of current systems, in order to examine ways in which new systems could facilitate streamlining the processes. In such cases, an OV may have materiel constraints and requirements that must be addressed. For this reason, it may be necessary to include some high- level Systems View (SV) architecture data as overlays or augmenting information onto the OV products.

8.3.4.1 Behavior

Behavioral section of the OperationalElements Profile.

8.3.4.1.1 NodeOperation

UPDM:A partial or full realization of an OperationalActivity.

MODAF:NA

DoDAF:NA




Figure 36. NodeOperation

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **NodeOperation**:

NodeOperation.ownedParameter - The values for the ownedParameter property must be stereotyped «OperationalParameter» or its specializations.

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **NodeOperation**:

realizes : OperationalActivity[1] Relationship between a NodeOperation and an OperationalActivity.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **NodeOperation**:

Operation

- **Specializations**

The **NodeOperation** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.4.1.2 OperationalActivity

MODAF: A logical process, specified independently of how the process is carried out. DoDAF: An activity is an action performed in conducting the business of an enterprise. It is a general term that does not imply a placement in a hierarchy (e.g., it could be a process or a task as defined in other documents and it could be at any level of the hierarchy of the OV-5). It is used to portray operational actions not hardware/software system functions. NOTE: This is also a specialization of Activity.

DoDAF:NA




Figure 37. OperationalActivity

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **OperationalActivity**:

OperationalActivity.ownedParameter - The values for the ownedParameter property must be stereotyped «OperationalParameter» or its specializations.

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **OperationalActivity**:

realizedBy : NodeOperation[*] Relationship between an OperationalActivity and a NodeOperation

subject : ActivitySubject[*] Object acting upon this OperationalActivity.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **OperationalActivity**:

Activity

- **Specializations**

The **OperationalActivity** element is a specialization of:

Activity

SubjectOfOperationalConstraint

Process

8.3.4.1.3 **OperationalActivityAction**

UPDM: The OperationalActivityAction is defined as a call behavior action that invokes the activity that needs to be performed.

MODAF: Used to relate an OperationalActivity to its sub-activities.

DoDAF:NA




Figure 38. OperationalActivityAction

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **OperationalActivityAction**:

OperationalActivityAction.activity - Value for behavior property must be stereotyped «OperationalActivity» or its specializations.

OperationalActivityAction.behavior - Value for activity property must be stereotyped «OperationalActivity» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **OperationalActivityAction**:

CallBehaviorAction

- **Specializations**

The **OperationalActivityAction** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.4.1.4 OperationalActivityEdge

UPDM An extension of <<ActivityEdge>> that is used to model the flow of control/objects through an OperationalActivity.
 MODAF: An OperationalActivityEdge (MODAF::OperationalActivityFlow) is a flow of information, energy or materiel from one activity to another.
 DoDAF:NA




Figure 39. **OperationalActivityEdge**

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **OperationalActivityEdge**:

OperationalActivityEdge.owner - «OperationalActivityEdge» must be owned directly or indirectly by «OperationalActivity».

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **OperationalActivityEdge**:

carriedItem : OperationalExchangeItem[*] The item information element carried along an OperationalActivityEdge, associated with the relevant needline.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **OperationalActivityEdge**:

ActivityEdge

- **Specializations**

The **OperationalActivityEdge** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.4.1.5 OperationalEventTrace

MODAF: An OperationalEventTrace (MODAF::OperationalInteractionSpecification) is a specification of the interactions between nodes in an operational architecture.

DoDAF: The Operational Event-Trace Description (OV-6c) DoDAF-described View provides a time ordered examination of the resource flows as a result of a particular scenario. Each event-trace diagram will have an accompanying description that defines the particular scenario or situation.




Figure 40. OperationalEventTrace

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **OperationalEventTrace**:

OperationalEventTrace.message - Values for the message property must be stereotyped with «OperationalMessage» or its specializations.

OperationalEventTrace.owner - Values for the owner property must be stereotyped with «Node» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **OperationalEventTrace**:

Interaction

- **Specializations**

The **OperationalEventTrace** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.4.1.6 OperationalMessage

UPDM: Message for use in an Operational Event-Trace which carries any of the subtypes of OperationalExchange. This is used to provide additional information about OperationalMessages for display on an OV-6c.




Figure 41. OperationalMessage

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **OperationalMessage**:

OperationalMessage.receiveEvent.event.operation - Values for the receiveEvent.event.operation property must be stereotyped with «NodeOperation» or its specializations.

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **OperationalMessage**:

carries : OperationalExchange[*] Carried OperationalExchange.

operation : NodeOperation[] The NodeOperation associated with a OperationalMessage.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **OperationalMessage**:

Message

- **Specializations**

The **OperationalMessage** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.4.1.7 OperationalParameter

UPDM Represents inputs and outputs of an OperationalActivity. It is typed by OperationalExchangeItem.




Figure 42. OperationalParameter

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **OperationalParameter**:

OperationalParameter.type - Value for the type property must be stereotyped by specialization of «OperationalExchangeItem».

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **OperationalParameter**:

Parameter

- Specializations

The **OperationalParameter** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.4.1.8 OperationalState

UPDM: State identified in the context of an OperationalStateDescription

MODAF:N/A

DoDAF:N/A




Figure 43. OperationalState

- Extensions

The following are extensions for **OperationalState**:

State

- Specializations

The **OperationalState** element is a specialization of:

DesiredState

8.3.4.1.9 OperationalStateDescription

UPDM: A state machine describing an operational behavior or property.

MODAF: An OperationalStateMachine (MODAF::OperationalStateDescription) is a rule governing an operational behavior or property.

DoDAF: The Operational State Transition Description (OV-6b) DoDAF-described View is a graphical method of describing how an Operational Activity responds to various events by changing its state. The diagram represents the sets of events to which the Architecture will respond (by taking an action to move to a new state) as a function of its current state. Each transition specifies an event and an action.




Figure 44. OperationalStateDescription

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **OperationalStateDescription**:

OperationalStateDescription.owner - Values for the owner property must be stereotyped with specializations of «SubjectOfOperationalStateMachine».

OperationalStateDescription.region.state - Values for the region.state property must be stereotyped with «OperationalState» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **OperationalStateDescription**:

StateMachine

- **Specializations**

The **OperationalStateDescription** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.4.1.10 SubjectOfOperationalStateMachine

UPDM Abstract Element: The element being described by the state machine.




Figure 45. SubjectOfOperationalStateMachine

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **SubjectOfOperationalStateMachine**:

SubjectOfOperationalStateMachine.ownedBehavior - If elements, that have applied stereotypes that are specializations of «SubjectOfOperationalStateMachine» have StateMachines as owned behaviors, then those behaviors must be stereotyped «OperationalStateMachine» or its specializations.

- **Specializations**

The **SubjectOfOperationalStateMachine** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.4.2 Data

The Data Profile is used to document the business information requirements and structural business process rules of the architecture. It describes the information that is associated with the information exchanges of the architecture. Included are

information items, their attributes or characteristics, and their inter-relationships.

8.3.4.2.1 LogicalDataModel

MODAF: A LogicalDataModel is a specification of business information requirements as a formal data structure, where relationships and classes (entities) are used to specify the logic which underpins the information.

DoDAF: A Logical Data Model allows analysis of an architecture's data definition aspect, without consideration of implementation specific or product specific issues.




Figure 46. LogicalDataModel

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **LogicalDataModel**:

- Package**

- **Specializations**

The **LogicalDataModel** element is a specialization of:

- DataModel**

8.3.4.3 Flows

Section of the OperationalElements profile that describe flows exists or are required between Nodes such as flows of information, people, materiel, or energy.

8.3.4.3.1 OperationalExchangeKind

Enumeration of operational exchange kinds, used to support the exchangeKind tag of the OperationalExchange stereotype.

- **Enumeration Literals**

The following are enumeration literals for Flows:

ConfigurationExchange - A LogicalFlow where CapabilityConfigurations flow from one node to another.

EnergyExchange - A LogicalFlow where energy is flowed from one node to another.

GeoPoliticalExtentExchange - A LogicalFlow where GeoPoliticalExtents (i.e. Borders) flow from one place to another.

InformationExchange - A LogicalFlow where energy is flowed from one node to another.

MaterielExchange - A flow of materiel (artifacts) between Functions.

OrganizationalExchange - A LogicalFlow where human resources (PostTypes, RoleTypes) flow between Nodes.

8.3.4.3.2 Command

MODAF: Asserts that one OrganisationalResource (source) commands another (target)

DoDAF: NA




Figure 47. Command

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **Command**:

Command.conveyed - Value for the conveyed property must be stereotyped «ExchangeElement» or its specializations.

Command.informationSource - Value for the informationSource property must be stereotyped «OrganizationalResource» or its specializations.

Command.informationTarget - Value for the informationTarget property must be stereotyped «OrganizationalResource» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Command**:

InformationFlow

- **Specializations**

The **Command** element is a specialization of:

ResourceInteraction

8.3.4.3.3 OperationalExchange

UPDM: An utility element used as common flow for:

- InformationExchange
- OrganizationalExchange
- EnergyExchange
- MaterielExchange
- ConfigurationExchange
- GeoPoliticalExtent

An operational exchange is formed when an activity of one operational node consumes items produced by another activity of a different operational node.

An operational exchange describes the characteristics of the exchanged item, such as the content, format (voice, imagery, text and message format, etc.), throughput requirements, security or classification level, timeliness requirement, and the degree of interoperability.

MODAF: An OperationalExchange (MODAF::LogicalFlow) asserts that a flow exists or is required between Nodes (e.g. flows of information, people, materiel, or energy).




Figure 48. OperationalExchange

- Constraints

The following are constraints for **OperationalExchange**:

OperationalExchange.conveyed - In case of OperationalExchange.operationalExchangeKind:
= InformationExchange, the conveyed element must be stereotyped «ExchangeElement» or its specializations,
= MaterielExchange, the conveyed element must be stereotyped «ResourceArtifact» or its specializations,
= EnergyExchange, the conveyed element must be stereotyped «Energy» or its specializations,
= OrganizationalExchange, the conveyed element must be stereotyped «OrganizationalResource» or its specializations,
= ConfigurationExchange, the conveyed element must be stereotyped «CapabilityConfiguration» or its specializations, or
= GeoPoliticalExtentExchange, the conveyed element must be stereotyped «GeoPoliticalExtent» or its specializations.

OperationalExchange.informationSource - Value for informationSource property has to be stereotyped «Node» or its specializations.

OperationalExchange.informationTarget - Value for informationTarget property has to be stereotyped «Node» or its specializations.

OperationalExchange.realization/realizingConnector - Value for realization or realizingConnector property has to be stereotyped «Needline», «ServiceChannel», or their specializations.

OperationalExchange.realizingActivityEdge - Value for realizingActivityEdge property has to be stereotyped «OperationalActivityEdge» or its specializations.

OperationalExchange.realizingMessage - Value for realizingMessage property has to be stereotyped «OperationalMessage» or its specializations.

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **OperationalExchange**:

exchangeKind : OperationalExchangeKind[] Enumeration of operational exchange kinds.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **OperationalExchange**:

InformationFlow

- **Specializations**

The **OperationalExchange** element is a specialization of:

Exchange

SubjectOfOperationalConstraint

8.3.4.3.4 OperationalExchangeItem

UPDM An abstract utility element used as common ancestor for:

- InformationElement
- ResourceArtifact
- Energy
- OrganizationalResource
- CapabilityConfiguration
- GeoPoliticalExtent




Figure 49. OperationalExchangeItem

- Specializations

The **OperationalExchangeItem** element is a specialization of:

ActivitySubject

Resource

8.3.4.4 Structure

Section of the OperationalElements profile that describe structural concepts.

8.3.4.4.1 ArbitraryConnector

UPDM: Represents a visual indication of a connection used in high level operational concept diagrams. The connections are purely visual and cannot be related to any architectural semantics.

MODAF: NA

DoDAF:NA




Figure 50. ArbitraryConnector

- Constraints

The following are constraints for **ArbitraryConnector**:

ArbitraryConnector.client - The value for client property has to be stereotyped «ConceptRole» or its specializations.

ArbitraryConnector.supplier - The value for supplier property has to be stereotyped «ConceptRole» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ArbitraryConnector**:

Dependency

- **Specializations**

The **ArbitraryConnector** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.4.4.2 Competence

MODAF: A specific set of abilities defined by knowledge, skills and attitude.

DoDAF: (DoDAF::Skill): The ability, coming from one's knowledge, practice, aptitude, etc., to do something well.




Figure 51. Competence

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Competence**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **Competence** element is a specialization of:

SubjectOfForecast

PropertySet

8.3.4.4.3 ConceptItem

UPDM: Abstract, an item which may feature in a high level operational concept.
DoDAF:NA




Figure 52. **ConceptItem**

- **Specializations**

The **ConceptItem** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.4.4.4 ConceptRole

UPDM: Usage of a ConceptItem in the context of a HighLevelOperationalConcept

MODAF:-ItemInConcept, A relationship which asserts that a ConceptItem forms part of the high level operational concept

DoDAF: N/A




Figure 53. ConceptRole

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ConceptRole**:

ConceptRole.type - Value for the type property must be stereotyped a specialization of «ConceptItem».

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ConceptRole**:

Property

- **Specializations**

The **ConceptRole** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.4.4.5 HighLevelOperationalConcept

MODAF: A generalized model for operations.
DoDAF: NA




Figure 54. HighLevelOperationalConcept

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **HighLevelOperationalConcept**:

HighLevelOperationalConcept.ownedAttribute - The values for the ownedAttribute properties must be stereotyped

with specializations of the «ConceptRole».

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **HighLevelOperationalConcept**:

mission : Mission[0..*] Mission that is described by this HighLevelOperationalConcept.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **HighLevelOperationalConcept**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **HighLevelOperationalConcept** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.4.4.6 KnownResource

MODAF: Asserts that a known Resource plays a part in the architecture.
DoDAF: NA – covered by the more general temporalWholePart element.




Figure 55. KnownResource

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **KnownResource**:

KnownResource.type - Values for type property have to be stereotyped «SystemResource» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **KnownResource**:

Property

- **Specializations**

The **KnownResource** element is a specialization of:

NodeRole

8.3.4.4.7 LogicalArchitecture

MODAF: A CompositeStructureModel whose parts are either NodeRoles (MODAF::Node), ProblemDomains, or KnownResources.

DoDAF: NA




Figure 56. LogicalArchitecture

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **LogicalArchitecture**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **LogicalArchitecture** element is a specialization of:

NodeParent

8.3.4.4.8 Mission

MODAF: A purpose to which a person, organization or autonomous system is tasked.

DoDAF: The task, together with the purpose, that clearly indicates the action to be taken.




Figure 57. Mission

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **Mission**:

MissionArea : String[*] The area in which the Mission will take place.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Mission**:

Activity

- **Specializations**

The **Mission** element is a specialization of:

SubjectOfOperationalConstraint

8.3.4.4.9 Needline

MODAF: A relationship between Nodes representing a bundle of InformationExchanges.

DoDAF: A needline documents the requirement to exchange information between nodes. The needline does not indicate how the information transfer is implemented.




Figure 58. Needline

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **Needline**:

Needline.end - The value for the role property for the owned ConnectorEnd must be stereotype

«NodeRole»/«NodePort» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Needline**:

Connector

- **Specializations**

The **Needline** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.4.4.10 Node

MODAF: A Node (MODAF::NodeType) is a logical entity that performs operational activities. Note: nodes are specified independently of any physical realization.

DoDAF: A Node (DoDAF::OperationalNode) is an element of the operational architecture that produces, consumes, or processes information. NOTE: This is also a specialization of Performer.




Figure 59. Node

- Constraints

The following are constraints for **Node**:

Node.isCapableOfPerforming - Is capable of performing only «OperationalActivity» elements or its specializations.

Node.ownedOperation - Values for the ownedOperation property must be stereotyped «NodeOperation» or its specializations.

Node.ownedPort - Values for the ownedPort property must be stereotyped «NodePort», «ServicePort», or their specializations.

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **Node**:

connectedNodes : Node[*]

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Node**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **Node** element is a specialization of:

ActivitySubject

SubjectOfOperationalConstraint

NodeParent

SubjectOfOperationalStateMachine

8.3.4.4.11 NodeParent

UPDM: An abstract element representing the owners/context of composite structure at the operational level.

MODAF: The abstract supertype of all elements that can have child Nodes (LogicalArchitecture, ProblemDomain & NodeType)

DoDAF: NA




Figure 60. NodeParent

- Specializations

The **NodeParent** element is a specialization of:

Participant

8.3.4.4.12 NodePort

UPDM: A port is a property of a Node that specifies a distinct interaction point between the node and its environment or between the (behavior of the) node and its internal parts. It is the “entry/exit” point where resources (e.g., energy, information/data and people, etc) flow in and out of a node.




Figure 61. NodePort

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **NodePort**:

NodePort.type - Value for the type property must be stereotyped specialization of «OperationalExchangeItem».

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **NodePort**:

Port

- **Specializations**

The **NodePort** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.4.4.13 NodeRole

MODAF: A NodeRole (MODAF::Node) is used to link a parent Node to its sub-nodes.

DoDAF: NA




Figure 62. NodeRole

- Constraints**

The following are constraints for **NodeRole**:

NodeRole.class - Value for class meta property must be stereotyped a specialization of «NodeParent».

NodeRole.type - Value for type meta property must be stereotyped «Node» or its specializations.

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **NodeRole**:

performsInContext : OperationalActivity[*] OperationalActivity Performed in the context of a specific role.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **NodeRole**:

Property

- **Specializations**

The **NodeRole** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.4.4.14 OperationalConstraint

UPDM: An abstract Class that is extended by OperationalConstraint (A rule governing an operational behaviour or property.) and ResourceConstraint.

MODAF: A rule governing an operational behaviour or property.

DoDAF: A principle or condition that governs behavior; a prescribed guide for conduct or action (Rule).




Figure 63. OperationalConstraint

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **OperationalConstraint**:

OperationalConstraint.constrainedElement - Value for the constrainedElement property must be stereotyped by any specialization of «SubjectOfOperationalConstraint».

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **OperationalConstraint**:

Constraint

- **Specializations**

The **OperationalConstraint** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

Rule

8.3.4.4.15 SecurityDomain

MODAF:NA

DoDAF: A NodeType whose members (other Nodes, KnownResources) all share a common security policy.




Figure 64. SecurityDomain

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **SecurityDomain**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **SecurityDomain** element is a specialization of:

Node

8.3.4.4.16 SubjectOfOperationalConstraint

MODAF: Abstract. An element of the architecture that may be subject to an OperationalConstraint or OperationalStateDescription.




Figure 65. SubjectOfOperationalConstraint

- **Specializations**

The **SubjectOfOperationalConstraint** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.4.4.17 Organizational

The organizational elements of the operational structure.

8.3.4.4.17.1 Actual

Actual elements in the organizational part of the structural part of the Operational profile.

8.3.4.4.17.1.1 ActualOrganization

MODAF: An actual specific organisation, an instance of an organisation class - e.g. "The US Department of Defense"

DoDAF: [DoDAF::Organization]: A specific real-world assemblage of people and other resources organized for an on-going purpose.




Figure 66. ActualOrganization

- Constraints

The following are constraints for **ActualOrganization**:

ActualOrganization.classifier - Classifier property value must be stereotyped «Organization» or its specializations.

ActualOrganization.slot - Slot property value must be stereotyped «ActualOrganizationRole» or its specializations.

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **ActualOrganization**:

code/symbol : String[] Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, Joint

ratifiedStandards : Standard[*] Standards that were ratified by this ActualOrganization.

serviceType : String[] Service office code or symbol

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ActualOrganization**:

InstanceSpecification

- **Specializations**

The **ActualOrganization** element is a specialization of:

ActualOrganizationalResource

8.3.4.4.17.1.2 ActualOrganizationalResource

UPDM: An ActualOrganization or an ActualPost.

MODAF: An instance of either an actual organisation or an actual post.

DoDAF: A specific real-world assemblage of people and other resources organized for an on-going purpose.




Figure 67. ActualOrganizationalResource

- Specializations

The **ActualOrganizationalResource** element is a specialization of:

LocationHolder

CompetenceProvider

8.3.4.4.17.1.3 ActualOrganizationRelationship

UPDM: A relationship between two ActualOrganizationResources.

MODAF: A relationship between two actual specific organisations or parts of an organisation.
 DoDAF: NA




Figure 68. ActualOrganizationRelationship

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ActualOrganizationRelationship**:

ActualOrganizationRelationship.conveyed - Value for conveyed metaproperty must be stereotyped «ExchangeElement» or its specializations.

ActualOrganizationRelationship.source - Value for source metaproperty must be stereotyped «ActualOrganizationalResource» or its specializations.

ActualOrganizationRelationship.target - Value for realizes metaproperty must be stereotyped «ResourceInteraction» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ActualOrganizationRelationship**:

InformationFlow

- Specializations

The **ActualOrganizationRelationship** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.4.4.17.1.4 ActualOrganizationRole

UPDM: Relates an actual specific organization to an actual specific organizational resource that fulfils a role in that organization.
 MODAF: NA
 DoDAF: NA




Figure 69. ActualOrganizationRole

- Constraints

The following are constraints for **ActualOrganizationRole**:

ActualOrganizationPart.definingFeature - Value for definingFeature property has to be stereotyped «ResourceRole» or its specializations.

ActualOrganizationPart.owningInstance - Value for owningInstance property has to be stereotyped «ActualOrganization» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ActualOrganizationRole**:

Slot

- **Specializations**

The **ActualOrganizationRole** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.4.4.17.1.5 ActualPerson

UPDM: Named individual that fulfills an ActualPost. An individual human being (vs Person which is a type), that is recognized by law as the subject of rights and duties.

MODAF: NA

DoDAF: An individual person




Figure 70. ActualPerson

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ActualPerson**:

ActualPerson.classifier - Value for the classifier property has to be stereotyped «Person» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ActualPerson**:

InstanceSpecification

- **Specializations**

The **ActualPerson** element is a specialization of:

LocationHolder

CompetenceProvider

8.3.4.4.17.1.6 ActualPost

UPDM: An actual, specific post, an instance of a PostType class - e.g. "President of the United States of America."

MODAF: NA

DoDAF: NA




Figure 71. ActualPost

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ActualPost**:

ActualPost.classifier - Classifier property value must be stereotyped «Post» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ActualPost**:

InstanceSpecification

- **Specializations**

The **ActualPost** element is a specialization of:

ActualOrganizationalResource

8.3.4.4.17.1.7 CompetenceProvider

UPDM: Abstract element used to group ActualPersons and ActualOrganisationalResources.
MODAF: NA
DoDAF: NA




Figure 72. CompetenceProvider

- Specializations

The **CompetenceProvider** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.4.4.17.1.8 FillsPost

UPDM: Asserts that ActualPerson fills an ActualPost.
MODAF: NA
DoDAF: NA




Figure 73. FillsPost

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **FillsPost**:

FillsPost.client - Value for the client property must be stereotyped by «ActualPerson» or its specializations.

FillsPost.supplier - Value for the supplier property must be stereotyped by «ActualPost» or its specializations.

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **FillsPost**:

endDate : ISO8601DateTime[0..1] End date

startDate : ISO8601DateTime[0..1] Start date

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **FillsPost**:

Dependency

- Specializations

The **FillsPost** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.4.4.17.2 Typical

Typical elements in the organizational part of the structural part of the Operational profile.

8.3.4.4.17.2.1 CompetenceRequirer

UPDM: Abstract element used to group Organizations, Post and Responsibilities.

MODAF:NA

DoDAF:NA




Figure 74. CompetenceRequirer

- Specializations

The **CompetenceRequirer** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.4.4.17.2.2 Organization

MODAF: A group of persons, associated for a particular purpose.

DoDAF: A type of Organization.




Figure 75. Organization

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Organization**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **Organization** element is a specialization of:

OrganizationalResource

8.3.4.4.17.2.3 OrganizationalResource

UPDM An abstract element that represents Organizations and Posts.
 MODAF: Either an organization, or a post.




Figure 76. OrganizationalResource

- Specializations

The **OrganizationalResource** element is a specialization of:

PhysicalResource

8.3.4.4.17.2.4 Person

UPDM: A type of a human being that is recognized by law as the subject of rights and duties. This is used to define the characteristics that require capturing for ActualPersons (e.g. properties such as address, rank, telephone number, etc).

MODAF: NA

DoDAF: NA




Figure 77. Person

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Person**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **Person** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.4.4.17.2.5 Post

MODAF: A Post (MODAF::PostType) is a type of point of contact or responsible person. Note that this is the type of post - e.g. Desk Officer, Commander Land Component, etc.

DoDAF: A Post (DoDAF:: PersonType) is a category of persons defined by the role or roles they share that are relevant to an architecture.




Figure 78. Post

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Post**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **Post** element is a specialization of:

OrganizationalResource

CompetenceRequirer

8.3.4.4.17.2.6 ProvidesCompetence

UPDM: Asserts that a Resource type provides a competence.

MODAF: Asserts that a Role requires a Competence (MODAF::CompetenceForRole).

DoDAF: An overlap between a Personnel Type and the Skills it entails (DoDAF:: skillPartOfPersonType)




Figure 79. ProvidesCompetence

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ProvidesCompetence**:

ProvidesCompetence.client - Value for the client property must be stereotyped by a specialization of «CompetenceProvider».

ProvidesCompetence.supplier - Value for the client property must be stereotyped «Competence» or its specializations.

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **ProvidesCompetence**:

universalPropertySet : ActualPropertySet[0..*] The measurements associated with a Competence.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ProvidesCompetence**:

Dependency

- **Specializations**

The **ProvidesCompetence** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.4.4.17.2.7 RequiresCompetence

MODAF:: Asserts that an Role requires a Competence (MODAF::CompetenceForRole).

DoDAF: An overlap between a Personnel Type and the Skills it entails (DoDAF:: SkillPartOfPersonType).




Figure 80. RequiresCompetence

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **RequiresCompetence**:

RequiresCompetence.client - Value for the client property must be stereotyped a specialization of «CompetenceRequirer».

RequiresCompetence.supplier - Value for the client property must be stereotyped «Competence» or its specializations.

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **RequiresCompetence**:

measurementSet : ActualPropertySet[0..*] The measurements associated with a Competence.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **RequiresCompetence**:

Dependency

- **Specializations**

The **RequiresCompetence** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.4.4.17.2.8 Responsibility

UPDM: Asserts that a Post or Organization has specific responsibilities.

MODAF: NA

DoDAF: NA




Figure 81. Responsibility

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Responsibility**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **Responsibility** element is a specialization of:

CompetenceRequirer

OrganizationalResource

8.3.5 ServiceElements

The Service-Orientated View (SOV) is a description of services needed to directly support the operational domain as described in the Operational View. A service should be understood in its broadest sense, as a unit of work through which a provider provides a useful result to a consumer. This could be anything from web-based services to delivering an effect to transporting troops.

8.3.5.1 Behavior

Behavior elements of the service oriented view.

8.3.5.1.1 ServiceFeature

UPDM: Abstract grouping used to ServiceFunctions to Serviceoperations and ServiceMessageHandlers.




Figure 82. ServiceFeature

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ServiceFeature**:

ServiceFeature.ownedParameter - The values for the ownedParameter property must be stereotyped «ServiceParameter».

ServiceFeature.owner - The values for the owner property must be stereotyped «ServiceInterface».

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ServiceFeature**:

Feature

- **Specializations**

The **ServiceFeature** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.5.1.2 ServiceFunction

UPDM: A ServiceFunction describes the abstract behavior of ServiceOperations, regardless of the actual implementation.
 MODAF: A type of activity describing the functionality of a service.
 DoDAF: Information necessary to interact with the service in such terms as the service inputs, outputs, and associated semantics. The service description also conveys what is accomplished when the service is invoked and the conditions for using the service.




Figure 83. ServiceFunction

UPDM: “An action that represents a ServiceFunction invoking another ServiceFunction.”

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ServiceFunction**:

ServiceFunction.ownedParameter - The values for the ownedParameter property must be stereotyped «ServiceParameter».

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ServiceFunction**:

Activity

- **Specializations**

The **ServiceFunction** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.5.1.3 ServiceFunctionAction

UPDM: A call behavior action that invokes the ServiceFunction that needs to be preformed. --This concept is required for mapping the architecture with UML and does not have a DoDAF or MoDAF equivalent.




Figure 84. ServiceFunctionAction

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ServiceFunctionAction**:

ServiceFunctionAction.activity - Value for the behavior property must be stereotyped «ServiceFunction» or its specializations.

ServiceFunctionAction.behavior - Value for the activity property must be stereotyped «ServiceFunction» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ServiceFunctionAction**:

CallBehaviorAction

- **Specializations**

The **ServiceFunctionAction** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.5.1.4 ServiceFunctionEdge

UPDM: An extension of <<ActivityEdge>> that is used to model the flow of control/objects through a ServiceFunction.




Figure 85. ServiceFunctionEdge

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ServiceFunctionEdge**:

ServiceFunctionEdge.owner - Value for the target property must be stereotyped «ServiceFunction» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ServiceFunctionEdge**:

ActivityEdge

- **Specializations**

The **ServiceFunctionEdge** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.5.1.5 ServiceInteraction

UPDM: Interaction for a service interface

MODAF: A model representing how a set of Service classes interacts with one another (MODAF::ServiceInteractionSpecification).




Figure 86. ServiceInteraction

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ServiceInteraction**:

ServiceInteraction.message - Values for the message property must be stereotyped with «ServiceMessage» or its specializations.

ServiceInteraction.owner - Value for the target property must be stereotyped «ServiceInterface» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ServiceInteraction**:

Interaction

- **Specializations**

The **ServiceInteraction** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.5.1.6 ServiceMessage

UPDM: Message for use in a Service Interaction Specification, implements a resourceInteraction or any of the subtypes.




Figure 87. ServiceMessage

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ServiceMessage**:

ServiceMessage.receiveEvent.event.operation - Values for the receiveEvent.event.operation property must be stereotyped with «ServiceOperation» or its specializations.

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **ServiceMessage**:

carries : Exchange[*] Carried ResourceInteraction.

operation : ServiceOperation[]

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ServiceMessage**:

Message

- **Specializations**

The **ServiceMessage** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.5.1.7 ServiceMessageHandler

UPDM:An instance of an AsynchronousMessage, applied in the service domain.




Figure 88. ServiceMessageHandler

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ServiceMessageHandler**:

ServiceMessageHandler.signal - Values for the signal property must be stereotyped with «AsynchronousMessage» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ServiceMessageHandler**:

Reception

- **Specializations**

The **ServiceMessageHandler** element is a specialization of:

ServiceFeature

8.3.5.1.8 ServiceOperation

UPDM: A ServiceOperation provides the access point for invoking the behavior of a provided service. The ServiceOperations are defined on ServiceInterfaces and mirrored on the providing Resource to handle calls forwarded on by the interface.

MODAF: a function or procedure which enables programmatic communication with a Service via a ServiceInterface (MODAF:: ServiceInterfaceOperation).




Figure 89. **ServiceOperation**

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ServiceOperation**:

ServiceOperation.ownedParameter - The values for the ownedParameter property must be stereotyped «ServiceParameter» or its specializations.

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **ServiceOperation**:

abstractBehavior : ServiceFunction[0..1] Links a ServiceOperation to the abstract description of its behavior, as provided by a ServiceFunction.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ServiceOperation**:

Operation

- **Specializations**

The **ServiceOperation** element is a specialization of:

ServiceFeature

8.3.5.1.9 ServiceParameter

UPDM: Represents inputs and outputs of Service. It is typed by ResourceInteractionItem.

MODAF: A constant or variable passed into or out of a ServiceInterface as part of the execution of a ServiceInterfaceOperation (MODAF:: ServiceInterfaceParameter).

DoDAF: NA




Figure 90. ServiceParameter

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ServiceParameter**:

ServiceParameter.type - The values for the type property must be stereotyped a specialization of «Resource».

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ServiceParameter**:

Parameter

- **Specializations**

The **ServiceParameter** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.5.1.10 ServiceStateMachine

UPDM Artifact that extends a UML StateMachine.




Figure 91. ServiceStateMachine

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ServiceStateMachine**:

ServiceStateMachine.owner - Values for the owner property must be stereotyped «ServiceInterface» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ServiceStateMachine**:

StateMachine

- **Specializations**

The **ServiceStateMachine** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.5.2 Structure

Structure elements of the service oriented view.

8.3.5.2.1 AsynchronousMessage

MODAF: A signal which is transmitted irregularly with respect to time.

DoDAF: NA




Figure 92. AsynchronousMessage

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **AsynchronousMessage**:

Signal

- **Specializations**

The **AsynchronousMessage** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.5.2.2 Request

UPDM: From SoaML A Request represents a feature of a Participant that is the consumption of a service by one participant provided by others using well-defined terms, conditions and interfaces. A Request designates ports that define the connection point through which a Participant meets its needs through the consumption of services provided by others.

MODAF: Similar to requires, Asserts that a Resource requires a Service to be provided in order to function correctly.

DoDAF: Similar to ServicePort, A part of a Performer that specifies a distinct interaction point through which the Performer interacts with other Performers. This isolates dependencies between performers to particular interaction points rather than to the performer as a whole.




Figure 93. Request

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Request**:

Port

- **Specializations**

The **Request** element is a specialization of:

RequestPoint

ServicePort

8.3.5.2.3 Service

MODAF: A type of delivered functionality, specified independently of the resources that provide it.

DoDAF: mechanism to enable access to a set of one or more capabilities, where the access is provided using a prescribed interface and is exercised consistent with constraints and policies as specified by the service description. The mechanism is a Performer. The "capabilities" accessed are Resources -- Information, Data, Materiel, Performers, and Geo-political Extents.




Figure 94. Service

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Service**:

Port

- **Specializations**

The **Service** element is a specialization of:

ServicePoint

ServicePort

8.3.5.2.4 ServiceAttribute

MODAF: A property of Service.

DoDAF: NA




Figure 95. ServiceAttribute

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ServiceAttribute**:

Property

- **Specializations**

The **ServiceAttribute** element is a specialization of:

Property

8.3.5.2.5 ServiceInterface

UPDM: A contractual agreement between two resources that implement protocols through which the source service interacts to the destination resource. A physical connection between two resources that implements protocols through which the source resource can transmit items to the destination resource.

MODAF: The mechanism by which a Service communicates.

DoDAF: An overlap between Performers for the purpose of producing a Resource that is consumed by the other. (DoDAF::Interface).

SOAML: Defines the interface to a Service Point or Request Point and is the type of a role in a service contract.




Figure 96. ServiceInterface

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ServiceInterface**:

- ServiceInterface.feature - Value for the feature property must be stereotyped «ServiceFeature» or its specializations.
- ServiceInterface.ownedAttribute - Values for ownedAttribute property must be stereotyped «ServiceAttribute» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ServiceInterface**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **ServiceInterface** element is a specialization of:

ServiceInterface

PropertySet

8.3.5.2.6 ServiceLevelValue

MODAF:A ServiceAttributes indicating the level to which a Resource delivers a Service, in a particular environment.
DoDAF:NA




Figure 97. ServiceLevelValue

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ServiceLevelValue**:

Slot

- **Specializations**

The **ServiceLevelValue** element is a specialization of:

ActualProperty

8.3.5.2.7 ServiceLevelValueSet

MODAF: A value specification for a set of ServiceAttributes indicating the level to which a Resource delivers a Service, in a particular environment.
DoDAF: NA




Figure 98. ServiceLevelValueSet

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ServiceLevelValueSet**:

ServiceLevelValueSet.slot - Slot property value must be stereotyped «ServiceLevelValue» or its specializations.

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **ServiceLevelValueSet**:

resourceBoundary : ServicePort[] Service level associated with a port.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ServiceLevelValueSet**:

InstanceSpecification

- **Specializations**

The **ServiceLevelValueSet** element is a specialization of:

ActualPropertySet

8.3.5.2.8 ServicePolicy

UPDM: A constraint governing the consumers and providers of services

MODAF: A constraint governing one or more Services.

DoDAF: Agreement: A consent among parties regarding the terms and conditions of activities that said parties participate in.




Figure 99. ServicePolicy

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ServicePolicy**:

ServicePolicy.constrainedElement - Values for constrainedElement property must be stereotyped «ServiceInterface» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ServicePolicy**:

Constraint

- **Specializations**

The **ServicePolicy** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

Rule

8.3.5.2.9 ServicePort

MODAF:ServiceInterface, The mechanism by which a Service communicates.

DoDAF: A part of a Performer that specifies a distinct interaction point through which the Performer interacts with other Performers. This isolates dependencies between performers to particular interaction points rather than to the performer as a whole.




Figure 100. ServicePort

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ServicePort**:

ServicePort.actualPropertySets - Values for actualPropertySet property must be stereotyped «ServiceLevelValueSet» or its specializations.

ServicePort.type - Values for type property must be stereotyped «ServiceInterface» or its specializations.

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **ServicePort**:

providedByResource : ServiceLevelValueSet[*] Port associated with a service level.

- **Specializations**

The **ServicePort** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.6 StrategicElements

The Strategic Elements are used in the Strategic View which provides an overall Enterprise Architecture assessment of the Capabilities and their relationships facilitating Capability Management (e.g. capability introduction, integration, re-alignment and removal). While an Enterprise will have a number of UPDM Architecture Descriptions that have the Operational, System, Technical Standards, and All Views, only one Strategic View will exist across a number of Architecture Descriptions.

8.3.6.1 Structure

Structural section of the StrategicElements profile.

8.3.6.1.1 Capability

MODAF: A high level specification of the enterprise's ability.

DoDAF: The ability to achieve a desired effect under specified [performance] standards and conditions through combinations of ways and means [activities and resources] to perform a set of activities.




Figure 101. Capability

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **Capability**:

Capability.ownedAttribute - Values for ownedAttribute property must be stereotyped «CapabilityProperty» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Capability**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **Capability** element is a specialization of:

Capability

PropertySet

Desirer

8.3.6.1.2 CapabilityProperty

UPDM: A property of a capability.

MODAF: NA

DoDAF: NA




Figure 102. CapabilityProperty

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **CapabilityProperty**:

CapabilityProperty.type - Value for type meta property must be stereotyped «Capability» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **CapabilityProperty**:

Property

- **Specializations**

The **CapabilityProperty** element is a specialization of:

Property

8.3.6.1.3 DesiredState

UPDM:Abstract element used to group Operational and Resource states .




Figure 103. DesiredState

- **Specializations**

The **DesiredState** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.6.1.4 Desirer

UPDM: Abstract element used to group UPDM elements that might desire a particular effect.




Figure 104. Desirer

- **Specializations**

The **Desirer** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.6.1.5 EnterpriseGoal

MODAF: A specific, required objective of the enterprise that the architecture represents.

DoDAF: NA




Figure 105. EnterpriseGoal

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **EnterpriseGoal**:

benefits : String[0..*] A description of the usefulness of the Goal in terms of why the state or condition of the Enterprise is worth attaining.

enterprisePhase : EnterprisePhase[1] Phase of the goal.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **EnterpriseGoal**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **EnterpriseGoal** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.6.1.6 EnterprisePhase

MODAF: A specific, required objective of the enterprise that the architecture represents.

DoDAF: NA




Figure 106. EnterprisePhase

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **EnterprisePhase**:

Enterprise from/to - Must fall within the Enterprise to and from time, the complete lifecycle.

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **EnterprisePhase**:

describedBy : ArchitecturalDescription[*] The EnterprisePhase described by an ArchitecturalDescription.

endDate : ISO8601DateTime[1] The time and date at which the Phase ends.

fulfills : Mission[*] EnterprisePhases associated with a Mission.

goal : EnterpriseGoal[*] The Goal towards which this Phase is directed and is in support of.

startDate : ISO8601DateTime[1] The time and date at which the Phase starts.

statementTask : EnduringTask[*] Collection of statement tasks.

vision : EnterpriseVision[1] The Vision towards which this Phase is directed and is in support of.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **EnterprisePhase**:

- Class**

- **Specializations**

The **EnterprisePhase** element is a specialization of:

- CapableElement**

8.3.6.1.7 EnterpriseVision

MODAF: The overall aims of an enterprise over a given period of time.

DoDAF: (DoDAF::Vision): An end that describes the future state of the enterprise, without regard to how it is to be achieved; a mental image of what the future will or could be like.




Figure 107. EnterpriseVision

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **EnterpriseVision**:

enterprisePhase : EnterprisePhase[1] The phase which temporally locates the Vision.

statement : VisionStatement[*] A description of the Vision.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **EnterpriseVision**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **EnterpriseVision** element is a specialization of:

Desirer

8.3.6.1.8 Exhibits

UPDM: Relationship between a Node and a capability the node provides.

MODAF: (MODAF::CapabilityForNode): An assertion that a Node is required to have a Capability.

DoDAF: A couple that represents the capability that a performer manifests.




Figure 108. Exhibits

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **Exhibits**:

Exhibits.client - Value for the client property must be stereotyped a specialization of «CapableElement».

Exhibits.supplier - Value for the supplier property must be stereotyped «Capability».

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **Exhibits**:

environmentalConditions : Environment[*] Asserts that a Capability's capabilityMetric (MeasureableProperty) is

valid for a particular environment.

universalCapabilitySet : ActualPropertySet[0..1] The ActualPropertySet that exists between a Capability and a Capable Element.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Exhibits**:

- Dependency**

- **Specializations**

The **Exhibits** element is a specialization of:

- UPDMElement**

8.3.6.1.9 MapsToCapability

MODAF: Asserts that a StandardOperationalActivity is in some way part of a capability.

DoDAF: MapsToCapability (DoDAF::ActivityPartOfCapability) is a disposition to manifest an Activity. An Activity to be performed to achieve a desired effect under specified [performance] standards and conditions through combinations of ways and means.




Figure 109. MapsToCapability

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **MapsToCapability**:

MapsToCapability.client - Value for the client property must be stereotyped a specialization of «Activity».

MapsToCapability.supplier - Value for the supplier property must be stereotyped «Capability».

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **MapsToCapability**:

Dependency

- **Specializations**

The **MapsToCapability** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.6.1.10 StructuralPart

UPDM: An EnterprisePhase can be sub-divided into structural and temporal parts. StructuralPart describes the EnterprisePhase elements that describe the structure.

MODAF: Asserts that one EnterprisePhase is a spatial part of another, (MODAF::EnterpriseStructure) Note:- This is a topological structuring relationship, hence the EnterprisePhase may be physically disjoint




Figure 110. StructuralPart

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **StructuralPart**:

StructuralPart.class - Value for class metaproperty must be stereotyped «EnterprisePhase» or its specializations.

StructuralPart.type - Value for type metaproperty must be stereotyped «EnterprisePhase» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **StructuralPart**:

Property

- **Specializations**

The **StructuralPart** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.6.1.11 TemporalPart

UPDM Artifact: An EnterprisePhase can be sub-divided into structural and temporal parts. TemporalPart describes the EnterprisePhase elements that have a time based nature.

MODAF: Asserts that one EnterprisePhase is a temporal part of another. Note: This means that both EnterprisePhases have the same spatial extent - i.e this is only a temporal structure (MODAF:: EnterpriseTemporalPart).




Figure 111. TemporalPart

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **TemporalPart**:

TemporalPart.class - Value for class metaproperty must be stereotyped «EnterprisePhase» or its specializations.

TemporalPart.type - Value for type metaproperty must be stereotyped «EnterprisePhase» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **TemporalPart**:

- **Property**

- **Specializations**

The **TemporalPart** element is a specialization of:

- **UPDMElement**

8.3.6.1.12 VisionStatement

MODAF: A high-level textual description of an EnterpriseVision.

DoDAF: An end that describes the future state of the enterprise, without regard to how it is to be achieved; a mental image of what the future will or could be like (DODAF::Vision).




Figure 112. VisionStatement

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **VisionStatement**:

Comment

- **Specializations**

The **VisionStatement** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

Value for the client property must be stereotyped «ServiceInterface» or its specializations.

Value for the supplier property must be stereotyped «Capability».

8.3.7 SystemsElements

Models in the System Viewpoint represent alternate realizations in terms of equipment capability of the operational capabilities expressed through models in the Operational Viewpoint and in the User Requirements. The System Viewpoint primarily addresses the specification of the system capability needed (rather than implementation details). Significant changes originally made in MODAF improved the ability for modelers to represent configuration of capability that include people as well as systems and platforms

8.3.7.1 Behavior

The Behavior section of the SystemsElements profile.

8.3.7.1.1 Function

MODAF: An activity which is specified in context of the resource (human or machine) that performs it.

DoDAF: Activity: Work, not specific to a single organization, weapon system or individual that transforms inputs (Resources) into outputs (Resources) or changes their state.




Figure 113. Function

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **Function**:

Function.ownedParameter - The values for the ownedParameter property must be stereotyped «ResourceParameter».

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **Function**:

realizedBy : ResourceOperation[*] Relationship between an Function and a ResourceOperation.

subject : ResourceInteractionItem[*] The ResourceInteractionItem that is the subject of the Function.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Function**:

Activity

- **Specializations**

The **Function** element is a specialization of:

Activity

SubjectOfResourceConstraint

8.3.7.1.2 FunctionAction

UPDM Artifact: The FunctionAction is defined as a call behavior action that invokes the function that needs to be performed.
--This concept is required for mapping the architecture with UML and does not have a DoDAF or MoDAF equivalent.




Figure 114. FunctionAction

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **FunctionAction**:

FunctionAction.activity - Value for the activity property must be stereotyped «Function».

FunctionAction.behavior - Value for the behavior property must be stereotyped «Function».

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **FunctionAction**:

CallBehaviorAction

- **Specializations**

The **FunctionAction** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.7.1.3 FunctionEdge

UPDM: An extension of <<ActivityEdge>> that is used to model the flow of control/objects through a Function.
MODAF: A FunctionEdge (MODAF::FunctionFlow) is a UML::ObjectFlow between Functions. NOTE: this has been extended in UPDM to additionally include UML::ControlFlows.




Figure 115. FunctionEdge

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **FunctionEdge**:

FunctionEdge.owner - «FunctionEdge» must be owned directly or indirectly by «Function».

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **FunctionEdge**:

carriedItem : ResourceInteractionItem[0..*] The ResourceInteractionItem that is conveyed.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **FunctionEdge**:

ActivityEdge

- Specializations

The **FunctionEdge** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.7.1.4 ResourceEventTrace

UPDM: A UPDM artifact that extends a UML Interaction.




Figure 116. ResourceEventTrace

- Constraints

The following are constraints for **ResourceEventTrace**:

ResourceEventTrace.message - Values for the message property must be stereotyped with «ResourceMessage» or its specializations.

ResourceEventTrace.owner - Values for the owner property must be stereotyped with «Resource» or its

specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ResourceEventTrace**:

Interaction

- **Specializations**

The **ResourceEventTrace** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.7.1.5 ResourceMessage

UPDM: Message for use in a Resource Event-Trace, implements a ResourceInteraction.

MODAF: A specification of the interactions between aspects of a Resources architecture (MODAF::ResourceInteractionSpecification).

DoDAF: An overlap of an Activity with a Resource, in particular a consuming or producing Activity that expresses an input, output, consumption, or production Activity of the Resource (DoDAF:: activityResourceOverlap).




Figure 117. ResourceMessage

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ResourceMessage**:

ResourceMessage.receiveEvent.event.operation - Values for the receiveEvent.event.operation property must be stereotyped with «ResourceOperation» or its specializations.

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **ResourceMessage**:

carries : ResourceInteraction[*] Carried ResourceInteraction

operation : ResourceOperation[] The ResourceOperation associated with a ResourceMessage.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ResourceMessage**:

Message

- **Specializations**

The **ResourceMessage** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.7.1.6 ResourceOperation

UPDM:A partial or full realization of Function.

MODAF:NA

DoDAF:NA




Figure 118. ResourceOperation

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ResourceOperation**:

ResourceOperation.ownedParameter - The values for the ownedParameter property must be stereotyped «ResourceParameter».

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **ResourceOperation**:

realizes : Function[1] Relationship between a ResourceOperation and a Function.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ResourceOperation**:

Operation

- Specializations

The **ResourceOperation** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.7.1.7 ResourceParameter

UPDM: Represents inputs and outputs of Function. It is typed by ResourceInteractionItem.




Figure 119. ResourceParameter

- Constraints

The following are constraints for **ResourceParameter**:

ResourceParameter.type - Value for the type property must be stereotyped with specialization of «ResourceInteractionItem».

- Extensions

The following are extensions for **ResourceParameter**:

Parameter

- Specializations

The **ResourceParameter** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.7.1.8 ResourceState

UPDM: State identified in the context of an ResourceStateDescription.

MODAF:N/A

DoDAF:N/A




Figure 120. ResourceState

- Extensions

The following are extensions for **ResourceState**:

State

- Specializations

The **ResourceState** element is a specialization of:

DesiredState

8.3.7.1.9 ResourceStateMachine

UPDM Artifact that extends a UML StateMachine allied to Resources.




Figure 121. ResourceStateMachine

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ResourceStateMachine**:

ResourceStateMachine.owner - Values for the owner property must be stereotyped with «SystemResource» or its specializations.

ResourceStateMachine.region.state - Values for the region.state property must be stereotyped with «ResourceState» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ResourceStateMachine**:

StateMachine

- Specializations

The **ResourceStateMachine** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.7.2 Data

The Data section of the SystemsElements profile.

8.3.7.2.1 DataModel

MODAF: A structural specification of data, showing classifications of data elements and relationships between them.
DoDAF: NA




Figure 122. DataModel

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **DataModel**:

DataModel.ownedElement - All classifiers owned by DataModel must be stereotyped «EntityItem».

- **Specializations**

The **DataModel** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.7.2.2 PhysicalDataModel

MODAF: A PhysicalDataModel is an implementable specification of a data structure. A PhysicalDataModel realises a LogicalDataModel, taking into account implementation restrictions and performance issues whilst still enforcing the constraints, relationships and typing of the logical model.

DoDAF: A Physical Data Model defines the structure of the various kinds of system or service data that are utilized by the systems or services in the Architecture.




Figure 123. PhysicalDataModel

- Extensions

The following are extensions for **PhysicalDataModel**:

Package

- Specializations

The **PhysicalDataModel** element is a specialization of:

DataModel

8.3.7.3 Flows

The Flows section of the SystemsElements profile.

8.3.7.3.1 ResourceInteraction

UPDM: ResourceInteraction represents data that is exchanged between the resources

MODAF: An assertion that two FunctionalResources interact. Examples : data exchange between systems, conversations between people, people using systems.

DoDAF: NA




Figure 124. ResourceInteraction

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ResourceInteraction**:

ResourceInteraction.conveyedElement - Value for the conveyedElement property must be stereotyped «ResourceInteractionItem» or its specializations.

ResourceInteraction.informationSource - Value for the informationSource property must be stereotyped «SystemResource» or its specializations.

ResourceInteraction.informationTarget - Value for the informationTarget property must be stereotyped «SystemResource» or its specializations.

ResourceInteraction.realization - Value for the realization property must be stereotyped «ResourceInterface», «ActualOrganizationRelationship», or their specializations.

ResourceInteraction.realizingActivityEdge - Value for the realizingActivityEdge property must be stereotyped «FunctionEdge» or its specializations.

ResourceInteraction.realizingConnector - Value for the realizingConnector property must be stereotyped «ResourceInterface», «ResourceConnector», «ServiceChannel» or their specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ResourceInteraction**:

InformationFlow

- **Specializations**

The **ResourceInteraction** element is a specialization of:

Exchange

SubjectOfResourceConstraint

8.3.7.3.2 ResourceInteractionItem

UPDM Abstract: Represents the item(s) exchanged between the resources through a ResourceInteraction.

MODAF: Formalised representation of data which is managed by or exchanged between systems (MODAF::DataElement).

DoDAF: Representation of information in a formalized manner suitable for communication, interpretation, or processing by humans or by automatic means (DoDAF::Data).




Figure 125. ResourceInteractionItem

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **ResourceInteractionItem**:

affectedFunctions : Function[*] The Functions affected by the ResourceInteractionItem.

- **Specializations**

The **ResourceInteractionItem** element is a specialization of:

Resource

8.3.7.4 Structure

The Structure section of the SystemsElements profile.

8.3.7.4.1 MODAFRoleKind

Enumeration of the roles that a ResourceRole may play in the context of a CapabilityConfiguration or System, derived from MODAF, used to support the MODAFRoleKind tag of a ResourceRole

- **Enumeration Literals**

The following are enumeration literals for Structure:

Component - (MODAF SoftwareComponent) Asserts that Software is a component of another Software.

Equipment - UPDM: Equipment is a physical resource that is used to accomplish a task or function in a system or an environment.

MODAF: (MODAF::PhysicalAsset): Usage of an ResourceArtifact (MODAF::Artefact) as a component of a ResourceConfiguration.

DoDAF: NA

Hosted Software - Asserts that Software is hosted on a ResourceArtifact (which means the artifact is some kind of computer system).

Human Resource - The role of an OrganizationalResource in a PhysicalArchitecture.

Other - Other MODAF Role kind that is not on the enumerated list.

Part - Usage of a ResourceArtifact as a part of another ResourceArtifact.

Platform - Usage of a ResourceArtifact as a platform (e.g. vessel, aircraft, etc.) in a particular PhysicalArchitecture.

Post Role - (MODAF Post) Asserts that a Post exists in an OrganizationType of the type specified by the related PostType.

Responsibility Role - (MODAF Role) A ResourceUsage that asserts a given PostType has a RoleType.

Service Access Role - A ResourceUsage that asserts a given ServiceAccess is used in the context of a particular service usage.

Sub Organization - Asserts that one OrganizationType is typically the parent of another - e.g. a squadron may be part of a batallion.

Sub System Part - UPDM: Indicates that a (sub)system is part of another system.

MODAF: Usage of an Artefact (UPDM::ResourceArtifact) as a part of another Artefact (UPDM::ResourceArtifact), equates to a MODAF::Part

DoDAF: NA

System - The usage of a ResourceArtifact as a System in a PhysicalArchitecture.

Used Configuration - The usage of a PhysicalArchitecture in another PhysicalArchitecture.

8.3.7.4.2 CapabilityConfiguration

MODAF: A composite structure representing the physical and human resources (and their interactions) in an enterprise.--A

CapabilityConfiguration is a set of artefacts or an organisation configured to provide a capability, and should be guided by [doctrine] which may take the form of Standard or OperationalConstraint stereotypes.
 DoDAF: Any entity - human, automated, or any aggregation of human and/or automated - that performs an activity and provides a capability (Performer).




Figure 126. CapabilityConfiguration

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **CapabilityConfiguration**:

doctrine : Constraint[1..*] Represents the doctrinal line of development of the capability.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **CapabilityConfiguration**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **CapabilityConfiguration** element is a specialization of:

PhysicalArchitecture

8.3.7.4.3 FieldedCapability

MODAF: An actual, fully-realised capability. A FieldedCapability must indicate its configuration CapabilityConfiguration.




Figure 127. FieldedCapability

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **FieldedCapability**:

FieldedCapability.classifier - Value for the classifier property must be stereotyped «CapabilityConfiguration» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **FieldedCapability**:

InstanceSpecification

- Specializations

The **FieldedCapability** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.7.4.4 Forecast

MODAF: A statement about the future state of one or more types of system or standard.
DoDAF: NA




Figure 128. Forecast

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **Forecast**:

Forecast.client - Value for the client property must be stereotyped «SubjectOfForecast» or its specializations.

Forecast.pair - The client and supplier must be stereotyped by the same specialization of «SubjectOfForecast» (e.g. «Software» to «Software», «Standard» to «Standard», etc).

Forecast.supplier - Value for the supplier property must be stereotyped «SubjectOfForecast» or its specializations.

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **Forecast**:

endDate : ISO8601DateTime[1] End date of the forecast

startDate : ISO8601DateTime[1] Start date of the forecast.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Forecast**:

Dependency

- **Specializations**

The **Forecast** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.7.4.5 **Materiel**

MODAF: Artifact, A type of man-made object. Examples are "car", "radio", "diesel", etc.

DoDAF: Equipment, apparatus or supplies that are of interest, without distinction as to its application for administrative or combat purposes.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Materiel**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **Materiel** element is a specialization of:

ResourceInteractionItem

8.3.7.4.6 PhysicalArchitecture

MODAF: A configuration of Resources for a purpose.
DoDAF: NA




Figure 129. PhysicalArchitecture

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **PhysicalArchitecture**:

Class

- Specializations

The **PhysicalArchitecture** element is a specialization of:

SystemResource

8.3.7.4.7 PhysicalResource

UPDM: Abstract supertype for physical resources such as *OrganizationalResource*.

MODAF: A *PhysicalAsset*, *OrganisationalResource* or *FunctionalResource* that can contribute towards fulfilling a capability (MODAF::*ResourceType*).




Figure 130. PhysicalResource

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **PhysicalResource**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **PhysicalResource** element is a specialization of:

SystemResource

8.3.7.4.8 ResourceArtifact

UPDM: A combination of physical element, energy, and data that are combined used to accomplish a task or function.
MODAF: A type of man-made object. Examples are "car", "radio", "fuel", etc. (MODAF:: Artefact).




Figure 131. ResourceArtifact

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ResourceArtifact**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **ResourceArtifact** element is a specialization of:

PhysicalResource

8.3.7.4.9 ResourceConnector

UPDM: A physical connection between two resources that implements protocols through which the source resource can transmit items to the destination resource.

MODAF: Asserts that a connection exists between two ports belonging to parts in a system composite structure model (MODAF::SystemPortConnector).

DoDAF: NA




Figure 132. ResourceConnector

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ResourceConnector**:

ResourceConnector.end - The value for the role property for the owned ConnectorEnd must be stereotype «ResourcePort» or its specializations.

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **ResourceConnector**:

realizedExchange : ResourceInteraction[*] A list of ResourceInteractions (or specializations) that realized by the ResourceInterface/ResourceConnector. This is derived by navigating from the ResourceInteraction to the ResourceInterfaces/ResourceConnectors using the inverse of the realization/realizingConnector roles.

realizedInterface : ResourceInterface[*] Realized ResourceInterfaces.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ResourceConnector**:

Connector

- **Specializations**

The **ResourceConnector** element is a specialization of:

ProtocollImplementation

8.3.7.4.10 ResourceConstraint

MODAF: A rule governing the structural or functional aspects of an implementation - this may also include constraints on OrganisationalResources that are part of an implementation.

DoDAF: The range of permissible states for an object (DoDAF::Constraint).




Figure 133. ResourceConstraint

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ResourceConstraint**:

ResourceConstraint.constrainedElement - Value for the constrainedElement property must be stereotyped «SubjectOfResourceConstraint» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ResourceConstraint**:

Constraint

- **Specializations**

The **ResourceConstraint** element is a specialization of:

Rule

8.3.7.4.11 ResourceInterface

UPDM: ResourceInterface is a contractual agreement between two resources that implement protocols through which the source resource to the destination resource.

MODAF: NA

DoDAF: An overlap between Performers for the purpose of producing a Resource that is consumed by the other (DoDAF::Interface).




Figure 134. ResourceInterface

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ResourceInterface**:

ResourceInterface.end - the value for the role property for the owned ConnectorEnd must be stereotype «ResourceRole» or its specializations.

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **ResourceInterface**:

realizingConnector : ResourceConnector[*] Realizing ResourceConnectors.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ResourceInterface**:

Connector

- **Specializations**

The **ResourceInterface** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.7.4.12 ResourcePort

UPDM: Port is an interaction point for a resource through which it can interact with the outside environment.

MODAF: An interface (logical or physical) provided by a System. A SystemPort may implement a PortType though there is no requirement for SystemPorts to be typed (MODAF:: SystemPort).

DoDAF: An interface (logical or physical) provided by a System (DoDAF::Port).




Figure 135. ResourcePort

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ResourcePort**:

ResourcePort.type - Value for the type property must be stereotyped «ResourceInteractionItem» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ResourcePort**:

Port

- **Specializations**

The **ResourcePort** element is a specialization of:

ProtocollImplementation

8.3.7.4.13 ResourceRole

UPDM: abstract element.




Figure 136. ResourceRole

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ResourceRole**:

ResourceRole.type - An element with the stereotype «ResourceRole» applied must have the «SystemResource» stereotype (or its specializations) applied to the targets of its extended metaclass property "type".

ResourceRole.class - Value for the class property must be stereotyped «SystemResource» or its specializations.

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **ResourceRole**:

MODAFRoleKind : MODAFRoleKind[1] Enumeration of the kinds of role a resource can play.

performsInContext : Function[*] Functions used by the ResourceRole.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ResourceRole**:

Property

- **Specializations**

The **ResourceRole** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.7.4.14 Software

MODAF: An executable computer programme.

DoDAF: Materiel: Equipment, apparatus or supplies that are of interest, without distinction as to its application for administrative or combat purposes.




Figure 137. Software

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Software**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **Software** element is a specialization of:

ResourceArtifact

8.3.7.4.15 SubjectOfForecast

MODAF: Abstract Any element that may be subject to a Forecast




Figure 138. SubjectOfForecast

- **Specializations**

The **SubjectOfForecast** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.7.4.16 SubjectOfResourceConstraint

MODAF: Abstract. Anything that may be constrained by a ResourceConstraint.




Figure 139. **SubjectOfResourceConstraint**

- **Specializations**

The **SubjectOfResourceConstraint** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.7.4.17 SystemResource

UPDM: Abstract element used as placeholder for resource properties.




Figure 140. SystemResource

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **SystemResource**:

Resource.ownedOperation - Values for the ownedOperation property must be stereotyped with «ResourceOperation» or its specializations.

Resource.ownedPort - Values for the ownedPort property must be stereotyped with «ServicePort» or its specializations.

Resource.performs - Can perform only «Functions».

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **SystemResource**:

milestone : ActualProjectMilestone[*] A Linked milestone.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **SystemResource**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **SystemResource** element is a specialization of:

Participant

ResourceInteractionItem

SubjectOfForecast

OperationalExchangeItem

8.3.7.4.18 VersionOfConfiguration

MODAF: Asserts that a CapabilityConfiguration is a version of a WholeLifeConfiguration.

DoDAF: NA




Figure 141. VersionOfConfiguration

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **VersionOfConfiguration**:

VersionOfConfiguration.class - Value for the class property must be stereotyped «WholeLifeConfiguration» or its specializations.

VersionOfConfiguration.type - Value for the type property must be stereotyped «SystemResource» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **VersionOfConfiguration**:

Property

- **Specializations**

The **VersionOfConfiguration** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.7.4.19 WholeLifeConfiguration

MODAF: A set of versions of a CapabilityConfiguration over time.

DoDAF: NA



Figure 142. WholeLifeConfiguration

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **WholeLifeConfiguration**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **WholeLifeConfiguration** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.8 TechnicalStandardsElements

Section 1.4.4 of the DoDAF version 1.5 Definitions and Guidelines (Volume I) Define the purpose of the Technical View as follows:

"The TV is the minimal set of rules governing the arrangement, interaction, and interdependence of system parts or elements. Its purpose is to ensure that a system satisfies a specified set of operational requirements. The TV provides the technical systems implementation guidelines upon which engineering specifications are based, common building blocks are established, and product lines are developed. It includes a collection of the technical standards, implementation conventions, standards options, rules, and criteria that can be organized into profile(s) that govern systems and system or service elements for a given architecture."

8.3.8.1 Protocol

MODAF: A Standard for communication. Protocols may be composite (i.e. a stack).
DoDAF: NA, See TechnicalStandard.




Figure 143. Protocol

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Protocol**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **Protocol** element is a specialization of:

Standard

8.3.8.2 ProtocolImplementation

UPDM: Abstract element: A connector that implements a specific Protocol.

MODAF: An element that can implement a Protocol.




Figure 144. ProtocolImplementation

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **ProtocolImplementation**:

implements : Protocol[1] The <<Protocol>> which can be implemented by the Connector targets.

- **Specializations**

The **ProtocolImplementation** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.8.3 Standard

MODAF: A ratified and peer-reviewed specification that is used to guide or constrain the architecture. A Standard may be applied to any element in the architecture via the [constrainedItem] property of UML::Constraint.

DoDAF: A formal agreement documenting generally accepted specifications or criteria for products, processes, procedures, policies, systems, and/or personnel.




Figure 145. Standard

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **Standard**:

currentStatus : String[0..1] Current status of the Standard.

InformationTechnologyStandardCategory : String[*] The information technology standard category which the <<Standard>> belongs to.

mandatedDate : ISO8601DateTime[0..1] The date when this version of the Standard was published.

ratifiedBy : ActualOrganization[*] Organization that ratified this Standard.

retiredDate : ISO8601DateTime[0..1] The date when this version of the Standard was retired.

shortName : String[0..1] Short name of the Standard.

version : String[0..1] Represents the revision number of the Standard - e.g. "1.2.1", "v2", ":2004", etc.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Standard**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **Standard** element is a specialization of:

SubjectOfForecast

8.3.8.4 StandardConfiguration

MODAF: A UML::Comment that when attached to a CapabilityConfiguration indicates that it is a standard pattern for re-use in the architecture.

DoDAF: NA




Figure 146. StandardConfiguration

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **StandardConfiguration**:

StandardConfiguration.annotatedElement - Value for the annotatedElement property must be stereotyped «CapabilityConfiguration».

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **StandardConfiguration**:

Comment

- **Specializations**

The **StandardConfiguration** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.8.5 Data

The data portion of the AllElements profile.

8.3.8.5.1 Details

UPDM: A tuple used to provide the relationship between an entityItem and an ExchangeElement.




Figure 147. Details

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **Details**:

Details.client - Value for the client property must be stereotyped a specialization of «EntityItem».

Details.supplier - Value for the supplier property must be stereotyped «ExchangeElement».

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Details**:

Dependency

- **Specializations**

The **Details** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.8.5.2 EntityAttribute

MODAF: A defined property of an EntityItem.

DoDAF: NA




Figure 148. EntityAttribute

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **EntityAttribute**:

EntityAttribute.canBeAppliedTo - «EntityAttribute» stereotype can be applied to Properties that are owned only by «EntityItem»

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **EntityAttribute**:

Property

- **Specializations**

The **EntityAttribute** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.3.8.5.3 EntityItem

MODAF: (MODAF::Entity): A definition (type) of an item of interest.

DoDAF: NA




Figure 149. EntityItem

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **EntityItem**:

EntityItem.ownedAttribute - Value for the ownedAttribute property must be stereotyped «EntityAttribute» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **EntityItem**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **EntityItem** element is a specialization of:

SubjectOfOperationalConstraint

SubjectOfResourceConstraint

8.3.8.5.4 EntityRelationship

MODAF: Asserts that there is a relationship between two EntityItems.

DoDAF: (DoDAF::DataAssociation): A relationship or association between two elements of proceduralized information.




Figure 150. EntityRelationship

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **EntityRelationship**:

EntityRelationship.endType - Values for the endType property must be stereotyped «EntityItem» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **EntityRelationship**:

Association

- **Specializations**

The **EntityRelationship** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.4 DoDAF

Elements that are not considered part of the Core architectural model, but necessary for DoDAF.

8.4.1 AcquisitionElements

This section of the specification contains the Acquisition elements of the DoDAF section.

8.4.1.1 ActivityPartOfProject

UPDM: As in DoDAF

DoDAF: A wholePart relationship between a Project and an Activity (Task) that is part of the Project.




Figure 151. ActivityPartOfProject

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ActivityPartOfProject**:

ActivityPartOfProject.client - Value for the client property must be stereotyped a specialization of «ProjectActivity».

ActivityPartOfProject.supplier - Value for the supplier property must be stereotyped «ActualProject».

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ActivityPartOfProject**:

Dependency

- **Specializations**

The **ActivityPartOfProject** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.4.1.2 Project

DoDAF: A temporary endeavor undertaken to create Resources or Desired Effects.




Figure 152. Project

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Project**:

InstanceSpecification

- **Specializations**

The **Project** element is a specialization of:

ActualProject

8.4.1.3 ProjectActivity

MOAF: NA

DoDAF: An activity carried out during a project.




Figure 153. ProjectActivity

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ProjectActivity**:

Activity

- **Specializations**

The **ProjectActivity** element is a specialization of:

Activity

8.4.2 AllElements

The All View elements for DoDAF specific models. The All View elements provide information about the entire Architecture. They are used for support rather than architectural models.

8.4.2.1 InformationKind

Enumeration of kinds of information, derived from MODAF and DoDAF, used to support the InformationKind tag of the Information stereotype.

- **Enumeration Literals**

The following are enumeration literals for AllElements:

Data - Representation of information in a formalized manner suitable for communication, interpretation, or processing by humans or by automatic means. Examples could be whole models, packages, entities, attributes, classes, domain values, enumeration values, records, tables, rows, columns, and fields.

DomainInformation - Types of information within the scope or domain of the architecture.

Information - Information is the state of a something of interest that is materialized -- in any medium or form -- and communicated or received.

PedigreeInformation - Information describing pedigree.

PositionReferenceFrame - An arbitrary set of axes with reference to which the position or motion of something is described or physical laws are formulated.

8.4.2.2 Information

UPDM:As DoDAF

MODAF:N/A

DoDAF:Information is the state of a something of interest that is materialized -- in any medium or form -- and communicated or received.




Figure 154. Information

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **Information**:

Information.annotatedElement - Value for the annotatedElement property must be stereotyped «UPDMElement» or its specializations.

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **Information**:

informationKind : InformationKind[1] Enumeration of the kinds of information being passed.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Information**:

Comment

- **Specializations**

The **Information** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.4.2.3 Behavior

This section of the specification contains the Behavior Elements of the DoDAF, All Elements section.

8.4.2.3.1 ActivityPerformedByPerformer

UPDM: Links a Performer to the behavior that it can perform

MODAF: NA

DoDAF: An overlap of an Activity with a Resource, in particular a consuming or producing Activity that expresses an input, output, consumption, or production Activity of the Resource




Figure 155. ActivityPerformedByPerformer

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ActivityPerformedByPerformer**:

Dependency

- **Specializations**

The **ActivityPerformedByPerformer** element is a specialization of:

IsCapableOfPerforming

8.4.2.4 Environment

This section of the specification contains the Environmental Elements of the DoDAF, All Elements section.

8.4.2.4.1 GeoPoliticalExtentKind

Enumeration of geopolitical extent kinds, used to support the `geoPoliticalExtentKind` tag of the `geoPoliticalExtent` stereotype, derived from DoDAF.

- **Enumeration Literals**

The following are enumeration literals for Environment:

Country - A political state or nation or its territory.

Facility - A real property entity consisting of underlying land and one or more of the following: a building, a structure (including linear structures), a utility system, or pavement.

GeoFeature - An object that encompasses meteorological, geographic, and control features mission significance.

Installation - A base, camp, post, station, yard, center, or other activity, including leased facilities, without regard to the duration of operational control. An installation may include one or more sites.

Other - Other `GeoPoliticalExtent` kind that is not on the enumerated list.

RegionOfCountry - A large, usually continuous segment of a political state or nation or its territory.

RegionOfWorld - A large, usually continuous segment of a surface or space; area.

Site - Physical (geographic) location that is or was owned by, leased to, or otherwise possessed. Each site is assigned to a single installation. A site may exist in one of three forms: (1) Land only, where there are no facilities present and where the land consists of either a single land parcel or two or more contiguous land parcels. (2) Facility or facilities only, where the underlying land is neither owned nor controlled by the government. A stand-alone facility can be a site. If a facility is not a stand-alone facility, it must be assigned to a site. (3). Land and all the facilities thereon, where the land consists of either a single land parcel or two or more contiguous land parcels.

8.4.2.4.2 GeoPoliticalExtentTypeKind

Enumeration of kinds of geopolitical extent type, derived from DoDAF, used to support the `geoPoliticalExtentTypeKind` tag of the `GeoPoliticalExtentType` stereotype.

- **Enumeration Literals**

The following are enumeration literals for Environment:

CountryType - Powertype Of Country.

FacilityType - Powertype Of Facility.

GeoFeatureType - Powertype Of GeoFeature.

InstallationType - Powertype Of Installation.

OtherType - Other GeoPoliticalExtentType kind that is not on the enumerated list.

RegionOfCountryType - Powertype Of RegionOfCountry.

RegionOfWorldType - Powertype Of RegionOfWorld.

SiteType - Powertype Of Site.

8.4.2.4.3 Condition

MODAF: A definition of the conditions in which something exists or functions. An Environment may be specified in terms of LocationType (e.g. terrain), Climate (e.g. tropical), and LightCondition (e.g. dark, light, dusk, etc.)

DoDAF: An object that encompasses meteorological, geographic, and control features mission significance.




Figure 156. Condition

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **Condition**:

Condition.ownedAttribute - Values for the ownedAttribute property must be stereotyped «ConditionProperty» or its specializations.

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **Condition**:

conditionKind : String[1] String defining the type of condition being set.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Condition**:

DataType

- **Specializations**

The **Condition** element is a specialization of:

Environment

8.4.2.4.4 ConditionProperty

MODAF: EnvironmentalProperty: Asserts that an Environment has one or more properties. These may be Climate, LocationType, or LightCondition.

DoDAF: NA




Figure 157. ConditionProperty

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ConditionProperty**:

ConditionProperty.class - Value for the class property must be stereotyped «Condition» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ConditionProperty**:

Property

- **Specializations**

The **ConditionProperty** element is a specialization of:

EnvironmentProperty

8.4.2.4.5 GeoPoliticalExtent

UPDM: An instance of a GeoPoliticalExtentType

MODAF: N/A

DoDAF: N/A




Figure 158. GeoPoliticalExtent

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **GeoPoliticalExtent**:

GeoPoliticalExtent.classifier - Classifier property value must be stereotyped «GeoPoliticalExtentType» or its specializations.

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **GeoPoliticalExtent**:

customKind : String[] String defining custom kinds of geopolitical extent.

geoPoliticalExtentKind : GeoPoliticalExtentKind[] Enumeration of kinds of GeopoliticalExtent.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **GeoPoliticalExtent**:

InstanceSpecification

- Specializations

The **GeoPoliticalExtent** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.4.2.4.6 GeoPoliticalExtentType

MODAF:NA

DoDAF:A geospatial extent whose boundaries are by declaration or agreement by political parties.




Figure 159. GeoPoliticalExtentType

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **GeoPoliticalExtentType**:

customKind : String[] String defining custom kinds of GeopoliticalExtentTypes.

geoPoliticalExtentTypeKind : GeoPoliticalExtentTypeKind[] Enumeration of kinds GeopoliticalExtentTypes.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **GeoPoliticalExtentType**:

DataType

- **Specializations**

The **GeoPoliticalExtentType** element is a specialization of:

ResourceInteractionItem

OperationalExchangeItem

ConditionType

8.4.2.4.7 Location

DoDAF: All subtypes of << IndividualType >> Location, such as Facility, Site, etc.




Figure 160. Location

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Location**:

InstanceSpecification

- **Specializations**

The **Location** element is a specialization of:

ActualLocation

8.4.2.5 Measurements

This section of the specification contains the Measurement Elements of the DoDAF, All Elements section.

8.4.2.5.1 Measure

MODAF:NA

DoDAF:The magnitude of some attribute of an individual.




Figure 161. Measure

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Measure**:

InstanceSpecification

- **Specializations**

The **Measure** element is a specialization of:

ActualPropertySet

8.4.2.5.2 MeasureType

MODAF:NA

DoDAF: A category of Measures.




Figure 162. MeasureType

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **MeasureType**:

DataType

- **Specializations**

The **MeasureType** element is a specialization of:

MeasurementSet

8.4.3 OperationalElements

The Operational View elements for DoDAF specific models.

8.4.3.1 Structure

Section of the OperationalElements profile that describe structural concepts for DoDAF.

8.4.3.1.1 Performer

MODAF:NA

DoDAF:Any entity - human, automated, or any aggregation of human and/or automated - that performs an activity and provides a capability. An alias for Node in DoDAF.




Figure 163. Performer

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Performer**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **Performer** element is a specialization of:

Node

8.4.3.1.2 Organizational

This section of the specification contains the organizational Elements of the DoDAF, Operational Elements section.

8.4.3.1.2.1 IndividualPersonRole

UPDM: An individual person.

MODAF:NA

DoDAF: An Individual person.




Figure 164. IndividualPersonRole

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **IndividualPersonRole**:

InstanceSpecification

- **Specializations**

The **IndividualPersonRole** element is a specialization of:

ActualPost

8.4.3.1.2.2 Organization

DoDAF: A specific real-world assemblage of people and other resources organized for an on-going purpose.




Figure 165. Organization

- Extensions

The following are extensions for **Organization**:

InstanceSpecification

- **Specializations**

The **Organization** element is a specialization of:

ActualOrganization

8.4.3.1.2.3 OrganizationType

DoDAF: A type of Organization.




Figure 166. **OrganizationType**

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **OrganizationType**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **OrganizationType** element is a specialization of:

Organization

8.4.3.1.2.4 PersonType

DoDAF: A category of persons defined by the role or roles they share that are relevant to an architecture. Includes assigned materiel.

MODAF:NA




Figure 167. PersonType

- Extensions

The following are extensions for **PersonType**:

Class

- Specializations

The **PersonType** element is a specialization of:

Post

8.4.3.1.2.5 Skill

MODAF: A specific set of abilities defined by knowledge, skills and attitude (Competence).

DoDAF: The ability, coming from one's knowledge, practice, aptitude, etc., to do something well.




Figure 168. Skill

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Skill**:

- Class**

- **Specializations**

The **Skill** element is a specialization of:

- Competence**

8.4.3.1.2.6 SkillOfPersonType

UPDM: Alias for ProvidesCompetence, the tuple showing the skills and competencies required from a particular role or organization

DoDAF: A type property between a PersonRoleType and the Skills it entails




Figure 169. SkillOfPersonType

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **SkillOfPersonType**:

Dependency

- **Specializations**

The **SkillOfPersonType** element is a specialization of:

ProvidesCompetence

8.4.4 ServiceElements

This section of the specification contains the Service Elements of the DoDAF section.

8.4.4.1 ServiceAccess

UPDM: The mechanism by which a service is accessed

MODAF: NA

DoDAF: NA




Figure 170. ServiceAccess

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ServiceAccess**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **ServiceAccess** element is a specialization of:

SystemResource

8.4.4.2 ServiceDescription

UPDM: Package containing the elements that describe a service, from DoDAF 2.

DoDAF: Information necessary to interact with the service in such terms as the service inputs, outputs, and associated semantics. The service description also conveys what is accomplished when the service is invoked and the conditions for using the service.




Figure 171. ServiceDescription

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ServiceDescription**:

Package

- **Specializations**

The **ServiceDescription** element is a specialization of:

ArchitecturalDescription

8.4.5 StrategicElements

This section of the specification contains the Strategic Elements of the DoDAF section.

8.4.5.1 ActivityPartOfCapability

UPDM: As in DoDAF

DoDAF: A disposition to manifest an Activity. An Activity to be performed to achieve a desired effect under specified [performance] standards and conditions through combinations of ways and means.




Figure 172. ActivityPartOfCapability

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ActivityPartOfCapability**:

Dependency

- **Specializations**

The **ActivityPartOfCapability** element is a specialization of:

MapsToCapability

8.4.5.2 CapabilityOfPerformer

UPDM: A couple that represents the capability that a resource, node or enterprise phase exhibits (Exhibits).

MODAF: An assertion that a Node is required to have a Capability (Capability for node).

DoDAF: A couple that represents the capability that a performer has.




Figure 173. **CapabilityOfPerformer**

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **CapabilityOfPerformer**:

Dependency

- **Specializations**

The **CapabilityOfPerformer** element is a specialization of:

Exhibits

8.4.5.3 **DesiredEffect**

MODAF:NA

DoDAF:A desired state of a Resource.




Figure 174. **DesiredEffect**

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **DesiredEffect**:

DesiredEffect.client - Value for the client property must be stereotyped a specialization of «Desirer».

DesiredEffect.supplier - Value for the supplier property must be stereotyped a specialization of «DesiredState».

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **DesiredEffect**:

providedMOE : ActualPropertySet[0..1] Measures of the DesiredEffect.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **DesiredEffect**:

Dependency

- **Specializations**

The **DesiredEffect** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.4.5.4 Vision

MODAF: The overall aims of an enterprise over a given period of time. (EnterpriseVision)

DoDAF: An end that describes the future state of the enterprise, without regard to how it is to be achieved; a mental image of what the future will or could be like.




Figure 175. Vision

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Vision**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **Vision** element is a specialization of:

EnterpriseVision

8.4.6 SystemElements

The System View elements for DoDAF specific models.

8.4.6.1 Structure

Defines the structure parts of the system elements.

8.4.6.1.1 System

A DoDAF alias for ResourceArtifact.




Figure 176. System

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **System**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **System** element is a specialization of:

ResourceArtifact

8.4.7 TechnicalStandardsElements

This section of the specification contains the Technical Standard Elements of the DoDAF section.

8.4.7.1 FunctionalStandard

MODAF:NA

DoDAF:Functional standards set forth rules, conditions, guidelines, and characteristics.




Figure 177. FunctionalStandard

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **FunctionalStandard**:

- Class**

- **Specializations**

The **FunctionalStandard** element is a specialization of:

- Standard**

8.4.7.2 TechnicalStandard

MODAF: A ratified and peer-reviewed specification that is used to guide or constrain the architecture. A Standard may be applied to any element in the architecture via the [constrainedItem] property of UML::Constraint (Standard).
DoDAF: Technical standards document specific technical methodologies and practices to design and implement.




Figure 178. TechnicalStandard

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **TechnicalStandard**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **TechnicalStandard** element is a specialization of:

Standard

8.4.7.3 Data

This section of the specification contains the Data elements of the DoDAF, Technical Standard Elements section.

8.4.7.3.1 AssociationOfInformation

MODAF: Asserts that there is a relationship between two entities (Entity Relationship).

DoDAF: A relationship or association between two elements of information.




Figure 179. AssociationOfInformation

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **AssociationOfInformation**:

Association

- **Specializations**

The **AssociationOfInformation** element is a specialization of:

EntityRelationship

8.4.7.3.2 SecurityAttributesGroup

MODAF:NA

DoDAF: The group of Information Security Marking attributes in which the use of attributes 'classification' and 'ownerProducer' is required. This group is to be contrasted with group 'SecurityAttributesOptionGroup' in which use of those attributes is optional.




Figure 180. SecurityAttributesGroup

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **SecurityAttributesGroup**:

DataType

- **Specializations**

The **SecurityAttributesGroup** element is a specialization of:

PropertySet

8.5 MODAF

Elements that are not considered part of the Core architectural model, but necessary for MoDAF.

8.5.1 AcquisitionElements

The Acquisition View elements for MoDAF specific models.

8.5.1.1 Milestones

Milestones are an event in a Project by which progress is measured.

8.5.1.1.1 ActualProjectMilestone

MODAF: (ProjectMilestone): An event in a ActualProject (MODAF::Project) by which progress is measured. Note: in the case of an acquisition project, there are two key types of milestones which shall be represented using subtypes - IncrementMilestone (MODAF::CapabilityIncrement) and OutOfServiceMilestone (MODAF::OutOfService)
DoDAF: NA




Figure 181. ActualProjectMilestone

MODAF: ProjectMilestone: An event in a Project by which progress is measured - modelled as a Project of zero duration. --
Note: in the case of an acquisition project, there are two key types of milestones which shall be represented using subtypes - CapabilityIncrement and OutOfService
DoDAF: N/A

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ActualProjectMilestone**:

ActualProjectMilestone.classifier - Value for the classifier property must be stereotyped «ProjectMilestone» or its specializations.

ActualProjectMilestone.slot - Slot values have to be stereotyped «ProjectStatus» or its specializations.

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **ActualProjectMilestone**:

date : ISO8601DateTime[1] Defines time for this ProjectMilestone.

description : String[] Description of the ActualProjectMilestone.

resource : SystemResource[*] Affected resource.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ActualProjectMilestone**:

InstanceSpecification

- **Specializations**

The **ActualProjectMilestone** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.5.1.1.2 IncrementMilestone

MODAF: (MODAF::CapabilityIncrement): An ActualProjectMilestone (MODAF::ProjectMilestone) that indicates the point in time at which a project is predicted to deliver or has delivered a Capability.

DoDAF: NA




Figure 182. IncrementMilestone

Elements related to the CapabilityIncrementMilestone stereotype.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **IncrementMilestone**:

- InstanceSpecification**

- **Specializations**

The **IncrementMilestone** element is a specialization of:

- ActualProjectMilestone**

8.5.1.1.3 MilestoneSequence

MODAF: A MilestoneSequence (MODAF::MilestoneRelationship) is a relationship between two milestones.
DoDAF: NA




Figure 183. MilestoneSequence

Elements related to the MileStoneSequence stereotype.

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **MilestoneSequence**:

MilestoneSequence.client - Client must be «ProjectMilestone».

MilestoneSequence.supplier - Supplier must be «ProjectMilestone».

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **MilestoneSequence**:

Dependency

- Specializations

The **MilestoneSequence** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.5.1.1.4 OutOfServiceMilestone

MODAF: An OutOfServiceMilestone (MODAF::OutOfService) is a ProjectMilestone that indicates a project's deliverable is to go out of service.

DoDAF: NA




Figure 184. OutOfServiceMilestone

Elements related to the OutOfServiceMilestone stereotype.

- Extensions

The following are extensions for **OutOfServiceMilestone**:

InstanceSpecification

- Specializations

The **OutOfServiceMilestone** element is a specialization of:

ActualProjectMilestone

8.5.1.1.5 ProjectMilestone

UPDM: An element representing a collection of themes (e.g. DLOD or DOTMLPF) which is connected to a Project as part of a Project’s definition. This is used as a template for ActualProjectMilestones.

MODAF: An event in a Project by which progress is measured.




Figure 185. ProjectMilestone

Elements related to the ProjectMilestoneType stereotype.

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ProjectMilestone**:

ProjectMilestone.ownedAttributes - Owned attributes have to be stereotyped <<ProjectTheme>>.

ProjectMilestone.ownedThemes - All of the ProjectThemes, owned by a ProjectMilestone, must be typed by the same StatusIndicators.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ProjectMilestone**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **ProjectMilestone** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.5.1.1.6 ProjectOwnership

MODAF: A type of OrganisationProjectRelationship where the organisation is the party responsible for the project.




Figure 186. ProjectOwnership

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ProjectOwnership**:

Dependency

- **Specializations**

The **ProjectOwnership** element is a specialization of:

OrganizationalProjectRelationship

8.5.1.1.7 ProjectSequence

MODAF: Asserts that one ActualProject (MODAF::Project) follows from another - i.e. the target ActualProject cannot start

until the source ActualProject has ended.
DoDAF: NA




Figure 187. ProjectSequence

Elements related to the ProjectSequence stereotype.

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ProjectSequence**:

ProjectSequence.client - Client property value must be stereotyped «ActualProject» or its specializations.

ProjectSequence.supplier - Supplier property value must be stereotyped «ActualProject» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ProjectSequence**:

Dependency

- **Specializations**

The **ProjectSequence** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.5.1.2 Structure

Structure for Acquisition View elements for MoDAF specific models.

8.5.1.2.1 ProjectStatus

MODAF: A ProjectStatus (MODAF::StatusAtMilestone) is a relationship between a Status and a milestone that asserts the status (i.e. level of progress) of a ProjectTheme for the project at the time of the ActualProjectMilestone (MODAF::Milestone).

DoDAF: NA




Figure 188. ProjectStatus

Elements related to the ProjectStatus stereotype.

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ProjectStatus**:

ProjectStatus.definingFeature - DefiningFeature value must be stereotyped «ProjectTheme» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ProjectStatus**:

Slot

- **Specializations**

The **ProjectStatus** element is a specialization of:

ActualProperty

8.5.1.2.2 ProjectTheme

MODAF: An aspect by which the progress of various Projects may be measured. In UK MOD, this could be one of the defence lines of development (DLOD), or DOTMLPF in the US.

DoDAF: NA




Figure 189. ProjectTheme

Elements related to the ProjectTheme stereotype.

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ProjectTheme**:

ProjecTheme.type - Value for the type property must be stereotyped «StatusIndicators» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ProjectTheme**:

Property

- **Specializations**

The **ProjectTheme** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.5.1.2.3 StatusIndicators

UPDM: Specifies a status for a ProjectTheme (such as training status).

MODAF: An enumeration of the possible statuses (MODAF::StatusIndicator) for one of more ProjectThemes.




Figure 190. StatusIndicators

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **StatusIndicators**:

Enumeration

- **Specializations**

The **StatusIndicators** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.5.2 AllElements

The All View elements for MoDAF specific models.

8.5.2.1 Environment

This section of the specification contains the Environment elements of the MODAF,All Elements section.

8.5.2.1.1 Climate

MODAF: A type of weather condition, or combination of weather conditions (e.g. high temperature & dry).
DoDAF: NA




Figure 191. Climate

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Climate**:

DataType

- **Specializations**

The **Climate** element is a specialization of:

Environment

8.5.2.1.2 LightCondition

MODAF: a specification of environmental lighting conditions.




Figure 192. LightCondition

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **LightCondition**:

DataType

- **Specializations**

The **LightCondition** element is a specialization of:

Environment

8.5.2.2 Ontology

Ontology elements from All Elements.

8.5.2.2.1 Alias

A UPDM Artifact used to define an alternative name for an element as used by DoDAF or MODAF.




Figure 193. Alias

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **Alias**:

Alias.annotatedElement - Value for the annotatedElement property must be stereotyped «UPDMElement» or its specializations.

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **Alias**:

nameOwner : String[*] The person or organization that uses this alternative name.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Alias**:

Comment

- **Specializations**

The **Alias** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.5.2.2.2 Definition

MODAF: A definition of an element in the architecture.
DoDAF:NA




Figure 194. Definition

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **Definition**:

Definition.annotatedElement - Value for the annotatedElement property must be stereotyped «UPDMElement» or its

specializations.

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **Definition**:

author : String[1..*] The original or current person (architect) responsible for the element.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Definition**:

Comment

- **Specializations**

The **Definition** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.5.2.2.3 ExternalIndividual

MODAF: An individual (i.e. something which has spatial and temporal extent) defined by an external ontology.
DoDAF: NA




Figure 195. ExternalIndividual

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ExternalIndividual**:

InstanceSpecification

- **Specializations**

The **ExternalIndividual** element is a specialization of:

OntologyReference

8.5.2.2.4 ExternalTuple

UPDM: An instance of ExternalTupleType defined in an external Ontology.

MODAF:NA

DoDAF:NA

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ExternalTuple**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **ExternalTuple** element is a specialization of:

OntologyReference

8.5.2.2.5 ExternalTupleType

UPDM: An TupleType defined in an external Ontology.

MODAF:NA

DoDAF:NA

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ExternalTupleType**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **ExternalTupleType** element is a specialization of:

ExternalType

8.5.2.2.6 ExternalType

MODAF: A type defined by an external ontology.
DoDAF: NA




Figure 196. ExternalType

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ExternalType**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **ExternalType** element is a specialization of:

OntologyReference

8.5.2.2.7 OntologyReference

MODAF: A reference to an element in a recognized external ontology or taxonomy.
DoDAF:NA




Figure 197. OntologyReference

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **OntologyReference**:

url : String[1] Unique identifier for the element.

- **Specializations**

The **OntologyReference** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.5.2.2.8 Overlap

IDEAS: A couple of wholePart couples where the part in each couple is the same.

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **Overlap**:

Overlap.client - Values for the client property must be stereotyped «UPDMElement» or its specializations.

Overlap.supplier - Values for the supplier property must be stereotyped «Element» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Overlap**:

Dependency

- **Specializations**

The **Overlap** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.5.2.2.9 SameAs

MODAF: Asserts that two elements refer to the same real-world thing.

DoDAF: NA




Figure 198. SameAs

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **SameAs**:

SameAs.client - Values for the client property must be stereotyped «UPDMElement» or its specializations.

SameAs.supplier - Values for the supplier property must be stereotyped «Element» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **SameAs**:

Dependency

- **Specializations**

The **SameAs** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.5.2.2.10 StereotypeExtension

MODAF: Defines an additional stereotype used in the architecture which is not defined in this meta-model. The body attribute contains the name of the new stereotype. The extendedStereotype tagged value shall contain the name of the meta-model stereotype which is extended. The ontologyReference tagged value shall be populated with a reference to the external ontology element represented by the new stereotype.

DoDAF: NA




Figure 199. StereotypeExtension

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **StereotypeExtension**:

StereotypeExtension.annotatedElement - Values for the annotatedElement property must be stereotyped «UPDMElement» or its specializations.

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **StereotypeExtension**:

ontologyReference : OntologyReference[*] Ontologicalreference associated with an Stereotype extension.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **StereotypeExtension**:

Comment

- **Specializations**

The **StereotypeExtension** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.5.3 OperationalElements

The Operational View elements for MoDAF specific models.

8.5.3.1 Behavior

Behavior for Operational View elements for MoDAF specific models.

8.5.3.1.1 ActivitySubject

MODAF: Anything that is acted upon by an OperationalActivity
DoDAF: NA




Figure 200. ActivitySubject

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **ActivitySubject**:

actsUpon : OperationalActivity[*] OperationalActivities that this ActivitySubject is acting upon.

- **Specializations**

The **ActivitySubject** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.5.3.1.2 OwnsProcess

UPDM: Asserts that an ActualOrganizationalResource owns a Process.




Figure 201. OwnsProcess

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **OwnsProcess**:

OwnsProcess.client - Value for the client property must be stereotyped «ActualOrganizationalResource» or its specializations.

OwnsProcess.supplier - Value for the supplier property must be stereotyped a specialization of «Process».

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **OwnsProcess**:

Dependency

- Specializations

The **OwnsProcess** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.5.3.1.3 Process

MODAF: The abstract supertype of OperationalActivity and EnduringTask.
DoDAF: NA




Figure 202. Process

- Extensions

The following are extensions for **Process**:

Activity

- **Specializations**

The **Process** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.5.3.1.4 StandardOperationalActivity

MODAF: An OperationalActivity that is a standard procedure that is doctrinal . Note: This is equivalent to what some defence organisations call JETLs.

DoDAF: Work, not specific to a single organization, weapon system or individual, that transforms inputs into outputs or changes their state (DoDAF:: Activity).




Figure 203. StandardOperationalActivity

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **StandardOperationalActivity**:

Activity

- **Specializations**

The **StandardOperationalActivity** element is a specialization of:

OperationalActivity

8.5.3.2 Flows

Flows for Operational View elements for MoDAF specific models.

8.5.3.2.1 Control

MODAF: A type of ResourceInteraction where one Resource (source) controls another (target). --Examples - the driver of a tank, one organisation having operational control of another, a fire control system controlling a weapons system.
DoDAF: NA




Figure 204. Control

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **Control**:

Control.conveyed - Value for the conveyed property must be stereotyped «ExchangeElement» or its specializations.

Control.informationSource - Value for the informationSource property must be stereotyped «OrganizationalResource» or its specializations.

Control.informationTarget - Value for the informationTarget property must be stereotyped «ResourceArtifact» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Control**:

InformationFlow

- Specializations

The **Control** element is a specialization of:

ResourceInteraction

8.5.3.3 Structure

Structure for Operational View elements for MoDAF specific models.

8.5.3.3.1 Energy

UPDM: Energy to be exchanged between Nodes.

MODAF: A unit of energy that flows along an EnergyFlow or OperationalActivityEnergyFlow

DoDAF: NA




Figure 205. Energy

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Energy**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **Energy** element is a specialization of:

ResourceInteractionItem

OperationalExchangeItem

8.5.3.3.2 ProblemDomain

MODAF: The boundary containing those Nodes which may be realised by functional resources specified in SV-1. There may be more than one alternative solution for a given ProblemDomain specified as a set of SV suites. There may be only one ProblemDomain in a LogicalArchitecture.

DoDAF: NA – covered by the more general temporalWholePart element.




Figure 206. ProblemDomain

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ProblemDomain**:

ProblemDomain.class - Value for the class property must be stereotyped «LogicalArchitecture» or its specializations.

ProblemDomain.type - Value for the type property must be stereotyped «Node» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ProblemDomain**:

Property

- **Specializations**

The **ProblemDomain** element is a specialization of:

NodeRole

8.5.3.3.3 Trustline

MODAF: Asserts that the trustingParty (either a Node or a KnownResource) trusts the trustedParty to a given level (indicated by the level attribute). Note: No unit of measure is associated with the level - security architects must define their own scale of trust levels for a given architecture or set of architectures.

DoDAF: NA




Figure 207. Trustline

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **Trustline**:

Trustline.client - Values for the client property must be stereotyped «Node» or its specializations.

Trustline.supplier - Values for the supplier property must be stereotyped «Node» or its specializations.

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **Trustline**:

level : String[] String denoting the level of Trust in the information source.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Trustline**:

Dependency

- **Specializations**

The **Trustline** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.5.3.3.4 Organizational

This section of the specification contains the organizational Elements of the MODAF, Operational Elements section.

8.5.3.3.4.1 RoleType

MODAF: An aspect of a person or organization that enables them to fulfill a particular function.




Figure 208. RoleType

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **RoleType**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **RoleType** element is a specialization of:

Responsibility

8.5.4 StrategicElements

The Strategic View elements for MoDAF specific models.

8.5.4.1 Milestones

Milestone elements for Strategic View elements for MoDAF specific models.

8.5.4.1.1 DeployedMilestone

MODAF: Asserts that an ActualOrganisationResource started to use, or is slated to start using a CapabilityConfiguration from a specific point in time. --This is used to describe capabilities going into service with specific organisations or posts.
DoDAF: NA




Figure 209. DeployedMilestone

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **DeployedMilestone**:

usedBy : ActualOrganizationalResource[1..*] ActualOrganizationalResources using CapabilityConfiguration
deployed at this Milestone.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **DeployedMilestone**:

InstanceSpecification

- **Specializations**

The **DeployedMilestone** element is a specialization of:

ActualProjectMilestone

8.5.4.1.2 NoLongerUsedMilestone

MODAF: Asserts that an ActualOrganisationResource ceased to use or is slated to cease using a CapabilityConfiguration from a specific point in time. --This is used to describe capabilities going out of service with specific organisations or posts.

DoDAF:NA




Figure 210. NoLongerUsedMilestone

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **NoLongerUsedMilestone**:

noLongerUsedBy : ActualOrganizationalResource[1..*] ActualOrganizationalResources that are no longer using CapabilityConfiguration that went out of service at this Milestone.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **NoLongerUsedMilestone**:

InstanceSpecification

- **Specializations**

The **NoLongerUsedMilestone** element is a specialization of:

ActualProjectMilestone

8.5.4.2 Structure

Structure elements for Strategic View elements for MoDAF specific models.

8.5.4.2.1 EnduringTask

MODAF: A type of behaviour recognised by an enterprise as being essential to achieving its goals - i.e. a strategic specification of what the enterprise does.

DoDAF: NA




Figure 211. EnduringTask

- Extensions

The following are extensions for **EnduringTask**:

Activity

- Specializations

The **EnduringTask** element is a specialization of:

Process

8.5.4.2.2 WholeLifeEnterprise

UPDM: A WholeLifeEnterprise is a purposeful endeavor of any size involving people, organizations and supporting systems (including physical systems and/or processes).

MODAF: An EnterprisePhase that represents the whole existence of an enterprise.

DoDAF: NA




Figure 212. WholeLifeEnterprise

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **WholeLifeEnterprise**:

Class

- **Specializations**

The **WholeLifeEnterprise** element is a specialization of:

EnterprisePhase

8.5.5 TechnicalStandardsElements

This section of the specification contains the Technical Standard Elements of the MODAF section.

8.5.5.1 ProtocolLayer

MODAF: Asserts that a Protocol (upperLayer) uses another Protocol (lowerLayer)




Figure 213. ProtocolLayer

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **ProtocolLayer**:

ProtocolLayer.class - Value for the class property must be stereotyped «Protocol» or its specializations.

ProtocolLayer.type - Value for the type property must be stereotyped «Protocol» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **ProtocolLayer**:

Property

- **Specializations**

The **ProtocolLayer** element is a specialization of:

UPDMElement

8.6 SOPES

The SOPES profile comprises a the core elements of the Shared Operational Picture Exchange Services (SOPES) Information Exchange Data Model (IEDM) modeling profile described in the Annex A of the OMG SOPES IEDM Specification. The modeling profile seek to use UML to expressing the policies, rules and constraints governing the release and exchange of information between information systems. The UML models provide a means to express these exchange policies in an manner that can be encoded as a set of human and machine readable policies that can be enforced by software applications and services.

The goal for adding SOPES to the UPDM is to provide greater fidelity for architecture modeling of information exchange requirements within the DoDAF, MODAF and NAF.

Additional elements in the SOPES modeling profiles can be accomplished using standard UML Class diagram constructs and not specifically integrated into the UPDM Specification.




Figure 214. Overview of SOPES

The SOPES Elements diagram shows the UPDM elements and the relationships that map to the concepts of the SOPES Metamodel.

8.6.1 Contract

A specialization of an “OperationalExchange” a “Contract” specifies an agreement between two or more parties to exchange information. The Contract forms an ontological commitment between parties in a community of interest (CoI) or Community of Practice (CoP). The contract is also used to realize the information exchange requirements of either a needline or a community of interest.

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **Contract**:

Contract.conveyed - conveyed property value must be stereotyped «Semantic», «Transactional» or their specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Contract**:

InformationFlow

8.6.2 Semantic

A specialization of “InformationElement” the enables the specification of a complete dataset, which is considered meaningful to a community, organization, system or application; meeting one or more of the information flow requirements specification for a needline. The semantic is defined by the community, needline or application interface.

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **Semantic**:

Semantic.ownedAttribute - ownedAttribute property value must be stereotyped «SemanticAttribute» or its specializations.

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **Semantic**:

containedTransactionals : Transactional[0..*] Represents the relationship between a "Transactional" and its containing "Semantic". Meaning that during aggregation process of data represented by the "Transactional" is gathered into the containing "Semantic". When all the data represented by the "Transactionals" is gathered - the data set is complete and ready for formatting and exchange.

identifier : Transactional[1] The “identifier” identifies the subtended Class that holds Unique Identifier or Key needed for the construction of the data set. This subtended class would contain, as a minimum, the base Global Unique Identifier (e.g., Database Key, foreign keys or unique identifier) that would differentiate which transactional or wrappers (information element instances) are included in the construction of the composite. (e.g., foreign key relationships) There exists one and only one “identifier” on each semantic or transactional diagram.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Semantic**:

DataType

8.6.3 SemanticAttribute

Specialization of Entity Attribute that enables the relationship between logical/Interim-Processing and Operational/Business naming conventions.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **SemanticAttribute**:

Property

8.6.4 Transactional

A specialization of “InformationElement” the enables the specification of reusable information building blocks, upon which multiple community semantics can be built. Transactionals describe the constructions plans for data sets realizable from the underlying information/data store. The transactional links the community semantics to the structures and business rules information/data store.

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **Transactional**:

Transactional.ownedAttribute - ownedAttribute property value must be stereotyped «TransactionalAttribute» or its specializations.

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **Transactional**:

containedTransactionals : Transactional[0..*] Represents the relationship between a "Transactional" and its containing "Transactional". Meaning that during the data aggregation process of data represented by the "Transactional" is gathered into the containing "Transactional".

containedWrappers : Wrapper[1..*] Represents the relationship between a "Wrapper" and its containing "Transactional". Meaning that during the data aggregation process of data represented by the "Wrapper" is gathered into the containing "Transactional".

identifier : Wrapper[1] The “identifier” identifies the subtended Class that holds Unique Identifier or Key needed for

the construction of the data set. This subtended class would contain, as a minimum, the base Global Unique Identifier (e.g., Database Key, foreign keys or unique identifier) that would differentiate which transactional or wrappers (information element instances) are included in the construction of the composite. (e.g., foreign key relationships) There exists one and only one “identifier” on each semantic or transactional diagram.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Transactional**:

DataType

8.6.5 TransactionalAttribute

Specialization of Entity Attribute that enables the relationship between logical and Interim processing Attribute naming conventions.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **TransactionalAttribute**:

Property

8.6.6 Wrapper

A specialization of “EntityItem” that links a Transactional to the logical information/data model Elements (e.g., DB Table). Wrappers represent a single instance of “EntityItem” data.

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **Wrapper**:

Wrapper.ownedAttribute - ownedAttribute property value must be stereotyped «WrapperAttribute» or its specializations.

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **Wrapper**:

Class

8.6.7 WrapperAttribute

Specialization of Entity Attribute that enables the relationship between physical and logical attribute naming conventions.

- Extensions

The following are extensions for **WrapperAttribute**:

Property

8.7 SwAF

The SWAF section defines the design rules being used by the Swedish Armed Forces and NATO that aid in the development and implementation of information Integration.

The design rule describes how military organizations can develop and implement the ability to exchange information with each other to support interoperability issues. Much of this design rule can also be applied when exchanging information with other actors than military organizations

Definition of interoperability in this context: The ability of technical systems and/or organizations using technical systems to operate together by making (necessary) data & information and/or services produced by one system or organization available to the others, in an agreed format




Figure 215. Overview of SwAF

The Design Rule Elements diagram shows the UPDM elements and the relationships that map to the concepts of the Design Rules metamodel from NISP as submitted by Swedish Armed Forces (SWAF).

8.7.1 DevelopmentStatus

Enumeration of development statuses, used to support the status tag of the DesignRule stereotype.

- **Enumeration Literals**

The following are enumeration literals for SwAF:

Draft - Indicates that the development of the design rule is in Draft state.

Identified - Indicates that the development of the design rule is in Identified state.

Obsolete - Indicates that the development of the design rule is in Obsolete state.

Proposal - Indicates that the development of the design rule is in Proposal state.

Rejected - Indicates that the development of the design rule is in Rejected state.

Verified - Indicates that the development of the design rule is in Verified state.

8.7.2 DesignRule

A design rule is a solution to a problem in a specific context with the following characteristics:

- belongs to a problem domain,
- packages knowledge in a reusable form,
- standardize solutions to design problems within NBD,
- gives value to the re-user.

- **Constraints**

The following are constraints for **DesignRule**:

DesignRule.ruleKind - Guidance

- **Attributes**

The following are attributes for **DesignRule**:

analysis : String[]

consequence : String[]

context : String[]

date : ISO8601DateTime[]

identifier : String[]

metaData : String[]

principles : String[*]

problem : String[*]

solution : UPDMElement[1..*]

status : DevelopmentStatus[]

version : String[]

- **Extensions**

The following are extensions for **DesignRule**:

Constraint

- **Specializations**

The **DesignRule** element is a specialization of:

Rule

8.8 DoDAF Class Library

A library of Measurements, MeasurementSets and SecurityAttributesGroup, derived from DoDAF.




Figure 216. Overview of DoDAF Class Library

8.9 ClassificationType

Enumeration of types of security classification, derived from DoDAF.

- Enumeration Literals

The following are enumeration literals for DoDAF Class Library:

C - Confidential

CTS - COSMIC TOP SECRET

CTS-B - COSMIC TOP SECRET - BOHEMIA

CTS-BALK - COSMIC TOP SECRET - BALK

CTSA - COSMIC TOP SECRET ATOMAL

NC - NATO Confidential

NCA - NATO Confidential Atomal

NR - NATO Restricted (similar to US For Official Use only)

NS - NATO Secret

NS-A - NATO Atomal

NS-S - NATO Secret

NSAT - NATO Secret Atomal

NU - NATO Unclassified

R - Restricted Data (RD) US Nuclear Information OR FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

S - Secret

TS - Top Secret

U - Unclassified

8.10 CommunicationsLinkProperties

Properties detailing aspects of Resource Interfaces.

This is a **DataType** The following are attributes for CommunicationsLinkProperties:

capacity : String[]

infrastructureTechnology : String[]

8.11 DataElementProperties

Properties detailing the aspects of a DataElement.

This is a **Data Type** The following are attributes for DataElementProperties:

accuracy : String[]

content : String[]

formatType : String[]

mediaType : String[]

scope : String[]

unitOfMeasurement : String[]

8.12 Exchange Properties

Properties detailing aspects of exchange for Operational Exchange and/or Resource Interaction.

This is a **Data Type** The following are attributes for ExchangeProperties:

accountability : String[*]

classification : String[*]

classificationCaveat : String[*]

criticality : String[*]

interoperabilityLevelAchievable : String[*]

periodicity : String[*]

protectionDuration : String[*]

protectionDurationCode : String[*]

protectionSuspenseCalendarDate : String[*]

protectionTypeName : String[*]

releasability : String[*]

size : String[*]

throughput : String[*]

timeliness : String[*]

transactionType : String[*]

8.13 Information Assurance Properties

Properties indicating the assurance of a piece of information.

This is a **DataType** The following are attributes for InformationAssuranceProperties:

accessControl : String[*]

availability : String[*]

confidentiality : String[*]

disseminationControl : String[*]

integrity : String[*]

nonRepudiationConsumer : String[*]

nonRepudiationProducer : String[*]

8.14 Information Element Properties

Predefined additional DoDAF properties for InformationElement.

This is a **DataType** The following are attributes for InformationElementProperties:

accuracy : String[*] Accuracy

content : String[*] Content

language : String[*] Language

scope : String[*] Scope

8.15 OperationalActivityProperties

Properties detailing aspects OperationalActivities.

This is a **Data Type** The following are attributes for OperationalActivityProperties:

cost : float[]

8.16 SecurityAttributes

W3C XML Schema for the Intelligence Community Metadata Standard for Information Security Marking (IC-ISM), which is part of the IC standards for Information Assurance.

This is a **Data Type** The following are attributes for SecurityAttributes:

classification : ClassificationType[]

classificationReason : String[]

classifiedBy : String[]

dateOfExemptedSource : String[]

declassDate : String[]

declassEvent : String[]

declassException : String[]

DeclassManualReview : String[]

derivedFrom : String[]

DisseminationControls : String[]

FGSourceOpen : String[]

FGSourceProtected : String[]

nonICmarkings : String[]

ownerProducer : String[]

releasableTo : String[]

SARIdentifier : String[]

SCIControls : String[]

typeOfExemptedSource : String[]

9 Annex A

(Non-Normative)

9.1 Domain Metamodel (DMM)

This Annex comprises of various diagrams that document the Domain Metamodel (DMM) that document the MODAF 1.5 and MODAF 1.2 integrated model. This model was used as a basis for creating the UPDM profile.

Note that the diagrams rely on color to aid the reader in understanding the model. Please refer to the legend in the various diagrams to understand the specific definitions.

9.2 Products

This section documents each of the products of the DMM.

9.3 AcV/PV

The AcquisitionElements describe project details, including dependencies between projects and capability integration. These Views guide the acquisition and fielding processes.

9.3.1 AcV-1/PV-1 - DMM

MODAF: AcV-1 view products represent an organizational perspective on projects

DoDAF: AcV-1 view [DoDAF::Project Portfolio Relationships (PV-1) DoDAF-described View] represents an organizational perspective on programs, projects, or a portfolio of projects.




Figure 1. AcV-1/PV-1 - DMM

9.3.2 AcV-2/PV-2 - DMM

MODAF: AcV-2 view products provide a timeline perspective on projects.

DoDAF: AcV-2 (DoDAF::PV-2: Project Timelines DoDAF-described View) provides a timeline perspective on programs or projects.




Figure 3. PV-3 - DMM

9.4 AV

Elements that are part of the All View. The All-Views (AVs) provide an overarching description of the architecture, its scope, ownership, timeframe and all of the other meta data that is required in order to effectively search and query architectural models. They also provide a place to record any findings arising from the architecting process. The AVs include a dictionary of the terms used in the construction of the architecture – which helps others fully understand its meaning at a later date. Since the AVs provide critical information for the future access and exploitation of an architectural model their population is essential whenever an architecture is created or modified. The AVs provide a critical input into the processes that provide architectural governance.

9.4.1 AV-1 - DMM

MODAF: The overview and summary information contained within the AV-1 product provides executive-level summary information in a consistent form that allows quick reference and comparison between architectural descriptions. --AV-1 includes assumptions, constraints, and limitations that may affect high-level decisions relating to an architecture-based work programme.

DoDAF: The overview and summary information contained within the AV-1 DoDAF-described View provides executive-level summary information in a consistent form that allows quick reference and comparison between architectural

descriptions.-- The AV-1 includes assumptions, constraints, and limitations that may affect high-level decisions relating to an architecture-based work program.




Figure 4. AV-1 - DMM

9.4.2 AV-2 - DMM

MODAF: AV-2 presents all the Elements used in an architecture as a stand alone structure. An AV-2 presents all the Elements as a specialisation hierarchy, provides a text definition for each one and references the source of the element (e.g. MODAF Ontology, IDEAS Model, local, etc.).--An AV-2 shows elements from the MODAF Ontology that have been used in the architecture and new elements (i.e. not in the MODAF Ontology) that have been introduced by the architecture.

DoDAF: The AV-2 presents all the metadata used in an architecture as a standalone structure. An AV-2 presents all the metadata as a specialization hierarchy, provides a text definition for each one and references the source of the element (e.g. DoDAF Meta-model, IDEAS, a published document or policy).-- An AV-2 shows elements from the DoDAF Meta-model that have been used in the architecture and new elements (i.e. not in the DoDAF Meta-model) that have been introduced by the architecture.




Figure 5. AV-2 - DMM

9.5 OV

The Operational View is about real-world activities, the people and machinery that perform them, and the means by which they are performed. The Operational View is divided into nine products intended to answer the *gwho*, *gwhat*, *gwhen*, *gwhere*, *gwhy*, and *ghow* of a mission. They are summarized in the table below.

9.5.1 OV-1 - DMM

MODAF: OV-1 addresses the high level operational concepts related to one or more missions. An OV-1 describes a mission, class of mission, or scenario; and highlights the main operational elements and interesting or unique aspects of operations.

The OV-1 has two purposes. First, it provides a means of organising the operational architecture models into distinct groups based on scenario context. Second, it communicates the essence of the scenario context in an essentially graphical form.

DoDAF: The OV-1 DoDAF-described View describes a mission, class of mission, or scenario. It shows the main operational concepts and interesting or unique aspects of operations. It describes the interactions between the subject architecture and its environment, and between the architecture and external systems. A textual description accompanying the graphic is crucial. Graphics alone are not sufficient for capturing the necessary architecture data.




Figure 6. OV-1 - DMM

9.5.2 OV-2 - DMM

MODAF: The Operational Node Relationships Description (OV-2) addresses localisation of operational capability.

DoDAF: The Operational Resource Description (OV-2) DoDAF-described View applies the context of the operational capability to a community of anticipated users.




Figure 7. OV-2 - DMM

9.5.3 OV-3 - DMM

MODAF: The Operational Information Exchange Matrix (OV-3) addresses operational information exchanges between nodes. DoDAF: The Operational Resource Flow Matrix (OV-3) DoDAF-described addresses operational resource flows exchanged between Operational Activity and locations.




Figure 8. OV-3 - DMM

9.5.4 OV-4 Actual - DMM

This is the OV-4 Actual View. The Organizational Relationships Chart illustrates the command structure or relationships (as opposed to relationships with respect to a business process flow) among human roles, organizations, or organization types that are the key players in architecture. MODAF divides The OV-4 two views, an OV-4 Typical and an OV-4 Actual. The former is exactly as the DoDAF OV-4, while the latter is a special form of the SV-1; where the resources are restricted to being organizational




Figure 9. OV-4 Actual - DMM

9.5.5 OV-4 Typical - DMM

MODAF: The OV-4 shows organisational structures and interactions. The organisations shown may be civil or military. A typical OV-4 shows the possible relationships between organisational resources (organisations and posts).

DoDAF: DoDAF: The OV-4 DoDAF-described View shows organizational structures and interactions. The organizations shown may be civil or military. A typical OV-4 shows the possible relationships between organizational resources.




Figure 10. OV-4 Typical - DMM

9.5.6 OV-5 - DMM

MODAF: The Operational Activity Model (OV-5) describes the operations that are normally conducted in the course of achieving a mission or a business goal. It describes operational activities (or tasks), Input/Output flows between activities and to/from activities that are outside the scope of the Architecture.

DoDAF: The Operational Activity Model DoDAF-described View describes the operations that are normally conducted in the course of achieving a mission or a business goal. It describes operational activities (or tasks); Input/Output flows between activities, and to/from activities that are outside the scope of the Architecture.




Figure 11. OV-5 - DMM

9.5.7 OV-6a - DMM

MODAF: An Operational Rules Model (OV-6a) specifies operational or business rules that are constraints on the way that business is done in the enterprise.

DoDAF: An Operational Rules Model (OV-6a) DoDAF-described View specifies operational or business rules that are constraints on the way that business is done in the enterprise.




Figure 12. OV-6a - DMM

9.5.8 OV-6b - DMM

MODAF: OV-6b: The Operational State Transition Description is a graphical method of describing how an Operational Node or activity responds to various events by changing its state. The diagram represents the sets of events to which the Architecture will respond (by taking an action to move to a new state) as a function of its current state. Each transition specifies an event and an action.

DoDAF: The Operational State Transition Description (OV-6b) DoDAF-described View is a graphical method of describing how an Operational Activity responds to various events by changing its state. The diagram represents the sets of events to which the Architecture will respond (by taking an action to move to a new state) as a function of its current state. Each transition specifies an event and an action.




Figure 13. OV-6b - DMM

9.5.9 OV-6c - DMM

MODAF: OV-6c: The Operational Event-Trace Description provides a time-ordered examination of the information

exchanges between participating Operational Nodes as a result of a particular scenario. Each event-trace diagram will have an accompanying description that defines the particular scenario or situation.

DoDAF: The Operational Event-Trace Description (OV-6c) DoDAF-described View provides a time ordered examination of the resource flows as a result of a particular scenario. Each event-trace diagram will have an accompanying description that defines the particular scenario or situation.




Figure 14. OV-6c - DMM

9.5.10 OV-7 - DMM

MODAF: Information Models (OV-7) address the information perspective on an operational architecture.

DoDAF: The Conceptual Data Model (DIV-1), a new DoDAF-described View in DoDAF V2.0, addresses the information concepts at a high-level on an operational architecture.

The Logical Data Model (DIV-2) DoDAF-described View allows analysis of an architecture's data definition aspect, without consideration of implementation specific or product specific issues.




Figure 15. OV-7/DIV-1/DIV-2 - DMM

9.6 SOV

The Service-Orientated View (SOV) is a description of services needed to directly support the operational domain as described in the OperationalView. A service is described as a unit of work through which a particular Resource provides a useful result to a consuming Resource.

The direction taken by UPDM in modeling services is heavily based on a simplified version of the UPMS profile. Only those elements which are compatible with existing DoDAF/MODAF concepts have been used. A full integration with UPMS will be assessed at a later date.

9.6.1 SOV-1 - DMM

The Service Taxonomy View (SOV-1) specifies a hierarchy of services. The elements in the hierarchy are service specifications (i.e. service interfaces), and the relationships between the elements are specializations – i.e. one Service is a special type of another. Along with SOV-2, it specifies a standard library of Service specifications for an enterprise, which Service implementers are expected to conform to.




Figure 16. SOV-1 - DMM

9.6.2 SOV-2 - DMM

MODAF: The Service Taxonomy View (SOV-1) specifies a hierarchy of services. The elements in the hierarchy are service specifications (rather than service implementations), and the relationships between the elements are specialisations – i.e. one Service is a special type of another.

DoDAF: NA




Figure 17. SOV-2 - DMM

9.6.3 SOV-3 - DMM

MODAF: The Capability to Service Mapping View (SOV-3) depicts which services contribute to the achievement of a capability.

DoDAF: The Operational Activity to Services Function Traceability Matrix (SvcV-5) DoDAF-described View addresses the linkage between service functions described in SvcV-4 and Operational Activities specified in OV-5.




Figure 18. SOV-3 - DMM

9.6.4 SOV-4a - DMM

MODAF: The purpose of the Service Constraints View (SOV-4a) is to specify constraints that apply to implementations of services.

DoDAF: The SvcV-10a DoDAF-described View describes constraints on the resources, functions, data and ports that make up the Service View physical architecture. The constraints are specified in text and may be functional or structural (i.e. non-functional).




Figure 19. SOV-4a - DMM

9.6.5 SOV-4b - DMM

MODAF: The purpose of the Service State Model View (SOV-4b) is to specify the possible states a service may have, and the possible transitions between those states.

DoDAF: The Services State Transition Description DoDAF-described View is a graphical method of describing a resource (or function) response to various events by changing its state. The diagram basically represents the sets of events to which the resources in the Architecture will respond (by taking an action to move to a new state) as a function of its current state. Each transition specifies an event and an action



Figure 20. SOV-4b - DMM

9.6.6 SOV-4c - DMM

The purpose of the Service Interaction Specification View (SOV-4c) is to specify how a service interacts with external agents, and the sequence and dependencies of those interactions. An SOV-4c product does not specify the sequencing of an orchestrated set of services (see OV-6c). Its purpose is to specify the general sequence of interactions that are possible for a

given service.




Figure 21. SOV-4c - DMM

9.6.7 SOV-5 - DMM

MODAF: The Service Functionality View (SOV-5) defines the behaviour of a service in terms of the functions it is expected to perform.

DoDAF: The Services Functionality Description provides detailed information regarding the: Allocation of service functions to resources, and Flow of resources between service functions




Figure SOV-5 - DMM

9.7 StV

The Strategic Elements are used in the Strategic View which provides an overall Enterprise Architecture assessment of the Capabilities and their relationships facilitating Capability Management (e.g. capability introduction, integration, re-alignment and removal). While an Enterprise will have a number of UPDM Architecture Descriptions that have the Operational, System, Technical Standards, and All Views, only one Strategic View will exist across a number of Architecture Descriptions.

9.7.1 CV-7 – DMM

MODAF: NA

DoDAF: CV-7 details the mapping between DoDAF services (ServiceAccess) and the Capability that they realize.




Figure 22. CV-7 - DMM

9.7.2 StV-1 - DMM

MODAF: StV-1 addresses the enterprise concerns associated with the overall vision for transformational endeavours and thus defines the strategic context for a group of Enterprise capabilities.

DoDAF: CV-1: Vision: addresses the enterprise concerns associated with the overall vision for transformational endeavors and thus defines the strategic context for a group of capabilities.




Figure 23. StV-1/CV-1 - DMM

9.7.3 StV-2 - DMM

MODAF: The StV-2 Product models capability taxonomies.

DoDAF: The CV-2 DoDAF-described View models capability taxonomies.




Figure 24. StV-2/CV-2 - DMM

9.7.4 StV-3 - DMM

MODAF: StV-3 addresses the planned achievement of capability at different points in time or during specific periods of time, i.e. capability phasing.

DoDAF: CV-3: Capability Phasing The CV-3 addresses the planned achievement of capability at different points in time or during specific periods of time, i.e. capability phasing.




Figure 25. StV-3/CV-3 - DMM

9.7.5 StV-4 - DMM

MODAF: The StV-4 Product describes the dependencies between planned capabilities. It also defines logical groupings of capabilities (capability clusters).

DoDAF: CV-4: Capability Dependencies: The CV-4 DoDAF-described View describes the dependencies between planned capabilities. It also defines logical groupings of capabilities.




Figure 26. StV-4/CV-4 - DMM

9.7.6 StV-5 - DMM

MODAF: StV-5 addresses the fulfilment of capability requirements, in particular by network enabled capabilities.
 DoDAF: CV-5: Capability to Organizational Development Mapping: The CV-5 addresses the fulfillment of capability requirements.




Figure 27. StV-5/CV-5 - DMM

9.7.7 StV-6 - DMM

MODAF: The StV-6 Product describes the mapping between the capabilities required by an Enterprise and the operational activities that those capabilities support.

DoDAF: CV-6: Capability to Operational Activities Mapping: The CV-6 DoDAF-described View describes the mapping between the capabilities required and the operational activities that those capabilities support.




Figure 28. StV-6/CV-6 - DMM

9.8 SV

Models in the System Viewpoint represent alternate realizations in terms of equipment capability of the operational capabilities expressed through models in the Operational Viewpoint and in the User Requirements. The System Viewpoint primarily addresses the specification of the system capability needed (rather than implementation details). Significant changes originally made in MODAF improved the ability for modelers to represent configuration of capability that include people as well as systems and platforms.

9.8.1 SV-1/SvcV-1 - DMM

MODAF: Resource Interaction Specification (SV-1) address the composition and interaction of resources. From MODAF v1.1, SV-1 incorporates the human elements – Posts, Organisations and Roles.

DoDAF: The Systems Interface Description (SV-1) DoDAF-described View addresses the composition and interaction of Systems. For DoDAF v2.0, the SV-1 incorporates the human elements as types of Performers- Organizations and Personnel Types.




Figure 29. SV-1/SvcV-1 - DMM

9.8.2 SV-10a/SvcV-10a - DMM

MODAF: The purpose of this Product is to specify functional and non-functional constraints on the implementation aspects of the architecture (i.e. the structural and behavioural elements of the SV viewpoint).

DoDAF: The SV-10a Systems Rules Model DoDAF-described View describes constraints on the resources, functions, data and ports that make up the SV physical architecture. The constraints are specified in text and may be functional or structural (i.e. non-functional).




Figure 30. SV-10a/SvcV-10a - DMM

9.8.3 SV-10b/SvcV-10b - DMM

MODAF: The Resource State Transition Description is a graphical method of describing a resource (or function) response to various events by changing its state. The diagram basically represents the sets of events to which the Resources in the Architecture will respond (by taking an action to move to a new state) as a function of its current state. Each transition specifies an event and an action.

DoDAF: The Systems State Transition Description DoDAF-described View is a graphical method of describing a resource (or system function) response to various events by changing its state. The diagram basically represents the sets of events to which the resources in the Architecture will respond (by taking an action to move to a new state) as a function of its current state. Each transition specifies an event and an action.




Figure 31. SV-10b/SvcV-10b - DMM

9.8.4 SV-10c/SvcV-10c - DMM

MODAF: The Resource Event-Trace Description provides a time-ordered examination of the interactions between resources. Each event-trace diagram will have an accompanying description that defines the particular scenario or situation.
 DoDAF: The Systems Event-Trace Description provides a time-ordered examination of the interactions between functional resources. Each event-trace diagram will have an accompanying description that defines the particular scenario or situation.




Figure 32. SV-10c/SvcV-10c - DMM

9.8.5 SV-11/DIV-3 - DMM

MODAF: The SV-11 View defines the structure of the various kinds of system data that are utilised by the systems in the Architecture.

DoDAF: The DIV-3 Physical Data Model DoDAF-described view defines the structure of the various kinds of system or service data that are utilized by the systems or services in the Architecture.




Figure 33. SV-11 - DMM

9.8.6 SV-12 - DMM

MODAF: The Service Provision View (SV-12) specifies configurations of resources that can deliver a service, and the levels of service those resources can deliver in different environments.

DoDAF: NA




Figure 34. SV-12 - DMM

9.8.7 SV-2/SvcV-2 - DMM

MODAF: The Systems Communications Description (SV-2a/2b/2c) series of views is intended for the representation of communications networks and pathways that link communications systems, and provides details regarding their configuration. DoDAF: A Systems Resource Flow Description (SV-2) DoDAF-described View specifies the resource flows between Systems and may also list the protocol stacks used in connections.




Figure 35. SV-2/SvcV-2 - DMM

9.8.8 SV-3/SvcV-3a/SvcV-3b - DMM

MODAF: The Resource Interaction Matrix provides a tabular summary of the resource interactions specified in the SV-1 for the Architecture.

DoDAF: The Systems – Systems Matrix (SV-3) DoDAF-described View provides a tabular summary of the system interactions specified in the SV-1 for the Architecture.




Figure 36. SV-3/SvcV-3a/SvcV-3b - DMM

9.8.9 SV-4/SvcV-4 - DMM

MODAF: Functionality Descriptions (SV-4) address human and system functionality.

DoDAF: The Systems Functionality Description (SV-4) DoDAF-described View addresses human and system functionality.




Figure 37. SV-4/SvcV-4 - DMM

9.8.10 SV-5/SvcV-5 - DMM

MODAF: SV-5 shows the Functions that are implement the behavior of the OperationalActivities
 DoDAF: SV-5/ScvV Shows the SystemFunctions and Service that implement the behavior of the OperationalActivities.




Figure 38. SV-5/SvcV-5 - DMM

9.8.11 SV-6 /SvcV-6 - DMM

MODAF: The Systems Data Exchange Matrix specifies the characteristics of the system data exchanged between systems. The focus is on data crossing the system boundary.
 DoDAF: The Systems Resource Flow Exchange Matrix DoDAF-described View specifies the characteristics of the system resource flows exchanged between systems. The focus is on resource crossing the system boundary.




Figure 39. SV-6/SvcV-6 - DMM

9.8.12 SV-7/SvcV-7 - DMM

MODAF: The SV-7 is the Resource Performance Parameters Matrix and depicts the performance characteristics of a Resource (e.g. system, role or capability configuration).

DoDAF: The SV-7 DoDAF-described View is the Systems Measures Matrix and depicts the measures (metrics) of resources.




Figure 40. SV-7/SvcV-7 - DMM

A.2.1 SV-8/SvcV-8 - DMM

MODAF: The SV-8 provides an overview of how a capability configuration structure changes over time. It shows the structure of several capability configurations mapped against a timeline.

DoDAF: The Systems Evolution Description DoDAF-described View presents a whole lifecycle view of resources (systems), describing how it changes over time. It shows the structure of several resources mapped against a timeline.




Figure 41. SV-8/SvcV-8 - DMM

9.8.13 SV-9/SvcV-9 - DMM

MODAF: The Technology & Skills Forecast defines the underlying current and expected supporting technologies and skills. Expected supporting technologies and skills are those that can be reasonably forecast given the current state of technology and skills, and expected improvements / trends. New technologies and skills will be tied to specific time periods, which can correlate against the time periods used in SV-8 milestones and linked to Enterprise Phases.

DoDAF: The Technology & Skills Forecast defines the underlying current and expected supporting technologies and skills. Expected supporting technologies and skills are those that can be reasonably forecast given the current state of technology and skills, and expected improvements / trends. New technologies and skills will be tied to specific time periods, which can correlate against the time periods used in SV-8 milestones and linked to Enterprise Phases.




Figure 42. SV-9/SvcV-9 - DMM

9.9 TV - DMM

The Technical View is a set of products delineating standards, rules, notations, and conventions that apply to the implementation of the system architecture. When the standards profile is tied to the system elements to which they apply, TV-1 serves as the bridge between the SV and TV. SV-9 forecasts relate to the TV-1 in that a timed technology forecast may contribute to the decision to retire or phase out the use of a certain standard in connection with a system element. Similarly, SV-9 forecasts relate to TV-2 standards forecasts in that a certain standard may be adopted depending on a certain technology becoming available (e.g., the availability of Java Script may influence the decision to adopt a new HTML standard).

MODAF extends the core DoDAF Technical Standards Views to include non-technical standards and policies applicable to the architecture such as operational doctrine, industry process standards, etc. Additionally, the TV-1 may also document policies and standards applicable to the operational or business context. MODAF also distinguishes between applicability and conformance with regard to architectural elements. If a standard is applicable to a given architecture, that architecture need not be fully conformant with the standard. The degree of conformance to a given standard may be judged on a risk basis at an approval point. An association between a Standard and an architectural element is not to be interpreted as stating the level of compliance of the element is fully compliant with that Standard. Additional evidences

would need to be given (outside MODAF) to confirm the level of compliance. Finally, MODAF adds the explicit requirement that any Standards cited in TV-1 View must, where appropriate, be in accordance with the trend towards open architectures – i.e. standards which encourage stove-piped systems are expressly prohibited.

9.9.1 TV-1&2&3 - DMM

MODAF: Standards Profile (TV-1) defines the technical and non-technical standards, guidance and policy applicable to the architecture.

The Standards Forecast (TV-2) contains expected changes in technology-related standards and conventions, which are documented in the TV-1 Product.

DoDAF: The Standards Profile StdV-1 DoDAF-described View defines the technical, operational, and business standards, guidance and policy applicable to the architecture.

The StdV-2 Standards Forecast DoDAF-described View contains expected changes in technology related standards, operational standards, or business standards and conventions, which are documented in the StdV-1 view.




Figure 43. TV-1&2&3 – DMM

9.10 SOPES

This section shows the UPDM elements and relationships that are used to represent the SOPES metamodel in UPDM.

9.10.1 SOPES - DMM

The SOPES diagram shows the UPDM elements and the relationships that map to the concepts of the SOPES Metamodel.




Figure 44. SOPES - DMM

9.11 SwAF

This section shows the UPDM elements and relationships that are used to represent the Design Rules metamodel from NISP as submitted by Swedish Armed Forces (SWAF).

9.11.1 Design Rule - DMM

The Design Rule diagram shows the UPDM elements and the relationships that map to the concepts of the Design Rules metamodel from NISP as submitted by Swedish Armed Forces (SWAF).




Figure 45. Design Rule - DMM

9.12DM2

The DM2 section gathers together UPDM Domain Meta Model elements and relationships into the same groupings of as detailed in the DoDAF 2.0.2 metamodel.

9.12.1 Activity - DM2

The Activity diagram shows the UPDM elements and the relationships that map to the concepts of Activity from the DoDAF 2.0.2 Metamodel.




Figure 46. Activity - DM2

9.12.2 Capability - DM2

The Capability diagram shows the UPDM elements and the relationships that map to the concepts of Capability from the DoDAF 2.0.2 Metamodel.




Figure 47. Capability - DM2

9.12.3 Goals - DM2

The Goals diagram shows the UPDM elements and the relationships that map to the concepts of Goals from the DoDAF 2.0.2 Metamodel.




Figure 48. Goals - DM2

9.12.4 Information and Data - DM2

The Information and Data diagram shows the UPDM elements and the relationships that map to the concepts of Information and Data from the DoDAF 2.0.2 Metamodel.




Figure 49. Information and Data - DM2

9.12.5 Information Pedigree - DM2

The Information Pedigree diagram shows the UPDM elements and the relationships that map to the concepts of Information Pedigree from the DoDAF 2.0.2 Metamodel.




Figure 50. Information Pedigree - DM2

9.12.6 Location - DM2

The Location diagram shows the UPDM elements and the relationships that map to the concepts of Location from the DoDAF 2.0.2 Metamodel.




Figure 51. Location - DM2

9.12.7 Measure - DM2

The Measure diagram shows the UPDM elements and the relationships that map to the concepts of Measure from the DoDAF 2.0.2 Metamodel.




Figure 52. Measure - DM2

9.12.8 Organizational Structure - DM2




Figure 53. Organizational Structure - DM2

9.12.9 Performer - DM2

The Performer diagram shows the UPDM elements and the relationships that map to the concepts of Performer from the DoDAF 2.0.2 Metamodel.




Figure 54. Performer - DM2

9.12.10 Project - DM2

The Project diagram shows the UPDM elements and the relationships that map to the concepts of Project from the DoDAF 2.0.2 Metamodel.




Figure 55. Project - DM2

9.12.11 Resource Flow - DM2

The Resource Flow diagram shows the UPDM elements and the relationships that map to the concepts of Resource Flow from the DoDAF 2.0.2 Metamodel.




Figure 56. Resource Flow - DM2

9.12.12 Rules - DM2

The Rules diagram shows the UPDM elements and the relationships that map to the concepts of Rules from the DoDAF 2.0.2 Metamodel.




Figure 57. Rules - DM2

9.12.13 Services - DM2

The Services diagram shows the UPDM elements and the relationships that map to the concepts of Services from the DoDAF 2.0.2 Metamodel.




Figure 58. Services - DM2

10 Annex B - UPDM Views (Profile)

This section is intended as non-normative guidance for developers and users as to what UPDM elements and relationships are applicable for each of the UPDM Views.

10.1 Products

MODAF: A connected and coherent set of Architectural Elements which conform to a View

DoDAF Alias: View: DoDAF divides the problem space into manageable pieces, according to the stakeholder's Viewpoint, further defined in the framework as "Views."

10.1.1 AcV/PV

MODAF: The Acquisition Views (AcVs) describe programmatic details, including dependencies between projects and capability integration across the all the DLODs. These Views guide the acquisition and fielding processes.

DoDAF: Project Views (PV) within the Project Viewpoint describe projects, how those projects deliver capabilities, the organizations contributing to the projects and dependencies between projects.

10.1.1.1 AcV-1/PV-1

MODAF: AcV-1 view products represent an organizational perspective on projects

DoDAF: AcV-1 view [DoDAF::Project Portfolio Relationships (PV-1) DoDAF-described View] represents an organizational perspective on programs, projects, or a portfolio of projects.




Figure 59. AcV-1/PV-1

10.1.1.2 AcV-2/PV-2

MODAF: AcV-2 view products provide a timeline perspective on projects.

DoDAF: AcV-2 (DoDAF::PV-2: Project Timelines DoDAF-described View) provides a timeline perspective on programs or projects.




Figure 60. AcV-2/PV-2

10.1.1.3 PV-3

MODAF: NA

DoDAF: PV-3 diagram indicates the Capabilities that are realized by a particular project.




Figure 61. PV-3

10.1.2 AV

MODAF: All View products provide information pertinent to the entire Architecture. They present supporting information rather than architectural models.

DoDAF: There are some overarching aspects of an architecture that relate to the entire architecture being developed. These overarching aspects are captured in the All Viewpoint (AV) DoDAF-described views.

10.1.2.1 AV-1

MODAF: The overview and summary information contained within the AV-1 product provides executive-level summary information in a consistent form that allows quick reference and comparison between architectural descriptions. --AV-1 includes assumptions, constraints, and limitations that may affect high-level decisions relating to an architecture-based work programme.

DoDAF: The overview and summary information contained within the AV-1 DoDAF-described View provides executive-level summary information in a consistent form that allows quick reference and comparison between architectural descriptions.-- The AV-1 includes assumptions, constraints, and limitations that may affect high-level decisions relating to an architecture-based work program.




Figure 62. AV-1

10.1.2.2 AV-2

MODAF: AV-2 presents all the Elements used in an architecture as a stand alone structure. An AV-2 presents all the Elements as a specialisation hierarchy, provides a text definition for each one and references the source of the element (e.g. MODAF Ontology, IDEAS Model, local, etc.).--An AV-2 shows elements from the MODAF Ontology that have been used in the architecture and new elements (i.e. not in the MODAF Ontology) that have been introduced by the architecture.

DoDAF: The AV-2 presents all the metadata used in an architecture as a standalone structure. An AV-2 presents all the metadata as a specialization hierarchy, provides a text definition for each one and references the source of the element (e.g.

DoDAF Meta-model, IDEAS, a published document or policy).-- An AV-2 shows elements from the DoDAF Meta-model that have been used in the architecture and new elements (i.e. not in the DoDAF Meta-model) that have been introduced by the architecture.




Figure 63. AV-2

10.1.2.3 Environment Elements

The Environments diagram shows the elements and relationships that are involved in defining the environments applicable to capability, operational concept or set of systems.




Figure 64. Environment Elements

10.1.2.4 Measurements

Shows the measurable properties of something in the physical world, expressed in amounts of a unit of measure that can be associated with a UPDMElement.




Figure 65. Measurements

10.1.3 OV

MODAF: Operational Views describe the tasks and activities, operational elements, and information exchanges required to conduct operations. In MODAF thinking, the OV Views are considered to illustrate the Logical Architecture of the enterprise. DoDAF: Operational Views within the Operational Viewpoint describe the tasks and activities, operational elements, and resource flow exchanges required to conduct operations. A pure operational view is materiel independent.

10.1.3.1 OV-1

MODAF: OV-1 addresses the high level operational concepts related to one or more missions. An OV-1 describes a mission, class of mission, or scenario; and highlights the main operational elements and interesting or unique aspects of operations.

The OV-1 has two purposes. First, it provides a means of organising the operational architecture models into distinct groups based on scenario context. Second, it communicates the essence of the scenario context in an essentially graphical form.

DoDAF: The OV-1 DoDAF-described View describes a mission, class of mission, or scenario. It shows the main operational concepts and interesting or unique aspects of operations. It describes the interactions between the subject architecture and its

environment, and between the architecture and external systems. A textual description accompanying the graphic is crucial. Graphics alone are not sufficient for capturing the necessary architecture data.




Figure 66. OV-1

10.1.3.2 OV-2

MODAF: The Operational Node Relationships Description (OV-2) addresses localisation of operational capability.
 DoDAF: The Operational Resource Description (OV-2) DoDAF-described View applies the context of the operational capability to a community of anticipated users.




Figure 67. OV-2

10.1.3.3 OV-3

MODAF: The Operational Information Exchange Matrix (OV-3) addresses operational information exchanges between nodes. DoDAF: The Operational Resource Flow Matrix (OV-3) DoDAF-described addresses operational resource flows exchanged between Operational Activities and locations.




Figure 68. OV-3

10.1.3.4 OV-4 Actual

This is the OV-4 Actual View. The Organizational Relationships Chart illustrates the command structure or relationships (as opposed to relationships with respect to a business process flow) among human roles, organizations, or organization types that are the key players in architecture. MoDAF divides The OV-4 two views, an OV-4 Typical and an OV-4 Actual. The former is exactly as the DoDAF OV-4, while the latter is a special form of the SV-1; where the resources are restricted to being

organizational




Figure 69. OV-4 Actual

10.1.3.5 OV-4 Typical

MODAF: The OV-4 shows organisational structures and interactions. The organisations shown may be civil or military. A typical OV-4 shows the possible relationships between organisational resources (organisations and posts).

DoDAF: DoDAF: The OV-4 DoDAF-described View shows organizational structures and interactions. The organizations shown may be civil or military. A typical OV-4 shows the possible relationships between organizational resources.




Figure 70. OV-4 Typical

10.1.3.6 OV-5

MODAF: The Operational Activity Model (OV-5) describes the operations that are normally conducted in the course of achieving a mission or a business goal. It describes operational activities (or tasks), Input/Output flows between activities and to/from activities that are outside the scope of the Architecture.

DoDAF: The Operational Activity Model DoDAF-described View describes the operations that are normally conducted in the course of achieving a mission or a business goal. It describes operational activities (or tasks); Input/Output flows between activities, and to/from activities that are outside the scope of the Architecture.




Figure 72. OV-6a

10.1.3.8 OV-6b

MODAF: OV-6b: The Operational State Transition Description is a graphical method of describing how an Operational Node or activity responds to various events by changing its state. The diagram represents the sets of events to which the Architecture will respond (by taking an action to move to a new state) as a function of its current state. Each transition specifies an event and an action.

DoDAF: The Operational State Transition Description (OV-6b) DoDAF-described View is a graphical method of describing how an Operational Activity responds to various events by changing its state. The diagram represents the sets of events to which the Architecture will respond (by taking an action to move to a new state) as a function of its current state. Each transition specifies an event and an action.




Figure 73. OV-6b

10.1.3.9 OV-6c

MODAF: OV-6c: The Operational Event-Trace Description provides a time-ordered examination of the information exchanges between participating Operational Nodes as a result of a particular scenario. Each event-trace diagram will have an accompanying description that defines the particular scenario or situation.

DoDAF: The Operational Event-Trace Description (OV-6c) DoDAF-described View provides a time ordered examination of the resource flows as a result of a particular scenario. Each event-trace diagram will have an accompanying description that defines the particular scenario or situation.




Figure 74. OV-6c

10.1.3.10 OV-7/DIV-1/DIV-2

MODAF: Information Models (OV-7) address the information perspective on an operational architecture.

DoDAF: The Conceptual Data Model (DIV-1), a new DoDAF-described View in DoDAF V2.0, addresses the information concepts at a high-level on an operational architecture.

The Logical Data Model (DIV-2) DoDAF-described View allows analysis of an architecture’s data definition aspect, without consideration of implementation specific or product specific issues.




Figure 75. OV-7/DIV-1/DIV-2

10.1.4 SOV

MODAF: The Service-Orientated View (SOV) is a description of services needed to directly support the operational domain as described in the Operational View. A service within MODAF is understood in its broadest sense, as a unit of work through which a provider provides a useful result to a consumer.

DoDAF: The Service Views within the Services Viewpoint describe the design for service-based solutions to support operational development processes (JCIDS) and Defense Acquisition System or capability development within the Joint Capability Areas.

The relationship between architecture data elements across the Service Viewpoint to the Operational Viewpoint and Capability Viewpoint can be exemplified as services are procured and fielded to support organizations and their operations or a capability.

10.1.4.1 SOV-1

MODAF: The Service Taxonomy View (SOV-1) specifies a hierarchy of services. The elements in the hierarchy are service specifications (rather than service implementations), and the relationships between the elements are specialisations – i.e. one Service is a special type of another.

DoDAF: NA




Figure 76. SOV-1

10.1.4.2 SOV-2

MODAF: The Service Taxonomy View (SOV-1) specifies a hierarchy of services. The elements in the hierarchy are service specifications (rather than service implementations), and the relationships between the elements are specialisations – i.e. one Service is a special type of another.

DoDAF: NA




Figure 77. SOV-2

10.1.4.3 SOV-3

MODAF: The Capability to Service Mapping View (SOV-3) depicts which services contribute to the achievement of a capability.

DoDAF: CV-7 A mapping between the capabilities and the services that these capabilities enable.




Figure 78. SOV-3

10.1.4.4 SOV-4a

MODAF: The purpose of the Service Constraints View (SOV-4a) is to specify constraints that apply to implementations of services.

DoDAF: The SvcV-10a DoDAF-described View describes constraints on the resources, functions, data and ports that make up the Service View physical architecture. The constraints are specified in text and may be functional or structural (i.e. non-functional).




Figure 79. SOV-4a

10.1.4.5 SOV-4b

MODAF: The purpose of the Service State Model View (SOV-4b) is to specify the possible states a service may have, and the possible transitions between those states.

DoDAF: The Services State Transition Description DoDAF-described View is a graphical method of describing a resource (or function) response to various events by changing its state. The diagram basically represents the sets of events to which the resources in the Architecture will respond (by taking an action to move to a new state) as a function of its current state. Each transition specifies an event and an action




Figure 80. SOV-4b

10.1.4.6 SOV-4c

MODAF: The purpose of the Service Interaction Specification View (SOV-4c) is to specify how a service interacts with external agents, and the sequence and dependencies of those interactions.

DoDAF: The Services Event-Trace Description DoDAF-described View provides a time-ordered examination of the interactions between services functional resources. Each event-trace diagram will have an accompanying description that defines the particular scenario or situation.




Figure 81. SOV-4c

10.1.4.7 SOV-5

MODAF: The Service Functionality View (SOV-5) defines the behaviour of a service in terms of the functions it is expected to perform.

DoDAF: The Services Functionality Description provides detailed information regarding the: Allocation of service functions to resources, and Flow of resources between service functions




Figure 82. SOV-5

10.1.5 StV/CV

MODAF: The Strategic Views (StVs) have been introduced to support the capability management process.

DoDAF: The Capability Views within the Capability Viewpoint are introduced into DoDAF V2.0 to address the concerns of Capability Portfolio Managers. In particular, Capability Views describe capability taxonomy and capability evolution.

10.1.5.1 CV-7

MODAF: NA

DoDAF: CV-7 details the mapping between DoDAF services (ServiceAccess) and the Capability that they realize.




Figure 83. CV-7

10.1.5.2 StV-1/CV-1

MODAF: StV-1 addresses the enterprise concerns associated with the overall vision for transformational endeavours and thus defines the strategic context for a group of Enterprise capabilities.

DoDAF: CV-1: Vision: addresses the enterprise concerns associated with the overall vision for transformational endeavors and thus defines the strategic context for a group of capabilities.




Figure 84. StV-1/CV-1

10.1.5.3 StV-2/CV-2

MODAF: The StV-2 Product models capability taxonomies.

DoDAF: The CV-2 DoDAF-described View models capability taxonomies.




Figure 85. StV-2/CV-2

10.1.5.4 StV-3/CV-3

MODAF: StV-3 addresses the planned achievement of capability at different points in time or during specific periods of time, i.e. capability phasing.

DoDAF: CV-3: Capability Phasing The CV-3 addresses the planned achievement of capability at different points in time or during specific periods of time, i.e. capability phasing.




Figure 86. StV-3/CV-3

10.1.5.5 StV-4/CV-4

MODAF: The StV-4 Product describes the dependencies between planned capabilities. It also defines logical groupings of capabilities (capability clusters).

DoDAF: CV-4: Capability Dependencies: The CV-4 DoDAF-described View describes the dependencies between planned capabilities. It also defines logical groupings of capabilities.




Figure 87. StV-4/CV-4

10.1.5.6 StV-5/CV-5

MODAF: StV-5 addresses the fulfilment of capability requirements, in particular by network enabled capabilities.
 DoDAF: CV-5: Capability to Organizational Development Mapping: The CV-5 addresses the fulfillment of capability requirements.




Figure 88. StV-5/CV-5

10.1.5.7 StV-6/CV-6

MODAF: The StV-6 Product describes the mapping between the capabilities required by an Enterprise and the operational activities that those capabilities support.
 DoDAF: CV-6: Capability to Operational Activities Mapping: The CV-6 DoDAF-described View describes the mapping between the capabilities required and the operational activities that those capabilities support.




Figure 89. StV-6/CV-6

10.1.6 SV/SvcV

MODAF: A better name for these views in MODAF would be Solution or Specification View. In essence they should specify a requirement for a system or present the solution, without delving into the design elements of the system.

DoDAF: The Systems Views within the Systems Viewpoint describe systems and interconnections providing for, or supporting, DoD functions.

10.1.6.1 SV-1/SvcV-1

MODAF: Resource Interaction Specification (SV-1) address the composition and interaction of resources. From MODAF v1.1, SV-1 incorporates the human elements – Posts, Organisations and Roles.

DoDAF: The Systems Interface Description (SV-1) DoDAF-described View addresses the composition and interaction of Systems. For DoDAF v2.0, the SV-1 incorporates the human elements as types of Performers- Organizations and Personnel Types.




Figure 90. SV-1/SvcV-1

10.1.6.2 SV-10a/SvcV-10a

MODAF: The purpose of this Product is to specify functional and non-functional constraints on the implementation aspects of the architecture (i.e. the structural and behavioural elements of the SV viewpoint).

DoDAF: The SV-10a Systems Rules Model DoDAF-described View describes constraints on the resources, functions, data and ports that make up the SV physical architecture. The constraints are specified in text and may be functional or structural (i.e. non-functional).



Figure 91. SV-10a/SvcV-10a

10.1.6.3 SV-10b/SvcV-10b

MODAF: The Resource State Transition Description is a graphical method of describing a resource (or function) response to various events by changing its state. The diagram basically represents the sets of events to which the Resources in the Architecture will respond (by taking an action to move to a new state) as a function of its current state. Each transition specifies an event and an action.

DoDAF: The Systems State Transition Description DoDAF-described View is a graphical method of describing a resource (or system function) response to various events by changing its state. The diagram basically represents the sets of events to which the resources in the Architecture will respond (by taking an action to move to a new state) as a function of its current state. Each transition specifies an event and an action.




Figure 92. SV-10b/SvcV-10b

10.1.6.4 SV-10c/SvcV-10c

MODAF: The Resource Event-Trace Description provides a time-ordered examination of the interactions between resources. Each event-trace diagram will have an accompanying description that defines the particular scenario or situation.

DoDAF: The Systems Event-Trace Description provides a time-ordered examination of the interactions between functional resources. Each event-trace diagram will have an accompanying description that defines the particular scenario or situation.




Figure 93. SV-10c/SvcV-10c

10.1.6.5 SV-11/DIV-3

MODAF: The SV-11 View defines the structure of the various kinds of system data that are utilised by the systems in the Architecture.

DoDAF: The DIV-3 Physical Data Model DoDAF-described view defines the structure of the various kinds of system or service data that are utilized by the systems or services in the Architecture.




Figure 94. SV-11/DIV-3

10.1.6.6 SV-12

MODAF: The Service Provision View (SV-12) specifies configurations of resources that can deliver a service, and the levels of service those resources can deliver in different environments.
 DoDAF: NA




Figure 95. SV-12

10.1.6.7 SV-2/SvcV-2

MODAF: The Systems Communications Description (SV-2a/2b/2c) series of views is intended for the representation of communications networks and pathways that link communications systems, and provides details regarding their configuration.
 DoDAF: A Systems Resource Flow Description (SV-2) DoDAF-described View specifies the resource flows between Systems and may also list the protocol stacks used in connections.




Figure 96. SV-2/SvcV-2

10.1.6.8 SV-3/SvcV-3a/SvcV-3b

MODAF: The Resource Interaction Matrix provides a tabular summary of the resource interactions specified in the SV-1 for the Architecture.

DoDAF: The Systems – Systems Matrix (SV-3) DoDAF-described View provides a tabular summary of the system interactions specified in the SV-1 for the Architecture.




Figure 97. SV-3/SvcV-3a/SvcV-3b

10.1.6.9 SV-4/SvcV-4

MODAF: Functionality Descriptions (SV-4) address human and system functionality.

DoDAF: The Systems Functionality Description (SV-4) DoDAF-described View addresses human and system functionality.




Figure 98. SV-4/SvcV-4

10.1.6.10 SV-5/SvcV-5

MODAF: This view has been expanded for the Service Orientated community by allowing for Service Functions as well as Operational Activities.

DoDAF: The Operational Activity to Systems Function Traceability Matrix (SV-5a) DoDAF-described View depicts the mapping of system functions (and, optionally, the capabilities and performers that provide them) to operational activities and thus identifies the transformation of an operational need into a purposeful action performed by a system or solution.

The Operational Activity to Systems Traceability Matrix (SV-5b) DoDAF-described View depicts the mapping of systems (and, optionally, the capabilities and performers that provide them) to operational activities and thus identifies the transformation of an operational need into a purposeful action performed by a system or solution.




Figure 99. SV-5/SvcV-5

10.1.6.11 SV-6/SvcV-6

MODAF: The Systems Data Exchange Matrix specifies the characteristics of the system data exchanged between systems. The focus is on data crossing the system boundary.

DoDAF: The Systems Resource Flow Exchange Matrix DoDAF-described View specifies the characteristics of the system resource flows exchanged between systems. The focus is on resource crossing the system boundary.




Figure 100. SV-6/SvcV-6

10.1.6.12 SV-7/SvcV-7

MODAF: The SV-7 is the Resource Performance Parameters Matrix and depicts the performance characteristics of a Resource (e.g. system, role or capability configuration).

DoDAF: The SV-7 DoDAF-described View is the Systems Measures Matrix and depicts the measures (metrics) of resources




Figure 101. SV-7/SvcV-7

10.1.6.13 SV-8/SvcV-8

MODAF: The SV-8 provides an overview of how a capability configuration structure changes over time. It shows the structure of several capability configurations mapped against a timeline.

DoDAF: The Systems Evolution Description DoDAF-described View presents a whole lifecycle view of resources (systems), describing how it changes over time. It shows the structure of several resources mapped against a timeline.




Figure 102. SV-8/SvcV-8

10.1.6.14 SV-9/SvcV-9

MODAF: The Technology & Skills Forecast defines the underlying current and expected supporting technologies and skills. Expected supporting technologies and skills are those that can be reasonably forecast given the current state of technology and skills, and expected improvements / trends. New technologies and skills will be tied to specific time periods, which can correlate against the time periods used in SV-8 milestones and linked to Enterprise Phases.

DoDAF: The Technology & Skills Forecast defines the underlying current and expected supporting technologies and skills. Expected supporting technologies and skills are those that can be reasonably forecast given the current state of technology and skills, and expected improvements / trends. New technologies and skills will be tied to specific time periods, which can correlate against the time periods used in SV-8 milestones and linked to Enterprise Phases.




Figure 103. SV-9/SvcV-9

10.1.7 TV/StdV

MODAF: Technical Standards Views are extended from the core DoDAF views to include non-technical standards such as operational doctrine, industry process standards, etc.

DoDAF: The Standards Views within the Standards Viewpoint are the set of rules governing the arrangement, interaction, and interdependence of solution parts or elements.

10.1.7.1 TV-1&2&3/StdV-1&2

MODAF: Standards Profile (TV-1) defines the technical and non-technical standards, guidance and policy applicable to the architecture.

The Standards Forecast (TV-2) contains expected changes in technology-related standards and conventions, which are documented in the TV-1 Product.

DoDAF: The Standards Profile StdV-1 DoDAF-described View defines the technical, operational, and business standards, guidance and policy applicable to the architecture.

The StdV-2 Standards Forecast DoDAF-described View contains expected changes in technology related standards, operational standards, or business standards and conventions, which are documented in the StdV-1 view.




Figure 104. TV-1&2&3/StdV-1&2

11 Annex C

(non-normative)

11.1 UPDM Elements Traceability

This Annex shows the traceability among UPDM stereotypes and DODAF/MODAF/NAF elements. There are different tables for the different mapping.

11.2

This mapping does not show all the elements in UPDM 2.0 or DoDAF 2.0.2. the elements not shown relate to :-

- Abstract elements in UPDM .
- Elements that map to PowerTypes or PowerTypeTypes in DoDAF 2.0 as these are collections of sets that are derived from Types.
- Elements from the IDEAS foundation model that should not appear as part of DoDAF 2.0 architecture.

Table B.1 DoDAF-DM2, UPDM, and MODAF mapping

DoDAF-DM2 Term	UPDM Profile element	MODAF
Activity	Activity	Activity Composition
activityPartOfCapability	ActivityPartOfCapability	N/A
activityPartOfProjectType	ActivityPartOfProject	N/A
activityPerformableUnderCondition	activityPerformableUnderCondition	OperationalConstraint/ ResourceConstraint
instance of a Measure	ActualMeasurement	MeasurableProperty
Organization	ActualOrganization	ActualOrganisation
Organization	ActualOrganization	ActualOrganisation
N/A	ActualOrganizationRelationship	ActualOrganisationRelationship
N/A	ActualOrganizationRole	ActualOrganizationComposition
N/A	actualOrganizationRole for actualOrganization and actualPost.: broken down into three rows	ActualOrganisation/ ActualOrganizationComposition / ActualPost
N/A	ActualPerson	N/A
N/A	ActualPost	ActualPost
IndividualPersonRole	ActualPost/ IndividualPersonRole	ActualPost

Project	ActualProject	Project
N/A	ActualProjectMilestone	ProjectMilestone
N/A	ActualProjectMilestoneRole	ProjectWholePart
N/A	ActualProperty	N/A
N/A	ActualPropertySet	N/A
Representation	Alias	Alias
informationPedigree	Annotated UPDM element	N/A
N/A	ArbitraryConnector	ArbitraryConnection
ArchitecturalDescription	ArchitecturalDescription	ArchitecturalDescription
N/A	ArchitecturalReference	ArchitecturalReference
Information	ArchitectureMetadata	ArchitectureMetadata
N/A	AsynchronousMessage	AsynchronousMessage
Capability	Capability	Capability
System	CapabilityConfiguration (MODAF) System (DoDAF)	CapabilityConfiguration
N/A	Climate	Climate
N/A	Command	Command
Skill	Competence	Competence
N/A	CompetenceProvider	N/A
SkillOfPersonRoleType	CompetenceRequirer	CompetenceForRole
wholePart	composition relationship	WholePart
Instance of a Performer in an operational context	ConceptRole	ItemInConcept

IndividualPerformer	ConceptRole/NodeRole/ResourceRole	Node/ExternalIndividual/ItemInConcept/ResourceUsage
Condition	Condition	Condition
N/A	ConditionProperty	EnvironmentalProperty
measureTypeApplicableToActivity	Constraint	N/A
N/A	Control	Control
activityProducesResource	Conveyed tag on System and FunctionEdges, implicit through direction	carried tag on OperationalActivityFlows
activityConsumesResource	Conveyed tag on System and FunctionEdges, implicit through direction	carried tag on OperationalActivityFlows
locationNamedByAddress	customkind on or GeopoliticalExtent LocationKind	N/A
N/A	DeployedMilestone	DeployedMilestone
representedBy	DescribedBy	Definition
desiredEffect	desiredEffect	N/A
desiredEffectDirectsActivity	desiredEffect	N/A
desiredEffectIsRealizedByProjectType	desiredEffect	N/A
desiredEffectOfCapability	desiredEffect	N/A
visionIsRealizedByDesiredEffect	DesiredEffect	N/A
N/A	Details	definedBy
endBoundary	endBoundary tag	N/A

N/A	EnduringTask	EnduringTask
Materiel	Energy	Energy
N/A	EnterpriseGoal	EnterpriseGoal
N/A	EnterprisePhase	EnterpriseStructure
N/A	EnterprisePhase	EnterpriseStructure
N/A	EnterpriseVision	EnterpriseVision
N/A	EntityAttribute	Attribute
N/A	EntityItem	Entity
associationOfInformation	EntityRelationship	EntityRelationship
N/A	EntityRelationship	EntityRelationship
AssociationOfInformation	EntityRelationship/Association OfInformation	EntityRelationship
Data	enumeration of information kind	DataElement
DomainInformation	Enumeration of InformationKind	N/A
PedigreeInformation	Enumeration of InformationKind	N/A
partiesToAnAgreement	Enumeration of Rulekind	N/A
Agreement	Enumeration of Rulekind applied to constraint	OperationalCosntraint, ResourceConstraint,ServicePolicy
Constraint	Enumeration of Rulekind applied to constraint	OperationalCosntraint, ResourceConstraint,ServicePolicy
Guidance	Enumeration of Rulekind applied to constraint	OperationalCosntraint, ResourceConstraint,ServicePolicy

N/A	Environment	Environment
N/A	EnvironmentProperty	EnvironmentalProperty
Resource	ExchangeElement	ResourceType
capabilityOfPerformer	Exhbits/CapabilityOfPerformer	CapabilityForNode
N/A	ExternalTuple	N/A
N/A	ExternalTupleType	N/A
N/A	ExternalType	ExternalType
N/A	FieldedCapability	FieldedCapability
N/A	FillsPost	N/A
N/A	Forecast	Forecast
Activity	Function	Function
N/A	FunctionAction	N/A
FunctionalStandard	FunctionalStandard	N/A
activityProducesResource / activityConsumesResource	FunctionEdge	FunctionEdge
GeoPoliticalExtent	GeoPoliticalExtent	NA
RealProperty	GeoPoliticalExtent with its GeoPoliticalExtentKind.	N/A
RealPropertyType	GeoPoliticalExtent with its GeoPoliticalExtentKind.	N/A
RegionOfCountry	GeoPoliticalExtent with its GeoPoliticalExtentKind.	N/A
regionOfCountryPartOfCo untry	GeoPoliticalExtent with its GeoPoliticalExtentKind.	N/A

RegionOfCountryType	GeoPoliticalExtent with its GeoPoliticalExtentKind.	N/A
RegionOfWorld	GeoPoliticalExtent with its GeoPoliticalExtentKind.	N/A
RegionOfWorldType	GeoPoliticalExtent with its GeoPoliticalExtentKind.	N/A
Site	GeoPoliticalExtent with its GeoPoliticalExtentKind.	N/A
sitePartOfInstallation	GeoPoliticalExtent with its GeoPoliticalExtentKind.	N/A
SiteType	GeoPoliticalExtent with its GeoPoliticalExtentKind.	N/A
GeoPoliticalExtentType	GeoPoliticalExtentType	N/A
N/A	HighLevelOperationalConcept	HighLevelOperationalConcept
personRoleTypePartOfPerformer	HumanResource or Organisation type as part of a Performer	N/A
N/A	Implements	ActivityToFunctionMapping
namedBy	implicit in Tools	N/A
portPartOfPerformer	Implicit in UML.	N/A
typeInstance	Implicit Type usage relationship in Tools	N/A
WholePart of Capability	IncrementMilestone	CapabilityIncrement
Information	Information	N/A
InformationType	InformationType	N/A
GeoStationaryPoint	Location with specific use of locationKind.	Location

Line	Location with specific use of locationTypeKind.	N/A
linePartOfPlanarSurface	Location with specific use of locationTypeKind.	N/A
Location (and all subtypes)	Location, ActualLocation and LocationTypeKind	ActualLocation
resourceInLocationType	LocationHolder (abstract)	N/A
LocationType	LocationType	Location
axesDescribedBy	LocationType with specific use of locationTypeKind.	Location
CircularArea	LocationType with specific use of locationTypeKind.	Location
CircularAreaType	LocationType with specific use of locationTypeKind.	Location
coordinateCenterDescribed By	LocationType with specific use of locationTypeKind.	Location
EllipticalArea	LocationType with specific use of locationTypeKind.	Location
EllipticalAreaType	LocationType with specific use of locationTypeKind.	Location
Facility	LocationType with specific use of locationTypeKind.	Location
facilityPartOfSite	LocationType with specific use of locationTypeKind.	Location
FacilityType	LocationType with specific use of locationTypeKind.	Location
GeoStationaryPointType	LocationType with specific use of locationTypeKind.	Location
LineType	LocationType with specific use	N/A

	of locationTypeKind.	
PlanarSurface	LocationType with specific use of locationTypeKind.	Location
PlanarSurfaceType	LocationType with specific use of locationTypeKind.	N/A
Point	LocationType with specific use of locationTypeKind.	Location
pointPartOfLine	LocationType with specific use of locationTypeKind.	Location
pointPartOfPlanarSurface	LocationType with specific use of locationTypeKind.	Location
PointType	LocationType with specific use of locationTypeKind.	Location
PolygonArea	LocationType with specific use of locationTypeKind.	Location
PolygonAreaType	LocationType with specific use of locationTypeKind.	Location
PositionReferenceFrame	LocationType with specific use of locationTypeKind.	Location
RectangularArea	LocationType with specific use of locationTypeKind.	Location
RectangularAreaType	LocationType with specific use of locationTypeKind.	Location
RectangularAreaTypeType	LocationType with specific use of locationTypeKind.	Location
SolidVolume	LocationType with specific use of locationTypeKind.	Location
SolidVolumeType	LocationType with specific use of locationTypeKind.	Location
Surface	LocationType with specific use	Location

	of locationTypeKind.	
SurfaceType	LocationType with specific use of locationTypeKind.	Location
Performer	LogicalArchitecture	LogicalArchitecture
DiV-1/Div-2	LogicalDataModel	LogicalDataModel
activityMapsToCapability	MapsToCapability	ActivityMapsToCapability
Materiel	Materiel	Artefact
AdaptabilityMeasure	Measurement	MeasurableProperty
MaintainabilityMeasure	Measurement	MeasurableProperty
Measure	Measurement	MeasurableProperty
NeedsSatisfactionMeasure	Measurement	MeasurableProperty
OrganizationalMeasure	Measurement	MeasurableProperty
PerformanceMeasure	Measurement	MeasurableProperty
PhysicalMeasure	Measurement	MeasurableProperty
ServiceLevel	Measurement	MeasurableProperty
SpatialMeasure	Measurement	MeasurableProperty
TemporalMeasure	Measurement	MeasurableProperty
desireMeasure	MeasurementSet	N/A
effectMeasure	MeasurementSet	N/A
MeasureableSkill	MeasurementSet	N/A
measureableSkillOfPerson RoleType	MeasurementSet	N/A
MeasureOfEffect	MeasurementSet	N/A

measureOfIndividual	MeasurementSet	N/A
measureOfIndividualEndBoundary	MeasurementSet	N/A
measureOfIndividualPoint	MeasurementSet	N/A
measureOfIndividualStartBoundary	MeasurementSet	N/A
measureOfType	MeasurementSet	N/A
measureOfTypeActivity	MeasurementSet	N/A
measureOfTypeCondition	MeasurementSet	N/A
measureOfTypeProjectType	MeasurementSet	N/A
measureOfTypeResource	MeasurementSet	N/A
MeasureOfDesire	MeasuremetSet	N/A
MeasureType	MeasureType	N/A
Information	Metadata	Metadata
N/A	MilestoneSequence	MilestoneSequence
Mission	Mission	Mission
Name	Name is implicit in Tools	N/A
Needline (but not officially in DM2)	Needline	Needline
Node	Node	Node
N/A	NodeOperation	N/A
N/A	NodeParent	NodeParent
Port	NodePort, ResourcePort	ResourcePort/SystemPort

IndividualPerformer	NodeRole	N/A
N/A	NoLongerUsedMilestone	StatusAtMilestone
PedigreeInformation	OntologyReference	OntologyReference
Activity	OperationalActivity	OperationalActivity
Activity	OperationalActivityAction	OperationalActivityAction
activityProducesResource / activityConsumesResource	OperationalActivityEdge	OperationalActivityEdge
Rule	OperationalConstraint	OperationalConstraint
N/A	OperationalEventTrace	OperationalEventTrace
N/A	OperationalExchange	OrganizationalExchange, EnergyExchange, MaterielExchange, ConfigurationExchange, GeoPoliticalExtent
N/A	OperationalParameter	N/A
N/A	OperationalState	N/A
N/A	OperationalStateDescription	OperationalStateDescription
Organization	Organization	ActualOrganisation
N/A	OrganizationalProjectRelationship	OrganizationalProjectRelationship
OrganizationType	OrganizationType	OrganisationType
N/A	OutOfServiceMilestone	N/A
describedBy	ownedelement description in UML	N/A
activityPerformedByPerformer	OwnsProcess	ProcessOwner

Performer	Participant	N/A
Performer	Performer	Node
activityPerformedByPerformer	Performs/ActivityPerformedByPerformer	NodeHasBehaviour/FunctionsUpon/ActsUpon
N/A	Person	N/A
whole part of a PersonRoleType	PersonType	N/A
System	PhysicalArchitecture	PhysicalArchitecture
DIV-3	PhysicalDataModel	PhysicalDataModel
Performer	PhysicalResource	PhysicalAsset
PersonRoleType	Post	PostType
N/A	Process	Process
Project	Project	Project
Activity	ProjectActivity	N/A
N/A	ProjectMilestone	ProjectMilestone
N/A	ProjectMilestoneRole	N/A
N/A	ProjectOwnership	ProjectOwnership
N/A	ProjectSequence	ProjectSequence
N/A	ProjectStatus	ProjectStatus
N/A	ProjectTheme	ProjectTheme
ProjectType	ProjectType	ProjectType
N/A	Property	N/A
N/A	PropertySet	N/A

N/A	Protocol	Protocol
TechnicalStandard	ProtocolImplementation	ProtocolImplementation
TechnicalStandard	ProtocolLayer	ProtocolLayer
skillOfPersonRoleType	ProvidesCompetence	ProvidesCompetence
ServicePort	Request	Requires
skillOfPersonRoleType	RequiresCompetence	CompetenceForRole
Materiel	ResourceArtifact	Artefact
N/A	ResourceConnector	SystemPortConnector
N/A	ResourceEventTrace	N/A
N/A	ResourceInteraction	ResourceInteraction
Data	ResourceInteractionItem	DataElement
portPartOfPerformer/ Port	ResourceInterface	N/A
N/A	ResourceOperation	N/A
N/A	ResourceParameter	N/A
materielPartOfPerformer	ResourceRole of Performer	N/A
N/A	ResourceState	N/A
N/A	ResourceStateMachine	N/A
N/A	Responsibility	N/A
PersonRoleType	RoleType	
Rule	Rule	Standard
ruleConstrainsActivity	Rule with specific RuleKind	OperationalConstraint/ ResourceConstraint

N/A	SameAs	SameAs
SecurityAttributesGroup	SecurityAttributesGroup	N/A
Grouping of organisations sharing a common security policy	SecurityDomain	N/A
Service	Service/Request	Service
serviceEnablesAccessToResource	Service/Request ownedPort through	ProvideService/RequiredService
ServicePort	ServiceAccess	N/A
ServicePort	ServiceAccess (DoDAF)	N/A
N/A	ServiceAttribute	ServiceAttribute
ServiceDescription	ServiceDescription	N/A
servicePortDescribedBy	ServiceDescription, service interface, ServiceLevel	N/A
N/A	ServiceFeature	N/A
Activity	ServiceFunction	ServiceFunction
N/A	ServiceFunctionAction	N/A
activityProducesResource / activityConsumesResource	ServiceFunctionEdge	N/A
ServiceInteractionSpecification	ServiceInteraction	ServiceInteractionSpecification
ServiceDescription	ServiceInterface	ServiceInterface
ServiceLevel	ServiceLevelValue	ServiceLevelValue
N/A	ServiceLevelValueSet	ServiceLevelValueSet
N/A	ServiceMessage	N/A

N/A	ServiceMessageHandler	N/A
N/A	ServiceOperation	ServiceInterfaceOpration
N/A	ServiceParameter	ServiceInterfaceParameter
Agreement	ServicePolicy	ServicePolicy
ServicePort	ServicePort	ServiceInterface
N/A	ServiceStateMachine	N/A
Skill	Skill	Competence
Skill	Skill	Skill
skillOfPersonRoleType	SkillOfPersonType	ProvidesCompetence/ RequiresCompetence
Materiel	Software	Software
FunctionalStandard	Standard	Standard
Standard	Standard	Standard
N/A	StandardConfiguration	StandardConfiguration
Activity	StandardOperationalActivity	StandardOperationalActivity
startBoundary	startBoundary tag	N/A
N/A	StatusIndicators	StatusIndicator
N/A	StereotypeExtension	StereotypeExtension
Address	String on ActualLocation	Location
MeasureTypeUnitsOfMeasure	SysML DimensionType	N/A
System	System	ResourceArtifact/ Capability Configuration

TechnicalStandard	TechnicalStandard	Standard
N/A	TemporalPart	EnterpriseTemporalPart
N/A	Trustline	Trustline
N/A	Trustline	Trustline
superSubType	UML inheritance	N/A
Country	Use GeoPoliticalExtent with appropriate geopoliticalExtentKind	N/A
GeoFeature	Use GeoPoliticalExtent with appropriate geopoliticalExtentKind	Location
Installation	Use GeoPoliticalExtent with appropriate geopoliticalExtentKind	N/A
InstallationType	Use GeoPoliticalExtent with appropriate geopoliticalExtentKind	N/A
CountryType	Use GeoPoliticalExtenttype with appropriate geopoliticalExtentTypeKind	N/A
GeoFeatureType	Use GeoPoliticalExtenttype with appropriate geopoliticalExtentTypeKind	Location
N/A	VersionOfConfiguration	VersionOfConfiguration
N/A	View	View
N/A	Viewpoint	Viewpoint
Vision	Vision	EnterpriseVision/ VisionStatement
Vision	VisionStatement	VisionStatement

N/A	WholeLifeConfiguration	WholeLifeConfiguration
N/A	WholeLifeEnterprise	WholeLifeEnterprise

11.3UPDM to NAF Elements Traceability

NAF 3.1 was based on MODAF 1.2.003 and contains a few additions compared to MODAF. If it is compared with MODAF 1.2.004 the number of differences increases. However, the intent of the differences are approximately the same as the additions made in 1.2.004 with some exceptions such as security handling etc. Based on the limited number of difference between the two meta-models, it is a simple statement of fact that UPDM fully supports NAF.

The list below itemizes the differences between NAF 3.1 and MODAF 1.2.003 with some explanations as to why they are there:

Table B.2 shows the traceability among UPDM stereotypes and NAF 3.1 elements.

Table B.2 NAF and MODAF View Comparison	
NAF View/Element	MODAF View/Element
NAV-1 Overview and summary information	AV-1 Overview and summary information
NAV-1 Architectural Product	AV-1 Architectural product
NAV-2 Integrated dictionary	AV-2
NAV-3 Metadata	-
The following two views contain elements that are already in AV-2 in MODAF and a specific view in order to textually describe architecture compliance	
NAV-3a Architecture compliance statement	
NAV-3b Metadata extensions	
NAV Effectivity	Effectivity
NAV Environment	Environment
NAV Measurable properties	Measurable properties
NAV Requirements	Requirements
NCV-1 Capability vision	StV-1
NCV-2 Capability taxonomy	StV-2
NCV-3 Capability phasing	StV-3

NCV-4 Capability dependencies	StV-4
NCV-5 Capability to organizational deployment mapping	StV-5
NCV-6 Operational activity to capability mapping	StV-6
NOV-1 High level operational concept description	OV-1
NOV-2 Operational node relationship description	OV-2
NOV-3 Operational information exchange matrix	OV-3
NOV-4 Organizational relationships chart Typical	OV-4 Typical
NOV-4 Organizational relationships chart Actual	OV-4 actual
NOV-5 Operational activity model	OV-5
NOV-6 Operational activity sequence and timing description	OV-6
NOV-6a Operational rule model	OV-6a
NOV-6b Operational state transition description	OV-6b
NOV-6c Operational event-trace description	OV-6c
NOV-7 Information model	OV-7
NSOV-1 Service taxonomy	SOV-1
NSOV-2 Service definition	SOV-2
NSOV-3 Capability to service mapping	SOV-3
NSOV-4 Service constraints, state model and interaction specification	SOV-4
SOV-5 Service functionality	SOV-5
NSOV-6 Service composition	-

The NSOV-6 Service composition view has a complicated history. When the service views were created in MODAF 1.1 and included as proposed it was placed as SOV-3. When MODAF 1.2 was created it was not included since the appreciation of what the intent was less than clear in MOD. The elements that were needed to create it still exist in the MODAF meta-model and this is still the case in MODAF 1.2.004. When NAF 3.1 as created the view was retained but in order to align with MODAF 1.2.003 it was moved from its original place and became view NSOV-6 instead of 3. The reasoning behind this view has to do with reuse of existing specifications of services and therefore ties together with any discussion concerning the separation of the SoaML concept of service and the specification of services which is what both the NAF and MODAF views are about.

NSV-1 Resource Interaction specification	SV-1
NSV-1 Resources specification	SV-1
NSV Competence	Competence
NSV-2 Systems communications description	
NSV-2a System port specification	SV-2a
NSV-2b System port connectivity	SV-2b
NSV-2c System connectivity clusters	SV-2c
NSV-2d Systems communications quality requirements -	
The NSV-2d Systems communications quality requirements view contains exactly the same elements as NSV-2b with the exception of one additional element namely Network. This is unique to NAF and is a specialization of System. Since it has no additional relationships or attributes, it is essentially equivalent to System.	
NSV-3 Resource Interaction Matrix	SV-3
NSV-4 System Functionality description	SV-4
NSV-5 System function to operational activity traceability matrix	SV-5
NSV-6 Systems data exchange matrix	SV-6
NSV-7 System quality requirements description	SV-7
NSV-8 System configuration management	SV-8
NSV-9 Technology and skills forecast	SV-9
NSV-10 Resource constraints, state transitions and even-trace description	SV-10
NSV-10a Resource constraints specification	SV-10a

NSV-10b Resource state transition description	SV-10b
NSV-10c Resource event trace description	SV-10c
NSV-11 System data model -	
NSV-11a Logical data model -	The meta-model for this is the same as for NOV-7. The level of detail differs however.
NSV-11b Physical data model	SV-11
NSV-12 Service provision	SV-12
NTV-1&2 Standards profile and standards forecast	TV-1&2
NTV-3 Standard configurations	TV-3
NPV-1 Programme portfolio relationships	AcV-1
NPV-2 Programme to capability mapping	AcV-2

Element additions in NAF 3.1 compared to MODAF 1.2.003

AV:

ArchitectureComplianceStatement - A comment stereotype enabling statements of architectural compliance that can be attached to various elements.

OV:

OperationalActivityFlowItem - An element created in order to allow transfer of other things between activities than information elements. A slightly different way was used to achieve the same purpose in MODAF 1.2.004. Supported in UPDM.

OperationalExchangeMessage - An element intended to allow the handling of messages in an NOV-6c showing other things than just information elements. A slightly different mechanism was used to achieve the same purpose in MODAF 1.2.004. Supported in UPDM.

SV:

Energy (Class) - Inserted to handle UPDM 1.0 Energy. Is contained in MODAF 1.2.004. Supported in UPDM.

FunctionAction (CallBehaviourAction) - Inserted to make NSV-4 equivalent to NOV-5. Done in MODAF 1.2.004 but differently. Supported in UPDM.

FunctionComposition (Association) - Inserted to allow decomposition of functions. Not included explicitly in MODAF 1.2.004. Supported in UPDM.

FunctionFlowItem - A system equivalent of OperationalActivityFlowItem. Done in MODAF 1.2.004 but differently. Supported in UPDM.

FunctionInputPin - Inserted to make NSV-4 equivalent to NOV-5. Done in MODAF 1.2.004 but differently. Supported in UPDM.

FunctionOutputPin - Inserted to make NSV-4 equivalent to NOV-5. Done in MODAF 1.2.004 but differently. Supported in UPDM.

Network (Property) - A specialization of System desired by NATO. Not included in MODAF 1.2.004. Not supported in UPDM.

ResourceExchangeMessage (Message) - Inserted in order to allow sequence diagrams to show something other than information elements. Done in MODAF 1.2.004 but differently. Supported in UPDM.

ResourcesWithMaterielContent (Class) - Inserted as a container for various items enabling exchange of material as well as whole capability configurations. Not done in MODAF 1.2.004 implying that physical architectures or capability configurations cannot be exchanged in MODAF 1.2.004. Supported in UPDM.

11.4 DoDAF 2.0 to MODAF 1.2 Views Traceability

Table B.3 shows the traceability between the DoDAF 2.0 and MODAF 1.2 views. It is evident from the table that there is sufficient mapping between the vast majority of the views.

Table B.3

DoDAF 2 views	MODAF 1.2 views	Comment
AV-1 Overview and summary	AV-1 Overview and summary information	-
AV-2 Integrated dictionary	AV-2 Integrated dictionary	-
OV-1 High level operational concept graphic description	OV-1a High Level Operational Concept Graphics, OV-1b Operational Concept Description, OV-1c Operational Performance attributes	-
OV-2 Operational resource flow description	OV-2 Operational Node Relationships Description	-
OV-3 Operational Resource flow matrix	OV-3 Operational Information Exchange Matrix	-
OV-4 Organisational relationships chart	OV-4 Organisational Relationships Chart	-

OV-5a Operational activity decomposition tree	OV-5 Operational Activity Model	Both DoDAF diagrams is dealt with in the same MODAF diagram
OV-5b Operational activity model	OV-5 Operational Activity Model	Both DoDAF diagrams is dealt with in the same MODAF diagram
OV-6a Operational rules model	OV-6a Operational Rules Model	-
OV-6b State transition description	OV-6b Operational state transition description	-
OV-6c Event-trace description	OV-6b Operational event-trace description	-
StdV-1 Standards profile	TV-1 Standards profile	-
StdV-2 Standards forecast	TV-2 Standards forecast	-
PV-1 Project portfolio relationships	AcV-1 Acquisition clusters	-
PV-2 Project timelines	AcV-2 Programme timelines	-
PV-3 Project to capability mapping	-	It is difficult to see any difference to this and CV-3 Capability phasing. At least it is covered by StV-3 Capability phasing.
CV-1 Vision	StV-1 Enterprise vision	-
CV-2 Capability taxonomy	StV-2 Capability taxonomy	-
CV-3 Capability phasing	StV-3 Capability phasing	-
CV-4 Capability dependencies	StV-4 Capability dependencies	-
CV-5 Capability to organisational mapping	StV-5 Capability to organisation deployment mapping	-
CV-6 Capability to operational activities mapping	StV-6 Operational activity to capability mapping	It should be noted that DoDAF has no counterpart of StandardOperationalActivities which is the reason behind this view in MODAF.
CV-7 Capability to services mapping	SOV-3 Capability to service mapping	See handling of services below since this is where the connection break down between MODAF and DoDAF 2.0 to a large extent.
DIV-1 Conceptual data model	-	This looks like the NAF 3.1 NOV-7 concept but has no direct counterpart in MODAF.
DIV-2 Logical data model	OV-7 Information Model	-
DIV-3 Physical data model	SV-11 Physical schema	-

SV-1 Systems interface description	SV-1 Resources interaction specification	-
SV-2 Systems resource flow description	SV-2a System port specification, SV-2b System to system port connectivity description, SV-2c System connectivity clusters	-
SV-3 Systems - systems matrix	SV-3 Resource interaction matrix	-
SV-4 Systems functionality description	SV-4 Functionality description	-
SV-5a Operational activity to systems traceability matrix	-	There is no direct counterpart to this traceability in a direct form in MODAF.
SV-5b Operational activity to systems function traceability matrix	SV-5 Function to Operational activity traceability matrix	-
SV-6 Systems resource flow matrix	SV-6 Systems data exchange matrix	-
SV-7 Systems measures matrix	SV-7 Resource performance parameters matrix	-
SV-8 Systems - systems evolution matrix	SV-8 Capability configuration management	-
SV-9 Systems technology & skills forecast	SV-9 Technology and skills forecast	-
SV-10a Systems rules model	SV-10a Resource constraints specification	-
SV-10b Systems state transition description	SV-10b Resource state transitions description	-
SV-10c Systems event-trace description	SV-10c Resource event-trace description	-
Services handling in MODAF 1.2.004 and DoDAF 2.0	The services concept in MODAF and DoDAF differ significantly, they are therefore treated differently in this table with connections shown only when a limited semblance exists. The MODAF or DoDAF counterparts here are written in italics.	
-	SOV-1 Service taxonomy	No formal taxonomy view for services exist in DoDAF 2.0

-	SOV-2 Service interface specification	SvcV-2 is a possible candidate but the definitions in MODAF go a lot deeper than in DoDAF 2.0. The comparison also disregards the fact that services in MODAF are specifications of services whereas services in DoDAF seems to describe implementations in specific performers, albeit somewhat more abstract than real implementation descriptions. This is a general caveat and applies to all MODAF view comments below.
-	SOV-3 Capability to service mapping	Presumably this maps somewhat to CV-7 in DoDAF 2. The general caveat applies.
-	SOV-4a Service constraints	This maps somewhat to SvcV-10a in DoDAF 2. The general caveat applies.
-	SOV-4b Service state model	This maps somewhat to SvcV-10b in DoDAF 2. The general caveat applies.
-	SOV-4c Service interaction specification	This maps somewhat to SvcV-10c in DoDAF 2. The general caveat applies.
-	SOV-5 Service functionality	This maps somewhat to SvcV-4 in DoDAF 2. The general caveat applies.
-	SV-12a Service provision	This maps somewhat to SvcV-1 in DoDAF 2. Since this discusses realisations of services the mapping may well be somewhat stronger than previously described. DoDAF Service would here be viewed as ServiceLevel in MODAF.
-	SV-12b Service composition	This maps somewhat to SvcV-2 in DoDAF 2. Since this discusses realisations of services the mapping may well be somewhat stronger than previously described. DoDAF Service would here be viewed as ServiceLevel in MODAF.
SvcV-1 Services context description	SV-12a Service provision	See above
SvcV-2 Services resource flow description	SV-12b Service composition	See above
SvcV-3a Systems - services matrix	SV-12a Service provision	The MODAF reference is not a Matrix, the data intended should be derivable from this MODAF view however.
SvcV-3b Services - services matrix	SV-12b Service composition	The MODAF reference is not a Matrix, the data intended should be derivable from this MODAF view however.
SvcV-4 Services functionality description	SOV-5 Service functionality, (perhaps more SV-4)	The general caveat applies.

SvcV-5 Operational activity to services traceability	SV-5 Service function to Operational activity traceability matrix.	The general caveat applies.
SvcV-6 Services resource flow matrix	SV-12a Service provision	See above
SvcV-7 Services measures matrix	SV-7 Resource performance parameters matrix	The general caveat applies.
SvcV-8 Services evolution description	SV-8 Capability configuration management	The general caveat applies.
SvcV-9 Services technology & skills forecast	SV-9 Technology and skills forecast	The general caveat applies.
SvcV-10a Services rules model	SOV-4a Service constraints perhaps more SV-10a.	The general caveat applies.
SvcV-10b Services state transition description	SOV-4b Service state model perhaps more SV-10b	The general caveat applies.
SvcV-10c Services event-trace description	SOV-4c Service interaction specification perhaps more SV-10c	The general caveat applies.

12 Annex D

(non-normative)

Sample Problem

12.1 Purpose

The purpose of this annex is to illustrate how UPDM can support DODAF and MODAF requirements for organizations developing Network Enabled Capability (NEC) systems using some of the basic features of the specification. This example provides a model which illustrates a sample of DoDAF and MODAF views addressing the problem space described below.

12.2 Scope

The scope of this example is to provide diagrams for the views (DoDAF Models) that are most used and most requested by the defense community. The intent is to select portions of the sample problem to illustrate how the diagrams can be applied, and demonstrate some of the possible interrelationships among the model elements in the different diagrams. The sample problem does not highlight all of the features of the specification as that would take several hundred pages.

12.3 Problem Scenario

12.3.1 Problem Domain Suitability.

The problem domain is civilian maritime search and rescue (SAR). Civilian SAR was selected for several reasons:

- 13 UK MODAF 1.1 has previously used this domain to illustrate its framework².
- 14 The scenario and modeling was easily updated to include UPDM concepts including US DoDAF 2.0.
- 15 SAR is internationally recognized problem domain with easy-to-recognize typical scenarios.

2

See Acknowledgements.

- 16 SAR is based on publicly available International Agreements³ implementing or conforming National Plans including the US⁴ and the UK⁵.
- 17 The documentation is generally unclassified as opposed to many equivalent defense or military plans.
- 18 Subject matter experts and periodicals are readily available.⁶
- 19 The domain is sufficiently large and complex involving mixed human, software, and hardware solutions. As such, it will support the current specification that includes parametric modeling from systems engineering (SysML)⁷ as well as future evolutions of UPDM which may include more national and

3

See for example, International Aeronautical and Maritime Search and Rescue (IAMSAR) Manual, 2007 ed., 6th ed. London: IMO; Montreal: ICAO, 2007. IAMSAR Manual is by jointly published by the International Maritime Organization (IMO) and the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO). It consists of a three volume set: Volume I is Organization and Management; Volume II is Mission Co-ordination; & Volume III is Mobile Facilities.

4

See for example, U.S. National Search and Rescue Supplement (NSS) to the International Aeronautical and Maritime Search and Rescue Manual. National Search and Rescue Plan of the United States (US National SAR Plan). [http://www.uscg.mil/hq/cg5/cg534/manuals/Natl_SAR_Plan\(2007\).pdf](http://www.uscg.mil/hq/cg5/cg534/manuals/Natl_SAR_Plan(2007).pdf)

5

See for example, Search and Rescue Framework for the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Queen's Printer and Controller, June 2002. (Published by MCGA - Maritime & Coastguard Agency, Spring Place, 105 Commercial Road, Southampton. SO15 1EG.) "The organisation for Search and Rescue (SAR) in the UK is an amalgam of separate Governments Departments, the emergency services and other organisations. A number of charities and voluntary organisations dedicated to SAR also play a significant role. The purpose of this document is to provide a management framework for SAR in the UK. (back cover)". http://www.mcga.gov.uk/c4mca/mcga-uk_sar_framework_document.pdf

6

See for example, ON SCENE - The Journal of U. S. Coast Guard Search and Rescue. Summer 2008, "Exceptional SAR Stories", pp. 29 – 40 for more detailed scenarios similar to the Problem Scenario and Fall 2003, "SPECIAL SECTION - SAR Case Studies: A Review", pp. 18 -28 regarding performance standards.

7

See USCG, "SAR System Performance Benchmark" – "Percent of lives saved from imminent danger in the

multinational architecture frameworks. Several of the countries share usage of the same automated information systems and sensors.

19.1.1 Acknowledgements

The scenario is derived from the UK Search and Rescue framework, which is publicly available on the internet⁸. The sample problem is based on a concept derived by VEGA under contract for the UK MOD.⁹ The UPDM Group acknowledges its debt owed to the authors of the original problem:

- Ian Bailey of Model Futures,
- Peter Martin of Logica CMG, and
- Paul King of Vega

We have modified it to make it more generic in order to allow it to apply to SAR architecture for any country. This allows us to communicate the use of UPDM without the need for too much detail or getting involved in the particular procedures of any given country. Consequently, there will be “errors” in the specifics of the procedures. Any suggestions on how to improve the model would of course be gratefully received by the UPDM group.

19.1.2 Summary

We have included as many of the UPDM diagrams as is possible given that the tools for creating diagrams compliant with UPDM 2.0 will not be created until after the release of this specification. In addition, presenting an architecture is something like telling a story with the exception that in this case the elements interrelate to an extent that it is difficult to pick a natural order. Consequently we have decided to present them by view as that will at least make them easier to find when attempting to cross reference them. As UPDM 1.0 has more in common with MODAF 1.2, the models were created in the MODAF version of UPDM and the labels changed to

maritime environment” and sub benchmarks. http://uscg.mil/hq/cg5/cg534/SAR_Program_Info.asp (Current as of 29 April 2009).

8

See “MODAF: Examples: Search and Rescue Example” and the corresponding files are at http://www.modaf.org.uk/file_download/33/SAR.zip (as of 29 April 2009)

9

<http://www.modaf.org.uk/vExamples/163/search-and-rescue-example>

correspond to DoDAF 2.0 terminology.

Anyone familiar with the terminology in DoDAF 2.0 and MODAF 1.2 is aware that the two architecture frameworks are different. In order to avoid having to show a MODAF and a DODAF diagram for each example, simple variants for each diagram are described. Where they are significantly different duplicate diagrams are shown.

19.1.3 The “Yacht in Distress” Scenario

The Sample Problem applies UPDM to a common scenario in civilian maritime Search and Rescue (SAR) operations -- a yacht in distress. A monitoring unit picks up the distress signal from the yacht and passes it on to the Command and Control (C2) Center. The C2 Center coordinates the search and rescue operation among helicopters, a naval ship and a civilian voluntary sea rescue organization. This section is structured to show each diagram in the context of how it might be used in such an example problem.

19.2Diagrams

19.2.1 Package Overview (Structure of the Sample Model)

Acronyms

The table below provides definitions for acronyms used in this sample problem.

Table D.1 - Acronyms

DoT	Department of Transport
NIMROD	Aircraft name
MRA	Maritime Role Aircraft
ESM	Electronic Signal Monitoring
TDM	Time Division Multiplex
MRT	Maritime Rescue Team
SAR	Search and Rescue
C2	Command and Control

19.2.1.1 Flow of SAR Example Models

Figure C. 1 shows the flow of the SAR example models through the different viewpoints. Beginning with the All Viewpoint, the natural progression is through the key Strategic Views, the key Operational Views, the key Service Oriented Views, the key Systems Views and finally to the Acquisition Views. Following that are some fit for purpose views to demonstrate additional analysis and definition capabilities.




Figure D.1 - Diagram Flow

19.3 All Views

The All Views provide overview and summary information as well as an integrated dictionary. This information is provided in a consistent form that allows quick reference and comparison among architectures.

19.3.1 AV-1 Enterprise Definition

The text shown in Figure D.2 below provides executive-level summary information in a consistent form that allows quick reference and comparison between architectural descriptions. It includes assumptions, constraints, and limitations that may affect high-level decisions relating to an architecture-based work program.

Architecture Project Identification

Name:

SAR Architecture

Architect:

Bill Firenz

Developing Organization:
[Maritime & Coastguard Agency](#)

Assumptions & Constraints:
None.

Approval Authority:
Howard Overtree, Project Manager

Date Completed:
TBD

Scope

Views & Products Developed:

- Acquisition Views - AcV-1, AcV-2, AcV-3
- All Views - AV-1, AV-2, AV-3
- Operational Views - OV-1a, OV-1b, OV-1c, OV-1d, OV-2, OV-3, OV-4, OV-5, OV-6a, OV-6b, OV-6c, OV-7
- Service Orientated Views - SOV-1, SOV-2, SOV-3, SOV-4a, SOV-4b, SOV-5
- Strategic Views - StV-1, StV-2, StV-3, StV-4, StV-5, StV-6
- System Views - SV-1, SV-2, SV-3, SV-4, SV-5, SV-6, SV-7, SV-8, SV-9, SV-10a, SV-10b, SV-10c, SV-11, SV-12
- Technical Views - TV-1, TV-2, TV-3

Time Frames Addressed:
Present.

Organizations Involved:
[Department Of Transport, Maritime & Coastguard Agency](#)

Purpose and Viewpoint

Purpose of the Architecture:
To detect and locate mariners, aviators and recreational enthusiasts in distress.

Architecture Viewpoint:
Users of the system.

Context

Mission:

Manage, coordinate and implement SAR activities.

Doctrine, Goals & Vision:

TBD

Rules, Criteria & Conventions:

TBD

Tools and File Formats

Tools:

UML IDE, Word and Excel.

File Formats:

DOCX, XLS and UML IDE Models.

Findings

Analysis Results:

TBD

Recommendations:

TBD

Figure D.2 - AV-1

19.3.2 AV-2 Architecture Dictionary

Architecture development projects not using model-based techniques would often create an initial dictionary defining terms and names for the different model elements. Diagrams created in Microsoft PowerPoint or Visio would then be checked against this dictionary to ensure compliance. A model-based architecture using UPDM has in-built consistency in that elements appearing on different diagrams will have the same name as they are the same object. Consequently, the AV-2 diagrams are reports generated from the model, which itself is the architecture dictionary. Table D.1 shows a generated report of the operational activities in the model. There are fields for the name, the complete name in the model package hierarchy, the definition of the activity, the alias, and any elements for which this is the same.

DoDAF 2.0 variant: In DoDAF 2.0 the Operational Activity would simply be called an Activity.

Table D.1 – AV-2 Operational Activity Dictionary report

OperationalActivity				
Name	Full Scoped Name	Definition	Alias	Same As
Monitor For Distress Signal	SAR Architecture::Operational Activities::Monitor For Distress Signal			
Process Warning Order	SAR Architecture::Operational Activities::Process Warning Order			
Receive Distress Signal	SAR Architecture::Operational Activities::Receive Distress Signal			
Rescue	SAR Architecture::Operational Activities::Rescue			
Search	SAR Architecture::Operational Activities::Search			
Send Distress Signal	SAR Architecture::Operational Activities::Send Distress Signal			
Send Warning Order	SAR Architecture::Operational Activities::Send Warning Order			
Transit To SAR Operation	SAR Architecture::Operational Activities::Transit To SAR Operation			

Table

D.2 shows the generated report of the Capability Configurations in the model. The fields are the same as the previous report in Table D.1.

DoDAF 2.0 Variant: In DoDAF 2.0 the Capability Configuration would be a performer.

Table D.2 – AV-2 Capability Configuration Dictionary report

CapabilityConfiguration				
Name	Full Scoped Name	Definition	Alias	Same As
Automated Rescue Unit v1	SAR Architecture::Resources::Capability Configurations::Automated Rescue Unit v1			
Control Center	SAR Architecture::Resources::Capability Configurations::Control Center			
Maritime Rescue Architecture v1	SAR Architecture::Resources::Capability Configurations::Maritime Rescue Architecture v1			
Maritime Rescue Unit v1	SAR Architecture::Resources::Capability Configurations::Maritime Rescue Unit v1			
Maritime Rescue Unit v2	SAR Architecture::Resources::Capability Configurations::Maritime Rescue Unit v2			
Monitor	SAR Architecture::Resources::Capability Configurations::Monitor			

19.3.3 AV Measurements Definition (Fit for Purpose)

Figure D.3 shows the class diagram version of the measurements diagram. This provides a means of defining types of measurements that are important to the system. These consist of measurable quantitative measurements. It defines the measurements that are important to the capabilities in the strategic view such as find time and persistence, shown later. These concepts are defined in All Views, as they can pertain to all elements in all views of the model. Metrics specific to System elements are addressed in the SV-7. As there is no diagram MODAF or DoDAF in All Views for expressing

this information, we have created a new diagram. This could be called AV-n, Measurements Definition or other suitable name. This is an example of the extensibility features provided by UML and SysML enabling the easy creation of fit for purpose views.




Figure D.3 - AV Measurements Class Diagram D.5.4 AV Measurements Instances (Fit For Purpose)

Figure D.4 shows the instance diagram version of the measurements diagram. Instances of the measurements can be created and associated with architecture elements. In this case, they define the initial, required and final values for SAR capabilities.




Figure D.4 – AV Measurements Instance Diagram

19.3.4 SysML Value Definitions – Fit For Purpose View

This SysML Block Definition Diagram (BDD) in Figure D.5 is used to define the value types, units and dimensions used in the measurements for the typical and actual measurements. This allows a more precise definition of the values and eliminates ambiguity. This is another example of a fit for purpose view.




Figure D.5 – SysML BDD Units, Dimensions, and Value Types

19.3.5 SysML Requirements – Fit For Purpose View

One of the two principal extensions to OMG SysML is support for requirements. The «requirement» stereotype extends class to specify the textual “shall” statement and capture the requirement id#. The requirement diagram is used to integrate the system models with text based requirements that are typically captured in requirements management tools. The UML containment relationship (circle with a plus sign) is used to decompose a requirement into its constituent requirements. A requirement is related to other key modeling artifacts via a set of stereotyped dependencies. The «deriveReq» and «satisfy» dependencies describe the derivation of requirements from other requirements and the satisfaction of requirements by design, respectively. The «verify» dependency shows the link from a test case to the requirement or requirements it verifies. In addition, the UML «refine» dependency is used to indicate that an OMG SysML model element is a refinement of a textual requirement, and «a copy» relationship is used to show reuse of a requirement within a different requirement hierarchy. The «rationale» concept can be used to annotate any model element to identify supporting rationale including analysis and trade studies for a derived requirement, a design or some other decision.

As UPDM level L1 has been built upon SysML, requirements can be integrated into the model. SysML traceability

relationships can be used as shown in Figure D.6. The capabilities trace to the requirements and the Activities refine the requirements. System elements developed later in the design cycle will satisfy these requirements.

Figure D.6 – SysML Requirements

19.4 Strategic/Capability Views

The diagrams in the Strategic View (DoDAF 2.0 Capability Model) provide a capability view of the SAR operation. These views will show the relationships between these capabilities and between the capabilities and the resources required to realize them.

19.4.1 StV-1 Capability Vision (DoDAF CV-1)

Figure D.7 describes the strategic context for Search and Rescue Capabilities. It outlines the vision for a capability area over a specified period of time. It describes how high level goals and strategy are to be delivered in terms of capability. The concepts of the Whole Life Enterprise and Enterprise Phase are not elements in DoDAF 2.0.




Figure D.7 - StV-1 Enterprise View

19.4.2 StV-2 Capability Taxonomy (DoDAF CV-2)

Capabilities need to be characterized in terms of the properties they need to exhibit which enable the enterprise to use them to achieve the enterprise goals, as well as their relationships in an inheritance hierarchy. In Figure D.8 we have characterized Maritime SAR in terms of required values. These are defined in Figure D.4 and include the length of a Maritime SAR operation, the sea conditions in which Maritime SAR must be deliverable, the search area covered by an operation and the time to find a victim.




Figure D.8 - StV-2 Capability Taxonomy

19.4.3 StV-3 Capability Phasing (DoDAF CV-3)

StV-3 addresses the planned achievement of capability at different points in time or during specific periods of time, i.e. capability phasing. The example shown in Table D.3 is a generated report showing the capabilities, the systems that realize these capabilities and when they will be deployed and taken out of service, and the measurements that they are expected to achieve. Information for this report is defined using the AcV-3 Actual Projects diagram, the AV-3 measurements diagram, and the StV-2 Capability Taxonomy diagram.

Table D.3 - StV-3 Capability Phasing

	2010												2011											
	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D
Assistance	Maritime Rescue Unit v1 (SAR Manual Project I)																							
seaConditions = Sea State 6 areaCoverage = 500 findTime = <8 hours persistence = >15 hours searchCoverage = 400 weatherConditions = Heavy Rain																								
[no measurements]													Automated Rescue Unit v1 (SAR Automation Project)											
seaConditions = Sea State 8 areaCoverage = 600 findTime = <5 hours persistence = >20 hours searchCoverage = 500 weatherConditions = Stormy													Maritime Rescue Unit v2 (SAR Manual Project II)											
Search	Maritime Rescue Unit v1 (SAR Manual Project I)																							
seaConditions = Sea State 6 areaCoverage = 500 findTime = <8 hours persistence = >15 hours searchCoverage = 400 weatherConditions = Heavy Rain																								
[no measurements]													Automated Rescue Unit v1 (SAR Automation Project)											
seaConditions = Sea State 8 areaCoverage = 600 findTime = <5 hours persistence = >20 hours searchCoverage = 500 weatherConditions = Stormy													Maritime Rescue Unit v2 (SAR Manual Project II)											

19.4.4 StV-4 Capability Clusters (DoDAF CV-4)

This StV-4 view addresses the logical grouping of capabilities and the dependencies between them. In Figure D.9, SAR Command and Control depends on the Military C2 Capability. Similarly, the Assistance, Search and Recovery Capabilities are dependent upon the SAR C2 Capability, which in turn is dependent upon the Distress Signal Monitoring Capability. The UML composite structure diagram in Figure D.9 provides a means to define capabilities within a specific context, in this case search and rescue. The dependencies are scoped to this context.




Figure D.9 - StV-4

19.4.5 StV-4 Capability Clusters Class Diagram (DoDAF CV-4)

Figure D.10 shows the class diagram version of the capability clusters. Dependencies can be defined between the capabilities, but there is no means to define a specific context.




Figure D.10 – StV-4 Alternative View

19.4.6 StV-5 Capability to Organization Deployment (DoDAF CV-5)

Table D.4 shows the generated StV-5 table. The StV-5 defines Capability to Organization Deployment Mapping. It shows the planned capability deployment for a resource and the responsible organization. The StV-5 View is used to support the capability management process and, in particular, assist the planning of fielding. For example, the Assistance Capability is supported by the Maritime Rescue Unit. The Volunteer Rescue Organization and Maritime and Coastguard Agency are responsible for them.

Table D.4 - StV-5

		<u>Capabilities</u>			
		Assistance	Inform	Recovery	Search
<u>Organizational Resources</u>	«ActualOrganization» Coastguard	Maritime Rescue Unit v1	Maritime Rescue Unit v1	Maritime Rescue Unit v1	Maritime Rescue Unit v1
		Maritime Rescue Unit v2	Maritime Rescue Unit v2	Maritime Rescue Unit v2	Maritime Rescue Unit v2
	«ActualOrganization» Maritime & Coastguard Agency	Maritime Rescue Unit v1	Maritime Rescue Unit v1	Maritime Rescue Unit v1	Maritime Rescue Unit v1
		Maritime Rescue Unit v2	Maritime Rescue Unit v2	Maritime Rescue Unit v2	Maritime Rescue Unit v2
	«ActualOrganization» Volunteer Rescue Organization	Maritime Rescue Unit v1	Maritime Rescue Unit v1	Maritime Rescue Unit v1	Maritime Rescue Unit v1
		Maritime Rescue Unit v2	Maritime Rescue Unit v2	Maritime Rescue Unit v2	Maritime Rescue Unit v2

19.4.7 StV-6 Operational Activity to Capability Mapping (DoDAF CV-6)

This view, Figure D.11, identifies how operational activities support capabilities. Figure D.11 shows that in order to achieve Search and Assistance Capabilities, certain Standard Operational Activities must be performed, including Monitor Health and Provide Medical Assistance.




Figure D.11 - StV-6

19.5 Operational Views

The Operational Views identify what needs to be accomplished in the SAR operation and who needs to accomplish it. These views describe the tasks and activities, operational elements and exchanges of information, systems and energy that are required to conduct the operations.

19.5.1 OV-1a Operational Context Graphic

This diagram, Figure D.12, of the Maritime rescue sets the context by illustrating the search and rescue operation at sea involving a yacht in distress. The diagram shows that the monitoring unit picks up the distress calls of the yacht and sends them to a Command and Control (C2) center, which coordinates the operation among helicopters, a naval ship and a rescue boat.

In the OV-1a, each model element depicted may include a graphical depiction to help convey its intended meaning. The spatial relationships of the elements on the diagram sometimes convey their relative position, although this is not specifically captured in the semantics. A brief description of the interactions between the elements is provided. It may represent abstract conceptual relationships and will be refined in subsequent diagrams.




Figure D.12 - OV-1a

As shown below in Figure D.13, a pictorial background can be included to provide additional context. The elements on the diagram are exactly the same. They are simply represented as graphics rather than boxes. This helps to communicate with domain experts who may not be familiar with architectural frameworks. They are also shown as graphics, symbols, and photos to demonstrate that any graphic can be used. The yacht is shown pictured as a lifeboat to emphasize that they are in distress.




Figure D.13 - Alternate OV-1a

19.5.2 OV-1b Operational Context Description

The text shown below in Figure D.14 describes the scenario depicted in Figure D.13. There is normally an OV-1b associated with each OV-1a.

The “Yacht in Distress” Scenario

The Sample Problem applies UPDM to a common scenario in civilian maritime Search and Rescue (SAR) operations -- a Yacht in distress. A Monitor Unit picks up the distressSignal from the Yacht and passes it on to the Command and Control (C2 Center). The C2 Center coordinates the search and rescue operation among the Rescue Helo, a Naval Ship and a Rescue Boat.

This model is based on a UK MOD example model.

Figure D.14 – OV-1b

19.5.3 OV-1c Operational Context Measurements

The OV-1c shown in table D.5 provides a summary of the measures that the architecture is expected to achieve. These measures are defined in the AV-3 actual measurements diagram. The units and dimensions attached to the measurements were defined using the SysML BDD shown in Figure D.5. This view is not found in DoDAF 2.0, but could be a fit for purpose view.

Table D.5 – OV-1c

Actual Measurement Set								
Name	Name	Intention	Measurement	Minimum Value	Actual Value	Maximum Value	Unit	Dimension
Maritime Rescue	Initial Values	Estimate	seaConditions	Sea State 1	Sea State 6	Sea State 10	Meter	Wave Height
			areaCoverage	100	500	1000	SquareKilometers	Area
			findTime	4	<8 hours	8	Hours	Time
			persistence	5	>15 hours	22	Hours	Time
			searchCoverage	200	400	600	SquareKilometers	Area
			weatherConditions	Calm	Heavy Rain	Hurricane	Weather Severity Index	
	Required Values	Required	seaConditions	Sea State 1	Sea State 8	Sea State 10	Meter	Wave Height
			areaCoverage	100	600	1000	SquareKilometers	Area
			findTime	4	<5 hours	8	Hours	Time
			persistence	5	>20 hours	22	Hours	Time
			searchCoverage	200	500	600	SquareKilometers	Area
			weatherConditions	Calm	Stormy	Hurricane	Weather Severity Index	
	Final Values	Result	seaConditions	Sea State 1	Sea State 8	Sea State 10	Meter	Wave Height
			areaCoverage	100	650	1000	SquareKilometers	Area
			findTime	4	<4 hours	8	Hours	Time
			persistence	5	>20 hours	22	Hours	Time
			searchCoverage	200	550	600	SquareKilometers	Area
			weatherConditions	Calm	Stormy	Hurricane	Weather Severity Index	

19.5.4 OV-1d Operational Context Use Cases (Fit for Purpose)

A Mission defines a functional goal that the stakeholders have. This aligns well with the definition of a Use Case. As UPDM is built on UML and SysML, it is possible to create Use Case diagrams showing the missions, their relationships, and the stakeholders involved in the mission. Figure D.15 defines the missions required for search and rescue.




Figure D.15 – OV-1d

19.5.5 OV-2 Operational Node Connectivity Description (DoDAF Operational Resource Flow Description)

The OV-2 diagrams in Figures D.16, D.17, and D.18 depict the key players in the SAR operation and the interactions for information exchange. It identifies the different types of nodes (Performer in DoDAF) in the SAR operation: Person in Distress, Monitoring Node, Tactical C2 Node, SAR Asset Controller, Search Node, Rescue Node, and Place of Safety. This diagram indicates the need to exchange information between the operational nodes and also shows the interactions between these nodes. Other interactions can be exchanged between the nodes such as equipment, energy, and so forth. The

OV-5 view shows the operational activities undertaken by a few select nodes. Figure D.16 is the class diagram version of the OV-2.




Figure D.16 - OV-2 Class Diagram

Figure D. 17 shows an alternate way to display the OV-2. It can be illustrated as above with IO associations or as below using connectors and SysML Item Flows without flow ports as in Figure D.17 or with flow ports as in Figure D.18. Figure D.17 also shows the service ports. These define services that are required or provided by these nodes.




Figure D.17 - Alternate OV-2 SysML Version with Service Ports




Figure D.18 - Alternate OV-2 with SysML Flow Ports

Figure D. 18 shows the SysML version with Flow Ports and Item Flows. The typed ports mean that the user can constrain the elements that can flow in and out of the port. This means that consistency checks can be performed on the ports to ensure that the flows correspond to the allowed elements. The stereotypes have also been removed to aid readability.

19.5.6 OV-3 Operational Exchange Summary (DoDAF Operational Resource Flow Matrix)

Table D.6 shows the operational exchanges between nodes. The OV-3 can include Information Exchanges associated with a Needline as well as Information Elements carried by one or more Information Exchange. Reports can also be generated summarizing other types of exchanges. The report show the producing and consuming nodes, and the activities performed by those nodes that produced and consumed the interchange. This provides a validation capability for the architecture in that the blank boxes for the producing and consuming activities indicates that further work needs to be done on the architecture: exchanges are being made for no apparent purpose. There is an important distinction between DoDAF and MODAF in this regard. Exchanges (activityConsumesResource in DoDAF) can only take place as a result of an activity.

Table D.6 - OV-3

Information		Producer		Needline	Consumer	
Name	Conveyed	Node	Operational Activity	Name	Node	Operational Activity
Ctrl	control	Tactical C2		TC2N - RN	Rescue	
Ctrl	control	Tactical C2		TC2N - SN	Search	
DS1	distressSignal	Person in Distress		PID - MN	Monitoring	
DS2	distressSignal	Person in Distress	Send Distress Signal	SN1 - PID	Search	Receive Distress Signal
DS3	distressSignal	Person in Distress	Send Distress Signal	RN - PID	Rescue	Receive Distress Signal
Rqst	request	Tactical C2		SAC - TCN	SAR Asset Control	
Stat	status	Rescue		RN - SN	Search	
TI	trackInfo	Monitoring		TCN - MN	Tactical C2	
Tsk	tasking	SAR Asset Control		RN - SAC	Rescue	
Tsk	tasking	SAR Asset Control		SAR AC - SN	Search	
WO	warningOrder	Search	Send Warning Order	SN - PoS	Place of Safety	Process Warning Order

19.5.7 OV-4 Organizational Relationships Chart

The OV-4 illustrates the command structure or relationships (as opposed to relationships with respect to a business process flow) among human roles, organizations, or organization types that are the key players in the SAR operation.

The OV-4 exists in two forms - typical (typical command structure) and actual (organization chart for a department or agency). Figure D.19, the typical OV-4, shows the possible relationships between organizations and posts. It is also possible to define types of people who are capable of filling these posts. For example, a Qualified Lifeguard could become an MRT Swimmer. The class diagram defines a template from which the actual organization will be created. The actual organizations, posts, and relationships must comply with this template. In fact, it is not possible to add an element not defined in the template. This ensures a consistent model. Matrix organizations can also be created as multiple structures can be created. This provides both flexibility and structure.




Figure D.19 - OV-4 Typical

The actual OV-4, shown in Figure D. 20, depicts the structure of the organization, the actual posts (Person Type in DoDAF) and the actual persons (IndividualPerson in DoDAF) who fill those posts. The diagram can also be annotated with the start and end dates for this for the people filling those posts. For example, Peter Pilot fills the post of Rescue Helo Pilot, which is a member of the Coast Guard, which is a sub organization of the Maritime and Coastguard Agency.




Figure D.20 - OV-4 Actual

19.5.8 OV-5 Operational Activity Model (DoDAF Operational Activity Decomposition Tree – OV-5A)

Figure D.21 describes the operations that are normally conducted in the different nodes of a Search and Rescue operation. This view shows the operational activities which are performed by the Search Node and Rescue Node. The class diagram views provides a means of breaking down activities to lower level activities as well as indicating the nodes that perform the activities.




Figure D.21 - OV-5

Figure D. 22 shows the OV-5 as an activity diagram. It describes Operational Activity Actions, Input/Output flows between activities and to/from activities that are outside the scope of the context of the activity diagram. The example shows the execution of the search activity. There is a horizontally nested swim lane which is the search and rescue context. Inside this context are the nodes that were defined within the OV-2. This is an example of how UPDM ensures structural consistency across the model. Activities displayed within the swimlanes are allocated to the node that owns the swim lane.




Figure D.22 - Alternate OV-5

19.5.9 OV-6a Operational Rules Model (Same in DoDAF)

Table D.7 is a generated report showing the operational constraints associated with operational elements such as nodes, organizations, Activities, etc.

Table D.7 - OV-6a Operational Constraints

Operational Element		Operational Constraint	
Type	Name	Name	Text
«Node»	Place of Safety	Location Constraints	The place of safety shall be isolated from the weather to ensure safety of the person n Distress.
«OperationalActivity»	Monitor For Distress Signal	Distress Signal Monitoring	Distress signals shall be monitored 24/7.
«OperationalActivity»	Search	Personnel Safety	Search personnel shall operate on a shift system to ensure that they can perform to maximum efficiency.
«OperationalActivity»	Send Distress Signal	Distress Signal Range	The maximum range for distress signals shall be posted at all ports and marinas.
«OperationalActivity»	Transit To SAR Operation	[none]	[none]

D.7.10 OV-6b Operational State Transition Description

Figure D.23 describes the operational states of the Search Node, the behaviors that take place within those states, the transitions between the states and the events and guards that cause those transitions to take place. For example, the search node is waiting for a distress signal. When one is received, the warning order is sent out and the search node transitions to searching for victim.




Figure D.23 - OV-6b

19.5.10 OV-6c Operational Event Trace Description

The OV-6c is used to define time based behavioral scenarios between operational elements. The interactions can be service operations as well as the interactions defined on the OV-2 and OV-5 diagrams. Figure D.24 shows the sequence

of interactions for a search and rescue scenario.




Figure D.24 – OV-6c

19.5.11 OV-7 Logical Data Model (DoDAF DIV-1/DIV-2)

The OV-7 view shown in Figure D.25 describes the information elements and entities used in the operational context. The boxes show the information items and the lines represent their inter-relationships. Attributes can be used to show the characteristics of the information items. The “represents entity” dependencies show the information elements that represent the entity items. These are used on the OV-2 and other diagrams.




Figure D.25 - OV-7

19.6 Service Oriented Views (DoDAF SvcV-1)

The Service Oriented views describe the services needed to directly support the Search and Rescue operations described in the Operational View and System View. They are normally used when creating Service Oriented Architectures (SOA). The Service Oriented Views do not specify how the service is to be implemented, but the requirements for the services. The implementation of the services is normally implemented by the Systems Views. In this example, various services are defined to support Search and Rescue capabilities.

19.6.1 SOV-1 Service Taxonomy

The SOV-1 view specifies the hierarchy of services as well as the relationships between them. Figure D. 26 shows the hierarchy of services within the Search and Rescue Service with Land and Maritime Search and Rescue Services as specializations of the SAR Service. Additional services are also defined to support SAR such as Communications, Coordination and so forth. These will be used in the rest of the SOVs as well as the OV and SV.




Figure D.26 - SOV-1

19.6.2 SOV-2 Service Interface Specification (DoDAF SvcV-2)

Figure D.27 defines the interfaces that will provide access to the services and those required by services. Many UPDM elements can provide and consume services. Specifying the interface for the service provides a means of determining compatibility between service consumers and providers. Service operations and attributes can also be defined on the SOV-2. Figure 27 shows the interfaces for the services defined on the SOV-1, and the operations and parameters of the operations provided by the interfaces.




Figure D.27 - SOV-2

19.6.3 SOV-3 Capability to Service Mapping (DoDAF CV-7)

Figure D.28 shows which services contribute to the achievement of a capability. In this example, the Land Search and Rescue Service exposes (supports/realizes) the Land SAR Capability. Likewise, the Maritime Search and Rescue Service exposes the Maritime SAR Service. MODAF 1.2.004 specifies that the service must completely realize the capability it exposes. Additional services and capabilities are also shown.




Figure D.28 - SOV-3

19.6.4 SOV-4a Service Behaviors and Constraints (DoDAF SvcV-10a)

The SOV-4a defines constraints that must be adhered to by Consumers and Providers of the Services via Service Policies. This also provides a means of performing trade-off analysis of the possible service providers. As a minimum it defines a set of criteria to determine whether or not the service provider meets the provision requirements defined by the constraints. Table D.8 shows a sample of the services and their associated service policies.

Table D.8 - SOV-4a Service Policies

Service Interface	Service Policy	
Name	Name	Text
Land Search and Rescue Service	Driving Record	Any member involved in the operation of road vehicles must have a clean driving record.
Maritime Search and Rescue Service	Swim	All members of the rescue team must be able to swim.
Search and Rescue Service	FirstAid	All members of the rescue team must be able to perform basic first aid.
	Danger	No member of the search and rescue team should put themselves in unnecessary danger.

19.6.5 SOV-4b Service Behaviors and Constraints (DoDAF SvcV-10b)

The SOV-4b defines behavioral constraints that must be adhered to by Consumers and Providers of the Services. Specifically it defines the state based behavior of the service defining the states, transitions between those states, the events that cause those transitions to take place and behaviors within those states. Figure D.29 shows the state diagram describing the state based behavior of the Maritime Search and Rescue Service.

Maritime Search and Rescue Service




Figure D.29 - SOV-4b

19.6.6 SOV-5 Service Functionality (DoDAF SvcV-4)

Figure D.30 defines the Service Functions to describe the abstract behavior of each Service Operation. It specifies the set of functions that the service implementation is expected to perform. In this example, the Maritime Search and Rescue service provides the rescue function. This function is further decomposed to its sub-functions.




Figure D.30 - SOV-5

19.7 Systems Views

These views describe the resources that realize the SAR capabilities or implement services. They describe resource functions, interactions between resources, and can provide detailed system interface models. System views can describe the “as-is” and/or “to-be” configuration. In addition, several different configurations can be created to perform trade-off analysis. When used in conjunction with SysML, the systems should be developed to the degree that they define the requirements for actual systems that will be implemented. Developing the system views to too much detail will unnecessarily constrain the solution and will involve duplication of work.

System elements can include more than just physical systems. They can include software, organizational resources such as organizations, posts and roles. MODAF defines the concept of a Capability Configuration which is a composition of resources that can deliver a capability. As in the operational views, interactions can consist of more than just information and can include Posts, organizations, capability configurations, energy and software.

19.7.1 SV-1 Resource Interaction Specification (DoDAF Systems Interface Description)

The SV-1 defines the structure and internal flows of the system architectures to demonstrate how they realize the logical architecture defined in the operational views. The interfaces and interactions are defined at the level of specifying a need for the systems to interact and the way in which they do so. These systems can be decomposed to any level required. Figure D.31 shows the Capability Configuration of a Maritime Rescue Unit. The Maritime Rescue Unit is comprised of the Maritime Rescue Team (MRT), and the roles that make up the MRT, as well as the components that enable them to

fulfill their role. This example shows that the Role of Driver is filled by a MRT Member who must interact with a MR Boat.




Figure D.31 - SV-1 Maritime Rescue Unit

19.7.2 SV-2 Systems Communications Description (DoDAF System Resource Flow Description)

The SV-2 defines the communications networks and pathways that link the systems as well as providing details about the configuration. MODAF defines 3 separate views for Port Specification (SV-2a), System to System Port Connectivity (SV-2b), and System Connectivity Clusters (SV-2c). All these details can be shown by using the Internal Block Diagram as has been implemented in UPDM. System Protocols and Standards can also be shown. Figure D.32 shows systems interconnections for a number of entities in a maritime search and rescue scenario.




Figure D.32 - SV-2

19.7.3 SV-3 Resource Interaction Matrix (DoDAF Systems – Systems Matrix)

The SV-3 is a summary report of the interactions defined in the SV-1. It expresses the connections between the system elements. Table D.9 does this in the form of a matrix. For simplicity and readability, the matrix has been reduced to show only those systems that are connected.

Table D.9 – SV-3 System Connectivity Matrix

	«Resource Artifact» Aircraft	«Resource Artifact» Boat	«Resource Artifact» Communication Device	«Resource Artifact» ESM System	«Resource Artifact» Life Saving Device	«Resource Artifact» Lighting Device	«Resource Artifact» Link 16	«Resource Artifact» Safety Device
«Post» MRT Boat Driver		X						
«Post» MRT Communicator			X					
«Post» MRT Helicopter Pilot	X							
«Post» MRT Searcher						X		
«Post» MRT Swimmer					X			
«ResourceArtifact» Communication Device			X					
«ResourceArtifact» Lighting Device				X				
«ResourceArtifact» Link 16				X			X	
«ResourceArtifact» Safety Device								

19.7.4 SV-4 Functionality Description (DoDAF Systems Functionality Description)

The SV-4 defines the functions carried out by the different types of Resources. This includes organizational resources such as posts and organizations. Two forms can be used. Figure D.33 shows a hierarchical breakdown of the Rescue Victim function. It is also possible to show the resource that is performing the action. This provides a mapping of resource usage to function.




Figure D.33 - SV-4

Figure D.34 is the other type of SV-4 and takes the format of an activity diagram. It shows the Resources using Functions, the operational step-by-step workflows and the overall flow of control. The Maritime Rescue Unit v1 and the MRT Searcher are represented as swim lanes. It shows the functions used by these Resources, the order in which they take place, and the interactions between them to implement the Rescue Victim Activity.





Figure D.34 - SV-4 Activity Diagram

19.7.5 SV-5 Function to Operational Activity/Service Function Traceability



The SV-5 view is used to show how System Functions support Operational Activities and Service Functions. UPDM also provides a graphical view to define these relationships. Figure D.35 shows the SAR Activities and those System Functions that implement them. This provides an essential requirements traceability capability as well as a means of validating the overall architecture. Functions that do not implement operational activities may be superfluous, and operational activities that are not implemented by functions have not been fully analyzed.

Figure D.35 – SV-5

D.9.6 SV-5 System Function to Operational Activity/Service Function Traceability Matrix

Table C10 summarizes the traceability between

the system functions and operational activities in matrix form. It has been simplified for readability.

19.7.6 Table D.10 – SV-5

Realizing Function	Monitor For Distress Signal	Process Warning Order	Rescue	Search
	Apply First Aid		X	X
	Determine Destination	X		
	Move		X	X
	Reassure Victim		X	X
	Recover Victim			X
	Rescue Victim		X	
	Search			
	Transport		X	

19.7.7 SV-6 System Exchange Matrix (DoDAF Systems Resource Flow Matrix)

The SV-6 summarizes the interactions between the resources in the SV-1 and SV-2. Table D.11 shows the interactions between the SAR resources. Additional fields can also be included such as measurements associated with the exchange.

Table D.11 – SV-6

Resource Interaction		Producer	Connector / Interface	Consumer
Name	Conveyed	Resource	Name	Resource
AI	aircraftInstruction	MRT HelicopterPilot	Resource Interface	Aircraft
BCI	beaconInstruction	MRT Searcher	Resource Interface	Lighting Device
BI	boatInstruction	MRT Boat Driver	Resource Interface	Boat
DS	distressSignal	Lighting Device	Resource Connector	ESM System
DS	distressSignal	Lighting Device	Resource Connector	ESM System
LPI	lifePreserverInstruction	MRT Swimmer	Resource Interface	Life Saving Device
RI	radioInstruction	MRT Communicator	Resource Interface	Communication Device
RI1	radioInstruction	Communication Device	Resource Connector	Communication Device
RI2	radioInstruction	Communication Device	Resource Connector	Communication Device
TD1	TDM	Link 16	Resource Connector	Link 16
TD2	TDM	Link 16	Resource Connector	Link 16
TRK1	track	Link 16	Resource Connector	ESM System
TRK2	track	Link 16	Resource Connector	ESM System

19.7.8 SV-7 Resource Performance Parameters (DoDAF Systems

Measures Matrix)

This view defines the types of measurements that are important to the system resources. It consists of measurable, qualitative properties. It is normally shown in tabular form. Figure C36 shows the Capability Configurations that are linked to the various measurements.




Figure D.36 - SV-7

Table D.12 shows the SV-7 in tabular format, specifying qualitative and quantitative characteristics of resources. These are the same measurements that were defined in Figure D.3 and Figure D.4. This is a generated report.

Table D.12- SV-7 in Tabular Format.

Actual Measurement Set								
Name	Name	Intention	Measurement	Minimum Value	Actual Value	Maximum Value	Unit	Dimension
Maritime Rescue Unit v1	Initial Values	Estimate	seaConditions	Sea State 1	Sea State 6	Sea State 10	Meter	Wave Height
			areaCoverage	100	500	1000	SquareKilometers	Area
			findTime	4	<8 hours	8	Hours	Time
			persistence	5	>15 hours	22	Hours	Time
			searchCoverage	200	400	600	SquareKilometers	Area
	weatherConditions		Calm	Heavy Rain	Hurricane	Weather Severity Index		
Maritime Rescue Unit v2	Required Values	Required	seaConditions	Sea State 1	Sea State 8	Sea State 10	Meter	Wave Height
			areaCoverage	100	600	1000	SquareKilometers	Area
			findTime	4	<5 hours	8	Hours	Time
			persistence	5	>20 hours	22	Hours	Time
			searchCoverage	200	500	600	SquareKilometers	Area
		weatherConditions		Calm	Stormy	Hurricane	Weather Severity Index	
	Final Values	Result	seaConditions	Sea State 1	Sea State 8	Sea State 10	Meter	Wave Height
			areaCoverage	100	650	1000	SquareKilometers	Area
			findTime	4	<4 hours	8	Hours	Time
			persistence	5	>20 hours	22	Hours	Time
		searchCoverage	200	550	600	SquareKilometers	Area	
	weatherConditions		Calm	Stormy	Hurricane	Weather Severity Index		
Monitor								

19.7.9 SV-8 System Capability Configuration Management (DoDAF Systems Evolution Matrix)

The SV-8 view is used to show the whole lifecycle of a resource showing how its configuration changes over time. It shows the capabilities, the resources that implement those capabilities, and any constituent components. Table D.13 shows the lifecycles for Assistance, Search, and Distress Signal Monitoring. Note that Distress Signal Monitoring does not have any implementing resources. This is also useful information.

Figure D.13 – SV-8

Capability		Realizing Resource		Milestone Dates				
Name	Name	Components	2010-01-01	2010-07-01	2010-08-01	2010-11-01	2011-01-01	2011-05-01
Assistance	«Capability Configuration» Maritime Rescue Unit v2				Increment			Out Of Service
	«Capability Configuration» Maritime Rescue Unit v1	«Resource Artifact» Lighting Device	Increment			Out Of Service		
		«Resource Artifact» Life Saving Device						
		«Resource Artifact» Aircraft						
	«Resource Artifact» Boat							
	«Organization» Maritime Rescue Team							
	«Resource Artifact» Communication Device							
	«Capability Configuration» Automated Rescue Unit v1			Increment			Out Of Service	
Distress Signal Monitoring								
Search	«Capability Configuration» Maritime Rescue Unit v2				Increment			Out Of Service
	«Capability Configuration» Maritime Rescue Unit v1	«Resource Artifact» Lighting Device	Increment			Out Of Service		
		«Resource Artifact» Life Saving Device						
		«Resource Artifact» Aircraft						
	«Resource Artifact» Boat							
	«Organization» Maritime Rescue Team							
	«Resource Artifact» Communication Device							
	«Capability Configuration» Automated Rescue Unit v1			Increment			Out Of Service	

19.7.10 SV-9 Technology and Skills Forecast (DoDAF Systems Technology and Skills Forecast)

The SV-9 provides a summary of the current and emerging technologies and skills that impact on the Resources that constitute the architecture. The example shown in Figure D.37 and Table D.14 show the technology forecasts for the resource artifacts used in the systems views. Reports can also be created for competencies (Skill in DoDAF), posts (PersonType in DoDAF), organizations (OrganizationType in DoDAF), etc.




Figure D.37 – SV-9

19.7.11 SV-9 Technology and Skills Forecast

Table D.14 shows the tabular view of the technology forecast for the system resources.

Table D.14 – SV-9

Category Type	Category	Short Term	Long Term	Mid Term
«ResourceArtifact»	Communications	Communication Device		
«ResourceArtifact»	Distress Monitoring	ESM System		
«ResourceArtifact»	Distress Signal		Lighting Device	
«ResourceArtifact»	Safety Device			Life Saving Device

19.7.12 SV-10a System Rules and Constraints (DoDAF Systems Rules Model)

The SV-4 defines the functional specification of the behavior of the system resources. The SV-10a, SV-10b, and SV-10c augment this by defining the constraints, state behavior, and sequence of interactions of the resources. Table D.15 defines the constraints on a sample of system resources.

Figure D.15 – SV-10a

Resource Constraint		
Name	Name	Text
Boat	GMDSS Vessel Requirements	Ships subject to Title II Part II and Part III of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended have to fit GMDSS equipment under FCC Regulation 47 CFR 80 Subpart W. These include all ships, including fishing vessels, to be navigated in the open sea outside of a harbor or port, except: Ships other than passenger vessels less than 300 gross tonnage, Passenger ships having six passengers or less, U.S. government ships, Yachts of less than 600 gross tons, Vessels in tow, Ships navigating solely on any bays, sounds, rivers or protected waters within the U.S., Ships being navigated within the Great Lakes of North America, and Small passenger ships meeting the requirements of 47 CFR 80 Subpart S.
	Marine Vessel Communications	Mariners need to be able to communicate with other ships of any size or nationality. Mariners need to be able to receive and send urgent maritime safety information. Mariners need to be able to send or receive distress alerts in an emergency to or from rescue coordination centers ashore and nearby ships anywhere in the world.
	Radio Watch Keeping	In general, any vessel equipped with a VHF marine radiotelephone (whether voluntarily or required to) must maintain a watch on channel 16 (156.800 MHz) whenever the radiotelephone is not being used to communicate.
Communication Device	Distress System Usage	The radiotelephone alarm signal is used only in a distress, including when a person has been lost overboard and the assistance of other vessels is required.
	GMDSS Equipment Operation	A GMDSS Radio Operator's License is necessary for a person to use required GMDSS equipment.
Lighting Device	Distress System Usage	The radiotelephone alarm signal is used only in a distress, including when a person has been lost overboard and the assistance of other vessels is required.
Safety Device	[none]	[none]

19.7.13 SV-10b Resource State Transition Description (DoDAF System State Transition Description)

The SV-10b uses a state diagram to describe the resource's responses to the various events that it can receive. It can also be to show the operational states of the resource. Figure D.38 shows the state based behavior for the aircraft.




Figure D.38 – SV-10b

19.7.14 SV-10c Resource Event Trace Description (DoDAF System Event Trace Description)

The SV-10c defines a sequence of interaction between system resources in time order normally to execute a scenario or to fulfill some other functional requirement. This diagram is normally used once the architecture has been well defined. It is useful as a means of determining if sufficient interactions and system resources have been defined to allow the architecture to fulfill its functional requirements. Figure D.39 shows a search and rescue scenario.

Maritime Rescue Architecture v1

Description

```

per Yacht sendData distIn Distress Beacon
MR Aircraft receives data Distress Beacon from Yacht
MR Boat receives data Distress Beacon from Yacht
end par
par
MR Aircraft transmits radio instructions to Yacht
also par
MR Transmitter propagates trackOut to Monitor link
MR Transmitter propagates trackIn to Monitor link
end par
until all victims are rescued
Do:
MR Boat Digital Service link MR Transmitter transmits to MR Aircraft
MR Aircraft Digital Service link MR Transmitter transmits to MR Boat
MR Aircraft transmits radio instructions to Yacht
Yacht transmits radio instructions back to MR Aircraft
end loop

```




Figure D.39 – SV-10c

19.7.15 Physical Schema (DoDAF DIV-3)

The SV-11 defines the structure of various kinds of system data that are utilized by the system resources. These are the data elements used by the SV-1, SV-2, SV-4, and SV-10c interactions. Data elements are defined that are defined by entities. These entities can have complex structures. Figure D.40 shows the initial stages of the definition of the SAR data model.




Figure D.40 – SV-11

19.7.16 System Service Provision

The SV-12 is used to describe the system resources that deliver services. This takes the form of a matrix report. The service provision relationship is provided by the service point on the SV-1 and SV-2 diagrams. Table D.16 shows the system resources that provide these services. Note that they can be Posts, System Artifacts, Capability Configurations, etc.

Table D.16 – SV-12

	Air Transportation Service	Communications Services	Medical Services	Rescue Service	Search Service
«CapabilityConfiguration» Maritime Rescue Unit v1				X	X
«Post» MRT Searcher			X		
«ResourceArtifact» Aircraft	X				
«ResourceArtifact» Boat					
«ResourceArtifact» Communication Device		X			

19.8 Acquisition Views (DoDAF Project Views)

The Acquisition views identify top-level tasks in the acquisition process. They help you understand how resources, assets and capabilities are acquired during the life of the project. It gives you the ability to perform analysis to determine if the resources can be obtained, if they are available in the time they are needed, and the overall effect on the schedule. They can also show whether or not complete coverage of the Defence Lines of Development (DLOD) (known as DOTMLPF in the DoD) are fully covered.

19.8.1 AcV-1 System of Systems Acquisition Clusters (DoDAF PV-1)

The AcV- 1 represents an organizational perspective of the program. It allows the user to model the organizational structures needed to manage a portfolio of projects. Table D.17 shows who is responsible for the SAR Project, as well as the project type.

Table D.17 – AcV-1

Project Owner	Actual Project
Department Of Transport	SAR Manual Project I
	SAR Automation Project
	SAR Manual Project II

D.10.1 AcV-2 Program Timeline (DoDAF PV-2)

The AcV-2 Program Timeline diagram allows management the ability to view a summary of project status across the complete program timeline. It also provides a means of viewing the DLOD status for each of the defined milestones for the project. This and the AcV-3 diagram provide much of the information for the StV-3 (DoDAF CV-3) view. Figure D.41 shows the 3 projects and their associated milestones. They are spaced according to time order. The pie charts represent the DLODs and their meaning is defined on the key to the right. The example is somewhat artificial in that the milestones are all spaced 6 months apart. This has been done for clarity of reading.




Figure D.41 – AcV-2

19.8.2 AcV-3 Typical Project (DoDAF PV-3)

The AcV-3 class diagram provides a means of defining projects and project types. In Figure D.42, the development project can contain other development projects. Development projects contain milestones containing project themes corresponding to DLOD (DoD DOTMLPF) themes.




Figure D.42 - AcV-3 Class Diagram

19.8.3 AcV-3 Actual Project Instance (DoDAF PV-3)

The AcV-3 provides a means of defining actual projects and actual project milestones. In Figure D.43 three SAR projects and their project milestones are shown.




Figure D.43 - AcV-3 Actual

The project also contains increment and deployment milestones that provide a means of showing when resources are deployed and rendered out of service as well as capability increments. An example out of service milestone is shown in Figure D.44.

<p style="text-align: center;">«OutOfServiceMilestone» ARU OOS : Development Milestone</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">endDate</p> <p>2011-01-01 00:00:00</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">resource</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">themeValues</p> <p>Equipment = Complete Training = Complete Concepts & Doctrine = Not Applicable Personnel = Complete Information = Complete Organization = Complete Infrastructure = Not Applicable Logistics = Complete Interoperability = Not Applicable</p>

Figure D.44 – AcV-3 Additional Milestone Types

19.9 Technical Views (DoDAF Standards Views)

The Technical views identify the standards, rules, policy and guidance that are applicable to parts of the architecture and the architecture as a whole. Communications protocols can also be defined.

19.9.1 TV-1 Standards Profile (DoDAF StdV-1)

The TV-1 report is in the form of a matrix and summarizes the architecture elements that conform to the various defined standards. Table D.18 shows the conforming elements on the left and the applicable standards across the top. Systems can conform to multiple standards as in the Link 16.

Table D.18 – TV-1

	«Standard» Global Maritime Distress and Safety System (GMDSS)	«Standard» MGN 324 Operational Guidance on the Use Of VHF Radio and Automatic Identification Systems	«Standard» MIL-STD-6016	«Standard» STANAG 5516	«Standard» USCG Marine Radio Information For Boaters
«ResourceArtifact» Communication Device (SAR Architecture::Resources::Resource_Artifacts)		X			X
«ResourceArtifact» ESM System (SAR Architecture::Resources::Resource_Artifacts)	X				
«ResourceArtifact» Lighting Device (SAR Architecture::Resources::Resource_Artifacts)	X				
«ResourceArtifact» Link 16 (SAR Architecture::Resources::Resource_Artifacts)			X	X	
«ResourcePort» dsIn (SAR Architecture::Resources::Resource_Artifacts::ESM System)	X				
«ResourcePort» dsOut (SAR Architecture::Resources::Resource_Artifacts::Lighting Device)	X				
«ResourcePort» receiver (SAR Architecture::Resources::Resource Artifacts::Communication Device)		X			X
«ResourcePort» tdmReceiver (SAR Architecture::Resources::Resource_Artifacts::Link 16)			X	X	
«ResourcePort» tdmTransmitter (SAR Architecture::Resources::Resource_Artifacts::Link 16)			X	X	
«ResourcePort» transmitter (SAR Architecture::Resources::Resource Artifacts::Communication Device)		X			X

Conforming Elements

19.9.2 TV-2 Standards Forecast (DoDAF StdV-2)

UPDM provides a class diagram and report format for the TV-2. The class diagram form provides a means of defining the standards and their attributes as well as linking the standards forecasts to them. Figure D.45 shows the various SAR standards provided by ASTM. ASTM International, originally known as the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) is now an international standards body with standards ranging from safety in recreational aviation, to fiber optic cable installations in underground utilities, to homeland security. More information on them can be found at www.ASTM.org. The spans shown are for illustration purposes only. They are normally shown to denote emerging standards.




Figure D.45 – TV-2

Figure D.46 shows a variety of standards for marine radio, Link 16, and distress monitoring. These are part of the Capability Configuration shown in the SV-2 diagram.




Figure D.46 – TV-2

19.9.3 TV-2 Standards Forecast Tabular Form (DoDAF StdV-2)

Table D.19 shows a summary of the ASTM international standards.

Table D.19 – TV-2

Category Type	Category	Mid Term	Short Term	Long Term	[Undefined]
«Standard»	ASTM International Standard	ASTM F1422 - 08 Guide for Using the ICSFr in Managing Search and Rescue Operations ASTM F1739 - 96(2007) Standard Guide for Performance of a Water Rescuer-Level I	ASTM F2266 - 03(2008)e1 Standard Spec for Masses Used in Testing Rescue Systems and Components ASTM F1823 - 97(2007) Standard Guide for Water Rescue Personal Flotation Device (PFD) ASTM F1824 - 97(2007) Standard Guide for Performance of a Water Rescuer-Level II	ASTM F1993 - 99(2005) Standard Classification of Human Search and Rescue Resources	ASTM F1490 -04a Standard Terminology Relating to Search and Rescue

19.10 A Simple Example of SysML Parametrics

19.10.1 SysML Parametrics

Parametric diagrams are used to describe constraints on system properties to support engineering analysis. In order to support this type of modeling a ConstraintBlock has been introduced into OMG SysML. A ConstraintBlock defines a set of parameters and one or more constraints on the parameters. The parameters and the connectors do not have direction by default. Hence, the constraint relationships are acausal in nature. Causality can be automatically interpreted based on the state of the model (i.e. what variables are known and what are unknown). These ConstraintBlocks are used in a parametric diagram to constrain system properties. ConstraintBlocks may be used to express mathematical equations such as ‘ $F=m \cdot a$ ’ and ‘ $a = \delta v / \delta t$ ’, or statistical values and utility functions such as might be used in trade studies. Based on the reusable concept of a block new ConstraintBlocks can be built by reusing more primitive ConstraintBlocks such as basic mathematical operators. As shown in Figure D.47, blocks can also own constraint blocks. Blocks can also own parametric diagrams. This is in fact a more consistent, more scalable, more persistent, and can be less confusing for people new to parametric diagrams.

SysML also defines a model of value types that can have units and dimensions and probability distributions. The value types are used to type properties of blocks. The Parametric Diagram is a specialized variant of an internal block diagram that restricts diagram elements to represent constraint blocks, their parameters and the block properties that they bind to. Both parameters and properties may be represented as small “pin-like” boxes to help make the diagrams more scalable.

For more information on Parametric diagrams and SysML, refer to the following documents:

<http://eislabs.gatech.edu/pubs/conferences/2007-incose-is-1-peak-primer/>

<http://eislabs.gatech.edu/pubs/conferences/2007-incose-is-2-peak-diversity/>

19.10.2 Scenario Overview

The search and rescue organization is considering using Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAV) to perform set search patterns. One of the parameters of search and rescue is to determine how long it will take to cover a specific search area. Various parameters are number of aircraft, crew availability, aircraft speed, aircraft total flight time, etc. With this information they can budget how many aircraft, crew, etc. they will need to help

them achieve their goals. The Little Eye model was created by InterCAX to define such a scenario and demonstrate how parametrics can be used to provide trade-off analysis to answer these questions. We are grateful to them for letting us use their example.

19.10.3 SV-3 System Context

The Little System Block Definition Diagram (BDD) shown in Figure D.47 defines the context of the problem definition. It contains the Aircraft, Crew, and Fuel. They each have a set of values corresponding to the properties to be used in the trade-off analysis. For example, the crew has properties of Crew Time On, Number Available Crews, and Number Crews. These will be used as parameters for the parametric equations. The System Availability Equation and the Scanning Equation are owned by the Little Eye System defining the context. The crew has the Crew Availability Equation; the Fuel has the Fuel Availability Equation. Finally, Aircraft has the Aircraft, Night Camera, and Day Camera Availability Equations and the Aircraft Duty Cycle Equation. These equations used together will determine the optimum values for the system configurations.




Figure D.47 – Block Definition Diagram

19.10.4 System Parametrics

Figure D.48 shows the Aircraft, Crew and Fuel value types linked to the System Context values.




Figure D.48 – System Parametrics

19.10.5 Parametric Equations

Figure D.49 Shows the System Availability and Scanning Equations, their parameters, the value properties and the relationships between them.




Figure D.49 – Scanning and Availability Equations

Figure D.50 shows the Fuel Availability Equation.




Figure D.50 – Fuel Availability Equation

Figure D.51 shows the Crew Availability Equation.




Figure D.51 – Crew Availability Equation

This diagram shows the constraint properties in Little Eye Aircraft. All these parametric equations can be combined together to define the trade-off analysis definition to provide a means of calculating the optimum configuration.




Figure D.52 – Aircraft System Parametric

19.10.6 Instance Diagram

To perform the trade-off analysis calculations an instance diagram of the system components is created as shown in Figure D.53. Initial values are created for some of the value properties as a means of defining set values against which the equation solver can work.




Figure D.53 – System Instance Diagram